



# Coefficient Estimates for Certain General Subclasses of Meromorphic Bi-Univalent Functions

Serap Bulut<sup>1\*</sup>, N. Magesh<sup>2</sup> and J. Sivapalan<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Kocaeli University, Faculty of Aviation and Space Sciences, Arslanbey Campus, 41285 Kartepe-Kocaeli, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Post-Graduate and Research Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College for Men, Krishnagiri 635001, Tamilnadu, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Govt Arts and Science College, Hosur 635109, Tamilnadu, India

\*Corresponding author

## Abstract

In the present investigation, we introduce two interesting general subclasses of meromorphic and bi-univalent functions. Further, we find estimates on the initial coefficient  $|b_0|$  and  $|b_1|$  for functions belonging to these subclasses. Some other closely related results are also represented.

**Keywords:** Analytic functions, bi-univalent functions, meromorphic bi-univalent functions, strongly bi-pseudo-starlike functions.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 30C45.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U} := \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$ . We also denote by  $\mathcal{S}$  the subclass of the normalized analytic function class  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of all functions which are also univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ .

Since univalent functions are one-to-one, they are invertible and the inverse functions need not be defined on the entire unit disk  $\mathbb{U}$ . In fact, the Koebe one-quarter theorem ensures that the image of  $\mathbb{U}$  under every univalent function  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  contains a disc of radius  $1/4$ . Thus every function  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  has an inverse  $f^{-1}$ , which is defined by

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and

$$f^{-1}(f(w)) = w \quad \left( |w| < r_o(f); r_o(f) \geq \frac{1}{4} \right).$$

A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be bi-univalent in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U}$  if both the function  $f$  and its inverse  $f^{-1}$  are univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ . Let  $\Sigma$  denote the class of analytic and bi-univalent functions in  $\mathbb{U}$  given by the Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion as in (1.1). For a brief history and interesting examples of functions in the class  $\Sigma$ , see [13]. In fact, the aforementioned work of Srivastava et al. [13] essentially revived the investigation of various subclasses of the bi-univalent function class  $\Sigma$  in very recent years.

In this paper, the concept of bi-univalence is extended to the class of meromorphic functions defined on  $\Delta := \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } 1 < |z| < \infty\}$ . The class of functions

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{z^n} \quad (1.2)$$

that are meromorphic and univalent in  $\Delta$  is denoted by  $\sigma$ , and every univalent function  $g$  has an inverse  $g^{-1}$  satisfy the series expansion

$$g^{-1}(w) = w + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{w^n}, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $0 < M < |w| < \infty$ . Analogous to the bi-univalent analytic functions, a function  $g \in \sigma$  given by (1.2) is said to be meromorphic and bi-univalent if both  $g$  and  $g^{-1}$  are meromorphic and univalent in  $\Delta$ . The class of all meromorphic and bi-univalent functions denoted by  $\sigma_{\mathcal{M}}$ . A simple calculation shows that

$$h(w) = g^{-1}(w) = w - b_0 - \frac{b_1}{w} - \frac{b_2 + b_0 b_1}{w^2} - \frac{b_3 + 2b_0 b_2 + b_0^2 b_1 + b_1^2}{w^3} + \dots \tag{1.4}$$

The history and examples of the various subclasses of meromorphic bi-univalent functions one could refer the recent works [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15] as well as references therein.

Recently, Sakar [9] introduced and investigated the following two subclasses with initial coefficient estimates:

**Definition 1.1.** [9] A function  $g \in \sigma_{\mathcal{M}}$  given by (1.2) is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{T}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{M}}}^{\alpha}$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\left| \arg \left( \frac{z^2 g'(z)}{[g(z)]^2} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \arg \left( \frac{w^2 h'(w)}{[h(w)]^2} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \quad (z, w \in \Delta, 0 < \alpha \leq 1),$$

where the function  $h$  is given by (1.4).

**Theorem 1.2.** [9] Let the function  $g \in \sigma_{\mathcal{M}}$  given by (1.2) be in the function class  $\mathcal{T}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{M}}}^{\alpha}$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . Then

$$|b_0| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad |b_1| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} \alpha & , \quad 0 < \alpha \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \alpha^2 & , \quad \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1 \end{cases}.$$

**Definition 1.3.** [9] A function  $g \in \sigma_{\mathcal{M}}$  given by (1.2) is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{T}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{M}}}(\mu)$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\Re \left( \frac{z^2 g'(z)}{[g(z)]^2} \right) > 1 - \mu \quad \text{and} \quad \Re \left( \frac{w^2 h'(w)}{[h(w)]^2} \right) > 1 - \mu \quad (z, w \in \Delta, 0 < \mu \leq 1),$$

where the function  $h$  is given by (1.4).

**Theorem 1.4.** [9] Let the function  $g \in \sigma_{\mathcal{M}}$  given by (1.2) be in the function class  $\mathcal{T}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{M}}}(\mu)$ ,  $0 < \mu \leq 1$ . Then

$$|b_0| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2\mu}{3}} \quad \text{and} \quad |b_1| \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \mu.$$

Very recently, Srivastava et al. [12] introduced and studied meromorphically strongly  $\lambda$ -bi-pseudo-starlike functions and meromorphically  $\lambda$ -bi-pseudo-starlike functions in Definitions 1.5 and 1.7, respectively, which are analogous to analytically case introduced and studied by Joshi et al. [7].

**Definition 1.5.** [12] A function  $g \in \sigma_{\mathcal{M}}$  given by (1.2) is said to be in the class  $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}, \lambda^*}(\alpha)$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\left| \arg \left( \frac{z[g'(z)]^{\lambda}}{g(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \arg \left( \frac{w[h'(w)]^{\lambda}}{h(w)} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \quad (z, w \in \Delta, 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \lambda \geq 1),$$

where the function  $h$  is given by (1.4).

**Theorem 1.6.** [12] Let the function  $g \in \sigma_{\mathcal{M}}$  given by (1.2) be in the function class  $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}, \lambda^*}(\alpha)$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $\lambda \geq 1$ . Then

$$|b_0| \leq 2\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad |b_1| \leq \frac{2\sqrt{5}}{1+\lambda} \alpha^2.$$

**Definition 1.7.** [12] A function  $g \in \sigma_{\mathcal{M}}$  given by (1.2) is said to be in the class  $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}^*}(\lambda, \beta)$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\Re \left( \frac{z[g'(z)]^{\lambda}}{g(z)} \right) > \beta \quad \text{and} \quad \Re \left( \frac{w[h'(w)]^{\lambda}}{h(w)} \right) > \beta \quad (z, w \in \Delta, 0 \leq \beta < 1, \lambda \geq 1),$$

where the function  $h$  is given by (1.4).

**Theorem 1.8.** [12] Let the function  $g \in \sigma_{\mathcal{M}}$  given by (1.2) be in the function class  $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}^*}(\lambda, \beta)$ ,  $0 \leq \beta < 1$  and  $\lambda \geq 1$ . Then

$$|b_0| \leq 2(1 - \beta) \quad \text{and} \quad |b_1| \leq \frac{2(1 - \beta)\sqrt{4\beta^2 - 8\beta + 5}}{1 + \lambda}.$$

**Remark 1.9.** For  $\lambda = 1$ , we get the classes  $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}, 1^*}(\alpha) = \tilde{\sigma}_{\mathcal{B}}^*(\alpha)$  and  $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}^*}(1, \beta) = \sigma_{\mathcal{B}^*}^*(\beta)$  introduced and studied by Halim et al. [3].

In the present investigation, two general subclasses of meromorphic bi-univalent functions are defined and general estimates for the coefficients  $|b_0|$  and  $|b_1|$  of functions in the newly introduced two subclasses are obtained.

**Definition 1.10.** Throughout this paper, we assume that the functions  $\phi, \psi : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be analytic functions and

$$\phi(z) = 1 + \frac{\phi_1}{z} + \frac{\phi_2}{z^2} + \frac{\phi_3}{z^3} + \dots; \quad \psi(z) = 1 + \frac{\psi_1}{z} + \frac{\psi_2}{z^2} + \frac{\psi_3}{z^3} + \dots$$

such that

$$\min \{ \Re(\phi(z)), \Re(\psi(z)) \} > 0 \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

**Definition 1.11.** A function  $g \in \sigma_{\mathcal{M}}$  given by (1.2) is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{T}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{M}}}(\phi, \psi)$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\frac{z^2 g'(z)}{[g(z)]^2} \in \phi(\Delta) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{w^2 h'(w)}{[h(w)]^2} \in \psi(\Delta) \quad (z, w \in \Delta),$$

where the function  $h$  is given by (1.4).

**Definition 1.12.** A function  $g \in \sigma_{\mathcal{M}}$  given by (1.2) is said to be in the class  $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}, \lambda}(\phi, \psi)$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\frac{z[g'(z)]^\lambda}{g(z)} \in \phi(\Delta) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{w[h'(w)]^\lambda}{h(w)} \in \psi(\Delta) \quad (z, w \in \Delta, \lambda \geq 1),$$

where the function  $h$  is given by (1.4).

There are many choices of  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  which would provide interesting subclasses of classes  $\mathcal{T}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{M}}}(\phi, \psi)$  and  $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}, \lambda}(\phi, \psi)$ , we illustrate as examples:

**Example 1.13.** If we take

$$\phi(z) = \psi(z) = \left( \frac{1 + \frac{1}{z}}{1 - \frac{1}{z}} \right)^\alpha = 1 + \frac{2\alpha}{z} + \frac{2\alpha^2}{z^2} + \frac{2\alpha^3}{z^3} + \dots \quad (0 < \alpha \leq 1, z \in \Delta) \quad (1.5)$$

in Definition 1.11 and Definition 1.12, then we get the classes

$$\mathcal{T}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{M}}}(\phi, \psi) = \mathcal{T}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{M}}}^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{\mathcal{B}, \lambda}(\phi, \psi) = \sigma_{\mathcal{B}, \lambda^*}(\alpha)$$

defined in Definition 1.1 and Definition 1.5, respectively. It is clear that the functions  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  satisfy the condition of Definition 1.10.

**Example 1.14.** If we take

$$\phi(z) = \psi(z) = \frac{1 + \frac{1-2\beta}{z}}{1 - \frac{1}{z}} = 1 + \frac{2(1-\beta)}{z} + \frac{2(1-\beta)}{z^2} + \frac{2(1-\beta)}{z^3} + \dots \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1, z \in \Delta) \quad (1.6)$$

in Definition 1.11 and Definition 1.12, then we get the classes

$$\mathcal{T}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{M}}}(\phi, \psi) = \mathcal{T}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{M}}}(1-\beta) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{\mathcal{B}, \lambda}(\phi, \psi) = \sigma_{\mathcal{B}^*}(\lambda, \beta)$$

defined in Definition 1.3 and Definition 1.7, respectively. It is clear that the functions  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  satisfy the condition of Definition 1.10.

## 2. Coefficient bounds for the function class $\mathcal{T}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{M}}}(\phi, \psi)$

In the following theorem, we obtain the initial coefficient estimates for functions belonging to the meromorphically bi-univalent function class  $\mathcal{T}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{M}}}(\phi, \psi)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $g \in \sigma_{\mathcal{M}}$  given by (1.2) be in the function class  $\mathcal{T}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{M}}}(\phi, \psi)$ . Then

$$|b_0| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{|\phi_1|}{2}; \sqrt{\frac{|\phi_2| + |\psi_2|}{6}} \right\} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$|b_1| \leq \min \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{|\phi_2|^2 + |\psi_2|^2}{18} + \frac{|\phi_1|^4}{16}}; \frac{|\phi_2| + |\psi_2|}{6} \right\}.$$

*Proof.* From Definition 1.11, we have

$$\frac{z^2 g'(z)}{[g(z)]^2} \in \phi(\Delta) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{w^2 h'(w)}{[h(w)]^2} \in \psi(\Delta).$$

Let us set

$$\phi(z) = \frac{z^2 g'(z)}{[g(z)]^2} \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

and

$$\psi(w) = \frac{w^2 h'(w)}{[h(w)]^2} \quad (w \in \Delta).$$

Now expressing in terms of power series, we have

$$\frac{z^2 g'(z)}{[g(z)]^2} = 1 - \frac{2b_0}{z} + \frac{3b_0^2 - 3b_1}{z^2} + \dots$$

and

$$\frac{w^2 h'(w)}{[h(w)]^2} = 1 + \frac{2b_0}{z} + \frac{3b_0^2 + 3b_1}{z^2} + \dots,$$

respectively. Upon equating the coefficients of  $\frac{z^2 g'(z)}{[g(z)]^2}$  with those of  $\phi(z)$  and coefficients of  $\frac{w^2 h'(w)}{[h(w)]^2}$  with those of  $\psi(w)$ , we get

$$-2b_0 = \phi_1, \tag{2.2}$$

$$-3b_1 + 3b_0^2 = \phi_2, \tag{2.3}$$

$$2b_0 = \psi_1, \tag{2.4}$$

$$3b_1 + 3b_0^2 = \psi_2. \tag{2.5}$$

From (2.2) and (2.4), we obtain

$$\phi_1 = -\psi_1, \tag{2.6}$$

$$b_0 = -\frac{\phi_1}{2} = \frac{\psi_1}{2} \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$4b_0^2 = \phi_1^2 + \psi_1^2. \tag{2.8}$$

Adding (2.3) and (2.5), we have

$$6b_0^2 = \phi_2 + \psi_2. \tag{2.9}$$

It follows from the equations (2.6) and (2.7)-(2.9) that

$$|b_0| = \frac{|\phi_1|}{2} = \frac{|\psi_1|}{2},$$

$$|b_0|^2 = \frac{|\phi_1|^2}{2}$$

and

$$|b_0|^2 \leq \frac{|\phi_2| + |\psi_2|}{6},$$

respectively. So, we get the desired estimate on the coefficient  $|b_0|$  as asserted in (2.1). Next, in order to find the bound on the coefficient  $|b_1|$ , we subtract (2.3) from (2.5), thus we have

$$6b_1 = \psi_2 - \phi_2. \tag{2.10}$$

By squaring and adding (2.3) and (2.5), using (2.8) in the computation leads to

$$b_1^2 = \frac{\phi_2^2 + \psi_2^2}{18} - b_0^4. \tag{2.11}$$

If we set the values of  $b_0$  from the equalities (2.7)-(2.9) in (2.11), we find that

$$b_1^2 = \frac{\phi_2^2 + \psi_2^2}{18} - \frac{\phi_1^4}{16},$$

$$b_1^2 = \frac{\phi_2^2 + \psi_2^2}{18} - \frac{\phi_1^4}{4}$$

and

$$b_1^2 = \frac{\phi_2^2 + \psi_2^2}{18} - \frac{(\phi_2 + \psi_2)^2}{36}.$$

Therefore, we obtain from the above equations that

$$|b_1| \leq \sqrt{\frac{|\phi_2|^2 + |\psi_2|^2}{18} + \frac{|\phi_1|^4}{16}}$$

and

$$|b_1| \leq \frac{|\phi_2| + |\psi_2|}{6}.$$

This evidently completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

By setting  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  as given in (1.5) in Theorem 2.1, we conclude the following result:

**Corollary 1.** Let  $g \in \sigma_{\mathcal{M}}$  given by (1.2) be in the function class  $\mathcal{T}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{M}}}^{\alpha}$  ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ). Then

$$|b_0| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad |b_1| \leq \frac{2}{3}\alpha^2.$$

**Remark 2.2.** Note that Corollary 2 is an improvement of the estimates obtained in Theorem 1.2.

By setting  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  as given in (1.6) in Theorem 2.1, we conclude the following result:

**Corollary 2.** Let  $g \in \sigma_{\mathcal{M}}$  given by (1.2) be in the function class  $\mathcal{T}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{M}}}(1 - \beta)$  ( $0 \leq \beta < 1$ ). Then

$$|b_0| \leq \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\beta)}{3}} & , \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{3} \\ 1 - \beta & , \quad \frac{1}{3} \leq \beta < 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad |b_1| \leq \frac{2(1-\beta)}{3}.$$

**Remark 2.3.** Note that Corollary 2 is an improvement of the estimates obtained in Theorem 1.4.

### 3. Coefficient bounds for the function class $\sigma_{\mathcal{B},\lambda}(\phi, \psi)$

In the following theorem, we obtain the initial coefficient estimates for functions belonging to the meromorphically bi-univalent function class  $\sigma_{\mathcal{B},\lambda}(\phi, \psi)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $g \in \sigma_{\mathcal{M}}$  given by (1.2) be in the function class  $\sigma_{\mathcal{B},\lambda}(\phi, \psi)$ . Then

$$|b_0| \leq \min \left\{ |\phi_1|; \sqrt{\frac{|\phi_2| + |\psi_2|}{2}} \right\} \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$|b_1| \leq \min \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{|\phi_2|^2 + |\psi_2|^2}{2(1+\lambda)^2} + \frac{|\phi_1|^4}{(1+\lambda)^2}}; \frac{|\phi_2| + |\psi_2|}{2(1+\lambda)} \right\}. \quad (3.2)$$

*Proof.* From Definition 1.12, we have

$$\frac{z[g'(z)]^\lambda}{g(z)} \in \phi(\Delta) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{w[h'(w)]^\lambda}{h(w)} \in \psi(\Delta).$$

Let us set

$$\phi(z) = \frac{z[g'(z)]^\lambda}{g(z)} \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

and

$$\psi(w) = \frac{w[h'(w)]^\lambda}{h(w)} \quad (w \in \Delta).$$

Now expressing in terms of power series, we have

$$\frac{z[g'(z)]^\lambda}{g(z)} = 1 - \frac{b_0}{z} + \frac{b_0^2 - (1+\lambda)b_1}{z^2} + \dots$$

and

$$\frac{w[h'(w)]^\lambda}{h(w)} = 1 + \frac{b_0}{w} + \frac{b_0^2 + (1+\lambda)b_1}{w^2} + \dots,$$

respectively. Upon equating the coefficients of  $\frac{z[g'(z)]^\lambda}{g(z)}$  with those of  $\phi(z)$  and coefficients of  $\frac{w[h'(w)]^\lambda}{h(w)}$  with those of  $\psi(w)$ , we get

$$-b_0 = \phi_1, \quad (3.3)$$

$$-(1+\lambda)b_1 + b_0^2 = \phi_2, \quad (3.4)$$

$$b_0 = \psi_1, \quad (3.5)$$

$$(1+\lambda)b_1 + b_0^2 = \psi_2. \quad (3.6)$$

From (3.3) and (3.5), we get

$$\phi_1 = -\psi_1, \tag{3.7}$$

$$b_0 = -\phi_1 = \psi_1 \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$2b_0^2 = \phi_1^2 + \psi_1^2. \tag{3.9}$$

Adding (3.4) and (3.6), we get

$$2b_0^2 = \phi_2 + \psi_2. \tag{3.10}$$

It follows from the equations (3.7) and (3.8)-(3.10) that

$$|b_0| = |\phi_1| = |\psi_1| \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$|b_0|^2 \leq \frac{|\phi_2| + |\psi_2|}{2} \tag{3.12}$$

respectively. So, we get the desired estimate on the coefficient  $|b_0|$  as asserted in (3.1). Next, in order to find the bound on the coefficient  $|b_1|$ , we subtract (3.4) from (3.6), we thus get

$$2(1 + \lambda)b_1 = \psi_2 - \phi_2. \tag{3.13}$$

By squaring and adding (3.4) and (3.6), using (3.9) in the computation leads to

$$b_1^2 = \frac{\phi_2^2 + \psi_2^2}{2(1 + \lambda)^2} - \frac{b_0^4}{(1 + \lambda)^2}. \tag{3.14}$$

If we set the values of  $b_0$  from the equalities (3.8)-(3.10), we find that

$$b_1^2 = \frac{\phi_2^2 + \psi_2^2}{2(1 + \lambda)^2} - \frac{\phi_1^4}{(1 + \lambda)^2}$$

and

$$b_1^2 = \frac{(\phi_2 - \psi_2)^2}{4(1 + \lambda)^2}.$$

Therefore, we obtain from the above equations that

$$|b_1| \leq \sqrt{\frac{|\phi_2|^2 + |\psi_2|^2}{2(1 + \lambda)^2} + \frac{|\phi_1|^4}{(1 + \lambda)^2}}$$

and

$$|b_1| \leq \frac{|\phi_2| + |\psi_2|}{2(1 + \lambda)}.$$

This evidently completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

By setting  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  as given in (1.5) in Theorem 3.1, we conclude the following result:

**Corollary 3.** Let  $g \in \sigma_{\mathcal{M}}$  given by (1.2) be in the function class  $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}, \lambda^*}(\alpha)$  ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1, \lambda \geq 1$ ). Then

$$|b_0| \leq \sqrt{2}\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad |b_1| \leq \frac{2}{1 + \lambda} \alpha^2.$$

**Remark 3.2.** Note that Corollary 3 is an improvement of the estimates obtained in Theorem 1.6.

By setting  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  as given in (1.6) in Theorem 3.1, we conclude the following result:

**Corollary 4.** Let  $g \in \sigma_{\mathcal{M}}$  given by (1.2) be in the function class  $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(\lambda, \beta)$  ( $0 \leq \beta < 1, \lambda \geq 1$ ). Then

$$|b_0| \leq \begin{cases} \sqrt{2(1 - \beta)} & , \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 2(1 - \beta) & , \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \beta < 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad |b_1| \leq \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{1 + \lambda}.$$

**Remark 3.3.** Note that Corollary 3 is an improvement of the estimates obtained in Theorem 1.8.

Letting  $\lambda = 1$  in Corollary 3 and Corollary 3, we obtain following two consequences, respectively.

**Corollary 5.** Let  $g \in \sigma_{\mathcal{M}}$  given by (1.2) be in the function class  $\tilde{\sigma}_{\mathcal{B}}^*(\alpha)$  ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ). Then

$$|b_0| \leq \sqrt{2}\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad |b_1| \leq \alpha^2.$$

**Corollary 6.** Let  $g \in \sigma_{\mathcal{M}}$  given by (1.2) be in the function class  $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}^*(\beta)$  ( $0 \leq \beta < 1$ ). Then

$$|b_0| \leq \begin{cases} \sqrt{2(1 - \beta)} & , \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 2(1 - \beta) & , \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \beta < 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad |b_1| \leq 1 - \beta.$$

**Remark 3.4.** Corollary 3 and Corollary 3 are improvements of the estimates obtained by Halim et al. [3, Theorem 2 and Theorem 1].

## References

- [1] F. S. Aziz and A. R. S. Juma, Estimating coefficients for subclasses of meromorphic bi-univalent functions associated with linear operator, *TWMS J. Appl. Eng. Math.* **4** (1) (2014), 39–44.
- [2] S. Bulut, N. Magesh and V. K. Balaji, Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for certain subclasses of meromorphic bi-univalent functions, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **353** (2) (2015), 113–116.
- [3] S. A. Halim, S. G. Hamidi, and V. Ravichandran, Coefficient estimates for meromorphic bi-univalent functions, arXiv:1108.4089, 1–9.
- [4] S. G. Hamidi, S. A. Halim and J. M. Jahangiri, Coefficient estimates for a class of meromorphic bi-univalent functions, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **351** (9-10) (2013), 349–352.
- [5] S. G. Hamidi, S. A. Halim and J. M. Jahangiri, Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for meromorphic bi-starlike functions, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **2013**, Art. ID 498159, 4 pp.
- [6] J. M. Jahangiri and S. G. Hamidi, Coefficients of meromorphic bi-Bazilevic functions, *J. Complex Anal.* **2014**, Art. ID 263917, 4 pp.
- [7] S. Joshi, S. Joshi and H. Pawar, On some subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with pseudo-starlike functions, *J. Egyptian Math. Soc.* **24** (4) (2016), 522–525.
- [8] T. Panigrahi, Coefficient bounds for certain subclasses of meromorphic and bi-univalent functions, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **50** (5) (2013), 1531–1538.
- [9] F. M. Sakar, Estimating coefficients for certain subclasses of meromorphic and bi-univalent functions, *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2018**, 2018:283.
- [10] S. Salehian and A. Zireh, Coefficient estimates for certain subclass of meromorphic and bi-univalent functions, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* **32** (2) (2017), 389–397.
- [11] Y. J. Sim and O. S. Kwon, Certain subclasses of meromorphically bi-univalent functions, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **40** (2) (2017), 841–855.
- [12] H. M. Srivastava, S. B. Joshi, S. S. Joshi and H. Pawar, Coefficient estimates for certain subclasses of meromorphically bi-univalent functions, *Palest. J. Math.* **5** (Special Issue) (2016), 250–258.
- [13] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **23** (10) (2010), 1188–1192.
- [14] P. P. Vyas and S. Kant, Initial coefficients bounds for an unified class of meromorphic bi-univalent functions, *Int. J. Math. Appl.*, **6**(1-B) (2018), 209–114.
- [15] H.-G. Xiao and Q.-H. Xu, Coefficient estimates for three generalized classes of meromorphic and bi-univalent functions, *Filomat* **29** (7) (2015), 1601–1612.