



## Seed Dormancy and Germination in F<sub>5</sub> Strains from *Vicia sativa* subsp. *sativa* x *Vicia sativa* subsp. *macrocarpa*

Esvet AÇIKGÖZ\*<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Field Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Uludag University, 16059 Bursa, Turkey.

### A R T I C L E I N F O

Received 27 May 2020  
Accepted 20 August 2020

#### Keywords:

Chilling  
Common vetch  
Germination  
Seed dormancy  
Scarification

### A B S T R A C T

The objectives of this study were to determine the seed dormancy and germination characteristics of F<sub>5</sub> strains from common vetch x big seeded vetch hybrids. White flowered common vetch accessions and red-flowered accessions were crossed and a total 24 high forage and seed yielding strains were developed from F<sub>5</sub> generation of different hybrid combinations. Standard germination tests were performed at a temperature of 20°C, without light, for 14 days. The seeds were subjected to (a) no treatment (control); (b) chilling; (c) mechanical scarification; and (d) mechanical scarification + chilling. The first counts were taken on day-5 and the final counts were made on day-14.

Hard seed percentages were very low in the tested common vetch cultivars and strains. Chilling slightly increased the germination rate in some accessions. Big seeded vetch seeds showed very high hard seed contents with the germination percentage of Ericek strain were only 5-15% and almost nil in ICARDA-5283. Big seeded vetch seeds required scarification + prechilling treatments to overcome seed dormancy. The strains differed in the persistence of hard seed in all hybrid combinations. Untreated control seeds of some strains had very high germination rates. Contrarily, some hybrid seeds required prechilling and/or scarification treatments to induce germination.

### 1. Introduction

*Vicia sativa* L. is a genetically and phenotypically variable genus comprised of several subspecies, and known as a *Vicia sativa* complex. Common vetch (*Vicia sativa* subsp. *sativa* L.) is widespread around many parts of the world.

It is commonly grown winter cover crops, or green manure, and is also used as past pasture, silage, and hay (Seymour et al., 2002; Uzun et al., 2011). Big seeded vetch (subsp. *macrocarpa*) is late maturing vigorous subspecies with limited agronomic uses as a fodder. It has a small number of pods per plant, but its seeds are very large (Berger et al., 2002; Van de Wouw et al., 2003). Its hard seed coat and physiological dormancy did not allow high germination during the one-year

\*Correspondence author: [esvet@uludag.edu.tr](mailto:esvet@uludag.edu.tr)

<sup>1</sup>ORCID: 0000-0001-8537-7488

period after harvest (Uzun et al., 2013). Shattering is also a major problem in seed production of big seeded vetch. Most species of *Vicia* have an impermeable seed coat that imposes a physical exogenous dormancy (Elkins et al., 1966; Mosjidis and Zhang, 1995; Ortega-Olivencia and Devesa, 1997). In our previous studies, the *Vicia sativa* subsp. *macrocarpa* seeds had very low final germination rates (2-4%) throughout the 12 months periods in two experimental years. Mechanical scarification did not enhance the germination and chilling slightly increased. The germination rates maximized 74% in the seeds subjected to both scarification and chilling treatments (Uzun et al., 2013). Mechanical scarification improved the germination rate in several *Medicago* and *Trifolium* species (Aydin and Uzun, 2001; Can et al., 2009; Khaef et al., 2011).

Hard seeds have a survival advantage than soft seeds, but it causes problems in the short term rotations. Hard seed content results in poor stand establishment because of reduced germination and non-uniformed seedling emergence. Therefore, hard seeds require dormancy-breaking treatments before using in short term crop rotations for successful stand establishment. The treatments to break the seed dormancy are tedious and time-consuming applications. This problem is overcome by developing the cultivars which have a very high percentage of soft seeds. Contrarily, hard seeded annual *Medicago* and *Trifolium* species have superior self-reseeding characteristics in the dryland rangelands. The plants can regenerate in later years from residual hard seeds. Hard seeded vetches with pod-shattering traits may re-establish themselves by natural reseeding. Limited information is available in the published literature about the seed dormancy characteristics of common vetch x big seeded vetch hybrids. This present study was conducted to assess the dormancy characteristics of selected high yielding strains of *Vicia sativa* subsp. *sativa* x *Vicia sativa* subsp. *macrocarpa* hybrids, and to determine the effects of some pretreatments on the release of seed dormancy.

## 2. Materials and Method

Two white flowered common vetch (*Vicia sativa* subsp. *sativa* L.) accessions (W-1 and Soner) and two red-flowered big seeded vetch (*Vicia sativa* subsp. *macrocarpa* (Moris) Archang.) accessions (Ericcek and ICARDA-5283) were crossed in 2009-2010 growing season under greenhouse conditions. White-flowered *sativa* accessions were used as a female and purple-flowered *macrocarpa* accessions were used as a male in the hybridization studies. Purple flower color is completely dominant to white (Donnelly and Clark, 1962; Chowdhury et al., 2004). Therefore, hybrid plants were easily detected by purple flowers during the flowering stage. F1 and F2 plants were grown in the greenhouse conditions. Selections were started in F3 generation in field conditions and continued in F4 and

F5 generations for high seed and forage yields. The research material of this study consisted of the 13 strains from W-1 x Ericcek hybrid, 9 strains from W-1 x Soner hybrid and 2 strains from W-1 x ICARDA 5283 hybrid selected for high seed and forage yields. The fall-seeded strains were grown under rain-fed conditions of Uludag University research plots in Bursa, Turkey. No fertilizers or chemicals were applied. The seeds of all strains were harvested in June 2016, threshed and cleaned by hand. The seeds were stored in paper bags at room temperature (20-21°C) during the experimental period.

Germination studies of each hybrid combination were done separately in the October – December period of 2016. Standard germination tests (ISTA, 2007) were performed using a completely randomized block design. Two replications of 50 seeds for each treatment were placed on blotter paper in 9-cm-diameter Petri dishes in a germination chamber at a temperature of 20°C, without light, for 14 days. First counts were taken on day-5 and final counts were made on day-14. The seeds were subjected to (a) no treatment (control); (b) chilling; (c) mechanical scarification; and (d) mechanical scarification + chilling, as applied in our previous study (Uzun et al., 2013).

For each test, analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed separately with the statistical package JMP 5.0.1 (SAS, 1989-2002). Statistically significant differences among the mean values were determined with the least significant difference (LSD) test at the 0.05 level.

## 3. Results and Discussion

According to analysis of variance, genotypes, treatments and genotype x treatments were statistically significant at 0.01 level in all tests. The results of analysis of variance and LSD values of the treatments were summarized in Table 1.

In close agreement with previous studies (Sattell et al., 1998; Samarah et al., 2004; Uzun et al., 2013), hard seed percentages were very low in tested common vetch cultivars and strains. Particularly W-1 strain showed very high germination (95 – 100%) in the tests. Germination rate was 79% in untreated normal seeds and reach 99% after chilling period in cv. Soner seeds. Big seeded vetch was very hard in all tests. The germination percentage of Ericcek strain at 14 days after planting was only 5-15% in the two tests. No germination was obtained in ICARDA-5283 normal seeds. This finding was consistent with our previous study (Uzun et al., 2013). The scarification treatment did not improve seed germination in both stains of big seeded vetch. The chilled seeds of big seeded vetch germinated to a higher percentage, 47-57% in Ericcek strain and 47% in ICARDA-5283, at 14 days. If scarified seeds are subsequently subjected to the chilling period, the final germination rates were reached

82-92% in Ericek and 75% in ICARDA-5283. This result clearly showed that dormancy cannot be broken by scarification or chilling treatment only, but big seeded vetch seeds required scarification + prechilling treatments to overcome seed dormancy largely (Table 2, 3, 4).

In W-1 x Ericek hybrids, untreated control seeds of several strains (6a1, 6b, 6c, and 8a1) had more than 75%

germination at after 5 days and 89% at after 14 days. The other strains had very high percentage of hard seeds, some of the hybrid strains (1, 10, 11, 2a1, 2b, 5b1 and 5b2) showed less than 50% germination at after 14 days. After scarification, 84-97% of the seed germinated, and after scarification + chilling nearly all seeds germinated in those strains (Table 2).

**Table 1.** Results of variance analysis of germination speed and rates with lsd values in the tests

| Source                           | df | Germination speed |            | Germination rate |            |
|----------------------------------|----|-------------------|------------|------------------|------------|
|                                  |    | F values          | Lsd (0.05) | F values         | Lsd (0.05) |
| <b>W-1 x Ericek hybrids</b>      |    |                   |            |                  |            |
| Genotypes (G)                    | 14 | **                | 8.52       | **               | 6.94       |
| Blocks (B)                       | 3  | **                | 4.40       | **               | 3.58       |
| G x B Interaction                | 42 | **                | 17.04      | **               | 13.88      |
| <b>Soner x Ericek Hybrids</b>    |    |                   |            |                  |            |
| Genotypes (G)                    | 10 | **                | 10.53      | **               | 9.34       |
| Blocks (B)                       | 3  | **                | 6.35       | **               | 5.63       |
| G x B Interaction                | 30 | **                | 21.06      | **               | 18.68      |
| <b>W-1 x ICARDA-5283 Hybrids</b> |    |                   |            |                  |            |
| Genotypes (G)                    | 3  | **                | 10.3       | **               | 9.2        |
| Blocks (B)                       | 3  | **                | 10.2       | **               | 9.3        |
| G x B Interaction                | 9  | **                | 20.4       | **               | 18.3       |

df: degree of freedom, \*\*: F-test significant at 0.01 level,

**Table 2.** Germination speed (after five days) and germination rates (after 14 days) of common vetch W-1 and big vetch Ericek hybrids (%).

| Genotypes | Germination speed (%) |        |        |        |          | Germination rate (%) |        |        |        |          |
|-----------|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|
|           | N*                    | S      | C      | S + C  | Average  | N                    | S      | C      | S + C  | Average  |
| 1         | 6                     | 59     | 11     | 86     | 40.5 d** | 40                   | 84     | 32     | 91     | 61.8 ef  |
| 10        | 13                    | 44     | 17     | 90     | 41.0 d   | 27                   | 78     | 32     | 95     | 58.0 efg |
| 11        | 36                    | 89     | 16     | 96     | 59.3 c   | 44                   | 97     | 18     | 98     | 64.3 e   |
| 2a1       | 4                     | 48     | 9      | 84     | 36.3 de  | 13                   | 80     | 13     | 94     | 50.0 h   |
| 2b        | 5                     | 41     | 2      | 65     | 28.3 e   | 27                   | 87     | 17     | 80     | 52.8 gh  |
| 5a        | 55                    | 72     | 70     | 77     | 68.3 b   | 74                   | 77     | 77     | 86     | 78.5 d   |
| 5b1       | 12                    | 77     | 84     | 94     | 66.8 bc  | 24                   | 91     | 86     | 94     | 73.8 d   |
| 5b2       | 7                     | 26     | 6      | 92     | 32.8 de  | 35                   | 84     | 15     | 95     | 57.3 fg  |
| 6a1       | 86                    | 99     | 92     | 93     | 92.5 a   | 96                   | 99     | 95     | 100    | 97.5 a   |
| 6b        | 75                    | 85     | 86     | 99     | 86.3 b   | 97                   | 99     | 97     | 100    | 98.3 a   |
| 6c        | 84                    | 80     | 83     | 92     | 84.8 a   | 90                   | 90     | 88     | 94     | 90.5 bc  |
| 8a1       | 90                    | 89     | 73     | 97     | 87.3 a   | 90                   | 95     | 86     | 98     | 92.3 abc |
| 8b1       | 78                    | 72     | 72     | 74     | 74.0 b   | 89                   | 92     | 76     | 87     | 86.0 c   |
| W-1       | 82                    | 86     | 91     | 91     | 87.5 a   | 95                   | 93     | 97     | 94     | 94.8 ab  |
| Ericek    | 2                     | 8      | 23     | 84     | 29.3 e   | 15                   | 21     | 57     | 92     | 46.3h    |
| Average   | 42.3 D                | 65.9 B | 48.0 C | 87.6 A |          | 57.1 C               | 86.7 B | 56.7 C | 93.2 A |          |

\*: N: untreated control, S: scarification, C: chilling, S+C: scarification + chilling

\*\* : The percentages within germination speed and rates that are followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 level using the LSD test.

**Table 3.** Germination speed (after five days) and germination rates (after 14 days) of common vetch Soner and big vetch Ericek hybrids (%).

| Genotypes | Germination speed (%) |        |        |        |           | Germination rate (%) |        |        |        |          |
|-----------|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|
|           | N*                    | S      | C      | S + C  | Average   | N                    | S      | C      | S + C  | Average  |
| 1         | 16                    | 30     | 48     | 72     | 41.5 fg** | 33                   | 40     | 70     | 79     | 55.5 ef  |
| 2b        | 24                    | 32     | 66     | 86     | 52.0 def  | 33                   | 51     | 83     | 92     | 61.8 cde |
| 2c        | 13                    | 28     | 44     | 69     | 38.5 g    | 23                   | 44     | 50     | 70     | 48.8 f   |
| 2d1       | 28                    | 35     | 53     | 94     | 52.5 de   | 51                   | 50     | 79     | 98     | 69.5 bc  |
| 3a        | 39                    | 50     | 93     | 95     | 69.3 ab   | 47                   | 55     | 97     | 96     | 73.8 bc  |
| 3b        | 39                    | 32     | 81     | 90     | 60.5 bcd  | 47                   | 40     | 88     | 91     | 66.5 cd  |
| 3c        | 58                    | 47     | 79     | 81     | 66.3 abc  | 74                   | 59     | 89     | 88     | 77.5 b   |
| 3d        | 23                    | 17     | 93     | 63     | 49 efg    | 44                   | 27     | 66     | 98     | 58.8 de  |
| 4b        | 25                    | 39     | 86     | 74     | 56 cde    | 44                   | 46     | 96     | 80     | 66.5 cd  |
| Soner     | 45                    | 69     | 94     | 98     | 76.5 a    | 79                   | 89     | 99     | 99     | 91.5 a   |
| Ericek    | 1                     | 1      | 22     | 69     | 23.5 h    | 5                    | 2      | 47     | 82     | 34.0 g   |
| Average   | 28.3 C                | 34.6 C | 69.0 B | 81.0 A |           | 43.6 B               | 45.7 B | 81.5 A | 85.5 A |          |

\*: N: untreated control, S: scarification, C: chilling, S+C: scarification + chilling

\*\* : The percentages within germination speed and rates that are followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 level using the LSD test.

Untreated normal seeds of most strains in Soner x Ericek hybrids showed very low germination speed and germination rates (Table 3). No soft seeded strain was detected in this hybrid combination. Chilling treatments significantly increased germination. Some strains (3a, 3b and 4b) had more than 80% germination after chilling treatments at after 5 days and more than 90% after 14 days. Scarification + chilling treatment increased the germination rate slightly in some strains but there was no significant difference between the average values of two treatments.

Scarification did not affect the germination rate of big seeded vetch strain ICARDA-5283 with completely no germination (Table 4). Chilling treatment and scarification + chilling treatment resulted in final germination rate of 47% and 75%, respectively. Germination speed and rates of the hybrids were

intermediate between the two parents. Chilling treatment alone and scarification + chilling treatment showed the same final germination rate in the hybrids (91 and 95%).

The results of these experiments showed that seeds the hybrid strains of all combinations exhibited different levels of dormancy. Some strains produced more than 79% soft seeds. The normal seeds of some strains had very low germination rates. Germination pretreatments to break hard-seed dormancy in *Vicia sativa* subsp. *macrocarpa* parents and hybrid strains significantly improved germination. In general, the germination speed and rate of the scarified seeds increased compared to the untreated normal seeds but were lower than the germination of the scarified + chilled seeds. This indicated that dormancy was not imposed only by the impermeable seed coat.

**Table 4.** Germination speed (after five days) and germination rates (after 14 days) of common vetch W-1 and big vetch ICARDA 5283 hybrids (%).

| Genotypes | Germination speed (%) |        |        |        |          | Germination rate (%) |        |        |        |         |
|-----------|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
|           | N*                    | S      | C      | S + C  | Average  | N                    | S      | C      | S + C  | Average |
| 1         | 7                     | 23     | 73     | 72     | 43.8 b** | 28                   | 28     | 79     | 91     | 56.5 b  |
| 2         | 21                    | 26     | 58     | 87     | 48.0 b   | 28                   | 33     | 84     | 95     | 60.0 b  |
| W-1       | 94                    | 84     | 81     | 89     | 87.0 a   | 100                  | 90     | 96     | 88     | 93.5 a  |
| 5283      | 0                     | 0      | 9      | 63     | 18.0 c   | 0                    | 0      | 47     | 75     | 30.5 c  |
| Average   | 30.5 C                | 33.3 C | 55.3 B | 77.8 A |          | 39.0 B               | 37.8 B | 79.5 A | 84.3 A |         |

\*: N: untreated control, S: scarification, C: chilling, S+C: scarification + chilling

\*\* : The percentages within germination speed and rates that are followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 level using the LSD test.

As indicated in our previous study (Uzun et al., 2013), the seeds of *Vicia sativa* subsp. *macrocarpa* parents and some hybrid strains possessed physiological dormancy rather than just physical dormancy, scarification alone did not allow high germination. The combined scarification and chilling treatment was a suitable method to release the seeds from dormancy.

The experiments clearly showed that both soft seeded and hard seeded strains can be developed from *Vicia sativa* subsp. *sativa* x *Vicia sativa* subsp. *macrocarpa* hybrids. The hybrids combined with the high hay and seed yields with the soft seed are suitable for use in the crop rotations, without the risk of a weed problem in the following crops. Contrarily, several strains in this study had very high percentage of hard seeds and some of them showed severe pod-shattering during seed harvest. Those characteristics permit natural reseeding and persist continuously in the pastures grazed properly. It is well known that self-regenerating and hard seeded annual legume species are widely grown in dryland pastures in some parts of Australia and New Zealand. Subterranean clover (*Trifolium subterraneum* L.) and annual medics (*Medicago* spp.) are the most successful species in those regions. However, new species could be considered in the future to overcome the constraints of existing species (Nichols, et al., 2012). Most cultivated *Vicia* species used as forage crops are not suitable for self-regenerating pastures. However, Christiansen et al. (1996) indicated that the hard seeded subterranean vetch (*Vicia sativa* ssp. *amphicarpa*) compares favorably with the annual *Medicago* spp. in most respects, and it has great potential for pasture improvement in dry areas. High hard seed content and pod shattering characteristics of *Vicia sativa* subsp. *sativa* x *Vicia sativa* subsp. *macrocarpa* hybrids make them suitable for self-regenerating pasture systems in those regions. Certainly, further breeding and selection activities will be included greater hardseededness, pod shattering and high forage yielding for pastures of low-rainfall regions, and tested under grazing conditions.

### Acknowledgements

This research was supported by The Scientific and Technical Research Council of Turkey (Tubitak-214O224).

### References

- Aydin, I. and F. Uzun. 2001. The effects of some applications on germination rate of Gelemen clover seeds gathered from natural vegetation in Samsun. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*. 4, 181-183.
- Berger J.D., L.D. Robertson and P.S. Cocks. 2002. Agricultural potential of Mediterranean grain and forage legumes: Key differences between and within *Vicia* species in terms of phenology, yield, and agronomy give insight into plant adaptation to semi-arid environments. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution*. 49, 313–325.
- Can, E., N. Celiktas, R. Hatipoglu and S. Avci. 2009. Breaking seed dormancy of some annual *Medicago* and *Trifolium* species by different treatments. *Turkish Journal of Field Crops*. 14, 72-78.
- Chowdhury, D.M.S., J.M. Rathjen, M.E. Tate and G. McDonald. 2004. Genetics of colour traits in common vetch (*Vicia sativa* L.). *Euphytica*. 136, 249–255.
- Christiansen, S., A.M. Abd El Moneim, P.S. Cocks and M. Singh. 1996. Seed yield and hardseededness of two amphicarpic pasture legumes (*Vicia sativa* ssp. *amphicarpa* and *Lathyrus ciliolatus*) and two annual medics (*Medicago rigidula* and *M. noeana*). *The Journal of Agricultural Science*. 126, 421-427.
- Donnelly, E. D. and E.M. Clark. 1962. Hybridization in the genus *Vicia*. *Crop Science*. 2, 141–145.
- Elkins, D. M., C.S. Hoveland and E.D. Donnelly. 1966. Germination of *Vicia* species and interspecific lines as affected by temperature cycles. *Crop Science*. 6, 45-48.
- ISTA. 2007. International rules for seed testing. International Seed Testing Association. Bassersdorf, Switzerland.
- Khaef, N., H. Sadeghi and M. Taghvaei. 2011. Effects of new strategies for breaking dormancy of two annual medics (*Medicago scutellata* and *Medicago polymorpha*). *American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences*, 11, 626-632.
- Mosjidis, J.A. and X. Zhang. 1995. Seed germination and root growth of several *Vicia* species at different temperature. *Seed Science and Technology*. 23, 749–759.
- Nichols, P. G. H., C.K. Revell, A.W. Humphries, J.H. Howie, E.J. Hall, G.A. Sandral, K. Ghamkhar and C.A. Harris. 2012. Temperate pasture legumes in Australia—their history, current use, and future prospects. *Crop and Pasture Science*. 63, 691–725.
- Ortega-Olivencia, A. and J.A. Devesa. 1997. Seed set and germination in some wild species of *Vicia* from SW Europe (Spain). *Nordic Journal of Botany*. 17, 639-648.
- Sattell, R., R. Dick, J. Luna, D. McGrath and E. Peachey. 1998. Common vetch (*Vicia sativa* L.). Oregon State Univ. Publ. EM 8695.
- Samarah, N.H., N. Allataifeh, M.A. Turk and A.M. Tawaha. 2004. Seed germination and dormancy of fresh and air-dried seeds of common vetch (*Vicia sativa* L.) harvested at different stages of maturity. *Seed Science and Technology*. 32, 11-19.

- Seymour, M., K.H.M. Siddique, N. Brandon, L. Martin and E. Jackson. 2002. Response of vetch (*Vicia* spp.) to plant density in southwestern Australia. *Australian Journal Experimental Agriculture*. 42, 1043-1051.
- Uzun, A., S. Gucer and E. Acikgoz. 2011. Common vetch (*Vicia sativa* L.) germplasm. Correlations of crude protein and mineral content to seed traits. *Plant Foods Human Nutrition*. 66, 254–260.
- Uzun, A., E. Sozen and E. Acikgoz. 2013. Seed dormancy and germination of *Vicia sativa* subsp. *nigra* and *Vicia sativa* subsp. *macrocarpa*. *Seed Science and Technology*. 41, 137-142.
- Van de Wouw, M., N. Maxted and B.V. Ford-Lloyd. 2003. A multivariate and cladistic study of *Vicia* L. Ser. *Vicia* (Fabaceae) based on analysis of morphological characters. *Plant Systematics and Evolution*. 237, 19-39.