



Short-Term Effects of Metformin and Diabetic Diet on Cognitive Functions in Newly Diagnosed Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients

Yeni Tanı Tip 2 Diabetes Mellitus Hastalarında Metformin ve Diabetik Diyetin Bilişsel Fonksiyonlara Kısa Dönem Etkisi

Ceyda TANOĞLU¹ , Yıldız KAYA² , Ülkü Sibel BENLİ³ , Neslihan BAŞÇIL TÜTÜNCÜ⁴ 

¹ Department of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, Erzincan Binali Yıldırım University, Erzincan, Turkey

² Department of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, Acıbadem University, Istanbul, Turkey

³ Department of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, Baskent University, Ankara, Turkey

⁴ Department of Endocrinology, Faculty of Medicine, Baskent University, Ankara, Turkey

ORCID ID: Ceyda Tanoğlu 0000-0002-4303-6797, Yıldız Kaya 0000-0002-7090-8714, Ülkü Sibel Benli 0000-0002-9975-3170, Neslihan Başçıl Tütüncü 0000-0002-1816-3903

Cite this article as: Tanoğlu C, Kaya Y, Benli ÜS, Başçıl Tütüncü N. Short-Term Effects of Metformin and Diabetic Diet on Cognitive Functions in Newly Diagnosed Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients. 2020;4(3):150-157.

This study was presented as a poster presentation in the 2014 EFNS (European Federation of Neurological Societies) Congress (May 31 - June 3, 2014), Istanbul, Turkey.

Corresponding Address

Ceyda Tanoğlu

E-mail

ceydatanoglu@gmail.com

Received

25.08.2020

Revision

26.10.2020

Accepted

06.11.2020

ABSTRACT

Aim: We aimed to investigate the effects of metformin or dietary treatment on cognitive functions in newly diagnosed Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus patients.

Material and Methods: Our study was designed prospective. The study included 64 healthy controls and 72 newly diagnosed Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus patients. Metformin treatment for thirty-seven patients and dietary treatment for thirty-five patients were administered by a single endocrinologist. Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test, Rey Complex Figure Test, Digit Span Test and Trail Making Test for all patients were performed at the time of diagnosis and at the sixth-month of the treatment by a blinded neurologist.

Results: At the time of diagnosis, we observed that verbal memory scores in the diabetes group were significantly lower than healthy controls ($p=0.03$ in dietary, $p=0.044$ in metformin group). Sixth-month evaluation revealed that dietary treatment improved only immediate verbal memory scores ($p=0.041$). Metformin treatment, along with verbal memory scores, also significantly improved visual spatial memory ($p=0.014$) and processing memory and executive functions ($p=0.036$ and $p=0.001$).

Conclusion: We determined a statistically significant improvement in verbal memory, visual spatial memory and processing speed & executive function scores with metformin treatment, while dietary treatment improved only verbal memory scores.

Key Words: Diabetes mellitus, Metformin, Memory, Trail making test, Neuropsychological tests

ÖZ

Amaç: Çalışmamızda yeni tanı Tip 2 Diabetes Mellitus hastalarında, metformin veya diyet tedavisinin bilişsel işlevler üzerindeki kısa dönem etkilerini araştırmayı amaçladık.

Gereç ve Yöntemler: Çalışmamız prospektif olarak tasarlandı. Çalışmaya 64 sağlıklı kontrol ve 72 yeni tanı Tip 2 Diabetes Mellitus hastası dahil edildi. Otuz yedi hasta için metformin tedavisi ve otuz beş hasta için diyet tedavisi tek bir endokrinoloji uzmanı tarafından başlandı. Tüm hastalar için Rey İşitsel Sözel Öğrenme Testi, Rey Kompleks Figur Testi, Sayı Dizisi Testi ve İz Sürme Testi, tanı anında ve tedavinin altıncı ayında tedaviye kör bir nöroloji uzmanı tarafından yapıldı.

Bulgular: Sözel bellek skorlarının tanı anında diabet grubunda, sağlıklı kontrollerden anlamlı derecede düşük olduğunu gözlemledik (diyet $p=0.03$, metformin $p=0.044$). Altıncı ay değerlendirilmesinde, diyet tedavisinin sadece anlık sözel bellek skorlarını anlamlı iyileştirdiği görüldü ($p=0.041$). Metformin tedavisi, sözel bellek skorları ile beraber ayrıca görsel uzamsal belleği ($p=0.014$), işlem belleği ve yürütücü fonksiyonları ($p=0.036$ ve $p=0.001$) anlamlı düzeyde iyileştirmiştir.

Sonuç: Yeni tanı Tip 2 Diabetes Mellitus hastalarında metformin tedavisi ile sözel bellek, görsel uzamsal bellek ile işlem hızı ve yürütücü işlev skorlarında istatistiksel olarak anlamlı düzeyde iyileşme tespit edilirken, diyet tedavisi ile sadece sözel bellek skorlarında iyileşme gözlenmiştir.

Anahtar Sözcükler: Diabetes mellitus, Metformin, Hafıza, İz sürme testi, Nöropsikolojik test

INTRODUCTION

There has been a significant increase in the incidence and prevalence of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM), particularly in individuals over 65 years of age, due to the prolonged lifespan, decreased physical activity and increased obesity (1). In the literature, T2DM is mostly associated with peripheral complications such as nephropathy, neuropathy, and retinopathy, but its effect on cognitive functions has not been adequately addressed (1). It has been reported that T2DM causes slow progressive changes in the brain (2). The negative effects of T2DM on cognitive functions have been reported in many clinical and experimental studies (3-5) and it has been further shown that it is associated with Alzheimer's Disease (AD) (6, 7). Type 3 DM was first used for AD patients with decreased tau protein, which is regulated by insulin and insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) (8). Relationship between T2DM and cognition may be associated with macro and microvascular changes, T2DM-associated changes, such as impaired glucose metabolism, chronic inflammation, hyperinsulinemia, insulin resistance, or oxidative stress, cardiovascular risk factors such as coexisting hypertension (HT), coronary artery disease (CAD), and risk factors such as dietary habits and reduced physical activity (9). Furthermore, oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, advanced glycosylation end products (AGEs), apolipoprotein E (ApoE) processing, distortion in cholesterol metabolism and central nervous system (CNS) insulin signaling are present in the pathogenesis of both T2DM and AD (10). Insulin resistance and decreased insulin levels are thought to contribute to the pathogenesis of AD by decreasing the level of acetylcholine (11).

The risk of dementia in patients with T2DM is 1.5-2 times higher than the healthy population (11-13). Cognitive decline is a stage between normal aging and dementia (14). Both T2DM and dementia have a high socioeconomic burden. Therefore, great importance to identify any cause or risk factor that may lead to the development of dementia based on the common pathogenesis of these two diseases and to determine an appropriate treatment. A study within the United States citizens has predicted that deferment of the onset of dementia by two years will decrease the number of patients with dementia to 890,000 after 50 years (15). Therefore, the appropriate treatment of T2DM seems to be an effective option for delaying the onset of dementia.

Metformin is the most preferred drug in the treatment of T2DM (9). Metformin reduces the blood glucose levels by decreasing hepatic glucose output and increasing insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in skeletal muscle and adipocytes (16). Apart from reducing the blood glucose levels, it is currently thought that metformin has a potential therapeutic effect on solid organ cancers such as colorectal, breast and pancreas and on diseases such as cardiovascular disease, AD and obesity (17). Metformin has a direct effect on CNS by crossing the blood-brain barrier (18) and inhibits neuronal apoptosis (19-21).

Lifestyle changes and diabetic diets are the first step treatments for each patient with T2DM. The high glycaemic dietary has been shown to cause increased cerebral amyloid deposition (22). Diabetic diet has been reported to regulate DM-induced cognitive deficits and increase learning and memory capacity (23).

In the current literature, there is no consensus on the effects of low glycemic dietary on cognitive functions in adults with poor blood glucose regulation (24, 25).

There are many studies evaluating the relationship between T2DM and cognitive functions (3-7). To the best of our knowledge, there are only a few prospective studies about the effects of different treatment protocols on cognitive functions for T2DM patients (18, 26, 27) .

We hypothesized that we would achieve better cognitive scores within a six-months period with metformin or dietary treatments for the newly diagnosed T2DM patients compared to baseline scores.

MATERIALS and METHODS

The study was conducted after obtaining the approval of the Baskent University institutional review board (Approval Code: KA10/81) and informed consents from all participating patients. The patients were excluded from the study owing to thyroid dysfunction, malignancy, renal or hepatic insufficiency, having neurological or psychiatric diseases that affected the cognitive functions and lost to follow-up visits. Patients, who have comorbid diseases other than diabetes and using chronic medications due to these diseases, were also excluded. Besides, the patients, who have been treated with other antidiabetic drugs except metformin, were excluded from the study. A total of 72 adult patients with newly diagnosed T2DM, who met the study criteria were reviewed prospectively. There were 37 patients in metformin group and 35 patients in dietary treatment group.

Patients, whose 1) a 75-g oral glucose tolerance test with a 2-h value of 200 mg/dL or more, 2) a random plasma glucose of 200 mg/dL or more with typical symptoms of diabetes, or 3) a fasting plasma glucose of 126 mg/dL or more on more than one occasion were diagnosed as T2DM (28). Thyroid dysfunction, depression, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, liver or kidney failure were excluded from the study in the diabetes and control group, since these diseases would affect the cognition. Patients were evaluated by a single endocrinologist and metformin or diabetic diet therapy was initiated considering the patients' socio-economic status, metabolic status and patient compliance. Individuals without systemic disease and chronic drug use were included in the control group.

The metformin treatment was begun with 1000 mg./day in metformin group and according to blood glucose levels of the patients in the follow-up period, the metformin dosage was increased to a maximum of 3000 mg/day

when necessary. In the dietary group, the calorie amount of the diabetic diet was calculated as 20 calories / day for each kilogram of ideal weight as has been described in the study of Bayraktar (29).

The duration of education of all participants (5-8 years, 9-11 years, 12-16 and more than 16 years) were evaluated. The Neurocognitive tests were applied to all patients at the time of diagnosis and at the sixth- month of treatment period by an expert neurologist, who was blinded to diabetic treatment protocols. Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT) was used to evaluate short- and long-term verbal memory (30), Rey Complex Figure Test (RCFT) was used to evaluate visual-spatial memory (31), forward and backward Digit Span Test (DST) were used to evaluate working memory and attention (32), Trail Making Test (TMT) Part A and B were used for processing speed and executive function (30). For both tests (TMT-A and TMT-B) completion time was recorded. In the control group, there were a total of 64 healthy adults. The same cognitive tests were applied to all healthy controls for once. Higher scores obtained from RAVLT, RCFT and DST tests indicate a better cognitive status. In TMT-A and TMT-B tests, the test completion time was evaluated and the shorter duration indicates better cognitive status. Pairwise comparisons of all groups in terms of cognitive test were performed before treatment.

Statistical analysis was performed using MedCalc®v11.0.1 (Ostend, Belgium) package software. Sample size was calculated accepting type 1 error level as 0.05 and power of the study as 0.80. By accepting the effect size as 0.8, the total sample size was calculated to be at least 52 models. Shapiro-Wilk test was used to determine whether continuous parameters such as neurocognitive tests were normally distributed. Normally distributed descriptive data were expressed as mean and standard deviation. Non-normally distributed data were expressed as median and percentages. Categorical data such as gender was expressed as numbers and percentages. Chi-square analysis was used to analyze the relationships between categorical variables and disease groups. In the comparison of patient groups in terms of the mean parameter values, Student's t-test was used for the normally distributed parameters, whereas the Mann Whitney U test was used for non-normally distributed data. In the comparison of the differences between the mean neurocognitive test scores before the treatment and mean neurocognitive test scores at the sixth-month, paired samples t-Test was used for normally distributed data and Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used

for the non-normally distributed data. In the comparison of patient groups and control group in terms of the mean parameter values, ANOVA test was used for the normally distributed parameters, whereas the Kruskal Wallis test was used for non-normally distributed data. Tukey's HSD (honestly significant difference) and the LSD (least significant difference) tests were used for the pairwise comparison of groups. Furthermore, correlation coefficients were also calculated to test the relationships between neurocognitive tests.

RESULTS

The mean age was 49.59 ± 6.1 in control, 51.6 ± 6.5 in dietary group and 50.78 ± 6 in metformin groups. The ratio of female patients was 83.8% (n=31), 77.1% (n=27), and 73.4% (n=47) in metformin, dietary, and

control group, respectively. There was no statistically significant difference between study groups in terms of mean age ($p= 0.28$), gender distributions ($p= 0.24$) and the duration of education ($p= 0.056$).

Pairwise comparisons, mean and standard deviations of the groups at the time of the diagnosis in terms of verbal and visual spatial memory tests were given in Table 1.

No statistically significant differences were observed between the groups at the time of diagnosis in terms of forward and backward digit span ($p= 0.599$ and $p= 0.940$), and TMT parts A & B ($p= 0.333$ and $p= 0.651$).

Time-dependent changes of verbal and visual spatial memory scores, simple attention & working memory and processing speed & executive function of dietary group were given in Table 2 and 3, respectively.

Table 1. Pairwise comparisons, mean and standard deviations of the groups at the time of diagnosis in terms of verbal and visual spatial memory tests.

Tests	Control	Dietary	Metformin	P	
	Mean-/+SD	Mean-/+SD	Mean-/+SD		
Verbal Memory	RAVLT IMMEDIATE RECALL	6.67 ± 2.09	$5.83 \pm 1.38_a$	$5.89 \pm 1.81_{a,b}$	0.042*
	RAVLT DELAYED RECALL	10.58 ± 2.59	9.77 ± 2.44	9.46 ± 2.83	0.092
Visual Spatial Memory	RCFT IMMEDIATE RECALL	22.3 ± 7.17	22.26 ± 6.65	19.93 ± 6.63	0.212
	RCFT DELAYED RECALL	21.5 ± 7.35	20.81 ± 6.45	19.2 ± 5.65	0.267

a. difference compared to the control group, b. difference compared to dietary group, *statistically significant

Table 2. Comparison of time dependent changes of verbal and visual spatial memory scores in dietary group.

Dietary	Without Treatment	After Treatment	P	
	Mean-/+SD	Mean-/+SD		
Verbal Memory	RAVLT IMMEDIATE MEMORY	5.83 ± 1.38	6.69 ± 2.49	0.04*
	RAVLT DELAYED RECALL	12 ± 2.33	12.06 ± 2.23	1.00
Visual Spatial Memory	RCFT IMMEDIATE RECALL	22.26 ± 6.65	22.8 ± 6.42	0.74
	RCFT DELAYED RECALL	20.81 ± 6.45	20.8 ± 6.21	0.08

*statistically significant

Table 3. Comparison of time-dependent changes of simple attention & working memory and processing speed & executive function in dietary group.

Dietary	Without Treatment	After Treatment	P			
	Median	IQR		Median	IQR	
Simple Attention & Working Memory	FORWARD DIGIT SPAN	6	4 - 7	6	5 - 7	0.77
	BACKWARD DIGIT SPAN	4	3 - 5	4	3 - 5	0.283
Processing Speed & Executive Function	TMT A	52	40 - 65	50	39 - 60	0.069
	TMT B	97	75 - 133	100	79.5 - 120	0.08

IQR: Interquartile range

Time-dependent changes of verbal and visual spatial memory scores, simple attention & working memory and processing speed & executive function of metformin group were given in Table 4 and 5, respectively.

DISCUSSION

The most important finding of our current study was at the end of the six-month treatment period the statistically significant improvement of the verbal memory, visual-spatial memory, processing speed and executive functions in metformin group, and also increased verbal memory scores in dietary group.

There has been a significant increase in the incidence and prevalence of T2DM, particularly in individuals over 65 years of age (1, 33). The risk of dementia in patients with T2DM is 1.5-2 times higher than the healthy population (11-13). In the current literature, there are many studies, which investigated the effect of T2DM on cognitive functions in humans and animals (3-7, 34). However, there is only a few prospective studies about the effects of T2DM on cognitive functions and the results of these studies are controversial (9, 26).

We aimed to evaluate the effects of dietary or metformin treatment on cognitive functions in newly diagnosed T2DM patients, prospectively. Besides, we compared

the cognitive functions of T2DM patients to healthy adults at the time of diagnosis.

In the current literature, there is no consensus on the effects of low glycemic dietary on cognitive functions in adults with poor blood glucose regulation (24, 25). The high glycemic dietary has been shown to cause increased cerebral amyloid deposition (22) and diabetic diet has been reported to regulate DM-induced cognitive deficits and increase learning and memory capacity (23). Prospective studies investigating the effects of diet on cognition in T2DM are limited (9, 26).

Metformin is the most widely used drug in the treatment of T2DM (9). Metformin has a direct effect on central nervous system (CNS) by crossing the blood-brain barrier (18). Metformin positively affects the hippocampal amyloid- β accumulation by reducing tau phosphorylation and activating the atypical Protein kinase C (PKC) and Csk-binding protein (CBP) pathway and it further positively affects the cognition by inhibiting neuronal apoptosis (19-21). In most of the studies, metformin use in patients with T2DM has been reported to reduce the risk of dementia and cognitive impairment (13, 18). In an in vitro study by Gupta et al., AD-like tau phosphorylation, amyloid- β and neurofibrillary tangles were observed in insulin-resistant neuroblastoma cells and

Table 4. Comparison of time dependent changes of verbal and visual spatial memory scores in metformin group.

	Metformin	Without Treatment		After Treatment		P
		Mean-/+SD		Mean-/+SD		
Verbal Memory	RAVLT IMMEDIATE MEMORY	5.89 ± 1.81		6.61 ± 1.91		0.0074*
	RAVLT DELAYED RECALL	9.46 ± 2.83		9.97 ± 2.99		0.192
Visual Spatial Memory	RCFT IMMEDIATE RECALL	19.93 ± 6.63		22.25 ± 6.57		0.0143*
	RCFT DELAYED RECALL	19.2 ± 5.65		21.22 ± 6.21		0.014*

*statistically significant

Table 5. Comparison of time-dependent changes of simple attention & working memory and processing speed & executive function in metformin group.

	Metformin	Without Treatment		After Treatment		P
		Median	IQR	Median	IQR	
Simple Attention & Working Memory	FORWARD DIGIT SPAN	6	5 - 7	6	5.25 - 7	0.124
	BACKWARD DIGIT SPAN	4	3 - 5	4	3 - 5	0.532
Processing Speed & Executive Function	TMT A	57	42.5 - 76.5	54	42 - 70	0.036*
	TMT B	108	84 - 146.5	99	80 - 137.75	0.001*

*statistically significant, IQR: Interquartile Range

these changes have been shown to decrease with the use of metformin (35). Metformin treatment has been shown to improve executive function in non-diabetic patients with mild cognitive impairment or mild dementia due to AD (36). In contrast to these studies, Chen et al. reported that metformin increased the amyloid- β formation by activating AMPK and therefore, triggered the AD (37). In a study by Thangthaeng et al. including old male mice, metformin was reported to affect the spatial memory and visual acuity negatively (38). There are also studies compatible with this finding showing that patients with T2DM using metformin have a high risk of developing neurodegenerative diseases including Parkinson's disease and AD (39, 40). Moore et al. also reported lower Mini Mental Test (MMT) scores in patients with T2DM using metformin, however, this association was not significant after they adjusted their analysis for Vitamin B-12 level (41).

Our study results revealed that verbal memory scores and processing speed & executive functions have been negatively affected at the time of diagnosis in newly diagnosed T2DM patients. However, only the verbal memory scores were statistically significant. In a study of Saczynski et al, similar to our study results, lower verbal memory scores were obtained in undiagnosed T2DM patients compared to healthy adults and the difference was statistically significant (4). In our study, impaired verbal memory scores, due to exposure to hyperglycemia from the onset of diabetes to the time of diagnosis, improved statistically significant at the end of the six months of metformin or dietary treatment ($p=0.007$ and $p=0.041$, respectively). At the end of the six-months of follow-up period of our study, we observed a statistically significant increase of verbal memory scores in metformin group. Similar to our results, in a study of Herath et al., the increased verbal memory scores were obtained in metformin group during the four-year follow-up, however the results differed no statistically significance (9). In contrast to our study results, at the end of the thirty-six weeks of follow-up period by Abbatecola et al., significantly lower verbal memory scores were determined in dietary or metformin groups (26). We think that the reason for obtaining different results in the study of Abbatecola et al. could be due to the inclusion of only T2DM patients with mild cognitive impairment and inadequate compliance of patients to applied dietary treatment.

In our study at the time of diagnosis, visual spatial memory results, which evaluated with RCFT immediate and delayed recall tests, in the newly diagnosed T2DM

patient group were not statistically significant when compared to the control group ($p=0.21$ and $p=0.26$, respectively). RCFT immediate and delayed recall test results in dietary group revealed no statistically significant difference at the end of the follow-up period ($p=0.74$ and $p=0.88$, respectively), although in metformin group, the difference was statistically significant ($p=0.014$ and $p=0.014$, respectively). Wennberg et al. found no statistically significant association between metformin treatment and visual spatial memory scores in T2DM patients (27). The reason for the different results in the Wennberg's study could be that the median diagnosis of diabetes was 6.6 years (27). To the best of our knowledge, there is no study in the current literature, which investigate the effects of metformin or dietary treatment in terms of visual spatial memory scores in newly diagnosed T2DM patients.

Pairwise comparison of groups revealed no statistically significant differences at the time of diagnosis in terms of TMT parts A & B ($p=0.333$ and $p=0.651$). At the end of the follow-up period, we did not determine any statistically significant alteration in terms of processing speed & executive functions in dietary group ($p=0.069$ and $p=0.08$, respectively) however, we observed a statistically significant improvement in metformin group ($p=0.036$ and $p=0.001$, respectively). In a study that did not specify the duration of the diagnosis of diabetes, it was observed that TMT-B scores were statistically higher in metformin group compared to other forms of diabetes treatment (9). Our results support the positive effect of metformin on executive functions, similar to the results of Herath et al (9).

Our prospective study has some limitations. Although patients diagnosed with depression were not included in the study, Beck depression test was not used to distinguish the patients with depression who have not been diagnosed yet. Besides, Metformin doses and HbA1c levels have not been evaluated. We designed our study with a minimum sample size of twenty-six per each study group, which may be considered relatively small, even though the power of our study was found to be 91%. An experimental study with a larger number of samples may change the cognitive test results. In this study, we aimed to evaluate the effects of metformin or dietary treatments on cognitive functions only in newly diagnosed T2DM patients at the end of a six-month follow-up period. We believe that different results could be obtained with other diabetes treatment modalities at the end of a longer follow-up period.

To the best of our knowledge, in the current literature, there are no studies investigating the short-term effects of metformin or dietary treatment on verbal memory, visual spatial memory, simple attention & working memory and processing speed & executive functions in newly diagnosed diabetes patients.

In conclusion, we determined a statistically significant improvement in verbal memory scores, which were affected at the time of diagnosis, with metformin and dietary treatment at the end of six-month of follow-up period. Besides, at the end of the follow-up, metformin treatment resulted a statistically significant improvement in terms of visual spatial memory and processing speed & executive function scores. We recommend further evidence-based, prospective studies with a larger number of patients, which observed for the longer time.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank Oğuzhan Tanoğlu, MD, Assistant Professor, Erzincan Binali Yıldırım University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, for his kind help with the statistical analysis of the data.

Ethical Approval

Approval for this prospective study was granted by Institutional Review Board of Baskent University (Approval Code: KA10/81).

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Financial Disclosure

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Author Contributions

Ceyda Tanoğlu: Conceptualization, Writing, Review & Editing, Investigation, **Yıldız Kaya:** Writing, Review & Editing, Methodology, **Ülkü Sibel Benli:** Methodology, Conceptualization, Supervision, **Neslihan Başçıl Tütüncü:** Investigation, Methodology

Review Process

Extremely reviewed and accepted.

REFERENCES

1. Songer TJ, Zimmet PZ. Epidemiology of type II diabetes: An international perspective. *Pharmacoeconomics* 1995;8:1-11.
2. Gispen WH, Biessels GJ. Cognition and synaptic plasticity in diabetes mellitus. *Trends in Neurosciences* 2000;23(11):542-549.
3. Nandipati S, Luo X, Schimming C, Grossman HT, Sano M. Cognition in non-demented diabetic older adults. *Current Aging Science* 2012;5(2):131-135.
4. Saczynski JS, Jonsdottir MK, Garcia ME, Jonsson PV, Peila R, Eiriksdottir G, et al. Cognitive impairment: An increasingly important complication of type 2 diabetes: the age, gene/environment susceptibility-Reykjavik study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2008;168(10):1132-1139.
5. Qiu C, Sigurdsson S, Zhang Q, Jonsdottir MK, Kjartansson O, Eiriksdottir G, et al. Diabetes, markers of brain pathology and cognitive function: The age, gene/environment susceptibility-Reykjavik study. *Ann Neurol* 2014;75(1):138-146.
6. Kloppenborg RP, van den Berg E, Kappelle LJ, Biessels GJ. Diabetes and other vascular risk factors for dementia: Which factor matters most? A systematic review. *European Journal of Pharmacology* 2008;585(1):97-108.
7. Kroner Z. The relationship between alzheimer's disease and diabetes: Type 3 diabetes? *Altern Med Rev* 2009;14(4):373-379.
8. de la Monte SM, Tong M, Lester-Coll N, Jr Plater M, Wands JR. Therapeutic rescue of neurodegeneration in experimental type 3 diabetes: Relevance to Alzheimer's disease. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2006;10(1):89-109.
9. Herath PM, Cherbuin N, Eramudugolla R, Anstey KJ. The effect of diabetes medication on cognitive function: Evidence from the PATH through life study. *BioMed Research International* 2016;2016:7208429.
10. Sims-Robinson C, Kim B, Rosko A, Feldman EL. How does diabetes accelerate alzheimer disease pathology? *Nature Reviews Neurology* 2010;6(10):551-559.
11. Rivera EJ, Goldin A, Fulmer N, Tavares R, Wands JR, de la Monte SM. Insulin and insulin-like growth factor expression and function deteriorate with progression of alzheimer's disease: Link to brain reductions in acetylcholine. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2005;8(3):247-268.
12. Biessels GJ, Staekenborg S, Brunner E, Brayne C, Scheltens P. Risk of dementia in diabetes mellitus: A systematic review. *The Lancet Neurology* 2006;5(1):64-74.
13. Cheng C, Lin CH, Tsai YW, Tsai CJ, Chou PH, Lan TH. Type 2 diabetes and antidiabetic medications in relation to dementia diagnosis. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2014;69(10):1299-1305.
14. Bozoki A, Giordani B, Heidebrink JL, Berent S, Foster NL. Mild cognitive impairments predict dementia in nondemented elderly patients with memory loss. *Archives of Neurology* 2001;58(3):411-416.
15. Brookmeyer R, Gray S, Kawas C. Projections of alzheimer's disease in the United States and the public health impact of delaying disease onset. *Am J Public Health* 1998;88(9):1337-1342.
16. Kirpichnikov D, McFarlane SI, Sowers JR. Metformin: An update. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 2002;137(1):25-33.
17. Adak T, Samadi A, Unal AZ, Sabuncuoğlu S. A reappraisal on metformin. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol* 2018;92:324-332.
18. Ng TP, Feng L, Yap KB, Lee TS, Tan CH, Winblad B. Long-term metformin usage and cognitive function among older adults with diabetes. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2014;41(1):61-68.

19. Kickstein E, Krauss S, Thornhill P, Rutschow D, Zeller R, Sharkey J, et al. Biguanide metformin acts on tau phosphorylation via mTOR/protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) signaling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2010;107(50):21830-21835.
20. Wang J, Gallagher D, DeVito LM, Cancino GI, Tsui D, He L, et al. Metformin activates an atypical PKC-CBP pathway to promote neurogenesis and enhance spatial memory formation. *Cell Stem Cell* 2012;11(1):23-35.
21. Chen F, Dong RR, Zhong KL, Ghosh A, Tang SS, Long Y, et al. Antidiabetic drugs restore abnormal transport of amyloid-beta across the blood-brain barrier and memory impairment in db/db mice. *Neuropharmacology* 2016;101:123-136.
22. Taylor MK, Sullivan DK, Swerdlow RH, Vidoni ED, Morris JK, Mahnken JD, et al. A high-glycemic diet is associated with cerebral amyloid burden in cognitively normal older adults. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2017;106(6):1463-1470.
23. Dong W, Wang R, Ma LN, Xu BL, Zhang JS, Zhao ZW, et al. Influence of age-related learning and memory capacity of mice: Different effects of a high and low caloric diet. *Aging Clin Exp Res* 2016;28(2):303-311.
24. Garber A, Csizmadia I, Friedenreich CM, Sajobi TT, Longman RS, Tyndall AV, et al. Association between glycemic load and cognitive function in community-dwelling older adults: Results from the Brain in Motion study. *Clin Nutr* 2018;37(5):1690-1699.
25. Cheatham RA, Roberts SB, Das SK, Gilhooly CH, Golden JK, Hyatt R, et al. Long-term effects of provided low and high glycemic load low energy diets on mood and cognition. *Physiol Behav* 2009;98(3):374-379.
26. Abbatecola AM, Lattanzio F, Molinari AM, Cioffi M, Mansi L, Rambaldi P, et al. Rosiglitazone and cognitive stability in older individuals with type 2 diabetes and mild cognitive impairment. *Diabetes Care* 2010;33(8):1706-1711.
27. Wennberg AMV, Hagen CE, Edwards K, Roberts RO, Machulda MM, Knopman DS, et al. Association of antidiabetic medication use, cognitive decline, and risk of cognitive impairment in older people with type 2 diabetes: Results from the population-based Mayo Clinic Study of Aging. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2018;33(8):1114-1120.
28. Mahler RJ, Adler ML. Clinical review 102: Type 2 diabetes mellitus: Update on diagnosis, pathophysiology, and treatment. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1999;84(4):1165-1171.
29. Bayraktar M. Diabetes mellitus tedavisinin genel ilkeleri, diyet ve egzersiz. *Türkiye Tıp Derg* 2001;8:9-19.
30. Lezak M. *Neuropsychological testing*. University Press, Oxford; 1995.
31. Boone KB, Lesser IM, Hill-Gutierrez E, Berman NG, D'elia LF. Rey-osterrieth complex figure performance in healthy, older adults: Relationship to age, education, sex, and IQ. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist* 1993;7(1):22-28.
32. Hale JB, Hoepfner J-AB, Fiorello CA. Analyzing digit span components for assessment of attention processes. *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment* 2002;20(2):128-143.
33. King H, Aubert RE, Herman WH. Global burden of diabetes, 1995-2025: Prevalence, numerical estimates, and projections. *Diabetes Care* 1998;21(9):1414-1431.
34. Onar B, Özçmak HS, Turan İ, Özçmak VH. Diyabete bağlı kognitif bozukluk sığınlarının hipokampuslerinde NLRP3 ve nitrotirozin seviyelerinin artışı ile ilişkilidir. *Türkiye Diyabet ve Obezite Dergisi* 2019;3(3):123-129.
35. Gupta A, Bisht B, Dey CS. Peripheral insulin-sensitizer drug metformin ameliorates neuronal insulin resistance and alzheimer's-like changes. *Neuropharmacology* 2011;60(6):910-920.
36. Koenig AM, Mechanic-Hamilton D, Xie SX, Combs MF, Cappola AR, Xie L, et al. Effects of the insulin sensitizer metformin in alzheimer disease: Pilot data from a randomized placebo-controlled crossover study. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord* 2017;31(2):107-113.
37. Chen Y, Zhou K, Wang R, Liu Y, Kwak YD, Ma T, et al. Antidiabetic drug metformin (GlucophageR) increases biogenesis of alzheimer's amyloid peptides via up-regulating BACE1 transcription. *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences of the United States of America* 2009;106(10):3907-3912.
38. Thangthaeng N, Rutledge M, Wong JM, Vann PH, Forster MJ, Sumien N. Metformin impairs spatial memory and visual acuity in old male mice. *Aging and Disease* 2017;8(1):17-30.
39. Imfeld P, Bodmer M, Jick SS, Meier CR. Metformin, other antidiabetic drugs, and risk of alzheimer's disease: A population-based case-control study. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2012;60(5):916-921.
40. Kuan YC, Huang KW, Lin CL, Hu CJ, Kao CH. Effects of metformin exposure on neurodegenerative diseases in elderly patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2017;79(Pt B):77-83.
41. Moore EM, Mander AG, Ames D, Kotowicz MA, Carne RP, Brodaty H, et al. Increased risk of cognitive impairment in patients with diabetes is associated with metformin. *Diabetes care* 2013;36(10):2981-2987.