Maltepe Journal of Mathematics

ISSN: 2667-7660, URL:http://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/mjm

Volume II Issue 2 (2020), Pages 82-96.

DOI:10.47087/MJM.791841

A NEW SUBCLASS OF UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS CONNECTED WITH CONVOLUTION DEFINED VIA EMPLOYING A LINEAR COMBINATION OF TWO GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS INVOLVING SIGMOID FUNCTION

OYEKAN EZEKIEL ABIODUN^{1*}, AWOLERE IBRAHIM TUNJI²

 $^{1^{*},2}$ DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, OLUSEGUN AGAGU UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (OAUSTECH), P.M.B. 353, OKITIPUPA, ONDO STATE, NIGERIA.

¹ORCID: HTTPS://ORCID.ORG/0000-0001-8660-7804, ²ORCID: HTTPS://ORCID.ORG/0000-0002-0771-8037

ABSTRACT. By introducing an operator $E^n_\mu(\beta,\lambda,\omega,\varphi,t)f_\gamma(z)$ via a linear combination of two generalized differential operators involving modified Sigmoid function, we defined and studied certain geometric properties of a new subclass $T_\gamma D_{\lambda,\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\mu,\omega,\varphi,\lambda,\eta,\xi,t;p:n)$ of analytic functions in the open unit disk U. In particular, we give some properties of functions in this subclass such as; coefficient estimates, growth and distortion theorems, closure theorem and Fekete-Szego inequality for functions belonging to the subclass. Some earlier known results are special cases of results established for the new subclass defined.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let $U = \{z \in c : |z| < 1\}$ be the unit disk. In the usual notation, let A denote the class of functions f(z) which are analytic in the open unit disk and of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$
 (1.1)

which is analytic in the open unit disk U and let

$$\gamma(s) = \frac{2}{(1 + e^{-s})}; \quad s \ge 0 \tag{1.2}$$

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 30C45; Secondaries: MSC Numbers. Key words and phrases. Analytic functions; convolution; Fekete-Szego inequality; growth and distortion; Sigmoid function.

Submitted September 8th, 2020. Published October 30th 2020.

Communicated by Ibrahim CANAK and Sefa Anil SEZER..

Submitted on September 8th, 2020. Published on October 30th, 2020.

with $\gamma(s) = 1$ for s = 0 be the modified Simoid function. (See details in [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]).

Also, we denote by T the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \qquad a_k \ge 0$$
 (1.3)

as a subclass of A.

The class T of functions with negative coefficients from second term was first introduced by Silverman [6] and has since then opened up a prolific line of research in that direction among function theorists.

For $f_{\gamma}(z) \in T_{\gamma}$, Oluwayemi and Fadipe-Joseph [5] gave the following definition:

$$f_{\gamma}(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \gamma(s) a_k z^k, \qquad a_k \ge 0$$
(1.4)

as a consequence of (1.3). We note that $\gamma(s)=1+\frac{1}{2}s-\frac{1}{24}s^3+\frac{1}{240}s^5-\frac{17}{40320}s^7+\dots$ defined by (1.2). Furthermore, we define identity function for T_{γ} as

$$e_{\gamma}(z) = z. \tag{1.5}$$

2. Differential Operators

2.1. Salagean Differential Operator.

Definition 2.1. [7] For $f \in A, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the operator D^n is defined by $D^n : A \to A$.

$$\begin{split} D^{0}f(z) &= f(z) \\ D^{1}f(z) &= zf^{'}(z) \\ D^{n+1}f(z) &= z(D^{n}f(z))^{'}, \quad z \in U \end{split} \tag{2.1}$$

Remark 1: If $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in A$, then

$$D^{n} f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n} a_{k} z^{k}, z \in U$$
 (2.2)

2.2. Al-Oboudi Differential Operator.

Definition 2.2. [8] For $f \in A, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0$, the Al-Oboudi differential operator D^n_{δ} is defined by $D^n : A \to A$.

$$D^{0}f(z) = f(z)$$

$$D^{1}_{\delta}f(z) = (1 - \delta)f(z) + \delta z f'(z) = D_{\delta}f(z)$$

$$...$$

$$D^{n}_{\delta}f(z) = D_{\delta}(D^{n}_{\delta}f(z)), \quad z \in U.$$

$$(2.3)$$

Remark 2: If D^n_{δ} is a differential operator and for $f \in A$:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$

we have

$$D_{\delta}^{n} f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + (k-1)\lambda]^{n} a_{k} z^{k}, z \in U$$
 (2.4)

and

$$(D^{n+1}_{\delta}f(z))^{'} = (D^{n}_{\delta}f(z))^{'} + \delta z (D^{n+1}_{\delta}f(z))^{''}, \quad z \in U. \tag{2.5}$$

When $\delta = 1$, we get the Salagean differential operator (2.2).

2.3. Opoola New Differential Operator.

Definition 2.3. [9] For a function $f \in A$ with

$$D_t f(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + (k + \beta - \mu - 1)t]^n a_k z^{k-1}, \quad 0 \le \mu \le \beta, t \ge 0.$$

Opoola defined the differential operator $D^n(\mu, \beta, t) f(z)$ such that

$$D^{0}(\mu, \beta, t)f(z) = f(z)$$

$$D^{1}(\mu, \beta, t)f(z) = zD_{t}f(z) = ztf'(z) - zt(\mu - \beta) + (1 + (\mu - \beta - 1)t)f(z) \quad (2.6)$$
...

$$D^{n}(\mu, \beta, t)f(z) = zD_{t}[D^{n-1}(\mu, \beta, t)f(z)], \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0.$$

Remark 3: If $D^n(\mu, \beta, t) f(z)$ is a linear operator such that for $f \in A$,

$$D^{n}(\mu, \beta, t)f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + (k + \beta - \mu - 1)t]^{n} a_{k} z^{k}, \quad z \in U, 0 \le \mu \le \beta, t \ge 0.$$
 (2.7)

It turns out that the differential operator $D^n(\mu, \beta, t) f(z)$ reduces to the Salagean and al-Oboudi differential operators (2.2) and (2.4) respectively for suitably varied parameters and by letting $t = \lambda$.

2.4. Differential Operator Involving Modified Sigmoid Function.

Definition 2.4. [[4], [5]] Fadipe-Joseph et al. introduced Salagean differential operator $D^n f_{\gamma}(z)$ involving modified sigmoid function which is defined as follows: Let $f_{\gamma}(z) \in T_{\gamma}$, the Salagean differential operator denoted by $D^n f_{\gamma}(z)$ is defined by

$$D^{0} f_{\gamma}(z) = f_{\gamma}(z)$$

$$D^{1} f_{\gamma}(z) = z D_{t} f(z)$$

$$...$$

$$D^{n} f_{\gamma}(z) = D[D^{n-1} f_{\gamma}(z)]$$

$$\gamma(s) z(D^{n-1} f_{\gamma}(z)), \quad z \in U.$$

$$(2.8)$$

Hence,

$$D^{n} f_{\gamma}(z) = \gamma^{n}(s)z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \gamma^{n+1}(s)k^{n} a_{k} z^{k}, \quad z \in U.$$
 (2.9)

2.5. Darus and Ibrahim Generalized Differential Operator Involving Sigmoid Function.

Definition 2.5. [5] Oluwayemi and fadipe-Joseph introduced the generalized differential operator $D_{\lambda,\omega}^n f_{\gamma}(z)$ involving sigmoid function as a consequence of [10] by following (2.9):

$$D_{\lambda,\omega}^{n} f_{\gamma}(z) = \gamma^{n}(s)z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \gamma^{n+1}(s)[(k-1)(\lambda - \omega) + k]^{n} a_{k} z^{k}$$
 (2.10)

for $\lambda, \omega \geq 0$. For more information on this, interested reader may see [4] and [10]

2.6. Ruscheweyh Operator Involving Modified Sigmoid Function.

Definition 2.6. [5] Recently, Oluyemi and Fadipe-Joseph gave a Ruscheweyh Differential operator involving the modified Sigmoid function $R^n: T_{\gamma} \to T_{\gamma}$, with $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0$ such that

$$R^n f_{\gamma}(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \gamma(s) C_{n+k-1}^n a_k z^k, \quad a_k \ge 0, \quad z \in U;$$
 (2.11)

where $\gamma(s) = \frac{2}{1+e^{-s}}$, $s \ge 0$ with $\gamma(s) = 1$ for s = 0. Moreover,

$$C_{n+k-1}^{n} = B_{k}(n) = B(n,k) = \binom{n+k-1}{n}$$

$$= \frac{(n+1)(n+2)\cdots(n+k-1)}{(k-1)!}$$

$$= \frac{(n+1)_{k-1}}{(1)_{k-1}}.$$
(2.12)

Hence,
$$B(0,k) = \binom{k-1}{0} = \frac{(1)_{k-1}}{(1)_{k-1}} = 1.$$

2.7. Linear Combination of a Generalized Salagean Differential Operator and Ruscheweyh Operator involving modified sigmoid function.

Definition 2.7. [5] By combining the generalized Salagean differential operator involving modified sigmoid and the Ruscheweyh operator involving modified sigmoid function, the following operator was defined in [5] by Oluwayemi and Fadipe-Joseph as:

$$\Phi_{\lambda,\omega}^{n} f_{\gamma}(z) = \mu D_{\lambda,\omega}^{n} f_{\gamma}(z) + (1-\mu) R^{n} f_{\gamma}(z)
= [\mu \gamma^{n}(s) - \mu + 1] z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \gamma(s) \{\mu \gamma^{n}(s) [(k-1)(\lambda - \omega)]^{n} + (1-\mu) B_{k}(n) \} a_{k} z^{k},$$
(2.13)

for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, $\mu \in [0, 1]$, $z \in U$.

Where $D_{\lambda,\omega}^n f_{\gamma}(z)$ and $R^n f_{\gamma}(z)$ are defined respectively in (2.10) and (2.11) respectively.

We note the following in respect of given by (2.13):

- (i) Equation (2.13) corrects the one defined for $\Phi_{\lambda,\omega}^n f_{\gamma}(z)$ in [5].
- (ii) That the operator defined in (2.13) is consequent upon a generalized different operator defined by Darus and Ibrahim [11].

2.8. New Differential Operator Involving Modified Sigmoid Function.

Definition 2.8. Let $f_{\gamma}(z) \in T_{\gamma}$, then from (2.7) and (2.11) we obtain a generalized differential operator involving modified sigmoid function as follows:

$$D^{n}(\varphi, \beta, t) f_{\gamma}(z) = \gamma^{n}(s) z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \gamma^{n+1}(s) [1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^{n} a_{k} z^{k}, \quad z \in U, (2.14)$$

for $0 \le \varphi \le \beta$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0, t \ge 0$.

We note here that μ has been replaced by φ for convenience.

2.9. New Differential Operator Involving Sigmoid Defined by Convolution. For the purpose of defining our new differential operator of interest, the following definition is required:

Definition 2.9. (Hadamard product or convolution): The Hadamard (or convolution) of two analytic functions f(z) given by (1.1) and $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ is given by

$$f(z) * g(z) = (f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k, \quad z \in U.$$
 (2.15)

Following (2.15) for (2.10) and (2.15), a certain new differential operator involving sigmoid function defined by convolution is defined as follows:

$$D_{\lambda,\omega}^{n}(\varphi,\beta,t)f_{\gamma}(z) = (D_{\lambda,\omega}^{n}f_{\gamma}(z)) * (D^{n}(\varphi,\beta,t)f_{\gamma}(z))$$

$$= \gamma^{n}(s)z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \gamma^{n+1}(s)[1 + (k+\beta - \varphi - 1)t]^{n}[(k-1)(\lambda - \omega) + k]^{n}a_{k}z^{k}$$
(2.16)

2.10. Linear Combination of the New Differential Operator Involving Sigmoid defined by Convolution and Ruscheweyh Operator involving modified sigmoid function. Following (2.15), we combined equations (2.11) and (2.16)

above to obtain a certain operator define as follows:

$$E_{\mu}^{n}(\beta, \lambda, \omega, \varphi, t) f_{\gamma}(z)$$

$$= \mu D_{\lambda, \omega}^{n}(\varphi, \beta, t) f_{\gamma}(z) + (1 - \mu) R^{n} f_{\gamma}(z)$$

$$= [\mu \gamma^{n}(s) - \mu + 1] z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \gamma(s) \{\mu \gamma^{n}(s) [1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^{n} [(k - 1)(\lambda - \omega) + k]^{n} + (1 - \mu) B_{k}(n) \} a_{k} z^{k}$$
(2.17)

Remark 4: (i) For $n = 0, \mu = 1$ in (2.17) we have,

$$f_{\gamma}(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \gamma(s) a_k z^k, a_k \ge 0,$$

defined by (1.4).

(ii) For $t = 0, \mu = 1$ in (2.17) we have,

$$D^{n}(\varphi, \beta, t) f_{\gamma}(z) = \gamma^{n}(s) z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \gamma^{n+1}(s) [1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^{n} a_{k} z^{k}$$

defined by (2.16).

(iii) For $\mu = 0$ in (2.17) we have,

$$R^n f_{\gamma}(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \gamma(s) C_{n+k-1}^n a_k z^k, \quad a_k \le 0, \quad z \in U$$

defined by (2.11).

(1v) For t = 0 in (2.17) we have,

$$\Phi_{\lambda,\omega}^{n} f_{\gamma}(z) = [\mu \gamma^{n}(s) - \mu + 1]z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \gamma(s) \{\mu \gamma^{n}(s) [(k-1)(\lambda - \omega)]^{n} + (1-\mu)B_{k}(n)\}a_{k}z^{k},$$

as defined in (2.13) and corrects the one defined in [5].

(v) For $s=0, \mu=1, t=\delta, \beta=\varphi=0, \lambda=1$ and $\omega=2$ in (2.17) we have,

$$D_{\delta}^{n} f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + (k-1)\lambda]^{n} a_{k} z^{k}, z \in U$$

which is Al-Oboudi differential operator for function $f \in T$ of the form (1.3).

(vi) For $s = 0, \mu = 1, t = 0, \lambda = \omega = 0$ in (2.17) we have,

$$D^n f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n a_k z^k, \quad z \in U,$$

which is Salagean differential operator for functions $f \in T$.

In the field of geometric function theory, various subclasses of the normalized analytic functions which are univalent have been studied from different viewpoints. Many authors such as [[3],[4],[5],[10],[11],[12],[13],[14],[15],[16][17],[18],[19],[20],[21],[22]] have successfully defined and studied various subclasses of univalent functions. Particularly, Joshi and Sangle[13] introduced and investigated subclass $T_{\gamma}D_{\lambda,\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\xi,\mu;n)$

of univalent functions by using Al-Oboudi operator as a generalized Salagean differential operator in the unit disk U. This was followed by the work of oluwayemi and Fadipe-Joseph[5] wherein they introduced and investigated subclass $T_{\gamma}D_{\lambda,\omega}f_{\gamma}(\alpha,\beta,\xi,\mu;p:n)$ by using the generalized differential operator $\Phi^n_{\lambda,\omega}f_{\gamma}(z)$. The motivation for this present work are the works of both Joshi and Sangle and Oluwayemi and Fadipe-Joseph. In particular, we introduce and investigate the class $T_{\gamma}D_{\lambda,\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\mu,\omega,\varphi,\lambda,\eta,\xi,t;p:n)$ as a subclass of univalent functions by using the generalized differential operator $E^n_{\mu}(\beta,\lambda,\omega,\varphi,t)f_{\gamma}(z)$.

2.11. The class $T_{\gamma}D_{\lambda,\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\mu,\omega,\varphi,\lambda,\eta,\xi,t;p:n)$.

Definition 2.10. $\{T_{\gamma}D_{\lambda,\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\omega,\varphi,\lambda,\eta,\xi,t;p:n):\}$ A function $f_{\gamma}(z) \in T_{\gamma}$ defined by (1.4) is in the class $T_{\gamma}D_{\lambda,\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\omega,\varphi,\lambda,\eta,\xi,t;p:n)$ if

$$\left|\frac{\left[E_{\mu}^{n}(\beta,\lambda,\omega,\varphi,t)f_{\gamma}(z)\right]^{'}-\left[\mu\gamma^{n}(s)-\mu+1\right]}{p\xi[\left(E_{\mu}^{n}(\beta,\lambda,\omega,\varphi,t)f_{\gamma}(z)\right)^{'}]-\left[\left(E_{\mu}^{n}(\beta,\lambda,\omega,\varphi,t)f_{\gamma}(z)\right)^{'}-\left[\mu\gamma^{n}(s)-\mu+1\right]\right]}\right|<\eta,$$

where $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}), \eta \in (0, 1), \frac{1}{2} \leq \xi \leq 1, \mu \in [0, 1], 0 \geq \varphi \leq \beta, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0, n, t \geq 0, p \geq 2$ and $z \in U$.

3. Main Results

In this section we find the coefficient estimates for the functions in the class $T_{\gamma}D_{\lambda,\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\mu,\omega,\varphi,\lambda,\eta,\xi,t;p:n)$. Our main characterization theorem for this function class is stated as Theorem 3.1 below.

3.1. Coefficient Estimates for class $T_{\gamma}D_{\lambda,\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\mu,\omega,\varphi,\lambda,\eta,\xi,t;p:n)$.

Theorem 3.1. If a function $f_{\gamma}(z)$ belongs to the class $T_{\gamma}D_{\lambda,\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\mu,\omega,\varphi,\lambda,\eta,\xi,t;p:n)$, then

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k\gamma(s)[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{\mu\gamma^{n}(s)[1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^{n}[(k - 1)(\lambda - \omega) + k]^{n} + (1 - \mu)B_{k}(n)\}a_{k}z^{k}$$

$$\leq p\xi\eta[\mu\gamma^{n}(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]$$

Proof. Suppose $f_{\gamma}(z) \in T_{\gamma}D_{\lambda,\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\mu,\omega,\varphi,\lambda,\eta,\xi,t;p:n)$, by equation (2.17) and definition 2.10, we have that

$$\left| -\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k\gamma(s) \{\mu \gamma^{n}(s) [1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^{n} [(k-1)(\lambda - \omega) + k]^{n} + (1 - \mu)B_{k}(n)\} a_{k} z^{k-1} \right|$$

$$\leq \eta \left| -\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} p\xi \eta [\mu \gamma^{n}(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha] k\gamma(s) [1 + \eta(1 - p\xi)] \{\mu \gamma^{n}(s) - \mu + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^{n} [(k-1)(\lambda - \omega) + k]^{n} + (1 - \mu)B_{k}(n)\} a_{k} z^{k-1} \right|$$

 $|z| \leq r$ and as $r \to 1^+$, then

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \gamma(s) \{\mu \gamma^{n}(s) [1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^{n} [(k - 1)(\lambda - \omega) + k]^{n} + (1 - \mu)B_{k}(n)\} a_{k}$$

$$\leq \eta p \xi \eta [\mu \gamma^{n}(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha] + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \eta k \gamma(s) (1 - p \xi) \{\mu \gamma^{n}(s)$$

$$[1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^{n} [(k - 1)(\lambda - \omega) + k]^{n} + (1 - \mu)B_{k}(n)\} a_{k}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \gamma(s) [1 + \eta(p \xi - 1)] \{\mu \gamma^{n}(s) [1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^{n} [(k - 1)(\lambda - \omega) + k]^{n}$$

$$+ (1 - \mu)B_{k}(n)\} a_{k}$$

$$\leq p \xi \eta [\mu \gamma^{n}(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]$$
(3.1)

Hence,

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \le \frac{p\xi \eta [\mu \gamma^n(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]}{k\gamma(s)[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{\mu \gamma^n(s)[1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^n}$$

$$[(k-1)(\lambda - \omega) + k]^n + (1 - \mu)B_k(n)\}$$
(3.2)

The result is sharp for

$$f(z) = z - \frac{p\xi\eta[\mu\gamma^n(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]}{k\gamma(s)[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{\mu\gamma^n(s)[1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^n - z^k} z^k$$
$$[(k - 1)(\lambda - \omega) + k]^n + (1 - \mu)B_k(n)\}$$

Corollary 3.2. Let a function $f_{\gamma}(z) \in T_{\gamma}D_{\lambda,\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\mu,\omega,0,\lambda,\eta,\xi,t;p:n)$, then

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \gamma(s) [1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)] \{\mu \gamma^n(s) [(k-1)(\lambda - \omega) + k]^n + (1 - \mu) B_k(n) \} a_k z^k$$

$$\leq p\xi \eta [\mu \gamma^n(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha],$$

which is the correct form of Theorem 3.1 in [5] when $\eta = \beta$.

Corollary 3.3. Let s = 0, then we have that a function $f_{\gamma}(z)$ belongs to the class $T_1D_{\lambda,\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\mu,\omega,\varphi,\lambda,\eta,\xi,t;p:n)$, then

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)] \{\mu \gamma^n(s)[1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^n [(k - 1)(\lambda - \omega) + k]^n + (1 - \mu)B_k(n)\}a_k z^k$$

$$\leq p\xi \eta(1 - \alpha),$$

Corollary 3.4. If t = 0, in corollary 3.3, then we have the following: Let a function $f_{\gamma}(z)$ belongs to the class $T_1D_{\lambda,\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\mu,\omega,\varphi,\lambda,\eta,\xi,0;p:n)$, then

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)] \{\mu[(k-1)(\lambda - \omega) + k]^n + (1 - \mu)B_k(n)\} a_k z^k$$

$$\leq p\xi \eta(1 - \alpha),$$

which is Corollary 3.2 in [5] when $\eta = \beta$.

Corollary 3.5. If $\mu = 1$, in corollary 3.4, then we have the following: Let a function $f_{\gamma}(z)$ belongs to the class $T_1D_{\lambda,\omega}(\alpha,\beta,1,\omega,\varphi,\lambda,\eta,\xi,0;p:n)$, then

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{[(k-1)(\lambda - \omega) + k]^n + (1-\mu)B_k(n)\}a_k z^k \le p\xi\eta(1-\alpha),$$

which is Corollary 3.3 in [5] when $\eta = \beta$.

4. Growth and Distortion Theorems for the class $T_{\gamma}D_{\lambda,\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\mu,\omega,\varphi,\lambda,\eta,\xi,t;p:n)$

Theorem 4.1. If a function $f_{\gamma}(z) \in T_{\gamma}D_{\lambda,\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\mu,\omega,\varphi,\lambda,\eta,\xi,t;p:n)$, then for $|z| \leq r < 1$, we have

$$r - \frac{p\xi\eta[\mu\gamma^{n}(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]}{2[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{\mu\gamma^{n}(s)[1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^{n}[(\lambda - \omega) + 2]^{n} + (1 - \mu)B_{2}(n)\}}r^{2} \le |f_{\gamma}(z)|$$

$$r + \frac{p\xi\eta[\mu\gamma^{n}(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]}{2[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{\mu\gamma^{n}(s)[1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^{n}[(\lambda - \omega) + 2]^{n} + (1 - \mu)B_{2}(n)\}}r^{2}$$
and

$$1 - \frac{p\xi\eta[\mu\gamma^{n}(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]}{[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{\mu\gamma^{n}(s)[1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^{n}[(\lambda - \omega) + 2]^{n} + (1 - \mu)B_{2}(n)\}}r$$

$$\leq |f_{\gamma}(z)|$$

$$1 + \frac{p\xi\eta[\mu\gamma^n(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]}{[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{\mu\gamma^n(s)[1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^n[(\lambda - \omega) + 2]^n + (1 - \mu)B_2(n)\}}r$$

Proof. Since $f_{\gamma}(z) \in T_{\gamma}D_{\lambda,\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\mu,\omega,\varphi,\lambda,\eta,\xi,t;p:n)$, Theorem 3.1 readily yields the inequality

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \le \frac{p\xi \eta[\mu \gamma^n(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]}{2\gamma(s)[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{\mu \gamma^n(s)[1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^n[(\lambda - \omega) + 2]^n + (1 - \mu)B_2(n)\}}$$

$$(4.1)$$

Thus, for |z| = r < 1, and by making use of (4.2) we have

$$|f_{\gamma}(z)| \leq |z| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \gamma(s) a_k |z^k| \leq r + \gamma(s) r^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k$$

$$\leq r + \frac{p\xi \eta [\mu \gamma^n(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]}{2\gamma(s) [1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)] \{\mu \gamma^n(s) [1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^n [(\lambda - \omega) + 2]^n + (1 - \mu) B_2(n)\}} r^2$$

and

$$|f_{\gamma}(z)| \ge |z| - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \gamma(s) a_k |z^k| \ge r - \gamma(s) r^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k$$

$$\ge r - \frac{p\xi \eta [\mu \gamma^n(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]}{2[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)] \{\mu \gamma^n(s) [1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^n [(\lambda - \omega) + 2]^n + (1 - \mu) B_2(n)\}} r^2$$

Also from Theorem 3.1, it follows that

$$\gamma(s)[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{\mu\gamma^{n}(s)[1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^{n}[(\lambda - \omega) + 2]^{n}$$

$$+ (1 - \mu)B_{2}(n)\}\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}ka_{k}$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}k\gamma(s)[1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^{n}[(\lambda - \omega) + 2]^{n} + (1 - \mu)B_{2}(n)\}a_{k}$$

$$p\xi\eta[\mu\gamma^{n}(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha].$$

Hence,

$$|f'(z)| \le 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \gamma(s) k a_k |z^k| \le 1 + \gamma(s) r \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k$$

$$\le 1 + \frac{p \xi \eta [\mu \gamma^n(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]}{[1 + \eta (p \xi - 1)] \{\mu \gamma^n(s) [1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^n [(\lambda - \omega) + 2]^n + (1 - \mu) B_2(n) \}} r$$

and

$$|f'(z)| \ge 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \gamma(s)ka_k|z^k| \ge 1 - \gamma(s)r \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k$$

$$\ge 1 - \frac{p\xi\eta[\mu\gamma^n(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]}{[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{\mu\gamma^n(s)[1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^n[(\lambda - \omega) + 2]^n + (1 - \mu)B_2(n)\}}r.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

4.1. Closure Theorem.

Theorem 4.2. If a function $f_{\gamma}(z) \in T_{\gamma}D_{\lambda,\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\mu,\omega,\varphi,\lambda,\eta,\xi,t;p:n)$. Let $f_1(z) = z$ and

$$f_{\gamma}(z) = z - \frac{p\xi \eta [\mu \gamma^n(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]}{k\gamma(s)[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{\mu \gamma^n(s)[1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^n [(k - 1)(\lambda - \omega) + k]^n + (1 - \mu)B_k(n)\}} z^k,$$

k > 2.

Then the function $f_{\gamma}(z) \in T_{\gamma}D_{\lambda,\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\mu,\omega,\varphi,\lambda,\eta,\xi,t;p:n)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$f_{\gamma}(z) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z), \tag{4.2}$$

where $\mu_k \geq 0$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k = 1$.

Proof. Let $f_{\gamma}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z), \mu_k \ge 0, k = 1, 2, \cdots$, and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k = 1$.

Thus

$$f_{\gamma}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z) = \mu_1 f_1(z) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} &f_{\gamma}(z) = \mu_{1} f_{1}(z) \\ &+ \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mu_{k} \{ z - \frac{p \xi \eta [\mu \gamma^{n}(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]}{k \gamma(s) [1 + \eta(p \xi - 1)] \{\mu \gamma^{n}(s) [1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^{n} \}} \} z^{k} \\ &= (\mu_{1} + \mu_{2} + \mu_{3} + \cdots) z \\ &- \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mu_{k} \frac{p \xi \eta [\mu \gamma^{n}(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]}{k \gamma(s) [1 + \eta(p \xi - 1)] \{\mu \gamma^{n}(s) [1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^{n} \}} z^{k} \\ &= (\mu_{1} + \mu_{2} + \mu_{3} + \cdots) z \\ &- \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mu_{k} \frac{p \xi \eta [\mu \gamma^{n}(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]}{k \gamma(s) [1 + \eta(p \xi - 1)] \{\mu \gamma^{n}(s) [1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^{n} \}} z^{k} \\ &= (\mu_{1} + \mu_{2} + \mu_{3} + \cdots) z \\ &= (\mu_{1} + \mu_{2} + \mu_{3} + \mu_{3} + \mu_{3} + \cdots) z \\ &= (\mu_{1} + \mu_{2} + \mu_{3} + \mu_{3} + \cdots) z \\ &= (\mu_{1} + \mu_{2} + \mu_{3} + \cdots) z \\ &= (\mu_{1} + \mu_{3} +$$

where $\mu_1 + \mu_1 + \mu_1 + \dots = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k = 1$. Then

$$f_{\gamma}(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mu_k \frac{p\xi \eta[\mu \gamma^n(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]}{k\gamma(s)[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{\mu \gamma^n(s)[1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^n[(k - 1)(\lambda - \omega) + k]^n + (1 - \mu)B_k(n)\}}.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mu_{k} \frac{p\xi \eta[\mu \gamma^{n}(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]}{k\gamma(s)[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{\mu \gamma^{n}(s)[1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^{n}[(k - 1)(\lambda - \omega) + k]^{n} + (1 - \mu)B_{k}(n)\}} \times \frac{k\gamma(s)[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{\mu \gamma^{n}(s)[1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^{n}[(k - 1)(\lambda - \omega) + k]^{n} + (1 - \mu)B_{k}(n)\}}{p\xi\eta[\mu\gamma^{n}(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]}$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mu_k = 1 - \mu_1 \le 1.$$

In other words,

$$f_{\gamma}(z) = \mu_1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mu_k = 1 \Rightarrow 1 - \mu_1 \le 1.$$

By Theorem 3.1 therefore,

 $f_{\gamma}(z) \in T_{\gamma}D_{\lambda,\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\mu,\omega,\varphi,\lambda,\eta,\xi,t;p:n).$

Conversely, if $f_{\gamma}(z) \in T_{\gamma}D_{\lambda,\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\mu,\omega,\varphi,\lambda,\eta,\xi,t;p:n)$, then by Theorem 3.1,

$$a_k \le \frac{p\xi \eta[\mu \gamma^n(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]}{k\gamma(s)[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{\mu \gamma^n(s)[1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^n[(k - 1)(\lambda - \omega) + k]^n + (1 - \mu)B_k(n)\}}$$

By setting

$$\mu_{k} \leq \frac{p\xi \eta[\mu \gamma^{n}(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]}{k\gamma(s)[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{\mu \gamma^{n}(s)[1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^{n}[(k - 1)(\lambda - \omega) + k]^{n} + (1 - \mu)B_{k}(n)\}^{a_{k}}}$$

and

$$\mu_1 = 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mu_k.$$

So that

$$\mu_{k} = \frac{p\xi\eta[\mu\gamma^{n}(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]k\gamma(s)[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{\mu\gamma^{n}(s)[1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^{n}}{[(k - 1)(\lambda - \omega) + k]^{n} + (1 - \mu)B_{k}(n)}}{[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{\mu\gamma^{n}(s)[1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^{n}[(k - 1)(\lambda - \omega) + k]^{n}} + (1 - \mu)B_{k}(n)p\xi\eta[\mu\gamma^{n}(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]k\gamma(s).}$$

Consequently, f_k can be expressed in the form (5.1). Hence, $f_{\gamma}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z)$. Thus the proof is complete.

5. Fekete-Szego inequality for the class
$$T_{\gamma}D_{\lambda.\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\mu,\omega,\varphi,\lambda,\eta,\xi,t;p:n)$$

In this section, Fekete-Szego inequality for functions $f_{\gamma}(z)$ belonging to the class $T_{\gamma}D_{\lambda,\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\mu,\omega,\varphi,\lambda,\eta,\xi,t;p:n)$ was established.

Theorem 5.1. If a function $f_{\gamma}(z)$ belongs to the class $T_{\gamma}D_{\lambda,\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\mu,\omega,\varphi,\lambda,\eta,\xi,t;p:n)$, and $\Delta \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\left|a_3 - \Delta a_2^2\right| \le \left|\frac{AB^2 - \Delta A^2C}{CB^2}\right|.$$

Proof. From (??),

$$a_k \le \frac{p\xi \eta[\mu \gamma^n(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]}{k\gamma(s)[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{\mu \gamma^n(s)[1 + (k + \beta - \varphi - 1)t]^n}, \quad a_k \ge 2.$$
 (5.1)
$$[(k - 1)(\lambda - \omega) + k]^n + (1 - \mu)B_k(n)\}$$

From (5.1),

$$a_2 = \frac{p\xi \eta [\mu \gamma^n(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]}{2\gamma(s)[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{\mu \gamma^n(s)[1 + (1 + \beta - \varphi)t]^n}, \quad (k = 2),$$
$$[(\lambda - \omega) + 2]^n + (1 - \mu)B_2(n)\}$$

and

$$a_3 = \frac{p\xi \eta [\mu \gamma^n(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]}{3\gamma(s)[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{\mu \gamma^n(s)[1 + (2 + \beta - \varphi)t]^n}, \quad (k = 2).$$
$$[2(\lambda - \omega) + 3]^n + (1 - \mu)B_3(n)\}$$

So that

$$a_{3} - \Delta a_{2}^{2} = \frac{p\xi\eta[\mu\gamma^{n}(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]}{3\gamma(s)[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{\mu\gamma^{n}(s)[1 + (2 + \beta - \varphi)t]^{n} - [2(\lambda - \omega) + 3]^{n} + (1 - \mu)B_{3}(n)\}}$$
$$-\Delta \left\{ \frac{p\xi\eta[\mu\gamma^{n}(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]}{2\gamma(s)[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{\mu\gamma^{n}(s)[1 + (1 + \beta - \varphi)t]^{n}\}} \right\}^{2}$$
$$[(\lambda - \omega) + 2]^{n} + (1 - \mu)B_{2}(n)\}$$

Such that

$$A = p\xi \eta[\mu \gamma^n(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha]$$

$$B = \frac{3\gamma(s)[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{\mu\gamma^n(s)[1 + (2 + \beta - \varphi)t]^n}{[2(\lambda - \omega) + 3]^n + (1 - \mu)B_3(n)\}}$$

$$C = \frac{2\gamma(s)[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{\mu\gamma^n(s)[1 + (1 + \beta - \varphi)t]^n}{[(\lambda - \omega) + 2]^n + (1 - \mu)B_2(n)\}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\left| a_3 - \Delta a_2^2 \right| \le \left| \frac{AB^2 - \Delta A^2 C}{CB^2} \right|.$$

Let t = 0 in Theorem 5.1 we have the following:

Corollary 5.2. If a function $f_{\gamma}(z)$ belongs to the class $T_{\gamma}D_{\lambda,\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\mu,\omega,\varphi,\lambda,\eta,\xi,0;p:n)$, and $\varphi \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\left| a_3 - \Delta a_2^2 \right| \le \left| \frac{AB_1^2 - \Delta A^2 C_1}{C_1 B_1^2} \right| = \left| \frac{R\Omega_2^2 - \varphi R^2 \Omega_1}{\Omega_1 \Omega_2^2} \right|.$$

For

$$A = p\xi\eta[\mu\gamma^{n}(s) - \mu + 1 - \alpha] = R$$

$$B_{1} = \frac{3\gamma(s)[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{\mu\gamma^{n}(s)}{[2(\lambda - \omega) + 3]^{n} + (1 - \mu)B_{3}(n)\}} = \Omega_{2}$$

$$C_{1} = \frac{2\gamma(s)[1 + \eta(p\xi - 1)]\{\mu\gamma^{n}(s)[1 + (1 + \beta - \varphi)t]^{n}}{[(\lambda - \omega) + 2]^{n} + (1 - \mu)B_{2}(n)\}} = \Omega_{1}.$$

Remark 5:

$$\left| a_3 - \Delta a_2^2 \right| \le \left| \frac{R\Omega_2^2 - \varphi R^2 \Omega_1}{\Omega_1 \Omega_2^2} \right|$$

where $\Delta = \varphi$ is the result in [5] that is due to Oluwayemi and Fadipe-Joseph.

6. Conclusion

This work is a generalization of some earlier well-known (defined) differential operators, some of which were illustrated in this work. Particularly in this work, we studied some geometrical properties of functions in the class

$$T_{\gamma}D_{\lambda,\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\mu,\omega,\varphi,\lambda,\eta,\xi,t;p:n),$$

and when t=0 we obtained the class $T_{\gamma}D_{\lambda,\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\xi,\mu;p:n)$ studied in [5]. Furthermore, by suitably specializing the parameters involved, we obtained some of the results in [5] as special cases of our own results. Finally, by suitably varying the parameters involved in the results obtained in this new work, one is guaranteed of some other existing results and presumably new ones.

Acknowledgments. 1. The authors would like to thank the editor and reviewers for their valuable suggestions.

2. In memory of Professor G. T. Okedayo of Olusegun Agagu University of Science and Technology, Okitipupa, Ondo State - Nigeria; (November 19, 1968 - September 06, 2019) for his numerous contributions to knowledge and humanity.

References

- [1] O. A. Fadipe-Joseph, A.T. Oladipo and A.U. Ezeafulukwe, *Modified Sigmoid function in univalent theory*, Int. J. Math. Sci. Eng Appl. (IJMSEA). **7**(7) (2013) 313-317.
- [2] O.A. Fadipe-Joseph, S.O. Olatunji, A.T. Oladipo, and B.O. Moses, Certain subclasses of univalent functions, ICWM 2014 Presentation Book, International Congress of Women Mathematicians Seoul, Korea. (2014) 154-157.
- [3] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and T. Janani, Sigmoid Function in the Space of Univalent Pseudo Starlike Functions, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. 101 (2015) 33-41.
- [4] O. A. Fadipe-Joseph, B. O. Moses and M. O. Oluwayemi, Certain New Classes of Analytic Functions defined by using Sigmoid Function, Adv. Math. Sci. J. 5(1) (2016) 83-89.
- [5] M. O. Oluwayemi and O. A. Fadipe-Joseph, New Subclasses of Univalent Functions Defined Using a Linear Combination of Generalized Salagean and Ruscheweyh Operators, Int. J. Math. Anal. Opt.: Theory and Applications. (2017) 187-200.
- [6] H. Silverman, Univalent functions with negative coefficients, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1975) 109116.
- [7] G.S. Salagean, Subclasses of univalent functions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin. 1013 (1983) 362-372.
- [8] F.M. Al-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 27(44) (2004) 1429-1436.
- [9] O. T. Opoola, On a subclass of Univalent Functions defined by a Generalized Differential operator, Int. J. Math. Anal. 18(11) (2017) 869-876.
- [10] M. Darus and R.W. Ibrahim, On new subclasses of analytic functions involving generalized differential and integral operators, Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math. (EJPAM). 4 (2011) 59-66.
- [11] M. Darus and R.W. Ibrahim, On subclasses for generalized operators of complex order, Far East J. Math. Sci. 33(3) (2009) 299308.
- [12] H.E. Darwish, Certain subclasses of analytic functions with negative coefficients defined by generalized Salagean operator, Gen. Math. 15(4) (2007) 6982.
- [13] S.B. Joshi and N.D. Sangle, New subclass of univalent functions defined by using generalized Salagean operator, Indones Math. Soc. (MIHMI). 15 (2009) 7989.
- [14] Sh. Najafzadeh1 and R. Vijaya, Application of Salagean and Ruscheweyh operators on univalent functions with finitely many coefficients, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 13(5) (2010) 1-5.
- [15] D. Raducanu, On a subclass of univalent functions defined by a generalized differential operator, Math. Reports 13. 63(2) (2011) 197203.
- [16] S.F. Ramadan and M. Darus, Univalence criteria for a family of integral operators defined by generalized differential operator, Acta Univ. Apulensis Math. 25 (2011) 119131.
- [17] M. Darus and I. Faisal, Some Subclasses of Analytic functions of complex order defined by new differential operator, Tamkang J. Math. 43(2) (2012) 223-242.
- [18] B. S. Keerthi, and M. Revathi, Certain new subclasses of analytic univalent functions in the unit disk, Global Journal of Science Frontier Research Mathematics and Decision Sciences . 13(1) (2013) 21-30.
- [19] G. W. Atshan, A. J. M. Khalaf and M. M. Mahdi, On new subclass of univalent function with negative coefficient defined by Hardamard product, Eur. J. Sci. Res. 119(3) (2014) 462-472.
- [20] I. Aldawish and M. Darus, New subclass of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric functions, Electronic Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications. 2(2) (2014) 163-171.
- [21] Sh. Najafzadehl, A. Ebadian and E. Amini, Univalent functions with negative coefficients based on order of convolution consistence, Int. J. Appl. Math. 28(5) (2015) 579591.
- [22] A. L. Alina, Properties on a subclass of univalent functions defined by using a multiplier transformation and Ruscheweyh derivative, Analele Universitatii Ovidius Constaa-Seria Matematica. 23(1) (2015) 9-24.
- [23] G. Murugusundaramoorthy, Certain subclasses of univalent functions associated with a unification of the Srivastava-Attiya and Cho-Saigo-Srivastava operators, Novi Sad J. Math. 45(2) (2015) 5976.
- [24] A. Amourah and M. Darus, Some properties of a new class of univalent functions involving a new generalized differential operator with negative coefficients, Indian J. Sci. Tech. 36(9) (2016) 1-7.

[25] S. Ruscheweyh, New criteria for univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. 49 (1975) 109-115.

OYEKAN EZEKIEL ABIODUN,

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science, Olusegun Agagu University of Science and Technology (OAUSTECH), P.M.B. 353, Okitipupa, Ondo State, Nigeria, Phone: +2348034772630

 $\textit{E-mail address} : \verb| shalomfa@yahoo.com, ea.oyekan@oaustech.ed.ng| \\$

AWOLERE IBRAHIM TUNJI,

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science, Olusegun Agagu University of Science and Technology (OAUSTECH), P.M.B. 353, Okitipupa, Ondo State, Nigeria, Phone: +2348035316832

 $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \texttt{awolereibrahim01@gmail.com}$