

On some inequalities for derivatives of algebraic polynomials in unbounded regions with angles

Cevahir Doğanay Gün

Gaziantep University, Gaziantep, Turkey, cevahirdoganaygun@gmail.com, ORCID: 0000-0003-3046-7667

ABSTRACT

In this work we study Bernstein-Walsh-type estimations for the derivative of an arbitrary algebraic polynomial in regions with interior zero and exterior non zero angles.

ARTICLE INFO

Research article

Received:

Accepted:

Keywords:

Algebraic polynomial,
quasicircle,
smooth curve,
inequalities.

*Corresponding author

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{C} denote the complex plane and $\bar{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$; $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded Jordan region with boundary $L = \partial G$ such that $0 \in G$;

Let $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^l$ be the fixed system of distinct points on the curve L . We consider generalized Jacobi weight function $h(z)$ which is defined as follows:

$$h(z) := \prod_{j=1}^l |z - z_j|^{\gamma_j}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (1)$$

where $\gamma_j > -2$, for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$.

Let \mathcal{P}_n denotes the class of all algebraic polynomials $P_n(z)$ of degree at most $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $p > 0$. For the Jordan region G , we introduce:

$$\|P_n\|_p := \|P_n\|_{A_p(h,G)} := \left(\iint_G h(z) |P_n(z)|^p d\sigma_z \right)^{1/p}, \quad 0 < p < \infty, \quad (2)$$

$$\|P_n\|_\infty := \|P_n\|_{A_\infty(1,G)} := \max_{z \in G} |P_n(z)|, \quad p = \infty,$$

and $A_p(1, G) \equiv A_p(G)$, where σ be the two-dimensional Lebesgue measure.

When L is rectifiable, for any $p > 0$, let

$$\|P_n\|_{\mathcal{L}_p(h,L)} := \left(\int_L h(z) |P_n(z)|^p |dz| \right)^{1/p} < \infty, \quad 0 < p < \infty, \quad (3)$$

$$\|P_n\|_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(1,L)} := \max_{z \in L} |P_n(z)|, \quad p = \infty,$$

and $\mathcal{L}_p(1, L) \equiv \mathcal{L}_p(L)$.

Let us set $\Omega := \bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \bar{G} = extL$; $\Delta(w, R) := \{w: |w| > R, R > 1\}$, $\Delta := \Delta(0,1)$ and let $w = \Phi(z)$ be the univalent conformal

mapping of Ω onto Δ such that $\Phi(\infty) = \infty$ and $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(z)}{z} > 0$; $\Psi = \Phi^{-1}$. For $R > 1$ we define $L_R := \{z: |\Phi(z)| = R\}$, $G_R := \text{int}L_R$, $\Omega_R := \text{ext}L_R$.

Well known Bernstein-Walsh Lemma [26] says that:

$$\|P_n\|_{C(\overline{G}_R)} \leq R^n \|P_n\|_{C(\overline{G})}. \quad (4)$$

Analogous estimation with respect to the quasinorm (4) for $p > 0$ was obtained in [19] for $h(z) \equiv 1$ (i.e., $\gamma_j = 0$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$) and in [8, Lemma 2.4] for $h(z) \neq 1$, defined as in (1) as following:

$$\|P_n\|_{L_p(h, L_R)} \leq R^{n + \frac{1+\gamma^*}{p}} \|P_n\|_{L_p(h, L)}, \quad \gamma^* = \max\{0; \gamma_j; 1 \leq j \leq l\}. \quad (5)$$

To give a similar estimation to (5) for the $A_p(h, G)$ -norm, first of all we will give the following definition.

Definition 1. [20, p.97], [23] The Jordan arc (or curve) L is called K -quasiconformal ($K \geq 1$), if there is a K -quasiconformal mapping f of the region $D \supset L$ such that $f(L)$ is a line segment (or circle).

Let $F(L)$ denote the set of all sense preserving plane homeomorphisms f of the region $D \supset L$ such that $f(L)$ is a line segment (or circle) and let

$$K_L := \inf\{K(f): f \in F(L)\},$$

where $K(f)$ is the maximal dilatation of f . Then L is a quasiconformal curve, if $K_L < \infty$, and L is a K -quasiconformal curve, if $K_L \leq K$.

A curve L is called a quasiconformal, if it is a K -quasiconformal for some $K > 1$.

The Bernstein-Walsh type estimates for the norm (2), for the regions with quasiconformal boundary and weight function $h(z)$, defined in (1) with $\gamma_j > -2$, for all $p > 0$ as follows

$$\|P_n\|_{A_p(h, G_R)} \leq c_1 R^{*n + \frac{1}{p}} \|P_n\|_{A_p(h, G)}, \quad (6)$$

was found in [3] (see, also [2]), where $R^* = 1 + c_2(R - 1)$, $c_2 > 0$ and $c_1 = c_1(G, p, c_2) > 0$ constants, independent from n and R . It's well known that quasiconformal curves can be non-rectifiable (see, for example, [16], [20, p.104]).

Analogous estimation was studied for $A_p(1, G)$ -norm, $p > 0$, for arbitrary Jordan region in [4, Theorem 1.1] and for any $P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$, $R_1 = 1 + \frac{1}{n}$ and arbitrary R , $R > R_1$, was obtain

$$\|P_n\|_{A_p(G_R)} \leq c R^{n + \frac{2}{p}} \|P_n\|_{A_p(G_{R_1})},$$

where $c = \left(\frac{2}{e^{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right]$, $n \rightarrow \infty$.

For a rectifiable quasiconformal curve L , N. Stylianopoulos [24] obtained the following estimate:

$$|P_n(z)| \leq c \frac{\sqrt{n}}{d(z, L)} \|P_n\|_{A_2(G)} |\Phi(z)|^{n+1}, \quad z \in \Omega, \quad (7)$$

where $d(z, L) := \inf\{|\zeta - z|: \zeta \in L\}$, a constant $c = c(L) > 0$ depending only on L .

Analogous results of (7)-type for $|P_n(z)|$, different weight function h , unbounded region Ω were obtained in [17, p.418-428], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [15], [22] and others.

In this work, we study the pointwise estimations for the derivative $|P'_n(z)|$ in unbounded region Ω with zero angles as the following type

$$|P'_n(z)| \leq c_2 \eta_n(G, h, p, d(z, L), |\Phi(z)|) \|P_n\|_p, \quad z \in \Omega, \quad (8)$$

where $c_2 = c_2(G, p) > 0$ is a constant independent of n, Z and P_n , and $\eta_n(G, h, p, d(z, L), |\Phi(z)|) \rightarrow \infty, n \rightarrow \infty$, depending on the properties of the G, h and from the distance of point $z \in \Omega$ to the \overline{G} .

2. Definitions and main results

Throughout this paper, c, c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots are positive and $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots$ are sufficiently small positive constants (generally, different in different relations), which depends on G in general and, on parameters inessential for the argument, otherwise, the dependence will be explicitly stated. For any $k \geq 0$ and $m > k$, notation $i = \overline{k, m}$ means $i = k, k + 1, \dots, m$.

Let $z = z(s)$, $s \in [0, mesL]$ denote the natural representation of L .

Definition 2. We say that $L \in C_\theta$, if L has a continuous tangent $\theta(z) := \theta(z(s))$ at every point $z(s)$. Then we write $G \in C_\theta \Leftrightarrow \partial G \in C_\theta$.

According to the "three-point" criterion [13, p.100], every piecewise smooth curve (without any cusps) is quasiconformal. Moreover, according to [23], we have the following:

Corollary 3. If $G \in C_\theta$, then ∂G is $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -quasiconformal for arbitrary small $\varepsilon > 0$.

Now we give the definitions of regions with a piecewise smooth curve, which we present our main result and some notation that will be used later in the text.

Definition 4. [5] We say that a Jordan region $G \in C_\theta(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$, $0 < \lambda_j \leq 2$, $j = \overline{1, l}$, if $L = \partial G$ consists of the union of finite smooth arcs $\{L_j\}_{j=1}^l$, such that they have exterior (with respect to \overline{G}) angles $\lambda_j\pi$, $0 < \lambda_j \leq 2$, at the corner points $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^l \in L$, where two arcs meet.

Without loss of generality, we assume that these points on the curve $L = \partial G$ are located in the positive direction such that, G has exterior $\lambda_j\pi$, $0 < \lambda_j < 2$, $j = \overline{0, l_1}$, angle at the points $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^{l_1}$, $l_1 \leq l$, and interior zero angle (i.e. $\lambda_j = 2$ -interior cusps) at the points $\{z_j\}_{j=l_1+1}^l$.

It is clear from Definition 4, the each region $G \in C_\theta(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$, $0 < \lambda_j \leq 2$, $j = \overline{1, l}$, may have exterior nonzero $\lambda_j\pi$, $0 < \lambda_j < 2$, angles at the points $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^{l_1} \in L$, and interior zero angles ($\lambda_j = 2$) at the the points $\{z_j\}_{j=l_1+1}^l \in L$. If $l_1 = l = 0$, then the region G doesn't have such angles, and in this case we will write: $G \in C_\theta$; if $l_1 = l \geq 1$, then G has only $\lambda_i\pi$, $0 < \lambda_i < 2$, $i = \overline{1, l_1}$, exterior nonzero angles, and in this case we will write: $G \in C_\theta(\lambda_i)$; if $l_1 = 0$ and $l \geq 1$, then G has only interior zero angles, and in this case we will write: $G \in C_\theta(2)$.

Throughout this work, we will assume that the points $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^l \in L$ defined in (1) and Definition 4 are identical and $w_j := \Phi(z_j)$.

For simplicity of exposition and in order to avoid cumbersome calculations, without loss of generality, we will take $l_1 = 1, l = 2$. Then, after this assumption, in the future we will have region $G \in C_\theta(\lambda_1, 2)$, $0 < \lambda_1 < 2$, such that at the point $z_1 \in L$ region G have exterior nonzero $\lambda_1\pi$, $0 < \lambda_1 < 2$, and at the point $z_2 \in L$ - interior zero angle. Note that, the notation " $G \in C_\theta(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, $0 < \lambda_1, \lambda_2 < 2$ " means that the region G has two exterior nonzero $\lambda_j\pi$, $0 < \lambda_j < 2$, $j = 1, 2$, angles at the point $z_j \in L$.

For $0 < \delta_j < \delta_0 := \frac{1}{4} \min\{|z_1 - z_2| : j = 1, 2\}$, $\delta := \min_{1 \leq j \leq 2} \delta_j$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(z_j, \delta_j) &:= \Omega \cap \{z : |z - z_j| \leq \delta_j\}; \\ \Omega(\delta) &:= \bigcup_{j=1}^2 \Omega(z_j, \delta), \widehat{\Omega} := \Omega \setminus \Omega(\delta). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

In this work, we study problem of (8) type in regions with piecewise smooth boundary without exterior cusps and generalized Jacobi weight function $h(z)$, as defined in (1).

Now, we start to formulate the new results.

Theorem 5. Let $p > 1$; $G \in C_\theta(\lambda_1, 2)$, for some $0 < \lambda_1 < 2$; $h(z)$ be defined as in (1). Then, for any $P_n \in \wp_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma_j > -2$ and arbitrary small $\varepsilon > 0$

$$|P'_n(z)| \leq c_1 \left[\frac{|\Phi(z)|^{n+1}}{d(z, L_{R_1})} G_{n,1}(z) + \frac{|\Phi(z)|^{2(n+1)}}{d^{2/p}(z, L_{1+1/n})} B_{n,1}(z) E_{n,1} \right] \|P_n\|_p$$

holds, where $c_1 = c_1(G, \gamma_i, p, \varepsilon) > 0$;

$$G_{n,1}(z) := \begin{cases} n^{\frac{\gamma_1+2}{p} \tilde{\lambda}_1}, & \gamma_1 \geq \frac{2}{\tilde{\lambda}_1}(\gamma_2 + 2) - 2, \gamma_2 \geq \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_1}{2\lambda_1} - 2, & z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ n^{\frac{\gamma_2+2}{p} 2}, & \frac{1}{\lambda_1} - 2 \leq \gamma_1 < \frac{2}{\tilde{\lambda}_1}(\gamma_2 + 2) - 2, \gamma_2 \geq \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_1}{2\lambda_1} - 2, & z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ n^{\frac{\gamma_2+2}{p} 2}, & \gamma_1 < \frac{1}{\lambda_1} - 2, \gamma_2 \geq \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_1}{2\lambda_1} - 2, & z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ 1, & \gamma_1 < \frac{1}{\lambda_1} - 2, \gamma_2 < -\frac{3}{2}, & z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ n^{\frac{2}{p} + \varepsilon}, & \text{for all } \lambda_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, & z \in \hat{\Omega}(\delta), \end{cases}$$

$$E_{n,1} := \begin{cases} n^{\frac{\tilde{\gamma} \cdot \tilde{\lambda}}{p}}, & \text{if } \tilde{\gamma} \cdot \tilde{\lambda} \geq 1, \\ n^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text{if } \tilde{\gamma} \cdot \tilde{\lambda} < 1, \end{cases} \quad B_{n,1}(z) := \begin{cases} n^{\tilde{\lambda}}, & z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ n^{1+\varepsilon}, & z \in \hat{\Omega}(\delta), \end{cases};$$

$$\hat{\lambda} := \begin{cases} \max\{1; \lambda\} + \varepsilon, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 2, \\ 2, & \text{if } \lambda = 2, \end{cases}; \quad \tilde{\gamma} := \begin{cases} \tilde{\gamma}_1, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 2, \\ \tilde{\gamma}_2, & \text{if } \lambda = 2, \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{\gamma}_i := \max\{0; \gamma_i\}, \quad i = 1, 2; \quad \tilde{\lambda}_1 := \max\{1; \lambda_1\} + \varepsilon.$$

Theorem 6. Let $p > 1$; $G \in C_\theta(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, for some $0 < \lambda_j < 2, j = 1, 2$; $h(z)$ be defined as in (1). Then, for any $P_n \in \wp_n, n \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma_j > -2$ and arbitrary small $\varepsilon > 0$

$$|P'_n(z)| \leq c_2 \|P_n\|_p \left[\frac{|\Phi(z)|^{n+1}}{d(z, L_{R_1})} G_{n,2}(z) + \frac{|\Phi(z)|^{2(n+1)}}{d^{2/p}(z, L_{1+1/n})} B_{n,2}(z) E_{n,2} \right]$$

holds, where $c_2 = c_2(G, \gamma_i, p, \varepsilon) > 0$;

$$G_{n,2}(z) := \begin{cases} n^{\frac{\gamma_1+2}{p} \tilde{\lambda}_1}, & \gamma_1 \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_1} - 2, \gamma_2 < \frac{1}{\lambda_2} - 2, & z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ n^{\frac{\gamma_2+2}{p} \tilde{\lambda}_2}, & \gamma_1 < \frac{1}{\lambda_1} - 2, \gamma_2 \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_2} - 2, & z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ 1, & \gamma_1 < \frac{1}{\lambda_1} - 2, \gamma_2 < \frac{1}{\lambda_2} - 2, & z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ n^{\frac{\gamma_1+2}{p} \tilde{\lambda}_1}, & \gamma_1 \geq \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}(\gamma_2 + 2) - 2, \gamma_2 \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_2} - 2, & z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ n^{\frac{\gamma_2+2}{p} \tilde{\lambda}_2}, & \frac{1}{\lambda_1} - 2 \leq \gamma_1 < \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}(\gamma_2 + 2) - 2, \gamma_2 \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_2} - 2, & z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ n^{\frac{2}{p} + \varepsilon}, & \text{for all } \lambda_1, \gamma_1, & z \in \hat{\Omega}(\delta), \end{cases}$$

$$E_{n,2} := \begin{cases} n^{\frac{\tilde{\gamma} \cdot \tilde{\lambda}}{p}}, & \text{if } \tilde{\gamma} \cdot \tilde{\lambda} \geq 1, \\ n^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text{if } \tilde{\gamma} \cdot \tilde{\lambda} < 1, \end{cases}; \quad B_{n,2}(z) := \begin{cases} n^{\tilde{\lambda}}, & z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ n^{1+\varepsilon}, & z \in \hat{\Omega}(\delta), \end{cases};$$

$$\hat{\lambda} := \begin{cases} \max\{1; \lambda\} + \varepsilon, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 2, \\ 2, & \text{if } \lambda = 2, \end{cases}; \quad \tilde{\gamma} := \begin{cases} \tilde{\gamma}_1, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 2, \\ \tilde{\gamma}_2, & \text{if } \lambda = 2, \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{\gamma}_i := \max\{0; \gamma_i\}, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_i := \max\{1; \lambda_i\} + \varepsilon, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Analogously, we also can give a theorem for the regions such as $G \in C_\theta(2, 2)$.

3. Some auxiliary results

Lemma 1. [1] Let L be a K -quasiconformal curve, $z_1 \in L$, $z_2, z_3 \in \Omega \cap \{z: |z - z_1| < d(z_1, L_{R_0})\}$; $w_j = \Phi(z_j)$, $(z_2, z_3 \in G \cap \{z: |z - z_1| < d(z_1, L_{R_0})\}$; $w_j = \varphi(z_j)$), $j = 1, 2, 3$. Then

a) The statements $|z_1 - z_2| < |z_1 - z_3|$ and $|w_1 - w_2| < |w_1 - w_3|$ are equivalent.

So are $|z_1 - z_2| \approx |z_1 - z_3|$ and $|w_1 - w_2| \approx |w_1 - w_3|$.

b) If $|z_1 - z_2| < |z_1 - z_3|$, then

$$\left| \frac{w_1 - w_3}{w_1 - w_2} \right|^{K^2} < \left| \frac{z_1 - z_3}{z_1 - z_2} \right| < \left| \frac{w_1 - w_3}{w_1 - w_2} \right|^{K^{-2}},$$

where $\varepsilon < 1$, $c > 1$, $R_0 > 1$ are constants, depending on G .

Corollary 7. Under the assumptions of Lemma 1, if $z_3 \in L_{R_0}$, then

$$|w_1 - w_2|^{K^2} < |z_1 - z_2| < |w_1 - w_2|^{K^{-2}}.$$

Corollary 8. If $L \in C_\theta$, then

$$|w_1 - w_2|^{1+\varepsilon} < |z_1 - z_2| < |w_1 - w_2|^{1-\varepsilon},$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

The following lemma is a consequence of the results given in [18], [21], [27] and of estimate for the $|\Psi'|$ (see, for example, [14, Th.2.8]):

$$|\Psi'(\tau)| \approx \frac{d(\Psi(\tau), L)}{|\tau-1|}. \tag{10}$$

Let $w_j := \Phi(z_j)$, $\varphi_j := \arg w_j$. Without loss of generality, we will assume that $\varphi_l < 2\pi$. Additionally to the notations (9), for $\eta_j = \min_{t \in \partial\Phi(\Omega(z_j, \delta_j))} |t - w_j| > 0$ and $\eta := \min\{\eta_j, j = \overline{1, l}\}$ let us set: $\Delta_j(\eta_j) := \{t: |t - w_j| \leq \eta_j\} \subset \Phi(\Omega(z_j, \delta_j))$, $\Delta(\eta) := \bigcup_{j=1}^l \Delta_j(\eta)$, $\widehat{\Delta}_j = \Delta \setminus \Delta(\eta_j)$; $\widehat{\Delta}(\eta) := \Delta \setminus \Delta(\eta)$; $\Delta'_1 := \Delta'_1(1)$, $\Delta'_1(\rho) := \{t = R \cdot e^{i\theta}: R \geq \rho > 1, \frac{\varphi_0 + \varphi_1}{2} \leq \theta < \frac{\varphi_1 + \varphi_2}{2}\}$, $\Delta'_j := \Delta'_j(1)$, $\Delta'_j(\rho) := \{t = R \cdot e^{i\theta}: R \geq \rho > 1, \frac{\varphi_{j-1} + \varphi_j}{2} \leq \theta < \frac{\varphi_j + \varphi_0}{2}\}$, $j = 2, 3, \dots, l$, where $\varphi_0 = 2\pi - \varphi_l$; $\Omega_j := \Psi(\Delta'_j)$, $L_{R_1}^j := L_{R_1} \cap \Omega_j$. Clearly, $\Omega = \bigcup_{j=1}^l \Omega_j$.

The following lemma is a consequence of the results given in [27] and [18].

Lemma 2. Let $G \in C_\theta(\lambda, \dots, \lambda_l)$, $0 < \lambda_j < 2$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$. Then

i) for any $w \in \Delta_j$, $|w - w_j|^{\lambda_j + \varepsilon} < |\Psi(w) - \Psi(w_j)| < |w - w_j|^{\lambda_j - \varepsilon}$, $|w - w_j|^{\lambda_j - 1 + \varepsilon} < |\Psi'(w)| < |w - w_j|^{\lambda_j - 1 - \varepsilon}$,

ii) for any $w \in \widehat{\Delta} \setminus \Delta_j$, $(|w| - 1)^{1 + \varepsilon} < d(\Psi(w), L) < (|w| - 1)^{1 - \varepsilon}$, $(|w| - 1)^\varepsilon < |\Psi'(w)| < (|w| - 1)^{-\varepsilon}$.

Let $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^l$ be a fixed system of distinct points on curve L ordered in the positive direction and the weight function $h(z)$ be defined as in (1).

Lemma 3. [6] Let L is a K -quasiconformal curve; $R = 1 + \frac{c}{n}$. Then, for any fixed $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ there exist a level curve $L_{1+\varepsilon(R-1)}$ such that the following holds for any polynomial $P_n(z) \in \wp_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\|P_n\|_{\mathcal{L}_p\left(\frac{h}{|\Phi'|} L_{1+\varepsilon(R-1)}\right)} < n^{\frac{1}{p}} \|P_n\|_p, \quad p > 0. \tag{11}$$

Lemma 4. [6] Let L be a K -quasiconformal curve; $h(z)$ be defined as in (1). Then, for arbitrary $P_n(z) \in \wp_n$, any $R > 1$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$\|P_n\|_{A_p(h, G_R)} < \tilde{R}^{n+\frac{1}{p}} \|P_n\|_{A_p(h, G)}, \quad p > 0, \quad (12)$$

where $\tilde{R} = 1 + c(R - 1)$ and c is independent from n and R .

Lemma 5. Let $G \in C_\theta(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$, $0 < \lambda_j \leq 2, j = \overline{1, l}$. Then, for arbitrary $P_n(z) \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and any $p > 0$, we have:

$$\|P_n\|_{A_p(h, G_{1+c/n})} < \|P_n\|_{A_p(h, G)}. \quad (13)$$

4. Proof of Theorems

4.1. Proof of Theorems 5 and 6.

Proof. We will prove both theorems simultaneously. Suppose that $G \in C_\theta(\lambda; 2)$ ($C_\theta(\lambda_1; \lambda_2)$) for some $0 < \lambda < 2$; $h(z)$ be defined as in (1). For $z \in \Omega$, we define:

$$T_n(z) := \frac{P_n(z)}{\Phi^{n+1}(z)}. \quad (14)$$

Then

$$T_n'(z) = \frac{P_n'(z)}{\Phi^{n+1}(z)} + P_n(z) \left(\frac{1}{\Phi^{n+1}(z)} \right)', \quad z \in \Omega.$$

For any $R > 1$ and $R_1 := 1 + \frac{R-1}{2}$, Cauchy integral representation for the region Ω_{R_1} gives

$$\begin{aligned} T_n'(z) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{L_{R_1}} T_n(\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)^2} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{L_{R_1}} \frac{P_n(\zeta)}{\Phi^{n+1}(\zeta)} \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)^2}, \quad z \in \Omega_{R_1}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{1}{\Phi^{n+1}(z)} \right)' = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{L_{R_1}} \frac{1}{\Phi^{n+1}(\zeta)} \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)^2}, \quad z \in \Omega_{R_1}.$$

Then, from (15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} P_n'(z) &= \Phi^{n+1}(z) \left[T_n'(z) - P_n(z) \left(\frac{1}{\Phi^{n+1}(z)} \right)' \right] \\ &= \Phi^{n+1}(z) \left[-\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{L_{R_1}} \frac{P_n(\zeta)}{\Phi^{n+1}(\zeta)} \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)^2} + \frac{P_n(z)}{2\pi i} \int_{L_{R_1}} \frac{1}{\Phi^{n+1}(\zeta)} \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)^2} \right], \quad z \in \Omega_{R_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$|P_n'(z)| \leq \frac{|\Phi^{n+1}(z)|}{2\pi} \left[\int_{L_{R_1}} \left| \frac{P_n(\zeta)}{\Phi^{n+1}(\zeta)} \right| \frac{|d\zeta|}{|\zeta-z|^2} + |P_n(z)| \int_{L_{R_1}} \left| \frac{1}{\Phi^{n+1}(\zeta)} \right| \frac{|d\zeta|}{|\zeta-z|^2} \right].$$

Since $|\Phi(\zeta)| > 1$, for $\zeta \in L_{R_1}$, then, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |P_n'(z)| &< \frac{|\Phi(z)|^{n+1}}{2\pi} \left[\int_{L_{R_1}} |P_n(\zeta)| \frac{|d\zeta|}{|\zeta-z|^2} + |P_n(z)| \int_{L_{R_1}} \frac{|d\zeta|}{|\zeta-z|^2} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{|\Phi(z)|^{n+1}}{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{d(z, L_{R_1})} \int_{L_{R_1}} |P_n(\zeta)| \frac{|d\zeta|}{|\zeta-z|} + |P_n(z)| \int_{L_{R_1}} \frac{|d\zeta|}{|\zeta-z|^2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Denote by

$$A_n(z) := \int_{L_{R_1}} |P_n(\zeta)| \frac{|d\zeta|}{|\zeta-z|}, \quad B_n(z) := \int_{L_{R_1}} \frac{|d\zeta|}{|\zeta-z|^2}, \quad (17)$$

and will be estimate these integrals separately.

To estimate $A_n(z)$, first of all replacing the variable $\tau = \Phi(\zeta)$ and multiplying the numerator and denominator of the integrant by $\prod_{j=1}^2 |\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_j)|^{\frac{\gamma_j}{p}} |\Psi'(\tau)|^{\frac{2}{p}}$ and applying the Hölder inequality, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_n(z) &= \int_{L_{R_1}} |P_n(\zeta)| \frac{|d\zeta|}{|\zeta-z|} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{F_{R_1}^i} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^2 |\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_j)|^{\frac{\gamma_j}{p}} |P_n(\Psi(\tau))(\Psi'(\tau))^{\frac{2}{p}} |\Psi'(\tau)|^{1-\frac{2}{p}}}{\prod_{j=1}^2 |\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_j)|^{\frac{\gamma_j}{p}} |\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w)|} |d\tau| \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\int_{F_{R_1}^i} \prod_{j=1}^2 |\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_j)|^{\gamma_j} |P_n(\Psi(\tau))|^p |\Psi'(\tau)|^2 |d\tau| \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\quad \times \left(\int_{F_{R_1}^i} \left(\frac{|\Psi'(\tau)|^{1-\frac{2}{p}}}{\prod_{j=1}^2 |\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_j)|^{\frac{\gamma_j}{p}} |\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w)|} \right)^q |d\tau| \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 &=: \sum_{i=1}^3 A_n^i(z),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{18}$$

where $F_{R_1}^j := \Phi(L_{R_1}^j) = \Delta_j' \cap \{\tau: |\tau| = R_1\}, j = 1,2; F_{R_1}^3 := \Phi(L_{R_1}^1) \setminus (F_{R_1}^1 \cup F_{R_1}^{21})$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_n^i(z) &:= \left(\int_{F_{R_1}^i} |f_{n,p}(\tau)|^p |d\tau| \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{F_{R_1}^i} \frac{|\Psi'(\tau)|^{2-q}}{\prod_{j=1}^2 |\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_j)|^{\gamma_j(q-1)} |\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w)|^q} |d\tau| \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 &=: J_{n,1}^i \cdot J_{n,2}^i(z), \\
 f_{n,p}(\tau) &:= h^{\frac{1}{p}}(\Psi(\tau)) P_n(\Psi(\tau)) (\Psi'(\tau))^{\frac{2}{p}}, |\tau| = R_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying to Lemmas 3, 4 and 5, we get:

$$J_{n,1}^i < n^{\frac{1}{p}} \|P_n\|_p, \quad i = 1,2,3.
 \tag{19}$$

For the estimation of the integral $J_{n,2}^i(z)$, for $i = 1,2,3$, and $j = 1,2$, we set:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_{R_1}^{11}(w_j) &= \{\tau: \tau \in F_{R_1}^j, |\tau - w_j| < c_j(R_1 - 1)\}, \\
 E_{R_1}^{12}(w_j) &:= \{\tau: \tau \in F_{R_1}^j, c_j(R_1 - 1) \leq |\tau - w_j| < \eta\}, \\
 E_{R_1}^{13}(w_j) &:= \{\tau: \tau \in \Phi(L_{R_1}^j), |\tau - w_j| \geq \eta\},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < c_j < \eta$ is chosen so that $\{\tau: |\tau - w_j| < c_j(R_1 - 1)\} \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset$ and $\Phi(L_{R_1}^j) = \cup_{k=1}^3 E_{R_1}^{1k}(w_j)$. Taking into consideration these notations, (19) can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i=1}^3 J_{n,2}^i(z) &=: J_2(z) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 J_2(E_{R_1}^{1i}(w_j), z) \\
 &=: \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 J_{2,j}^i(z)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{20}$$

and, consequently,

$$A_n(z) < n^{\frac{1}{p}} \|P_n\|_p \cdot \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{i=1}^3 J_{2,j}^i(z) =: \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{i=1}^3 A_{n,i}^j(z),
 \tag{21}$$

where

$$A_{n,i}^j(z) := n^{\frac{1}{p}} \|P_n\|_p \cdot J_{2,j}^i(z), \quad i = 1,2,3; j = 1,2.
 \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (J_{2,j}^i(z))^q &:= \int_{E_{R_1}^{1i}(w_j)} \frac{|\Psi'(\tau)|^{2-q} |d\tau|}{\prod_{j=1}^2 |\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_j)|^{\gamma_j(q-1)} |\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w)|^q} \\ &\approx \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{E_{R_1}^{1i}(w_j)} \frac{|\Psi'(\tau)|^{2-q} |d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_j)|^{\gamma_j(q-1)} |\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w)|^q}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \end{aligned}$$

since the points w_1 and w_2 are isolated.

Therefore, we need to estimate the quantity (21). In case of $j = 1$, for any $p > 1, 0 < \lambda_1 < 2, \gamma > -2$, and for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, in [12] is proved following estimate:

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 A_{n,i}^1(z) < \|P_n\|_p \cdot \begin{cases} n^{\frac{\gamma_1+2}{p} \tilde{\lambda}_1}, & 0 < \lambda_1 < 2, \\ & \gamma_1 \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_1} - 2, \quad z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ n^{\frac{2+\varepsilon}{p}}, & \text{for all } \lambda_1, \gamma_1, \quad z \in \hat{\Omega}(\delta), \\ 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

where $\tilde{\lambda}_1 := \max\{1; \lambda_1\} + \varepsilon$.

Similarly to the case $j = 1$, for the case $j = 2$, we obtain:

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 A_{n,i}^2(z) < \|P_n\|_p \cdot \begin{cases} n^{\frac{\gamma_2+2}{p} 2+\varepsilon}, & \gamma_2 \geq -\frac{3}{2}, \quad z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ n^{\frac{2+\varepsilon}{p}}, & \gamma_1 > -2, \quad z \in \hat{\Omega}(\delta), \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

Combining (23) and (24), for the region $G \in C_\theta(\lambda_1, 2)$, any $p > 1, \gamma_1 > -2, 0 < \lambda_1 < 2$, and for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(z) &= \sum_{k=1}^3 A_{n,k}^1 < \|P_n\|_p \times \quad (25) \\ &\times \begin{cases} n^{\frac{\gamma_1+2}{p} \tilde{\lambda}_1}, & \gamma_1 \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_1} - 2, \quad z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ n^{\frac{2+\varepsilon}{p}}, & \text{for all } \lambda_1, \gamma_1, \quad z \in \hat{\Omega}(\delta), \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} + \begin{cases} n^{\frac{\gamma_2+2}{p} 2+\varepsilon}, & \gamma_2 \geq -\frac{3}{2}, \quad z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ n^{\frac{2+\varepsilon}{p}}, & \gamma_1 > -2, \quad z \in \hat{\Omega}(\delta), \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &< \|P_n\|_p \cdot \begin{cases} n^{\frac{\gamma_1+2}{p} \tilde{\lambda}_1}, & \gamma_1 \geq \frac{2}{\tilde{\lambda}_1} (\gamma_2 + 2) - 2, \gamma_2 \geq \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_1}{2\lambda_1} - 2, \quad z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ n^{\frac{\gamma_2+2}{p} 2}, & \frac{1}{\lambda_1} - 2 \leq \gamma_1 < \frac{2}{\tilde{\lambda}_1} (\gamma_2 + 2) - 2, \gamma_2 \geq \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_1}{2\lambda_1} - 2, \quad z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ n^{\frac{\gamma_2+2}{p} 2}, & \gamma_1 < \frac{1}{\lambda_1} - 2, \gamma_2 \geq \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_1}{2\lambda_1} - 2, \quad z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ 1, & \gamma_1 < \frac{1}{\lambda_1} - 2, \gamma_2 < -\frac{3}{2}, \quad z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ n^{\frac{2+\varepsilon}{p}}, & \text{for all } \lambda_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \quad z \in \hat{\Omega}(\delta), \end{cases} \\ &=: \|P_n\|_p \cdot G_{n,1}(z) \end{aligned}$$

If the angle at point z_2 is equals $\lambda_2\pi$ such that $0 < \lambda_1, \lambda_2 < 2$, , then, analogously to (25), for the region $G \in C_\theta(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ all $0 < \lambda_1, \lambda_2 < 2$, we have:

$$A_n(z) = \sum_{k=1}^3 A_{n,k}^1 < \|P_n\|_p \times \quad (26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} n^{\frac{\gamma_1+2}{p}} \bar{\lambda}_1, & \gamma_1 \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_1} - 2, \quad z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ n^{\frac{2}{p}+\varepsilon}, & \text{for all } \lambda_1, \gamma_1, \quad z \in \widehat{\Omega}(\delta), \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. + \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} n^{\frac{\gamma_2+2}{p}} \bar{\lambda}_2, & \gamma_2 \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_2} - 2, \quad z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ n^{\frac{2}{p}+\varepsilon}, & \text{for all } \lambda_2, \gamma_2, \quad z \in \widehat{\Omega}(\delta), \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \\ & < \|P_n\|_p \times \begin{cases} n^{\frac{\gamma_1+2}{p}} \bar{\lambda}_1, & \gamma_1 \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_1} - 2, \gamma_2 < \frac{1}{\lambda_2} - 2, & z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ n^{\frac{\gamma_2+2}{p}} \bar{\lambda}_2, & \gamma_1 < \frac{1}{\lambda_1} - 2, \gamma_2 \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_2} - 2, & z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ 1, & \gamma_1 < \frac{1}{\lambda_1} - 2, \gamma_2 < \frac{1}{\lambda_2} - 2, & z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ n^{\frac{\gamma_1+2}{p}} \bar{\lambda}_1, & \gamma_1 \geq \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}(\gamma_2 + 2) - 2, \gamma_2 \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_2} - 2, & z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ n^{\frac{\gamma_2+2}{p}} \bar{\lambda}_2, & \frac{1}{\lambda_1} - 2 \leq \gamma_1 < \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}(\gamma_2 + 2) - 2, \gamma_2 \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_2} - 2, & z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ n^{\frac{2}{p}+\varepsilon}, & \text{for all } \lambda_1, \gamma_1, & z \in \widehat{\Omega}(\delta), \end{cases} \\ & =: \|P_n\|_p \times G_{n,2}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us estimate $B_n(z)$. Let $G \in C_\theta(\lambda_1, 2)$. By replacing the variable $\tau = \Phi(\zeta)$ and according to (10) and Lemma 2, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(z) &= \int_{L_{R_1}} \frac{|d\zeta|}{|\zeta-z|^2} = \int_{|\tau|=R_1} \frac{|\Psi'(\tau)||d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau)-\Psi(w)|^2} |\Psi'(\tau)| \asymp \frac{d(\Psi(\tau),L)}{|\tau-1|} \\ &= \int_{\{|\tau|=R_1\} \cap \Delta_1} \frac{|\tau-w_1|^{\lambda_1-1-\varepsilon}|d\tau|}{|\tau-w|^2(\lambda_1-\varepsilon)} + \int_{\{|\tau|=R_1\} \cap \Delta_2} \frac{d(\Psi(\tau),L)|d\tau|}{(|\tau-1)|\Psi(\tau)-\Psi(w)|^2} \\ &+ \int_{\{|\tau|=R_1\} \cap (\widehat{\Delta}_1 \cup \widehat{\Delta}_2)} \frac{(|\tau-1|)^{-\varepsilon}|d\tau|}{|\tau-w|^{2(1-\varepsilon)}} \\ &=: B_n^1(z) + B_n^2(z) + B_n^3(z). \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Let us set:

$$F_1 := \{ \{|\tau| = R_1\} \cap \Delta_1 : |\tau - w_1| \geq |\tau - w| \}, F_2 := \{ \{|\tau| = R_1\} \cap \Delta_2 : |\tau - w_2| \geq |\tau - w| \},$$

$$F_3 := (\{|\tau| = R_1\}) \setminus (F_1 \cup F_2).$$

Under this notations we have:

$$\begin{aligned} B_n^1(z) &= \int_{F_1} \frac{|\tau-w_1|^{\lambda_1-1-\varepsilon}|d\tau|}{|\tau-w|^{2(\lambda_1+\varepsilon)}} + \int_{F_2} \frac{|\tau-w_1|^{\lambda_1-1-\varepsilon}|d\tau|}{|\tau-w|^{2(\lambda_1+\varepsilon)}} \\ &< \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{\lambda_1-1-\varepsilon} \int_{F_1} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\tau-w|^{2(\lambda_1-\varepsilon)}} + \int_{F_2} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\tau-w|^{\lambda_1+1+\varepsilon}}, & \text{if } \lambda_1 \geq 1, \\ \int_{F_1} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\tau-w|^{2(\lambda_1+\varepsilon)-\lambda_1+1-\varepsilon}} + \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{\lambda_1-1-\varepsilon} \int_{F_2} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\tau-w|^{2(\lambda_1+\varepsilon)}}, & \text{if } \lambda_1 < 1, \end{cases} \\ &< \begin{cases} n^{\lambda_1+\varepsilon}, & \text{if } \lambda_1 \geq 1, \\ n^{\lambda_1+\varepsilon}, & \text{if } \lambda_1 < 1, \end{cases} \forall \varepsilon > 0; \\ B_n^2(z) &= \int_{\{|\tau|=R_1\} \cap (\widehat{\Delta}_1 \cup \widehat{\Delta}_2)} \frac{d(\Psi(\tau),L)|d\tau|}{(|\tau-1)|\Psi(\tau)-\Psi(w)|^2} < \begin{cases} n^2, & z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ n^{1+\varepsilon}, & z \in \widehat{\Omega}(\delta), \end{cases} \forall \varepsilon > 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$B_n^3(z) = \int_{\{|\tau|=R_1\} \cap \widehat{\Delta}_1} \frac{(|\tau-1|)^{-\varepsilon}|d\tau|}{|\tau-w|^{2(1-\varepsilon)}} < n^{1+\varepsilon}, \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

So, from (27), we have:

$$B_n(z) < B_{n,1}(z) := \begin{cases} n^{\lambda}, & z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ n^{1+\varepsilon}, & z \in \widehat{\Omega}(\delta), \end{cases} \forall \varepsilon > 0. \tag{28}$$

Similarly, for the region $G \in C_\theta(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, we obtain:

$$B_n(z) < B_{n,2}(z) := \begin{cases} n^{\tilde{\lambda}}, & z \in \Omega(\delta), \\ n^{1+\varepsilon}, & z \in \widehat{\Omega}(\delta), \end{cases} \forall \varepsilon > 0. \quad (29)$$

Now, combining (16), (17), (25), (28) and (29) for the region, any $p > 1$, $\gamma_1 > -2, 0 < \lambda_1 < 2$, and for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, we obtain:

$$|P'_n(z)| < |\Phi(z)|^{n+1} \left[\frac{1}{d(z, L_{R_1})} \int_{L_{R_1}} |P_n(\zeta)| \frac{|d\zeta|}{|\zeta - z|} + |P_n(z)| \int_{L_{R_1}} \frac{|d\zeta|}{|\zeta - z|^2} \right] \\ < |\Phi(z)|^{n+1} \|P_n\|_p \left[\frac{1}{d(z, L_{R_1})} G_{n,1}(z) + |P_n(z)| B_{n,1}(z) \right], \text{ if } G \in C_\theta(\lambda_1, 2),$$

$$|P'_n(z)| < |\Phi(z)|^{n+1} \|P_n\|_p \left[\frac{1}{d(z, L_{R_1})} G_{n,2}(z) + |P_n(z)| B_{n,2}(z) \right], \text{ if } G \in C_\theta(\lambda_1, \lambda_2),$$

Now, using estimates for $|P_n(z)|$ ([25, Theorem 1 and Corollary 1]) for the cases $G \in C_\theta(\lambda_1, 2)$ and $G \in C_\theta(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, we get:

$$|P'_n(z)| < \|P_n\|_p \left[\frac{|\Phi(z)|^{n+1}}{d(z, L_{R_1})} G_{n,1}(z) + \frac{|\Phi(z)|^{2(n+1)}}{d^{\frac{2}{p}}\left(z, L_{1+\frac{1}{n}}\right)} E_{n,1} B_{n,1}(z) \right], \text{ if } G \in C_\theta(\lambda_1, 2),$$

and

$$|P'_n(z)| < \|P_n\|_p \left[\frac{|\Phi(z)|^{n+1}}{d(z, L_{R_1})} G_{n,2}(z) + \frac{|\Phi(z)|^{2(n+1)}}{d^{\frac{2}{p}}\left(z, L_{1+\frac{1}{n}}\right)} E_{n,2} B_{n,2}(z) \right], \text{ if } G \in C_\theta(\lambda_1, \lambda_2),$$

where

$$E_{n,1} := \begin{cases} n^{\frac{\tilde{\gamma} \cdot \hat{\lambda}}{p}}, & \text{if } \tilde{\gamma} \cdot \hat{\lambda} \geq 1, \\ n^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text{if } \tilde{\gamma} \cdot \hat{\lambda} < 1, \end{cases} \quad E_{n,2} := \begin{cases} n^{\frac{\tilde{\gamma} \cdot \tilde{\lambda}}{p}}, & \text{if } \tilde{\gamma} \cdot \tilde{\lambda} \geq 1, \\ n^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text{if } \tilde{\gamma} \cdot \tilde{\lambda} < 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\hat{\lambda} := \begin{cases} \max\{1; \lambda\} + \varepsilon, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 2, \\ 2, & \text{if } \lambda = 2, \end{cases} \quad \tilde{\gamma} := \begin{cases} \tilde{\gamma}_1, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 2, \\ \tilde{\gamma}_2, & \text{if } \lambda = 2, \end{cases} \\ \tilde{\gamma}_i := \max\{0; \gamma_i\}, \quad i = 1, 2; \quad \tilde{\lambda} := \max\{1; \lambda_1, \lambda_2\} + \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, we complete the proof of Theorems 5 and 6.

References

- [1] Abdullayev F. G., Andrievskii V. V., "On the orthogonal polynomials in the domains with K-quasiconformal boundary", *Izv. Akad. Nauk Azerb. SSR., Ser. FTM.* 1, (1983), 3-7.
- [2] Abdullayev F. G., "On the interference of the weight boundary contour for orthogonal polynomials over the region", *J. of Comp. Anal. and Appl.*, 6 (1), (2004), 31-42.
- [3] Abdullayev F. G., Aral D., "On the Bernstein-Walsh type Lemmas in regions of the complex plane", *Ukr. Math. J.*, Vol. 63 (3), (2011), 337-350.
- [4] Abdullayev F. G., Özkartepe P., "An analogue of the Bernstein-Walsh lemma in Jordan regions of the complex plane", *Journal Ineq. and Appl.*, 2013:570 (2013), 7 pp.
- [5] Abdullayev F. G., Gün C. D., "On the behavior of the algebraic polynomials in regions with piecewise smooth boundary without cusps", *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 111, (2014), 39-58.
- [6] Abdullayev F. G., Özkartepe P., "On the behavior of the algebraic polynomial in unbounded regions with piecewise Dini-smooth boundary", *Ukr. Math. J.*, 66 (5), (2015), 645-665.
- [7] Abdullayev F. G., Gün C. D., Özkartepe P., "Inequalities for algebraic polynomials in regions with exterior cusps", *J. Nonlinear Funct. Anal.* 3, (2015), 1-32.

- [8] Abdullayev F. G., Özkartepe P., “On the growth of algebraic polynomials in the whole complex plane”, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* 52 (4), (2015), 699-725.
- [9] Abdullayev F. G., Özkartepe P., “Uniform and pointwise polynomial inequalities in regions with cusps in the weighted Lebesgue space”, *Jaen Journal on Approximation*, 7 (2), (2015), 231-261.
- [10] Abdullayev F. G., Özkartepe P., “Polynomial inequalities in Lavrentiev regions with interior and exterior zero angles in the weighted Lebesgue space”, *Publications de l’Institut Mathématique (Beograd)*, 100 (114), (2016), 209-227.
- [11] Abdullayev F. G., Özkartepe N.P., “Uniform and pointwise Bernstein-Walsh-type inequalities on a quasidisk in the complex plane”, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc.*, 23 (2), (2016), 285-310.
- [12] Abdullayev F. G., Gün C. D., “Bernstein-Walsh -type inequalities for derivatives of algebraic polynomials”, 2020. (to appear)
- [13] Ahlfors L., “Lectures on quasiconformal mappings”, Princeton, NJ: Van Nostrand, 1966.
- [14] Andrievskii V. V., Belyi V. I., Dzyadyk V. K., “Conformal invariants in constructive theory of functions of complex plane”, Atlanta, World Federatin Publ. Com., 1995.
- [15] Andrievskii V. V., “Weighted polynomial inequalities in the complex plane”, *J. Approx.Theory*, 164 (9), (2012), 1165-1183.
- [16] Belinskii P. P., “General properties of quasiconformal mappings”, Nauka, Sib. otd., Novosibirsk, 1974. [in Russian]
- [17] Dzyadyk V. K., “Introduction to the theory of uniform approximation of function by polynomials”, Nauka, Moscow, 1977.
- [18] Gaier D., “On the convergence of the Bieberbach polynomials in regions with corners”, *Constructive Approximation*, 4, (1988), 289-305.
- [19] Hille E., Szegö G., Tamarkin J. D., “On some generalization of a theorem of A.Markoff”, *Duke Math. J.*, 3 (1937), 729-739.
- [20] Lehto O., Virtanen K.I., “Quasiconformal mapping in the plane”, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- [21] Mergelyan S. N., “Some questions of constructive functions theory”, *Proc. of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics*, Vol. XXXVII, 1951, 1-92. [in Russian]
- [22] Özkartepe P., “Pointwise Bernstein-Walsh-type inequalities in regions with piecewise Dini-smooth boundary”, *MJEN*, 5 (3), (2017), 35-47.
- [23] Rickman S., “Characterisation of quasiconformal arcs”, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. A, Mathematica.*, 395, (1966), 30 pp.
- [24] Stylianopoulos N., “Strong asymptotics for Bergman polynomials over domains with corners and applications”, *Const. Approx.*, 38 (1), (2012), 59-100.
- [25] Tunc T., Şimşek D., Oruç E., “Pointwise Bernstein-Walsh-type inequalities in regions with interior zero angles in the Bergman space”, *Trans. of NAS of Azerbaijan Ser. of Phys.-Tech. and Math. Sciences*, 37 (1), (2017), 169-182.
- [26] Walsh J. L., “Interpolation and approximation by rational functions in the complex domain”, AMS, 1960.
- [27] Warschawski S. E., “Über das Randverhalten der Ableitung der Abbildungsfunktion bei konformer Abbildung”, *Math.Z.*, 35, (1932), 321-456.