



## Fixed Point Results for Zamfirescu Mappings in $A$ -metric Spaces

ISA YILDIRIM 

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Ataturk University, 25240 Erzurum, Turkey.*

Received: 21-02-2021 • Accepted: 23-06-2021

**ABSTRACT.** In the present paper, we extend the Zamfirescu results ([9]) to  $A$ -metric spaces. Firstly, we define the notion of Zamfirescu mapping in  $A$ -metric spaces. After, we also obtain a fixed point theorem for such mappings. The established results carry some well-known results from the literature (see [2, 3, 5, 9]) to  $A$ -metric spaces. An appropriate example is also given.

*2010 AMS Classification:* 47H09, 47H10, 54H25

**Keywords:**  $A$ -metric spaces, Zamfirescu mappings, fixed point.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Zamfirescu's fixed point theorem is one of the most important extensions of Banach contraction principle. In 1972, Zamfirescu [9] obtained the following a very interesting fixed point theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(U, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $T : U \rightarrow U$  be a mapping for which there exists the real numbers  $a, b$  and  $c$  satisfying  $a \in (0, 1)$ ;  $b, c \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  such that for each pair  $u, v \in U$ , at least one of the following conditions holds:*

$$(Z_1) \quad d(Tu, Tv) \leq ad(u, v);$$

$$(Z_2) \quad d(Tu, Tv) \leq b [d(u, Tu) + d(v, Tv)];$$

$$(Z_3) \quad d(Tu, Tv) \leq c [d(u, Tv) + d(v, Tu)].$$

*Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $u^*$  and the Picard iteration  $\{u_n\}$  defined by  $u_{n+1} = Tu_n$  converges to  $u^*$  for any arbitrary fixed  $u_0 \in U$ .*

An operator  $T$  satisfying the contractive conditions  $(Z_1)$ ,  $(Z_2)$  and  $(Z_3)$  in the above theorem is called Zamfirescu mapping. Zamfirescu's theorem is a generalization of Banach's, Kannan's and Chatterjea's fixed point theorems (see [2, 3, 5]). Many researchers studied to obtain new classes of contraction mappings in different metric spaces. Some of them are  $D^*$ -metric space (see [8]),  $G$ -metric space (see [6]),  $S$ -metric space (see [7]),  $A$ -metric space (see [1]), etc., as a generalization of the usual metric space. These generalizations helped the development of fixed point theory.

In 2006, Mustafa and Sims [6] introduced the notion of  $G$ -metric space. After, Sedghi et al. [7] defined the concept of  $D^*$ -metric and  $S$ -metric spaces. Also, they proved some fixed point theorems in such spaces. Every  $G$ -metric space is a  $D^*$ -metric space and every  $D^*$ -metric space is an  $S$ -metric space. That is,  $S$ -metric space is a generalization of the  $G$ -metric space and the  $D^*$ -metric space.

In 2015, Abbas et al. [1] introduced the concept of an  $A$ -metric space as follows:

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $U$  be nonempty set. Suppose a mapping  $A : U^t \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (A<sub>1</sub>)  $A(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{t-1}, u_t) \geq 0$ ,
- (A<sub>2</sub>)  $A(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{t-1}, u_t) = 0$  if and only if  $u_1 = u_2 = \dots = u_{t-1} = u_t$ ,
- (A<sub>3</sub>)  $A(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{t-1}, u_t) \leq A(u_1, u_1, \dots, (u_1)_{t-1}, v) + A(u_2, u_2, \dots, (u_2)_{t-1}, v) + \dots + A(u_{t-1}, u_{t-1}, \dots, (u_{t-1})_{t-1}, v) + A(u_t, u_t, \dots, (u_t)_{t-1}, v)$ ,  
for any  $u_i, v \in U, (i = 1, 2, \dots, t)$ . Then,  $(U, A)$  is said to be an  $A$ -metric space.

It is clear that the an  $A$ -metric space for  $t = 2$  reduces to ordinary metric  $d$  and an  $A$ -metric space for  $t = 3$  reduces to  $S$ -metric spaces. So, an  $A$ -metric space is a generalization of the  $G$ -metric space, the  $D^*$ -metric space and the  $S$ -metric space.

**Example 1.3** ([1]). Let  $U = \mathbb{R}$ . Define a function  $A : U^t \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} A(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{t-1}, u_t) &= |u_1 - u_2| + |u_1 - u_3| + \dots + |u_1 - u_t| \\ &\quad + |u_2 - u_3| + |u_2 - u_4| + \dots + |u_2 - u_t| \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad + |u_{t-2} - u_{t-1}| + |u_{t-2} - u_t| + |u_{t-1} - u_t| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{i < j} |u_i - u_j|. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $(U, A)$  is an  $A$ -metric space.

In 2017, Fernandez et al. [4] introduced the generalized Lipschitz mapping, Chatterjea’s and Kannan’s mappings in an  $A$ -cone metric space over Banach algebra. Also, they proved some fixed point theorems for the above mappings in complete  $A$ -cone metric space  $(U, A)$  over Banach algebra.

Next, we state the following useful lemmas and definition.

**Lemma 1.4** ([1]). Let  $(U, A)$  be an  $A$ -metric space. Then  $A(u, u, \dots, u, v) = A(v, v, \dots, v, u)$  for all  $u, v \in U$ .

**Lemma 1.5** ([1]). Let  $(U, A)$  be an  $A$ -metric space. Then for all  $u, v, z \in U$  we have  $A(u, u, \dots, u, z) \leq (t - 1)A(u, u, \dots, u, v) + A(z, z, \dots, z, v)$  and  $A(u, u, \dots, u, z) \leq (t - 1)A(u, u, \dots, u, v) + A(v, v, \dots, v, z)$ .

**Definition 1.6** ([1]). Let  $(U, A)$  be an  $A$ -metric space.

- (i) A sequence  $\{u_n\}$  in  $U$  is said to converge to a point  $u \in U$  if  $A(u_n, u_n, \dots, u_n, u) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,
- (ii) A sequence  $\{u_n\}$  in  $U$  is called a Cauchy sequence if  $A(u_n, u_n, \dots, u_n, u_m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ ,
- (iii) The  $A$ -metric space  $(U, A)$  is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in  $U$  is convergent.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, following the ideas of Zamfirescu [9] we first introduce the notion of Zamfirescu mappings in  $A$ -metric space as follows:

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(U, A)$  be an  $A$ -metric space and let  $T : U \rightarrow U$  be a mapping.  $T$  is called a  $A$ -Zamfirescu mapping ( $AZ$  mapping), if and only if, there are real numbers,  $0 \leq a < 1, 0 \leq b, c < \frac{1}{t}$  such that for all  $u, v \in U$ , at least one of the next conditions is true:

$$\begin{aligned} (AZ_1) \quad &A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, Tv) \leq aA(u, u, \dots, u, v), \\ (AZ_2) \quad &A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, Tv) \leq b[A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, u) + A(Tv, Tv, \dots, Tv, v)], \\ (AZ_3) \quad &A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, Tv) \leq c[A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, v) + A(Tv, Tv, \dots, Tv, u)]. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that if we take  $t = 2$  in the Definition 2.1, we obtain the definition of Zamfirescu [9] in ordinary metric space. Before giving the our main result in  $A$ -metric space, we need the following significant lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $(U, A)$  be an  $A$ -metric space and let  $T : U \rightarrow U$  be a mapping. If  $T$  is a  $AZ$  mapping, then there is  $0 \leq \delta < 1$  such that

$$A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, Tv) \leq \delta A(u, u, \dots, u, v) + t\delta A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, u)$$

and

$$A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, Tv) \leq \delta A(u, u, \dots, u, v) + t\delta A(Tv, Tv, \dots, Tv, u)$$

for all  $u, v \in U$ .

*Proof.* Let's assume that  $(AZ_2)$  is hold. From Lemma 1.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, Tv) &\leq b[A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, u) + A(Tv, Tv, \dots, Tv, v)] \\ &\leq b \left[ \begin{array}{c} A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, u) + (t-1)A(Tv, Tv, \dots, Tv, Tu) \\ + A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, v) \end{array} \right] \\ &\leq b[A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, u) + (t-1)A(Tv, Tv, \dots, Tv, Tu) \\ &\quad + (t-1)A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, u) + A(u, u, \dots, u, v)]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(1 - b(t - 1))A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, Tv) \leq tbA(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, u) + bA(u, u, \dots, u, v).$$

From the fact that  $0 \leq b < \frac{1}{t}$ , we get

$$A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, Tv) \leq \frac{b}{1 - b(t - 1)}A(u, u, \dots, u, v) + \frac{tb}{1 - b(t - 1)}A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, u).$$

Assume that  $(AZ_3)$  is hold. From Lemmas 1.4 and 1.5, similarly we get

$$\begin{aligned} A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, Tv) &\leq c[A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, v) + A(Tv, Tv, \dots, Tv, u)] \\ &\leq c \left[ \begin{array}{c} A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, v) + (t-1)A(Tv, Tv, \dots, Tv, Tu) \\ + A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, u) \end{array} \right] \\ &\leq c[A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, v) + (t-1)A(Tv, Tv, \dots, Tv, Tu) \\ &\quad + (t-1)A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, v) + A(v, v, \dots, v, u)]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(1 - c(t - 1))A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, Tv) \leq tcA(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, v) + cA(u, u, \dots, u, v).$$

From the fact that  $0 \leq c < \frac{1}{t}$ , we get

$$A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, Tv) \leq \frac{c}{1 - c(t - 1)}A(u, u, \dots, u, v) + \frac{tc}{1 - c(t - 1)}A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, v).$$

Therefore, denoting by

$$\delta = \max \left\{ a, \frac{b}{1 - b(t - 1)}, \frac{c}{1 - c(t - 1)} \right\},$$

we have that  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ . Thus, the following inequalities hold:

$$A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, Tv) \leq \delta A(u, u, \dots, u, v) + t\delta A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, u) \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$A(Tu, Tu, \dots, Tu, Tv) \leq \delta A(u, u, \dots, u, v) + t\delta A(Tv, Tv, \dots, Tv, u) \tag{2.2}$$

for all  $u, v \in U$ . □

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $(U, A)$  be a complete  $A$ -metric space and let  $T : U \rightarrow U$  be an  $AZ$  mapping. Then  $U$  has a unique fixed point and Picard iteration process  $\{u_n\}$  defined by  $u_{n+1} = Tu_n$  converges to a fixed point of  $T$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u_0 \in U$  be arbitrary and  $\{u_n\}$  be the Picard iteration as  $u_{n+1} = Tu_n$ .

If we take  $u = u_n$  and  $v = u_{n-1}$  at the inequality (2.2), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} A(u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, \dots, u_{n+1}, u_n) &= A(Tu_n, Tu_n, \dots, Tu_n, Tu_{n-1}) \\ &\leq \delta A(u_n, u_n, \dots, u_n, u_{n-1}) + t\delta A(u_n, u_n, \dots, u_n, u_n). \end{aligned}$$

From above the inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} A(u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, \dots, u_{n+1}, u_n) &\leq \delta A(u_n, u_n, \dots, u_n, u_{n-1}) \\ &\leq \delta^2 A(u_{n-1}, u_{n-1}, \dots, u_{n-1}, u_{n-2}) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\leq \delta^n A(u_1, u_1, \dots, u_1, u_0) \\ &= \delta^n A(u_0, u_0, \dots, u_0, u_1). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $m > n$ . Using Lemma 1.5 and the above inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(u_n, u_n, \dots, u_n, u_m) &\leq (t-1)\delta A(u_n, u_n, \dots, u_n, u_{n+1}) + A(u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, \dots, u_{n+1}, u_m) \\
 &\leq (t-1)\delta A(u_n, u_n, \dots, u_n, u_{n+1}) + (t-1)A(u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, \dots, u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}) \\
 &\quad + A(u_{n+2}, u_{n+2}, \dots, u_{n+2}, u_m) \\
 &\leq (t-1)\delta A(u_n, u_n, \dots, u_n, u_{n+1}) + (t-1)A(u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, \dots, u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}) \\
 &\quad + \dots + (t-1)A(u_{m-2}, u_{m-2}, \dots, u_{m-2}, u_{m-1}) \\
 &\quad + A(u_{m-1}, u_{m-1}, \dots, u_{m-1}, u_m) \\
 &= (t-1)[\delta^n A(u_0, u_0, \dots, u_0, u_1) + \delta^{n+1} A(u_0, u_0, \dots, u_0, u_1) \\
 &\quad + \dots + \delta^{m-2} A(u_0, u_0, \dots, u_0, u_1)] + \delta^{m-1} A(u_0, u_0, \dots, u_0, u_1) \\
 &= (t-1)\delta^n A(u_0, u_0, \dots, u_0, u_1) [1 + \delta + \delta^2 + \dots + \delta^{m-n-2}] \\
 &\quad + \delta^{m-1} A(u_0, u_0, \dots, u_0, u_1) \\
 &\leq (t-1)\delta^n A(u_0, u_0, \dots, u_0, u_1) \frac{\delta^m}{1-\delta} + \delta^{m-1} A(u_0, u_0, \dots, u_0, u_1) \\
 &= \left[ (t-1) \frac{\delta^{m+n}}{1-\delta} + \delta^{m-1} \right] A(u_0, u_0, \dots, u_0, u_1).
 \end{aligned}$$

We know that  $0 \leq \delta < 1$  from Lemma 2.2. Suppose that  $A(u_0, u_0, \dots, u_0, u_1) > 0$ . If we take limit as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$  in above inequality we get

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} A(u_n, u_n, \dots, u_n, u_m) = 0.$$

Therefore  $\{u_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $U$ . Also, assume that  $A(u_0, u_0, \dots, u_0, u_1) = 0$ , then  $A(u_n, u_n, \dots, u_n, u_m) = 0$  for all  $m > n$  and  $\{u_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $U$ . Since  $(U, A)$  is a complete metric space,  $u_n \rightarrow u^* \in U$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

We show that  $u^*$  is a fixed point of  $T$ . From (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(Tu^*, Tu^*, \dots, Tu^*, u^*) &\leq (t-1)A(Tu^*, Tu^*, \dots, Tu^*, Tu_n) + A(Tu_n, Tu_n, \dots, Tu_n, u^*) \\
 &\leq (t-1)[\delta A(u^*, u^*, \dots, u^*, u_n) + t\delta A(u^*, u^*, \dots, u^*, Tu_n)] \\
 &\quad + A(u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, \dots, u_{n+1}, u^*) \\
 &= (t-1)[\delta A(u^*, u^*, \dots, u^*, u_n) + t\delta A(u^*, u^*, \dots, u^*, u_{n+1})] \\
 &\quad + A(u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, \dots, u_{n+1}, u^*).
 \end{aligned}$$

If we take limit for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in above inequality, we obtain that  $Tu^* = u^*$ . That is,  $u^*$  is a fixed point of the mapping  $T$ . Now, we show that the uniqueness of fixed point of  $T$ . Assume that  $u^*$  and  $v^*$  are fixed point of  $T$ . That is,  $Tu^* = u^*$  and  $Tv^* = v^*$ . From (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(u^*, u^*, \dots, u^*, v^*) &= A(Tu^*, Tu^*, \dots, Tu^*, Tv^*) \\
 &\leq \delta A(u^*, u^*, \dots, u^*, v^*) + t\delta A(Tu^*, Tu^*, \dots, Tu^*, u^*).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$A(u^*, u^*, \dots, u^*, v^*) \leq \delta A(u^*, u^*, \dots, u^*, v^*).$$

This implies that  $A(u^*, u^*, \dots, u^*, v^*) = 0 \implies u^* = v^*$  and hence,  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $U$ . □

**Remark 2.4.** Putting  $t = 2$  in Theorem 2.3, we obtain the Theorem 1.1. Hence, Theorem 2.3 is a generalization of Theorem 1.1 of Zamfirescu [9] in A-metric space.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $U = \mathbb{R}$ . Define a function  $A : U^t \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$A(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{t-1}, u_t) = \sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{i < j} |u_i - u_j|$$

for all  $u_i \in U, i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ . Then  $(U, A)$  is complete A-metric space.

If we define  $T : U \rightarrow U$  by  $Tu = \frac{2u}{7}$ , then  $T$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.3. For all  $u_i \in U$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(Tu_1, Tu_2, \dots, Tu_{t-1}, Tu_t) &= A\left(\frac{2u_1}{7}, \frac{2u_2}{7}, \dots, \frac{2u_{t-1}}{7}, \frac{2u_t}{7}\right) \\
 &= \frac{2}{7}|u_1 - u_2| + \frac{2}{7}|u_1 - u_3| + \dots + \frac{2}{7}|u_1 - u_t| \\
 &\quad + \frac{2}{7}|u_2 - u_3| + \frac{2}{7}|u_2 - u_4| + \dots + \frac{2}{7}|u_2 - u_t| \\
 &\quad \vdots \\
 &\quad + \frac{2}{7}|u_{t-2} - u_{t-1}| + \frac{2}{7}|u_{t-2} - u_t| + \frac{2}{7}|u_{t-1} - u_t| \\
 &= \frac{2}{7} \sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{i < j} |u_i - u_j| \\
 &= \frac{2}{7} A(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{t-1}, u_t)
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\frac{2}{7} \in [0, 1)$ . This implies that  $T$  is a AZ mapping. And  $u = 0$  is the unique fixed point of  $T$  in  $U$  as asserted by Theorem 2.3.

#### CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The author declares that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this article.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Abbas, M., Ali, B., Suleiman Y.I., *Generalized coupled common fixed point results in partially ordered A-metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., Article Number: 64(2015).
- [2] Banach, S., *Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales*, Fund. Math., **2**(1922), 133–181.
- [3] Chatterjea, S.K., *Fixed point theorems*, C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci., **25**(6)(1972), 727–730.
- [4] Fernandez, J., Saelee, S., Saxena, K., Malviya, N., Kumam, P., *The A-cone metric space over Banach algebra with applications*, Cogent Math., **4**(1)(2017).
- [5] Kannan, R., *Some results on fixed points*, Bull. Calc. Math. Soc., **60**(1)(1968), 71–77.
- [6] Mustafa, Z., Sims, B., *A new approach to generalized metric spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., **7**(2)(2006), 289–297.
- [7] Sedghi, S., Shobe, N., Aliouche, A., *A generalization of fixed point theorems in S-metric spaces*, Mat. Vesn., **64**(3)(2012), 258–266.
- [8] Sedghi, S., Shobe, N., Zhou, H., *A common fixed point theorem in D\*-metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., Article Number:27906(2007).
- [9] Zamfirescu, T., *Fix point theorems in metric spaces*, Arch. Math., **23**(1972), 292–298.