INTERNATIONAL ELECTRONIC JOURNAL OF GEOMETRY

VOLUME 14 NO. 1 PAGE 207–216 (2021)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.36890/iejg.893398



Screen Semi-Invariant Lightlike Submanifolds of Golden Semi-Riemannian Manifolds

Nergiz (Önen) Poyraz*

(Dedicated to the memory of Prof. Dr. Aurel BEJANCU (1946 - 2020))

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we present screen semi-invariant lightlike submanifolds of golden semi-Riemannian manifolds. We research several properties of such submanifolds and get the conditions of integrability of distributions. We prove some results for totally umbilical screen semi-invariant lightlike submanifolds of golden semi-Riemannian manifolds. We also give an example.

Keywords: Golden semi-Riemannian manifolds, golden structure, lightlike submanifolds, screen semi-invariant lightlike submanifolds. **AMS Subject Classification (2020):** 53C15; 53C40; 53C50.

1. Introduction

The theory of lightlike submanifolds of semi-Riemannian manifolds is one of important topics of differential geometry. Since the intersection of normal vector bundle and the tangent bundle is non-trivial, then in the study of lightlike submanifolds is more interesting and remarkably different from the study of non-degenerate submanifolds. Lightlike submanifolds is developed by Duggal and Bejancu [6] and Duggal and Şahin [9]. Moreover, many authors have studied the geometry of lightlike submanifolds [1, 4, 5, 16, 18, 22].

Duggal and Bejancu presented Cauchy-Riemann (CR)-lightlike submanifolds of Kaehler manifolds [6]. But CR-lightlike submanifolds exclude the complex and totally real submanifolds as subcases. Then Duggal and Şahin presented a new class of lightlike submanifolds which is called screen Cauchy–Riemann (SCR)-lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Kaehler manifolds [8]. Kılıç, Şahin and Keleş introduced screen semi-invariant (SSI) lightlike submanifolds of a semi-Riemannian product manifold and researched the geometry of such submanifolds [17]. Khursheed Haider, Thakur and Advin studied Screen Cauchy–Riemann lightlike submanifolds of a semi-Riemannian product manifold [15].

The number ϕ , which is the real positive root of the equation $x^2-x-1=0$ (thus, $\phi=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\approx 1.618...$) is the golden ratio. Being inspired by the golden ratio, the notion of golden manifold was defined in [3]. Hretcanu and Crasmareanu worked invariant submanifolds of a golden Riemannian manifold [13]. They proved that a golden structure induces on every invariant submanifold a golden Structure, too [14]. Şahin and Akyol presented golden maps between golden Riemannian manifolds, give an example and show that such map is harmonic [21]. Özkan investigated complete and horizontal lifts of the golden structure in the tangent bundle [19]. Erdoğan and Yıldırım worked totally umbilical semi-invariant submanifold of golden Riemannian manifolds [10]. Gök, Keleş and Kılıç studied Schouten and Vrănceanu connections on golden manifolds [11]. Poyraz and Yaşar presented lightlike submanifolds of golden semi-Riemannian manifolds [20]. Acet studied screen pseudo-slant lightlike submanifolds of a golden semi-Riemannian manifold [2].

In this paper, we present screen semi-invariant lightlike submanifolds of golden semi-Riemannian manifolds. We research several properties of such submanifolds and get the conditions of integrability of

distributions. We prove some results for totally umbilical screen semi-invariant lightlike submanifolds of golden semi-Riemannian manifolds. We also give an example.

2. Preliminaries

Let \check{N} be an m-dimensional differentiable manifold. If a tensor field \check{P} of type (1,1) holds the following equation

$$\check{P}^2 = \check{P} + I \tag{2.1}$$

then \check{P} is named a golden structure on \check{N} , where I is the identity transformation [12].

Let (\check{N}, \check{g}) be a semi-Riemannian manifold and \check{P} be a golden structure on \check{N} . If \check{P} holds the following equation

$$\check{g}(\check{P}U,V) = \check{g}(U,\check{P}V),\tag{2.2}$$

then $(\check{N}, \check{g}, \check{P})$ is called a golden semi-Riemannian manifold [19].

Let $(\check{N}, \check{g}, \check{P})$ be a golden semi-Riemannian manifold. Then the equation (2.2) is equivalent with

$$\check{g}(\check{P}U,\check{P}V) = \check{g}(\check{P}U,V) + \check{g}(U,V),$$
(2.3)

for any $U, V \in \Gamma(T\check{N})$.

Let (\check{N},\check{g}) be a real (m+n)-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold with index q, such that $m,n\geq 1$, $1\leq q\leq m+n-1$ and (\dot{N},\dot{g}) be an m-dimensional submanifold of \check{N} , where \dot{g} is the induced metric of \check{g} on \dot{N} . If \check{g} is degenerate on the tangent bundle $T\dot{N}$ of \dot{N} then \dot{N} is named a lightlike submanifold of \check{N} . Then, for each tangent space $T_x\dot{N}$, $x\in\dot{N}$, we consider

$$T\dot{N}^{\perp} = \bigcup \left\{ V_x \in T_x \check{N} : \check{g}(V_x, U_x) = 0, \forall U_x \in T_x \dot{N}, x \in \dot{N} \right\},$$

which is a degenerate n-dimensional subspace of $T_x\dot{N}$. Thus, both $T_x\dot{N}$ and $T_x\dot{N}^{\perp}$ are degenerate orthogonal subspaces but no longer complementary. Then, there exists a subspace $Rad(T_x\dot{N}) = T_x\dot{N} \cap T_x\dot{N}^{\perp}$ which is known as radical (null) space. If the mapping

$$Rad(T\dot{N}): x \in \dot{N} \longrightarrow Rad(T_x\dot{N})$$

defines a smooth distribution on \dot{N} of rank r > 0 then the submanifold \dot{N} of \check{N} is named an r-lightlike submanifold and $Rad(T\dot{N})$ is named the radical distribution on \dot{N} .

Let $S(T\dot{N})$ be a screen distribution which is a semi-Riemannian complementary distribution of $Rad(T\dot{N})$ in $T\dot{N}$, i. e.

$$T\dot{N} = Rad(T\dot{N}) \perp S(T\dot{N}),$$
 (2.4)

and $S(T\dot{N}^\perp)$ is a complementary vector subbundle to $Rad(T\dot{N})$ in $T\dot{N}^\perp$. Let $tr(T\dot{N})$ and $ltr(T\dot{N})$ be complementary (but not orthogonal) vector bundles to $T\dot{N}$ in $T\check{N}_{|_{\dot{N}}}$ and $Rad(T\dot{N})$ in $S(T\dot{N}^\perp)^\perp$, respectively. Thus we have

$$tr(T\dot{N}) = ltr(T\dot{N}) \perp S(T\dot{N}^{\perp}),$$
 (2.5)

$$T\dot{N}\mid_{\dot{N}} = T\dot{N} \oplus tr(T\dot{N}) = \{Rad(T\dot{N}) \oplus ltr(T\dot{N})\} \bot S(T\dot{N}) \bot S(T\dot{N}) \bot S(T\dot{N}).$$
 (2.6)

Theorem 2.1. Let $(\dot{N}, \dot{g}, S(T\dot{N}), S(T\dot{N}^{\perp}))$ be an r-lightlike submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold (\check{N}, \check{g}) . Suppose that U is a coordinate neighborhood of \dot{N} and ξ_i , $i \in \{1, ..., r\}$ is a basis of $\Gamma(Rad(T\dot{N})|_{U})$. Then, there exist a complementary vector subbundle $ltr(T\dot{N})$ of $Rad(T\dot{N})$ in $S(T\dot{N})^{\perp}$ and a basis of $\Gamma(ltr(T\dot{N})|_{U})$ consisting of smooth section $\{N_i\}$ of $S(T\dot{N}^{\perp})^{\perp}_{|U}$ such that

$$\check{g}(N_i, E_j) = \delta_{ij}, \quad \check{g}(N_i, N_j) = 0,$$
(2.7)

for any $i, j \in \{1, ..., r\}$.

We say that a submanifold $(\dot{N}, \dot{g}, S(T\dot{N}), S(T\dot{N}^{\perp}))$ of \check{N} is

Case 1: r-lightlike if $r < min\{m, n\}$,

Case 2: Coisotropic if r = n < m, $S(T\dot{N}^{\perp}) = \{0\}$,

Case 3: Isotropic if r = m < n, $S(T\dot{N}) = \{0\}$,

Case 4: Totally lightlike if r = m = n, $S(T\dot{N}) = \{0\} = S(T\dot{N}^{\perp})$.

Let $\check{\nabla}$ be the Levi-Civita connection on \check{N} . Then, the Gauss and Weingarten formulas are

$$\check{\nabla}_U V = \nabla_U V + h(U, V), \tag{2.8}$$

$$\check{\nabla}_U N = -A_N U + \nabla_U^t N, \tag{2.9}$$

for any $U, V \in \Gamma(T\dot{N})$ and $N \in \Gamma(tr(T\dot{N}))$, where $\{\nabla_U V, A_N U\}$ and $\{h(U, V), \nabla_U^t N\}$ belong to $\Gamma(T\dot{N})$ and $\Gamma(tr(T\dot{N}))$, respectively. ∇ and ∇^t are linear connections on \dot{N} and on the vector bundle $tr(T\dot{N})$, respectively.

According to (2.5), considering the projection morphisms L and S of $tr(T\dot{N})$ on $ltr(T\dot{N})$ and $S(T\dot{N})$, respectively, from (2.8) and (2.9) we have

$$\dot{\nabla}_U V = \nabla_U V + h^l(U, V) + h^s(U, V), \tag{2.10}$$

$$\dot{\nabla}_U N = -A_N U + \nabla_U^l N + D^s(U, N), \tag{2.11}$$

$$\dot{\nabla}_U W = -A_W U + \nabla_U^s W + D^l(U, W), \tag{2.12}$$

where $h^l(U,V) = Lh(U,V)$, $h^s(U,V) = Sh(U,V)$, $\{\nabla_U V, A_N U, A_W U\} \in \Gamma(S(T\dot{N}))$, $\{\nabla_U^l N, D^l(U,W)\} \in \Gamma(ltr(T\dot{N}))$ and $\{\nabla_U^s W, D^s(U,N)\} \in \Gamma(S(T\dot{N}^\perp))$. Then, considering (2.10)-(2.12) and taking into account that ∇ is a metric connection, we derive

$$\check{g}(h^s(U,V),W) + \check{g}(V,D^l(U,W)) = \check{g}(A_WU,V),$$
(2.13)

$$\check{g}(D^s(U,N),W) = \check{g}(A_W U, N).$$
(2.14)

Let J be a projection of $T\dot{N}$ on $S(T\dot{N})$. Then, considering (2.4) we have

$$\nabla_U JV = \nabla_U^* JV + h^*(U, JV) E, \tag{2.15}$$

$$\nabla_U E = -A_E^* U + \nabla_U^{*t} E, \tag{2.16}$$

for any $U, V \in \Gamma(T\dot{N})$ and $E \in \Gamma(Rad(T\dot{N}))$, where $\{\nabla_U^*JV, A_E^*U\}$ and $\{h^*(U, JV), \nabla_U^{*t}E\}$ belong to $\Gamma(S(T\dot{N}))$ and $\Gamma(Rad(T\dot{N}))$, respectively.

By using above equtions, we obtain

$$\dot{g}(h^l(U,JV),E) = \dot{g}(A_E^*U,JV), \tag{2.17}$$

$$\check{g}(h^*(U,JV),N) = \dot{g}(A_NU,JV),$$
(2.18)

$$\check{g}(h^l(U,E),E) = 0, \quad A_E^*E = 0.$$
(2.19)

Generally, ∇ on \dot{N} is not metric connection. Since $\check{\nabla}$ is a metric connection, from (2.10) we derive

$$(\nabla_{U}\dot{g})(V,Z) = \check{g}(h^{l}(U,V),Z) + \check{g}(h^{l}(U,Z),V), \tag{2.20}$$

for any $U, V, Z \in \Gamma(T\dot{N})$. But, ∇^* is a metric connection on $S(T\dot{N})$.

Theorem 2.2. Let \check{N} be semi-Riemannian manifold and \dot{N} be an r-lightlike submanifold of \check{N} . Then ∇ is a metric connection iff $Rad(T\dot{N})$ is a parallel distribution with respect to ∇ [6].

Definition 2.1. A lightlike submanifold \dot{N} of a semi-Riemannian manifold \check{N} is called totally umbilical in \check{N} , if there is a smooth transversal vector field $H \in \Gamma(ltr(T\dot{N}))$ such that

$$h(U,V) = H\dot{g}(U,V), \tag{2.21}$$

for any $U, V \in \Gamma(T\dot{N})$ [7].

It is known that \dot{N} is totally umbilical iff on each coordinate neighborhood U, there exists smooth vector fields $H^l \in \Gamma(ltr(T\dot{N}))$ and $H^s \in \Gamma(S(T\dot{N}^\perp))$ such that

$$h^{l}(U,V) = H^{l}\dot{q}(U,V), h^{s}(U,V) = H^{s}\dot{q}(U,V) \text{ and } D^{l}(U,W) = 0,$$
 (2.22)

for any $U, V \in \Gamma(T\dot{N})$ and $W \in \Gamma(S(T\dot{N}^{\perp}))$.

3. Screen Semi-Invariant Lightlike Submanifolds

Definition 3.1. Let \dot{N} be a lightlike submanifold of a golden semi-Riemannian manifold \dot{N} . We say that \dot{N} is SSI-lightlike submanifold of \dot{N} if the following statements are satisfied:

1) There exists a non-null distribution $\mu \subseteq S(T\dot{N})$ such that

$$S(T\dot{N}) = \mu \oplus \mu^{\perp}, \check{P}(\mu) = \mu, \check{P}(\mu^{\perp}) \subseteq S(T\dot{N}^{\perp}), \mu \cap \mu^{\perp} = \{0\}, \tag{3.1}$$

where μ^{\perp} is orthogonal complementary to μ in $S(T\dot{N})$.

2) $Rad(T\dot{N})$ is invariant with respect to \check{P} , i. e., $\check{P}(Rad(T\dot{N})) = Rad(T\dot{N})$.

Then we have

$$\check{P}(ltr(T\dot{N})) = ltr(T\dot{N}),$$
(3.2)

$$T\dot{N} = \mu' \oplus \mu^{\perp}, \, \mu' = \mu \perp Rad(T\dot{N}).$$
 (3.3)

Thus it follows that μ' is also invariant with respect to \check{P} . We indicate the orthogonal complement to $\check{P}(\mu^{\perp})$ in $S(T\dot{N}^{\perp})$ by μ_0 . Then we obtain

$$tr(T\dot{N}) = ltr(T\dot{N}) \perp \check{P}(\mu^{\perp}) \perp \mu_0. \tag{3.4}$$

If $\mu \neq \{0\}$ and $\mu^{\perp} \neq \{0\}$, then \dot{N} is called a proper SSI-lightlike submanifold of \check{N} . Thus, for on proper \dot{N} , we have $dim(\mu) \geq 1$, $dim(\mu^{\perp}) \geq 1$, $dim(\dot{N}) \geq 3$ and $dim(\check{N}) \geq 5$. Moreover, there exists no proper SSI-lightlike hypersurface of a golden semi-Riemannian manifold.

If $\mu = \{0\}$, i. e., $\check{P}(S(T\dot{N})) \subset S(T\dot{N}^{\perp})$, then \dot{N} is called screen anti-invariant lightlike submanifold.

Let $(\dot{N}, \dot{g}, S(T\dot{N}), S(T\dot{N}^{\perp}))$ be a lightlike submanifold of a golden semi-Riemannian manifold \dot{N} . Then, for any $U \in \Gamma(T\dot{N})$ and $N \in \Gamma(tr(T\dot{N}))$ we can write

$$\check{P}U = PU + wU, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\check{P}N = BN + CN, \tag{3.6}$$

where PU, $BN \in \Gamma(T\dot{N})$ and wU, $CN \in \Gamma(tr(T\dot{N}))$. If \dot{N} is a SSI-lightlike submanifold of \check{N} , then $PU \in \Gamma(\mu')$, $wU \in \Gamma(\check{P}(\mu^{\perp}))$, $BN \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$ and $CN \in \Gamma(tr(T\dot{N}))$, respectively.

Lemma 3.1. Let \dot{N} be a screen semi-invariant lightlike submanifold of a golden semi-Riemannian manifold \check{N} . Then, one has

$$P^2U = PU + U - BwU, (3.7)$$

$$CwU = wPU, (3.8)$$

$$PBN = BN - BCN, (3.9)$$

$$C^2N = CN + N - wBN, (3.10)$$

$$\dot{g}(PU,V) - \dot{g}(U,PV) = \dot{g}(U,wV) - \dot{g}(wU,V),$$
(3.11)

$$\dot{g}(PU, PV) = \dot{g}(PU, V) + \dot{g}(U, V) + \dot{g}(wU, V) - \dot{g}(PU, wV)
- \dot{g}(wU, PV) - \dot{g}(wU, wV),$$
(3.12)

for any $U, V \in \Gamma(T\dot{N})$ and $N \in \Gamma(tr(T\dot{N}))$.

Proof. Applying \check{P} to (3.5), considering (2.1) and taking tangential and transversal parts of the resulting equation, we derive (3.7) and (3.8). Similarly, applying \check{P} to (3.6), using (2.1) and taking tangential and transversal parts of the resulting equation, we obtain (3.9) and (3.10). Considering (2.2), (2.3) and (3.5), we derive (3.11) and (3.12).

Throughout this paper, we suppose that $\check{\nabla} \check{P} = 0$.

Example 3.1. Let $(\check{N} = \mathbb{R}^8_2, \check{g})$ be a 8-dimensional semi-Euclidean space with signature (-, -, +, +, +, +, +, +) and $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8)$ be the standard coordinate system of \check{N} . If we define a mapping \check{P} by $\check{P}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8) = (\phi x_1, \phi x_2, \phi x_3, (1 - \phi) x_4, \phi x_5, \phi x_6, \phi x_7, \phi x_8)$ then $\check{P}^2 = \check{P} + I$ and \check{P} is a golden structure on \check{N} . Let \check{N} be a lightlike submanifold in \check{N} given by the equations

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_1 & = & u_1 + u_2, x_2 = -u_1 + u_2, \\ x_3 & = & u_5, x_4 = \phi u_5, \\ x_5 & = & u_1 + u_3, x_6 = -u_1 + u_3, \\ x_7 & = & u_2 + u_4, x_8 = u_2 - u_4, \end{array}$$

where u_i , $1 \le i \le 4$, are real parameters. Thus $T\dot{N} = Span\{U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4, U_5\}$, where

$$U_{1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{5}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{6}}, U_{2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{7}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{8}},$$

$$U_{3} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{5}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{6}}, U_{4} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{7}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{8}}, U_{5} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} + \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}}.$$

The radical distribution $Rad(T\dot{N})$ is spanned by $\{U_1,U_2\}$. Hence \dot{N} is a 2-lightlike submanifold of \dot{N} . $S(T\dot{N})$ and $S(T\dot{N}^{\perp})$ are spanned by $\{U_3,U_4,U_5\}$ and $\{W\}$, respectively, where

$$W = \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4}.$$

Furthermore, $ltr(T\dot{N})$ is spanned by

$$N_{1} = \frac{1}{4} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{5}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{6}} \right),$$

$$N_{2} = \frac{1}{4} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{7}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{8}} \right).$$

Then $\mu = Span\{U_3, U_4\}$, $\mu^{\perp} = Span\{U_5\}$, $\mu_0 = \{0\}$ and we can easily check that $Rad(T\dot{N})$, μ and $ltr(T\dot{N})$ are invariant distributions and $\check{P}(\mu^{\perp}) = S(T\dot{N}^{\perp})$. Thus, \dot{N} is a proper SSI-lightlike submanifold of \check{N} with $\mu' = Span\{U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4\}$.

Theorem 3.1. Let \dot{N} be a SSI-lightlike submanifold of a golden semi-Riemannian manifold \check{N} . Then $S(T\dot{N})$ is integrable iff the following conditions are satisfied

$$\dot{g}(A_N V, \check{P}U) = \dot{g}(A_N U, \check{P}V), U, V \in \Gamma(\mu), \tag{3.13}$$

$$\dot{g}(A_N V, \check{P}U) = \check{g}(D^s(U, N), \check{P}V), U \in \Gamma(\mu), V \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp}), \tag{3.14}$$

$$\check{q}(D^s(U,N), \check{P}V) = \check{q}(D^s(V,N), \check{P}U), U, V \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp}). \tag{3.15}$$

Proof. For any $U, V \in \Gamma(S(T\dot{N}))$ and $N \in \Gamma(ltr(T\dot{N}))$, we obtain

$$\check{g}([U,V], \check{P}N) = \dot{g}(A_N U, \check{P}V) - \check{g}(D^s(U,N), \check{P}V)
- \dot{g}(A_N V, \check{P}U) + \check{g}(D^s(V,N), \check{P}U).$$
(3.16)

Since $S(T\dot{N}) = \mu \perp \mu^{\perp}$, letting $U, V \in \Gamma(\mu)$ in (3.16) we derive (3.13), taking $U \in \Gamma(\mu)$ and $V \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$ in (3.16) we get (3.14) and letting $U, V \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$ in (3.16) we get (3.15). Hence we have the assertion of the theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Let \dot{N} be a SSI-lightlike submanifold of a golden semi-Riemannian manifold \dot{N} . Then the following assertions are equivalent.

- (i) μ' is integrable.
- (ii) $h(U, \check{P}V) = h(\check{P}U, V)$, for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mu')$.
- (iii) w vanishies on μ' .

Proof. Since $\check{\nabla}_U \check{P}V = \check{P}\check{\nabla}_U V$, for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mu')$, from (2.8), (3.5) and (3.6) we obtain $h(U, \check{P}V) = w\nabla_U V + Ch(U, V)$, for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mu')$. Since h is symmetric and ∇ is torsion free, we obtain $h(U, \check{P}V) - h(\check{P}U, V) = w[U, V]$. From this equation the proof is completed.

Theorem 3.3. Let \dot{N} be a SSI-lightlike submanifold of a golden semi-Riemannian manifold \dot{N} . Then the following assertions are equivalent.

(i) μ^{\perp} is integrable.

(ii) $\check{g}(A_{\check{P}W}Z,N)=\check{g}(A_{\check{P}Z}W,N)$ and $\dot{g}(A_{\check{P}W}Z,U)=\dot{g}(A_{\check{P}Z}W,U)$, for any $U\in\Gamma(\mu)$, $Z,W\in\Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$, $N\in\Gamma(ltr(T\dot{N}))$.

(iii) A_N is self-adjoint on μ^{\perp} , for any $N \in \Gamma(ltr(T\dot{N}))$ and $A_{PW}Z$ has no components in μ , for any $Z, W \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$.

Proof. μ^{\perp} is integrable iff

$$\check{g}([Z,W],\check{P}N) = \dot{g}([Z,W],\check{P}U) = 0,$$

for any $Z, W \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$, $U \in \Gamma(\mu)$ and $N \in \Gamma(ltr(T\dot{N}))$. Then from (2.2) and (2.12) we derive

$$\check{g}([Z,W],\check{P}N) = \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{Z}W,\check{P}N) - \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{W}Z,\check{P}N) = \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{Z}\check{P}W,N) - \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{W}\check{P}Z,N)
= -\check{g}(A_{\check{P}W}Z,N) + \check{g}(A_{\check{P}Z}W,N),$$
(3.17)

$$\check{g}([Z,W], \check{P}U) = \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_Z W, \check{P}U) - \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_W Z, \check{P}U)
= \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_Z \check{P}W, U) - \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_W \check{P}Z, U)
= -\dot{g}(A_{\check{P}W}Z, U) + \dot{g}(A_{\check{P}Z}W, U),$$
(3.18)

for any $Z,W\in\Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$, $U\in\Gamma(\mu)$ and $N\in\Gamma(ltr(T\dot{N}))$. Thus we obtain (i) \Longrightarrow (ii).

Since $\check{g}(\check{P}W,N)=0$ and $\check{\nabla}$ is a metric connection, we get

$$\check{g}(\check{\nabla}_Z \check{P}W, N) + \check{g}(W, \check{\nabla}_Z \check{P}N) = 0.$$
(3.19)

Using (2.11) and (2.12) in (3.19) we obtain

$$\check{g}(A_{\check{P}W}Z, N) = -\dot{g}(W, A_{\check{P}N}Z).$$
(3.20)

By replacing role of vector fields W and Z in (3.20)

$$\check{g}(A_{\check{P}Z}W, N) = -\dot{g}(Z, A_{\check{P}N}W).$$
(3.21)

Thus from (3.20), (3.21) and (ii) A_N is self-adjoint on μ^{\perp} .

Considering (2.13) we get

$$\check{g}(h^s(Z,U), \check{P}W) = \dot{g}(A_{\check{P}W}Z, U),$$
(3.22)

for any $Z,W\in\Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$ and $U\in\Gamma(\mu)$. Considering (2.10) and (2.12) we derive

$$\check{g}(h^s(U,Z),\check{P}W) = -\dot{g}(A_{\check{P}Z}U,W). \tag{3.23}$$

Thus from (3.22) and (3.23) and using to symmetric of h^s we have

$$\dot{g}(A_{\check{P}W}Z,U) = -\dot{g}(A_{\check{P}Z}U,W). \tag{3.24}$$

Since $\check{\nabla}$ is a metric connection, $\check{g}(Z, \check{P}U) = 0$ and using to symmetric of h^s , we obtain

$$\dot{g}(A_{\check{P}Z}W,U) = \dot{g}(A_{\check{P}Z}U,W). \tag{3.25}$$

Since μ^{\perp} is integrable, considering (3.18), (3.24) and (3.25) we derive

$$\dot{g}([Z,W], \check{P}U) = -\dot{g}(A_{\check{P}W}Z, U) + \dot{g}(A_{\check{P}Z}W, U)
= 2\dot{g}(A_{\check{P}Z}W, U).$$
(3.26)

From this we obtain $\dot{g}(A_{PW}Z, U) = 0$. Thus we get (ii) \Longrightarrow (iii).

Suppose that $A_{\check{P}W}Z$ has no components in μ and A_N is self-adjoint on μ^{\perp} . Then from (3.26) we obtain $\dot{g}([Z,W],\check{P}U)=0$, for any $Z,W\in\Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$ and $U\in\Gamma(\mu)$. Since $\check{\nabla}$ is a metric connection and A_N is self-adjoint on μ^{\perp} from (2.11) we get

$$\begin{split} \dot{g}(\left[Z,W\right],\check{P}N) &= & \check{g}\big(\check{\nabla}_{Z}W,\check{P}N\big) - \check{g}\big(\check{\nabla}_{W}Z,\check{P}N\big) \\ &= & -\check{g}(W,\check{\nabla}_{Z}\check{P}N) + \check{g}(Z,\check{\nabla}_{W}\check{P}N) \\ &= & \dot{g}(W,A_{\check{P}N}Z) - \dot{g}(Z,A_{\check{P}N}W) = 0. \end{split}$$

Thus μ^{\perp} is integrable. Thus we obtain (iii) \Longrightarrow (i).

Theorem 3.4. Let \dot{N} be a SSI-lightlike submanifold of a golden semi-Riemannian manifold \check{N} . Then μ is integrable iff the following statements hold:

(i) A_N is self adjoint on μ , for any $N \in \Gamma(ltr(T\dot{N}))$.

(ii)
$$\dot{g}(\check{P}V, A_{\check{P}Z}U) = \dot{g}(\check{P}U, A_{\check{P}Z}V)$$
, for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mu)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$.

Proof. μ is integrable iff

$$\check{g}([U, V], N) = \dot{g}([U, V], Z) = 0,$$

for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mu)$, $Z \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$ any $N \in \Gamma(ltr(T\dot{N}))$. Since $\check{\nabla}$ is a metric connection, considering (2.3), (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12) we derive

$$\check{g}([U,V],N) = \dot{g}(A_N U, V) - \dot{g}(U, A_N V),$$
(3.27)

$$\dot{g}([U,V],Z) = \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{U}V,Z) - \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{V}U,Z)
= \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{U}\check{P}V,\check{P}Z) - \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{U}V,\check{P}Z) - \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{V}\check{P}U,\check{P}Z) + \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{V}U,\check{P}Z)
= \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{U}\check{P}V,\check{P}Z) - \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{V}\check{P}U,\check{P}Z)
- \check{g}(h^{s}(U,V) - h^{s}(V,U),\check{P}Z)
= -\check{g}(\check{P}V,\check{\nabla}_{U}\check{P}Z) + \check{g}(\check{P}U,\check{\nabla}_{V}\check{P}Z)
= \dot{g}(\check{P}V,A_{\check{P}Z}U) - \dot{g}(\check{P}U,A_{\check{P}Z}V),$$
(3.28)

for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mu)$, $Z \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$ any $N \in \Gamma(ltr(T\dot{N}))$. Hence from (3.27) and (3.28) we obtain (i) and (ii), respectively. Conversely, (i) and (ii) are satisfied. From (3.27) and (3.28), we have $[U, V] \in \Gamma(\mu)$, for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mu)$.

Theorem 3.5. Let \dot{N} be a SSI-lightlike submanifold of a golden semi-Riemannian manifold \check{N} . Then $Rad(T\dot{N})$ is integrable iff

$$\check{g}(h^l(E,U),E') = \check{g}(h^l(E',U),E),$$
(3.29)

$$\check{g}(h^s(E, \check{P}E'), \check{P}Z) = \check{g}(h^s(E', \check{P}E), \check{P}Z),$$
(3.30)

for any $E, E' \in \Gamma(Rad(T\dot{N}))$, $U \in \Gamma(\mu)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$.

Proof. $Rad(T\dot{N})$ is integrable iff

$$\dot{g}([E, E'], U) = \dot{g}([E, E'], Z) = 0,$$

for any $E, E' \in \Gamma(Rad(T\dot{N}))$, $U \in \Gamma(\mu)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$. Since $\check{\nabla}$ is a metric connection, considering (2.3) and (2.10) we derive

$$\dot{g}([E, E'], U) = \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{E}E', U) - \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{E'}E, U) = -\check{g}(E', \check{\nabla}_{E}U) + \check{g}(E, \check{\nabla}_{E'}U)
= -\check{g}(h^{l}(E, U), E') + \check{g}(h^{l}(E', U), E),$$
(3.31)

$$\dot{g}([E, E'], Z) = \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{E}E', Z) - \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{E'}E, Z)
= \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{E}\check{P}E', \check{P}Z) - \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{E}E', \check{P}Z) - \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{E'}\check{P}E, \check{P}Z) + \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{E'}E, \check{P}Z)
= \check{g}(h^{s}(E, \check{P}E'), \check{P}Z) - \check{g}(h^{s}(E, E'), \check{P}Z)
- \check{g}(h^{s}(E', \check{P}E), \check{P}Z) + \check{g}(h^{s}(E', E), \check{P}Z)
= \check{g}(h^{s}(E, \check{P}E'), \check{P}Z) - \check{g}(h^{s}(E', \check{P}E), \check{P}Z).$$
(3.32)

Thus the proof follows from (3.31) and (3.32).

Theorem 3.6. Let \dot{N} be a SSI-lightlike submanifold of a golden semi-Riemannian manifold \dot{N} . Then μ' is integrable iff

$$\dot{g}(A_{\check{P}Z}U,\check{P}V) = \dot{g}(A_{\check{P}Z}V,\check{P}U),\tag{3.33}$$

for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mu')$ and $Z \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$.

Proof. μ' is integrable iff $\dot{g}([U,V],Z)=0$, for any $U,V\in\Gamma(\mu')$ and $Z\in\Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$. Then from (2.3), (2.10) and (2.12) we derive

$$\dot{g}([U,V],Z) = \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{U}V,Z) - \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{V}U,Z)
= \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{U}\check{P}V,\check{P}Z) - \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{U}V,\check{P}Z) - \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{V}\check{P}U,\check{P}Z) + \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{V}U,\check{P}Z)
= \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{U}\check{P}V,\check{P}Z) - \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{V}\check{P}U,\check{P}Z) - \check{g}(h^{s}(U,V) - h^{s}(V,U),\check{P}Z)
= -\check{g}(\check{P}V,\check{\nabla}_{U}\check{P}Z) + \check{g}(\check{P}U,\check{\nabla}_{V}\check{P}Z)
= \dot{g}(A_{\check{P}Z}U,\check{P}V) - \dot{g}(A_{\check{P}Z}V,\check{P}U),$$
(3.34)

for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mu')$ and $Z \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$. From (3.34) the proof is completed.

Theorem 3.7. Let \dot{N} be a SSI-lightlike submanifold of a golden semi-Riemannian manifold \check{N} . Then the following assertions are equivalent.

- (i) $h^s(U, \check{P}V)$ has no components in $\check{P}(\mu^{\perp})$, for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mu')$.
- (ii) $\dot{g}(\check{P}E,D^l(U,\check{P}Z))=0$ and $A_{\check{P}Z}U$ has no components in μ , for any $U\in\Gamma(\mu')$, $E\in\Gamma(Rad(T\dot{N}))$ and $Z\in\Gamma(\mu^\perp)$.
- (iii) μ' defines totally geodesic foliation on \dot{N} .

Proof. From (2.13) we derive

$$\check{g}(h^s(U,\check{P}V),\check{P}Z)+\check{g}(\check{P}V,D^l(U,\check{P}Z))=\check{g}(A_{\check{P}Z}U,\check{P}V),$$

for any $U,V\in\Gamma(\mu')$ and $Z\in\Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$. Replacing V by $E\in\Gamma(Rad(T\dot{N}))$ in this equation we have $\check{g}(h^s(U,\check{P}E),\check{P}Z)=-\check{g}(\check{P}E,D^l(U,\check{P}Z))$. Using (i) in this equation we derive $\check{g}(\check{P}E,D^l(U,\check{P}Z))=0$. If we take $V\in\Gamma(\mu)$ in (2.13) we get $\check{g}(h^s(U,\check{P}V),\check{P}Z)=\dot{g}(A_{\check{P}Z}U,\check{P}V)$. Thus, $A_{\check{P}Z}U$ has no components in μ . Thus we get (i)—(ii).

Since $\check{\nabla}$ is a metric connection, considering (2.3) and (2.12) we derive

$$\begin{split} \dot{g}(\nabla_{U}V,Z) &= \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{U}V,Z) = \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{U}\check{P}V,\check{P}Z) - \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{U}V,\check{P}Z) \\ &= -\check{g}(\check{P}V,\check{\nabla}_{U}\check{P}Z) + \check{g}(V,\check{\nabla}_{U}\check{P}Z) \\ &= \dot{g}(A_{\check{P}Z}U,\check{P}V) - \check{g}(D^{l}(U,\check{P}Z),\check{P}V) - \dot{g}(A_{\check{P}Z}U,V) + \check{g}(D^{l}(U,\check{P}Z),V), \end{split}$$

for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mu')$ and $Z \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$. Thus we derive $\nabla_U V \in \mu$ and we obtain (ii) \Longrightarrow (iii). Considering (2.3) and (2.10) we derive

$$\dot{g}(\nabla_U V, Z) = \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_U V, Z) = \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_U \check{P}V, \check{P}Z) - \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_U \check{P}V, Z)
= \check{g}(h^s(U, \check{P}V), \check{P}Z) + \dot{g}(\nabla_U \check{P}V, Z),$$

for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mu')$ and $Z \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$. Since $\nabla_U V \in \mu'$ and μ' is invariant, $\nabla_U \check{P} V \in \mu'$. Thus we obtain (iii) \Longrightarrow (i).

Theorem 3.8. Let \dot{N} be a SSI-lightlike submanifold of a golden semi-Riemannian manifold \check{N} . Then the following assertions are equivalent.

- (i) $A_{PV}U$ has no components in μ' , for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$.
- (ii) $h^s(U, \check{P}Z)$ and $D^s(U, \check{P}N)$ have no components in $\check{P}(\mu^{\perp})$, for any $U \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$, $Z \in \Gamma(\mu)$ and $N \in \Gamma(ltrT(\dot{N}))$.
- (iii) μ^{\perp} defines totally geodesic foliation on \dot{N} .

Proof. (i) \Longrightarrow (ii). From (2.13) we obtain

$$\dot{g}(A_{\check{P}V}U, \check{P}Z) = \check{g}(h^s(U, \check{P}Z), \check{P}V),$$

for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$ and $Z \in \Gamma(\mu)$. Hence $h^s(U, \check{P}Z)$ has no components in $\check{P}(\mu^{\perp})$. Also, using (2.14) we derive

$$0 = \dot{g}(A_{\check{P}V}U, \check{P}N) = \check{g}(D^s(U, \check{P}N), \check{P}V).$$

Thus $D^s(U, \check{P}N)$ has no components in $\check{P}(\mu^{\perp})$ which implies (ii).

(ii) \Longrightarrow (iii). Since μ and $ltr(T\dot{N})$ are invariant distribution, for any $Z \in \Gamma(\mu)$ and $N \in \Gamma(ltr(T\dot{N}))$, we have $\check{P}Z \in \Gamma(\mu)$ and $\check{P}N \in \Gamma(ltr(T\dot{N}))$, respectively. Then the distribution μ^{\perp} defines totally geodesic foliation on N iff

 $\dot{g}(\nabla_U V, \check{P}Z) = \check{g}(\nabla_U V, \check{P}N) = 0$, for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$, $Z \in \Gamma(\mu)$ and $N \in \Gamma(ltr(T\dot{N}))$. Then taking into account that $\check{\nabla}$ is a metric connection and using (2.2), (2.10) and (2.11) we have

$$\begin{split} \dot{g}(\nabla_{U}V, \check{P}Z) &= \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{U}V, \check{P}Z) = \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{U}\check{P}V, Z) \\ &= -\check{g}(\check{P}V, \check{\nabla}_{U}Z) = -\check{g}(h^{s}(U, Z), \check{P}V), \\ \check{g}(\nabla_{U}V, \check{P}N) &= \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{U}V, \check{P}N) = \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{U}\check{P}V, N) \\ &= -\check{g}(\check{P}V, \check{\nabla}_{U}N) = -\check{g}(D^{s}(U, N), \check{P}V), \end{split}$$

which implies (iii).

(iii) \Longrightarrow (i). Since μ^{\perp} defines totally geodesic foliation on \dot{N} , $\dot{g}(\nabla_{U}V, \check{P}Z) = \check{g}(\nabla_{U}V, \check{P}N) = 0$, for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$, $Z \in \Gamma(\mu)$ and $N \in \Gamma(ltr(T\dot{N}))$. Considering (2.2) and (2.12) we have

$$\begin{split} \dot{g}(\nabla_{U}V,\check{P}Z) &= \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{U}V,\check{P}Z) = \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{U}\check{P}V,Z) \\ &= -\dot{g}(A_{\check{P}V}U,Z) \\ \check{g}(\nabla_{U}V,\check{P}N) &= \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{U}V,\check{P}N) = \dot{g}(\check{\nabla}_{U}\check{P}V,N) \\ &= -\dot{g}(A_{\check{P}V}U,N), \end{split}$$

which implies (i).

Corollary 3.1. Let \dot{N} be a totally umbilical SSI-lightlike submanifold of a golden semi-Riemannian manifold \check{N} . Then μ^{\perp} is totally geodesic in \dot{N} .

Proof. For any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$, we have

$$\nabla_U V = \bar{\nabla}_U V + \bar{h}(U, V)$$

where $\bar{\nabla}_U V \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$ and $\bar{h}(U,V) \in \Gamma(\mu')$. Since μ' is an invariant distribution, for any $Z \in \Gamma(\mu')$, we have $\check{P}Z \in \Gamma(\mu')$. Then taking into account that $\check{\nabla}$ is a metric connection and using (2.2) and (2.10), it can be easily calculated

$$\dot{g}(\bar{h}(U,V), \check{P}Z) = \dot{g}(\nabla_{U}V, \check{P}Z) = \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{U}V, \check{P}Z) = \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_{U}\check{P}V, Z)
= -\check{g}(\check{P}V, \check{\nabla}_{U}Z) = -\check{g}(\check{P}V, h^{s}(U, Z)).$$
(3.35)

Using (2.22) we derive

$$h^s(U, Z) = H^s \dot{g}(U, Z) = 0,$$

for any $U \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$ and $Z \in \Gamma(\mu')$ and we have assertion of corollary.

Theorem 3.9. Let \dot{N} be a totally umbilical SSI-lightlike submanifold of a golden semi-Riemannian manifold \check{N} . Then following assertions are equivalent.

- (i) μ' is totally geodesic in \dot{N} .
- (ii) A_{PZ} is μ^{\perp} -valued, for any $Z \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$.
- (iii) $H^s \in \Gamma(\mu_0)$.

Proof. For any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mu')$, we have

$$\nabla_U V = \nabla'_U V + h'(U, V)$$

where $\nabla'_U V \in \Gamma(\mu')$ and $h'(U,V) \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$. Then taking into account that $\check{\nabla}$ is a metric connection and using (2.2) and (2.10) it can be easily calculated

$$\begin{split} \dot{g}(h'(U, \check{P}V), Z) &= \dot{g}(\nabla_U \check{P}V, Z) = \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_U \check{P}V, Z) = \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_U V, \check{P}Z) \\ &= -\check{g}(V, \check{\nabla}_U \check{P}Z) = \check{g}(A_{\check{P}Z}U, V), \end{split}$$

for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mu')$ and $Z \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp})$. Thus we obtain (i) \Longrightarrow (ii).

From (2.13) and (2.22) we derive

$$\check{g}(A_{\check{P}Z}U,V) = \check{g}(h^s(U,V),\check{P}Z) - \check{g}(V,D^l(U,\check{P}Z)) = \check{g}(U,V)g(H^s,\check{P}Z).$$

Thus we get (ii) \Longrightarrow (iii).

Since $\check{\nabla}$ is a metric connection, considering (2.2), (2.10) and (2.22) we derive

$$\dot{g}(h'(U, \check{P}V), Z) = \dot{g}(\nabla_U \check{P}V, Z) = \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_U \check{P}V, Z) = \check{g}(\check{\nabla}_U V, \check{P}Z)
= \check{g}(h^s(U, V), \check{P}Z) = \check{g}(U, V)\check{g}(H^s, \check{P}Z).$$

Thus we obtain (iii) \Longrightarrow (i).

References

- [1] Acet, B. E., Perktaş, S. Y., Kılıç, E.: Lightlike Submanifolds of a Para-Sasakian Manifold. General Mathematics Notes. 22(2), 22-45 (2014).
- [2] Acet, B. E.: Screen pseudo slant lightlike submanifolds of golden semi-Riemannian manifolds. Hacet. J. Math. Stat. 49(6), 2037-2045 (2020).
- [3] Crasmareanu, M., Hretcanu, C. E.: Golden Differential Geometry. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals. 38, 1229-1238 (2008).
- [4] Doğan, B., Şahin, B., Yaşar, E.: Screen Transversal Cauchy Riemann Lightlike Submanifolds. Filomat. 34(5), 1581-1599 (2020).
- [5] Doğan, B., Şahin, B., Yaşar, E.: Screen Generic Lightlike Submanifolds. Mediterr. J. Math. 16, 104, (2019).
- [6] Duggal, K. L., Bejancu, A.: Lightlike Submanifolds of Semi-Riemannian Manifolds and Applications. Kluwer Academic Pub., The Netherlands (1996).
- [7] Duggal, K. L., Jin, D. H.: Totally Umbilical Lightlike Submanifolds. Kodai Math. J. 26(1), 49-68 (2003).
- [8] Duggal, K. L., Şahin, B.: Screen Cauchy-Riemann lightlike submanifolds. Acta Math. Hungar. 106(1-2), 137–165 (2005).
- [9] Duggal, K. L., Şahin, B.: Differential Geometry of Lightlike Submanifolds. Birkhäuser Verlag AG, Basel, Boston, Berlin (2010).
- [10] Erdoğan, F. E., Yildirim, C.: On a study of the totally umbilical semi-invariant submanifolds of golden Riemannian manifolds. Politeknik Dergisi. 21(4), 967-970 (2018).
- [11] Gök, M., Keleş S., Kılıç, E.: Schouten and Vrănceanu Connections on Golden Manifolds. Int. Electron. J. Geom. 12(2), 169-181 (2019).
- [12] Hretcanu, C.E.: Submanifolds in Riemannian manifold with golden structure. Workshop on Finsler Geometry and its Applications. Hungary (2007).
- [13] Hretcanu, C. E., Crasmareanu, M.: On some invariant submanifolds in Riemannian manifold with Golden Structure. An. Ştiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iaşi. Mat. (N.S.) 53(1), 199–211 (2007).
- [14] Hretcanu, C. E., Crasmareanu, M.: Applications of the golden ratio on Riemannian manifolds. Turkish J. Math. 33(2), 179-191 (2009).
- [15] Khursheed Haider, S. M., Thakur, M., Advin: Screen Cauchy-Riemann lightlike submanifolds of a semi-Riemannian product manifold. Int. Electron. J. Geom. 4(2), 141–154 (2011).
- [16] Kılıç, E., Şahin, B.: Radical Anti-Invariant Lightlike Submanifolds of Semi-Riemannian Product Manifolds. Turkish J. Math. 32(4), 429-449 (2008).
- [17] Kılıç, E., Şahin, B., Keleş, S.: Screen semi-invariant lightlike submanifolds of a semi-Riemannian product manifolds. Int. Electron. J. Geom. 4(2), 120–135 (2011).
- [18] Kumar, R., Rani, R., Nagaich, R.K.: Some properties of lightlike submanifolds of semi-Riemannian manifolds. Demonstr. Math. 43(5), 691-701 (2010).
- [19] Özkan, M.: Prolongations of golden structures to tangent bundles. Diff. Geom. Dyn. Syst. 16, 227-238 (2014).
- [20] (Önen) Poyraz, N., Yaşar, E.: Lightlike submanifolds of golden semi-Riemannian manifolds. J. Geom. Phys. 141, 92-104 (2019).
- [21] Şahin, B., Akyol, M. A.: Golden maps between Golden Riemannian manifolds and constancy of certain maps. Math. Commun. 19(2), 333-342 (2014).
- [22] Yücesan, A., Yaşar, E.: Lightlike submanifolds of a semi-Riemannian manifold with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 47(5), 1089-1103 (2010).

Affiliations

NERGIZ (ÖNEN) POYRAZ

ADDRESS: Çukurova University, Department of Mathematics, 01330, Adana, Turkey.

E-MAIL: nonen@cu.edu.tr

ORCID ID:0000-0002-8110-712X