# Factors affecting occupational burnout in nurses working in a medical oncology clinic

# Pınar Eraslan<sup>1</sup>, DAyşegül İlhan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Presidential Medical Unit, Psychiatry Outpatient Clinic, Ankara, Turkey <sup>2</sup>Dr. Abdurrahman Yurtaslan Ankara Oncology Research and Training Hospital, Department of Medical Oncology, Ankara, Turkey

**Cite this article as**: Eraslan P, İlhan A. Factors affecting occupational burnout in nurses working in a medical oncology clinic. J Health Sci Med 2021; 4(5): 604-609.

# ABSTRACT

**Objective**: To reveal the factors that may affect the burnout level of nurses working in a medical oncology clinic.

**Material and Method:** This study was a cross-sectional observational descriptive study conducted in a medical oncology clinic. The study included 29 female nurses who were currently working in a medical oncology clinic. Participants were assessed with sociodemographic information form and Turkish versions of the occupational fatigue exhaustion/recovery scale (OFER), the professional quality of life (ProQOL) scale, and the Beck depression inventory (BDI). Participants with a low burnout score and a high burnout score were identified as group A and group B and were compared about factors that could affect burnout.

**Results**: Group A and group B were similar in terms of sociodemographic parameters. OFER-chronic fatigue subscale median (IQR) scores for Group A and Group B were 43.0 (33.00-58.50) and 63.0 (50.0-83.0), respectively (p = 0.032). OFER-inter-shift fatigue subscale median (IQR) scores for Group A and Group B were 50.0 (37.00-57.75) and 37.0 (20.00-43.00), respectively (p = 0.005). Median scores of OFER-acute fatigue, ProQOL compassion satisfaction, and compassion fatigue, and BDI were similar between groups. In binary logistic regression analysis, the odds ratios of OFER-chronic fatigue and OFER-inter-shift fatigue were 1.027 (95% CI 0.980-1.077, p=0.263) and 0.904 (95% CI 0.828-0.988, p=0.025), respectively.

**Conclusion**: Our study revealed that the most crucial factor related to the occupational burnout of nurses might be inter-shift fatigue (recovery). Interventions to target inter-shift fatigue could potentially protect nurses from burnout.

**Keywords**: Occupational burnout, oncology nurses, occupational fatigue exhaustion/recovery scale, professional quality of life scale, Beck depression inventory, inter-shift fatigue

# INTRODUCTION

Cancer is a challenging disease for both patients and healthcare professionals. Nurses who are at the forefront in the care of cancer patients experience many physical and psychological difficulties (1,2). Helping people exposed to traumatic stressors have been associated with burnout and depression (3). A recent meta-analysis shows that ten percent of nurses worldwide have high burnout symptoms (4). Evidence indicates that oncology nurses are particularly vulnerable to occupational stress, and this can affect nurses' professional quality of life (5,6). Nurses' compassion satisfaction, burnout level, and compassion fatigue are important concepts affecting the quality of nursing care given to patients (7). Moreover, decreasing the level of burnout is associated with better patient care and patient satisfaction (8). Compassion fatigue occurs when the caregiver cannot shield or save the individual from harm and results in feelings of guilt and distress (3,9). The levels of compassion fatigue and burnout, which are the most frequently reported work-related consequences for nurses, are particularly high for oncology nurses (10-12). Quality of care, nurses' performance, patients' outcomes, nurses' safety, and quality of communications with colleagues and patients can be negatively affected by a high level of compassion fatigue (13).

Characterized by hopelessness, reduced energy and strength, frustration, anger, and depression, burnout is often associated with a very high workload or an unsupportive work environment (3). Especially; healthcare professionals are among the riskiest job

Corresponding Author: Pınar Eraslan, drpinareraslan@gmail.com



groups in terms of burnout (9,14). Increased burnout levels and decreased job satisfaction in healthcare professionals negatively affect the employees' quality of life and impair nursing care and services quality (9,14).

Occupational fatigue is defined as mental or physical fatigue that prevents a person from functioning normally due to prolonged physical and/or mental exertion without sufficient time to rest and recover (15). Long working hours, multiple-night shifts, and insufficient rest between shifts are also associated with higher nurse fatigue (16). Occupational fatigue can be divided into three different types as acute fatigue, chronic fatigue, and inter-shift (recovery) fatigue (17). Acute occupational fatigue is defined as a feeling of lack of energy as a direct consequence of previous work activities (18). Chronic occupation fatigue results from high levels of acute fatigue in addition to insufficient recovery between work shifts which persists even on rest days (19). Chronic fatigue is correlated with more negative consequences on workers' health, well-being, and work performance than acute fatigue (20). The third type is recovery compassion fatigue that exists when nurses do not feel recovered from a previous shift at the start of the next shift (21). In studies, fatigue, especially in nurses returning shifts, was associated with poor job performance needle bars, musculoskeletal injuries, obesity, and depression (22,23).

In this study, we aimed to reveal the factors that may affect the burnout level of nurses working in a medical oncology clinic.

# MATERIAL AND METHOD

This study was a cross-sectional observational descriptive study conducted in the medical oncology clinic of a tertiary referral center. Nurses who did not have a physical or mental disability preventing them from understanding what they read and filling out questionnaires, who agreed to participate in the study, and who were currently working in the medical oncology clinic were included in the study. Sociodemographic information form and Turkish versions of the occupational fatigue exhaustion/recovery scale (OFER), the professional quality of life (ProQOL) scale, and the Beck depression inventory (BDI) were given to the nurses in print, and the participants were asked to complete them themselves. The study was conducted after the approval of the Ankara Onkoloji Training and Research Hospital Clinical Researchs Ethics Committe (Date: 21.04.2021, Decision No: 2021-04/1128). All procedures were carried out in accordance with the ethical rules and the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

# Sociodemographic Information Form

A constructed demographic information form included questions about age, working time in the medical

oncology clinic, marital status (single or married), living alone or with other family members, comorbidities (i.e. diseases such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, osteoarthritis, migraine), income level (what participants report as low, medium, or high, in their own opinion), exposure to verbal or physical violence at work, whether she likes her job or not, feeling of strain and history of psychiatric disorder and treatment.

# **Occupational Fatigue Exhaustion/Recovery Scale**

Winwood et al. (20) developed the occupational fatigue exhaustion/recovery scale to measure occupational fatigue. The scale consists of 15 items and includes three sub-dimensions: chronic fatigue, acute fatigue, and intershift fatigue (recovery). For scale responses, a 7-point Likert type scale with 6 points (0=Strongly Disagree, 1=Disagree, 2=Somewhat Disagree, 3=Neither Agree nor Disagree, 4=Somewhat Agree, 5=Agree, 6=Strongly Agree) is used. The scale does not have a total score, and it is calculated separately for each sub-dimension (item-total scores/30 ×100). A score between 0 and 100 is obtained for each sub-dimension. For the subdimensions chronic fatigue and acute fatigue, scoring 0-25 shows low fatigue, 25-50 medium/low fatigue, 50-75 medium/high fatigue, and 75-100 shows high fatigue. A high score in the inter-shift fatigue (recovery) subdimension indicates that there is recovery between shifts (24). In the validity and reliability studies conducted by Havlioğlu et al. (25) for the Turkish version of the scale, the Cronbach's alpha coefficient was 0.85 in the chronic fatigue sub-dimension, which was 0.67 in acute fatigue sub-dimension, and 0.68 in the recovery subdimension.

# Professional Quality of Life Scale, Version 5

The ProQOL is a 30-item self-report measure composed by three subscales (3). The first subscale measures compassion satisfaction, defined as the "pleasure derived from being able to do one's work (helping others) well" (10 items). Higher scores on this scale represent greater satisfaction. The second subscale measures burnout, defined as "feelings of hopelessness and difficulties in dealing with work or in doing one's job effectively" (10 items). The third subscale measures secondary traumatic stress, defined as "work-related, secondary exposure to people who have experienced extremely or traumatically stressful events" (10 items). Considering that the terms "compassion fatigue" and "secondary traumatic stress" are used interchangeably in the literature, we will use the term "compassion fatigue" to refer to this factor. Higher scores from these two subscales indicate higher levels of burnout and compassion fatigue, respectively. Respondents are instructed to indicate how frequently each item was experienced in the previous 30 days on a

5-item Likert scale (from 1=never to 5=very often). The score that can be obtained from each subscale varies between 0 and 50 (3). In the study for the reliability of the Turkish version of scale conducted by Yeşil et al, the Cronbach's alpha value of ProQOL was 0.848, and the Cronbach's alpha values of compassion satisfaction, burnout, and compassion fatigue subscales were 0.884, 0.575 and 0.841, respectively (26).

#### **Beck Depression Inventory**

The Beck depression inventory consists of 21 questions, each of which scores between 0 and 3 (27). High scores on this scale indicate an increase in the severity of depressive complaints (27). Hisli et al. (28) demonstrated the validity and reliability of the Turkish version of the BDI with a Cronbach alpha coefficient of 0.80.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software (SPSS for Windows, version 24.0., SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). Numeric data were presented as median (interquartile range-IQR), and categorical data were presented as frequency (percentage). The participants were divided into two subgroups according to ProQOLburnout subscale scores. Participants with a low burnout score (ProQOL-burnout subscale score lower than median) and a high burnout score (ProQOL-burnout subscale score higher than median) were identified as group A, and group B. Group A and group B were compared by using Pearson's chi-square test and Mann-Whitney U test for categorical data and nonparametric numerical data, respectively. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed using variables with a p-value below 0.05 due to univariate analysis to determine independent factors predicting high ProQOL-burnout subscale scores. All statistical tests were two-sided, and p-values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

# RESULTS

#### **Study Population**

The study included 29 female nurses with a median age of 28.0 (IQR, 24.5-41.0) and currently working in a medical oncology clinic. Seventeen (58%) of the participants worked in the medical oncology clinic for at least three years, and 19 (65.5) were on night shifts. Eleven (37.9%) nurses were single, 18 (62.1%) were married, and six (20.7%) nurses were living alone. Eighteen (62.1%) participants had children. Eighteen (62.1%) and 11 (37.9%) participants stated their income levels as moderate and high, respectively. Seven (24.1%) participants had comorbidities, and only one of them (3.4%) was receiving psychiatric treatment for depression.

Thirteen (44.8%) nurses were subjected to verbal or physical violence while doing their job. The number of nurses who had difficulties while doing their job and who had no job satisfaction were 19 (65.5%) and three (10.3%), respectively. Median OFER chronic fatigue, acute fatigue, and inter-shift (recovery) fatigue subscale scores were 57.0 (IQR, 40.0-70.0), 73.0 (IQR, 63.0-80.0), and 43.0 (IQR, 33.0-53.0), respectively. Median ProQOL compassion satisfaction, burnout, and compassion fatigue subscale scores were 31.0 (IQR, 26.0-38.5), 19.0 (IQR, 16.0-25.0), and 19.0 (IQR, 12.0-26.5), respectively. Median BDI score was 11.0 (IQR, 4.0-19.5).

# Comparison of Groups Formed According to Burnout Level

Twenty-nine participants were divided into two groups: those with ProQOL-burnout scores less than median (Group A, n=14) and those with equal or greater than median (Group B, n=15). Both groups were similar in terms of main sociodemographic parameters (**Table 1**).

Table 1. Comparison of Group A and Group B in terms of main   sociodemographic parameters						
Parameter	Group A (n=14)	Group B (n=15)	p-value			
Age, median (IQR)	33.0 (27.75-41.50)	25.0 (24.00-36.00)	0.130			
Working time			0.264			
<3 years	4 (28.6)	8 (53.3)				
≥3 years	10 (71.4)	7 (46.7)				
Marital status			0.128			
Single	3 (21.4)	8 (53.3)				
Married	11 (78.6)	7 (46.7)				
Lives alone			0.169			
No	13 (92.9)	10 (66.7)				
Yes	1 (7.1)	5 (33.3)				
Have a child			0.450			
No	4 (28.6)	7 (46.7)				
Yes	10 (71.4)	8 (53.3)				
Night shift			0.700			
No	4 (28.6)	6 (40.0)				
Yes	10 (71.4)	9 (60.0)				
Income status			0.710			
Moderate	8 (57.1)	10 (66.7)				
High	6 (42.9)	5 (33.3)				
Comorbidity			0.215			
No	9 (64.3)	13 (86.7)				
Yes	5 (35.7)	2 (13.3)				
Feeling strained						
No	5 (35.7)	5 (33.3)	1.000			
Yes	9 (64.3)	10 (66.7)				
Exposure to viole	ence		0.715			
No	7 (50.0)	9 (60.0)				
Yes	7 (50.0)	6 (40.0)				
Job satisfaction			0.598			
No	2 (14.3)	1 (6.7)				
Yes	12 (85.7	14 (93.3)				

IQR, interquartile range. Results for all parameters except age are given as n (%).

OFER-chronic fatigue subscale median (IQR) scores for Group A and Group B were 43.0 (33.00-58.50) and 63.0 (50.0-83.0), respectively (p=0.032). OFER-inter-shift fatigue subscale median (IQR) scores for Group A and Group B were 50.0 (37.00-57.75) and 37.0 (20.00-43.00), respectively (p=0.005). OFER-acute fatigue, ProQOL-compassion satisfaction, ProQOL-compassion fatigue, BDI scores were similar in both groups (**Table 2**).

<b>Table 2.</b> Comparison of Group A and Group B in terms of studyscale scores							
Parameter	Group A (n=14)	Group B (n=15)	p-value				
OFER-chronic fatigue	43.0 (33.00-58.50)	63.0 (50.00-83.00)	0.032				
OFER-acute fatigue	73.0 (61.50-77.75)	80.0 (63.00-83.00)	0.323				
OFER-inter-shift fatigue	50.0 (37.00-57.75)	37.0 (20.00-43.00)	0.005				
ProQOL-compassion satisfaction	36.5 (27.75-43.25)	30.0 (24.00-32.00)	0.110				
ProQOL-compassion fatigue	16.0 (11.50-21.75)	20.0 (12.00-34.00)	0.382				
BDI	10.0 (4.00-17.00)	15.0 (4.00-27.00)	0.405				
OFER, occupational fatigue exhaustion/recovery scale; ProQOL, professional quality of life; BDI, Beck depression inventory							

In binary logistic regression analysis, which included parameters with a statistically significant difference in univariate analysis between Group A and Group B, the odds ratios of OFER-chronic fatigue and OFER-intershift fatigue were 1.027 (95% CI 0.980-1.077, p=0.263) and 0.904 (95% CI 0.828-0.988, p=0.025), respectively (**Table 3**).

Table 3. Binary logistic regression analysis of parameters that could affect burnout levels							
Demonstern	OR	95% CI					
Parameter		Lower	Upper	p-value			
OFER-chronic fatigue	1.027	0.980	1.077	0.263			
OFER-inter-shift fatigue	0.904	0.828	0.988	0.025			
OFER, occupational fatigue exhaustion/recovery scale; OR, odds ratio							

### DISCUSSION

In the current study we conducted with oncology nurses whose burnout level is expected to be high, we found that inter-shift fatigue (recovery) is the only independent predictive factor that affects burnout. To the best of our knowledge, there is no study in the literature investigating the relationship between inter-shift fatigue (recovery) and burnout in oncology nurses. Our study is valuable because it is the first study to draw attention to this issue.

Gluschkoff et al. (29) in a cross-sectional study of Finnish primary school teachers, showed that both job stress and inadequate recovery have contributed to the development of burnout. However, the role of recovery as an intermediary mechanism linking work stress to burnout has not been adequately addressed in this trial (29). In a cross-sectional survey with 573 cancer workers, where oncology nurses represent the most prominent professional group (n=211), the two recovery experiences of psychological detachment and relaxation had a strong negative association to burnout and psychological well-being (30). In another study examining the mediating effect of recovery experience on burnout and quality of life in female nurses in China, as a mediating factor, recovery experience has been shown to alleviate the impact of job burnout on quality of life (31). In a multicentre study by Fauzi et al. (32) where they included Malaysian public hospital doctors, acute and chronic fatigue were correlated, and both were negatively correlated with inter-shift recovery. Rumination on being scolded/violated was found to be negatively associated with recovery in this study (32). Work-related ruminations during the non-work time were typical and associated with poor fatigue and recovery outcomes (32). Nurses working in 12-hour shifts have experienced moderate to high levels of acute fatigue, moderate chronic fatigue and recovery between shifts, and an unhealthy fatigue recovery period (21). Consistent with previous studies, in another study, high levels of professional fatigue among nurses and poor recovery between shifts were associated with lack of care (33). Our findings and literature data suggest that the most determining factor for burnout in oncology nurses may be inter-shift fatigue (recovery).

There is insufficient literature data on evaluating the relationship between chronic fatigue and burnout in nurses. Rahman et al. (34) showed a statistically significant relationship between chronic fatigue and burnout in the simple linear regression model in their study with 201 emergency and intensive care nurses. However, the statistical significance of this relationship did not continue in the multiple linear regression model analysis (34). Similarly, in our study, although chronic fatigue is not an independent predictive factor for burnout, chronic fatigue was significantly higher in the group with high burnout than those with low burnout. Based on this finding, we think that chronic fatigue level may be a determining factor for burnout.

Previous research has found strong links between burnout and depression (35,36). In a study by Duan-Porter et al. (37) there was a positive relationship between mental disorder symptoms and burnout among nurses, and burnout was significantly associated with higher levels of anxiety, stress, and depression. Similarly, in a study designed to predict burnout levels among Canadian nurses (n=3257; 94.3% women), participants with clinically significant burnout were more likely to screen positive for all mental disorders, particularly major depressive disorder with a 43 fold risk, than participants without burnout (38). A quantitative, descriptive, crosssectional study involving 91 intensive care nurses, 10.98% of the nurses had depression symptoms and 14.29% burnout, showed that there is a positive correlation between the burnout dimension score and the BDI score (39). In our study, median BDI score was numerically higher in the group with a high burnout score than the group with a low burnout score. However, this difference was not statistically significant, possibly due to the low number of participants in the current study. Significant literature data support showing the relationship between depression and burnout made us think that the numerical difference in the BDI score in our study is remarkable.

The main limitation of our study is that it was conducted in a single center and with a limited number of participants. Studies with larger numbers of participants and multicenter studies can both increase the statistical power and reveal whether there is a difference between the centers in terms of burnout of oncology nurses.

#### CONCLUSION

Our study revealed that the most crucial factor related to the occupational burnout of nurses might be inter-shift fatigue (recovery). Chronic fatigue may also have an effect on occupational burnout. It should also be kept in mind that occupational burnout can lead to psychiatric illnesses such as depression. Understanding the relationship between burnout and inter-shift fatigue (recovery) may allow interventions to be made to prevent the work environment from being a trigger for burnout. Adding regulations on recovery to improvement programs regarding working conditions in nurses may prevent the path to burnout. New studies, such as comparative intervention studies (i.e., group therapies, occupational therapies) aimed at inter-shift fatigue (recovery), with a larger number of participants, are needed.

#### ETHICAL DECLARATIONS

**Ethics Committee Approval:** The study was conducted after the approval of the Ankara Onkoloji Training and Research Hosipital Clinical Researchs Ethics Committe (Date: 21.04.2021, Decision No: 2021-04/1128).

**Informed Consent:** All patients signed the free and informed consent form.

**Referee Evaluation Process:** Externally peer-reviewed.

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

**Financial Disclosure:** The authors declared that this study had received no financial support.

**Author Contributions:** All of the authors declare that they have all participated in the design, execution, and analysis of the paper and approved the final version.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Kim K, Han Y, Kwak Y, Kim JS. Professional quality of life and clinical competencies among Korean nurses. Asian Nurs Res (Korean Soc Nurs Sci) 2015; 9: 200-6.
- Zajac LM, Moran KJ, Groh CJ. Confronting compassion fatigue: assessment and intervention in inpatient oncology. Clin J Oncol Nurs 2017; 21: 446-53.
- 3. Stamm BH. The Concise ProQOL Manual. 2nd Edition ed: Pocatello, 2010.
- 4. Woo T, Ho R, Tang A, Tam W. Global prevalence of burnout symptoms among nurses: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Psychiatr Res 2020; 123: 9-20.
- 5. Aycock N, Boyle D. Interventions to manage compassion fatigue in oncology nursing. Clin J Oncol Nurs 2009; 13: 183-91.
- 6. Jang I, Kim Y, Kim K. Professionalism and professional quality of life for oncology nurses. J Clin Nurs 2016; 25: 2835-45.
- Zhang LF, You LM, Liu K, et al. The association of Chinese hospital work environment with nurse burnout, job satisfaction, and intention to leave. Nurs Outlook 2014; 62: 128-37.
- 8. White EM, Aiken LH, McHugh MD. Registered nurse burnout, job dissatisfaction, and missed care in nursing homes. J Am Geriatr Soc 2019; 67: 2065-71.
- 9. Russell K. Perceptions of burnout, its prevention, and its effect on patient care as described by oncology nurses in the hospital setting. Oncol Nurs Forum 2016; 43: 103-9.
- 10. Coetzee SK, Klopper HC. Compassion fatigue within nursing practice: a concept analysis. Nurs Health Sci 2010; 12: 235-43.
- 11. Neville K, Cole DA. The relationships among health promotion behaviors, compassion fatigue, burnout, and compassion satisfaction in nurses practicing in a community medical center. J Nurs Adm 2013; 43: 348-54.
- 12. Yoder EA. Compassion fatigue in nurses. Appl Nurs Res 2010; 23: 191-7.
- 13. Di Muzio M, Dionisi S, Di Simone E, et al. Can nurses' shift work jeopardize the patient safety? A systematic review. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2019; 23: 4507-19.
- 14. Akman O, Ozturk C, Bektas M, Ayar D, Armstrong MA. Job satisfaction and burnout among paediatric nurses. J Nurs Manag 2016; 24: 923-33.
- 15. Rose DM, Seidler A, Nübling M, et al. Associations of fatigue to work-related stress, mental and physical health in an employed community sample. BMC Psychiatry 2017; 17: 167.
- 16. Fang JB, Zhou CF, Huang J, Qiu CJ. Psychometric properties of the chinese version of the occupational fatigue exhaustion/recovery scale: a test in a nursing population. J Nurs Res 2018; 26: 191-8.
- 17.Liu Y, Wu LM, Chou PL, Chen MH, Yang LC, Hsu HT. The influence of work-related fatigue, work conditions, and personal characteristics on intent to leave among new nurses. J Nurs Scholarsh 2016; 48: 66-73.
- 18. Wan JJ, Qin Z, Wang PY, Sun Y, Liu X. Muscle fatigue: general understanding and treatment. Exp Mol Med 2017; 49: e384.
- 19. Al Ma'mari Q, Sharour LA, Al Omari O. Fatigue, burnout, work environment, workload and perceived patient safety culture among critical care nurses. Br J Nurs 2020; 29: 28-34.
- 20. Winwood PC, Winefield AH, Dawson D, Lushington K. Development and validation of a scale to measure work-related fatigue and recovery: the Occupational Fatigue Exhaustion/ Recovery Scale (OFER). J Occup Environ Med 2005; 47: 594-606.
- Chen J, Davis KG, Daraiseh NM, Pan W, Davis LS. Fatigue and recovery in 12-hour dayshift hospital nurses. J Nurs Manag 2014; 22: 593-603.
- 22.Han K, Trinkoff AM, Geiger-Brown J. Factors associated with work-related fatigue and recovery in hospital nurses working 12hour shifts. Workplace Health Saf 2014; 62: 409-14.

- 23.Caruso CC. Negative impacts of shiftwork and long work hours. Rehabil Nurs 2014; 39: 16-25.
- 24. Winwood PC, Winefield AH, Lushington K. Work-related fatigue and recovery: the contribution of age, domestic responsibilities and shiftwork. J Adv Nurs 2006; 56: 438-49.
- 25.Havlioglu S, Ortabag T, Winwood PC. Turkish validity and reliability of the occupational fatigue exhaustion/recovery scale. Medicine 2019; 8: 916-922.
- 26. Yeşil A, Ümit E, Cumhur A, Fatih ER, Olgun N, Aker A. Validity and reliability of the Turkish version of the professional quality of life scale. Nöropsikiyatri Arşivi 2010; 47.
- 27.Beck AT, Ward CH, Mendelson M, Mock J, Erbaugh J. An inventory for measuring depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1961; 4: 561-71.
- 28.Hisli N. Beck depresyon envanterinin universite ogrencileri icin gecerliligi, guvenilirligi. (A reliability and validity study of Beck Depression Inventory in a university student sample). J Psychol 1989; 7: 3-13.
- 29.Gluschkoff K, Elovainio M, Kinnunen U, et al. Work stress, poor recovery and burnout in teachers. Occup Med (Lond) 2016; 66: 564-70.
- 30. Poulsen MG, Poulsen AA, Khan A, Poulsen EE, Khan SR. Recovery experience and burnout in cancer workers in Queensland. Eur J Oncol Nurs 2015; 19: 23-8.
- 31.Yang F, Ding J, Ma R, et al. Recovery experience: a concept for maintaining quality of life and its mediating effect among female nurses in China. Psychol Res Behav Manag 2020; 13: 831-41.
- 32. Mohd Fauzi MF, Mohd Yusoff H, Mat Saruan NA, Muhamad Robat R, Abdul Manaf MR, Ghazali M. Fatigue and recovery among Malaysian doctors: the role of work-related activities during non-work time. BMJ Open 2020; 10: e036849.
- 33. Min A, Kim YM, Yoon YS, Hong HC, Kang M, Scott LD. Effects of work environments and occupational fatigue on care left undone in rotating shift nurses. J Nurs Scholarsh 2021; 53: 126-36.
- 34. Rahman HA, Abdul-Mumin K, Naing L. A study into psychosocial factors as predictors of work-related fatigue. Br J Nurs 2016; 25: 757-63.
- 35.Koutsimani P, Montgomery A, Georganta K. The relationship between burnout, depression, and anxiety: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Psychol 2019; 10: 284.
- 36.Carmassi C, Bertelloni CA, Avella MT, et al. PTSD and burnout are related to lifetime mood spectrum in emergency healthcare operator. Clin Pract Epidemiol Ment Health 2020; 16: 165-73.
- 37.Duan-Porter W, Hatch D, Pendergast JF, et al. 12-month trajectories of depressive symptoms among nurses-Contribution of personality, job characteristics, coping, and burnout. J Affect Disord 2018; 234: 67-73.
- 38.Stelnicki AM, Jamshidi L, Angehrn A, Hadjistavropoulos HD, Carleton RN. Associations between burnout and mental disorder symptoms among nurses in Canada. Can J Nurs Res 2020: 844562120974194.
- 39.Vasconcelos EM, Martino MMF, França SPS. Burnout and depressive symptoms in intensive care nurses: relationship analysis. Rev Bras Enferm 2018; 71: 135-41.