

# Approximation Properties of The Nonlinear Jain Operators

Sevilay Kirci Serenbay, Özge Dalmanoğlu and Ecem Acar\*

## Abstract

We define the nonlinear Jain operators of max-product type. We studied approximation properties of these operators.

*Keywords:* Nonlinear max-product operators; max-product Jain operators; degree of approximation.

*AMS Subject Classification (2020):* Primary: 41A30 ; Secondary: 41A25; 41A29.

\*Corresponding author

## 1. Introduction

The main topic in the classical approximation theory is approximating a continuous function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow R$  with more elementary functions such as polynomials, trigonometric functions, etc.. The well-known Korovkin's theorem, which gives a simple proof of Weierstrass theorem, is based on the approximation of functions by linear and positive operators. The underlying algebraic structure of these mentioned operators is linear over  $R$  and they are also linear operators. In 2006, Bede et.al [4] asked whether they could change the underlying algebraic structure to more general structures. In this sense they presented nonlinear Shepard-type operators by replacing the operations sum and product by max and product. They proved Weierstrass-type uniform approximation theorem and obtained error estimates in terms of the modulus of continuity. Following this paper Bede et. al. [5] defined and studied pseudo linear approximation operators. Based upon these studies, there appeared an open problem in the book of S.Gal [10] in which the max-product type Bernstein operators were introduced. Related to this open problem, a nonlinear modification of the classical Bernstein operators were first studied by Bede and Gal [3] (see also [2]). The idea behind these studies were also applied to other well-known approximating operators. Several authors introduced the nonlinear versions of the stated operators and studied order of approximation [3,4,12]. Also see [6] for the collected papers.

The nonlinear Favard-Szasz-Mirakjan operators of max-product kind is introduced in [2] as (here  $\vee$  means

maximum)

$$F_n^{(M)}(f)(x) = \frac{\bigvee_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)}{\bigvee_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!}}$$

whose order of pointwise approximation is obtained as  $\omega_1(f; \sqrt{x}/\sqrt{n})$ . In [7], the authors dealt with the same operator in order to obtain the same order of approximation but by a simpler method. They also presented some shape preserving properties of the operators.

In 1972, Jain [11] introduced the following operators to generalize classical Szász-Mirakyan operators : for  $\lambda > 0$  and  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ ,

$$P_n^{[\beta]}(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_{\beta}(k, nx) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), f \in C[0, \lambda], n \in \mathbb{N}$$

where the basis function is

$$\omega_{\beta}(k, x) = x(x+k\beta)^{k-1} \frac{e^{-(x+k\beta)}}{k!}; k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_{\beta}(k, x) = 1.$$

It is easy to see that for  $\beta = 0$ , the operator reduces to the classical Szász-Mirakyan operators. Farcas [9] proved a Voronovskaja type result for Jain's operators. Dođru et. al. [8] investigated a modification of the Jain operators preserving the linear functions. Recently, Özarslan [12] introduced the Stancu type generalization of Jain's operators and investigated the weighted approximation properties and Olgun et. al. [13] introduced a generalization of Jain's operators based on a function  $\rho$ . Also, Bernstein and generalizations of Jain operators were studied by many authors (see [14]-[21].) The aim of this study is to introduce the nonlinear Jain operators of max-product type and estimate the rate of pointwise convergence of the operators. The non-truncated Jain operators are defined by

$$T_{n,\beta}^{(M)}(f; x) = \frac{\bigvee_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k,\beta}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)}{\bigvee_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k,\beta}(x)}, n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $W_{n,k,\beta}(x) = (nx+k\beta)^{k-1} \frac{e^{-(nx+k\beta)}}{k!}$  and  $f : [0, \lambda] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is considered as a bounded function on  $[0, \lambda]$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

Here, it is emphasized some general notations about the nonlinear operators of max-product kind. Over the set of positive reals,  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , we deal with the operations  $\bigvee$  (maximum) and  $\cdot$  (product). Then  $(\mathbb{R}_+, \bigvee, \cdot)$  has a semiring structure and it is called as Max-Product algebra.

Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded or unbounded interval, and

$$CB_+(I) = \{f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+; f \text{ continuous and bounded on } I\}.$$

A discrete max-product type approximation operator  $L_n : CB_+(I) \rightarrow CB_+(I)$ , has a general form

$$L_n(f)(x) = \bigvee_{i=0}^n K_n(x, x_i) \cdot f(x_i),$$

or

$$L_n(f)(x) = \bigvee_{i=0}^{\infty} K_n(x, x_i) \cdot f(x_i)$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f \in CB_+(I)$ ,  $K_n(\cdot, x_i) \in CB_+(I)$  and  $x_i \in I$ , for all  $i = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . These operators are nonlinear, positive operators and satisfy a pseudo-linearity condition of the form

$$L_n(\alpha \cdot f \vee \beta \cdot g)(x) = \alpha \cdot L_n(f)(x) \vee \beta \cdot L_n(g)(x), \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_+, f, g : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+.$$

In order to give some properties of the operators  $L_n$ , we present the following auxiliary Lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** ([2]) Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded or unbounded interval,

$$CB_+(I) = \{f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+; f \text{ continuous and bounded on } I\},$$

and  $L_n : CB_+(I) \rightarrow CB_+(I), n \in \mathbb{N}$  be a sequence of operators satisfying the following properties :

(i) (Monotonicity)

$$f, g \in CB_+(I) \text{ satisfy } f \leq g \text{ then } L_n(f) \leq L_n(g) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N};$$

(ii) (Subadditivity)

$$L_n(f + g) \leq L_n(f) + L_n(g) \text{ for all } f, g \in CB_+(I).$$

Then for all  $f, g \in CB_+(I), n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in I$  we have

$$|L_n(f)(x) - L_n(g)(x)| \leq L_n(|f - g|)(x).$$

*Remark 2.1.* Max-product for Jain operators defined by (4) verify the conditions in Lemma 2.1, (i), (ii). In fact, instead of (i) it satisfies the stronger condition

$$L_n(f \vee g)(x) = L_n(f)(x) \vee L_n(g)(x), f, g \in CB_+(I).$$

Indeed, taking in the above equality  $f \leq g, f, g \in CB_+(I)$ , it easily follows  $L_n(f)(x) \leq L_n(g)(x)$ .

Furthermore, the Jain operators of max-product type is positive homogenous, that is  $L_n(\lambda f) = \lambda L_n(f)$  for all  $\lambda \geq 0$ .

**Corollary 2.2.** ([2]) Let  $L_n : CB_+(I) \rightarrow CB_+(I), n \in \mathbb{N}$  be a sequence of operators satisfying the conditions (i)-(ii) in Lemma 1 and in addition be a positive homogenous operator. Then for all  $f \in CB_+(I), n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in I$  we have

$$|f(x) - L_n(f)(x)| \leq \left[ \frac{1}{\delta} L_n(\varphi_x)(x) + L_n(e_0)(x) \right] \omega(f; \delta) + f(x) \cdot |L_n(e_0)(x) - 1|,$$

where  $\delta > 0, e_0(t) = 1$  for all  $t \in I, \varphi_x(t) = |t - x|$  for all  $t \in I, x \in I$ .

$$\omega(f; \delta) = \max_{\substack{x, y \in I \\ |x - y| \leq \delta}} |f(x) - f(y)|$$

is the first modulus of continuity. If  $I$  is unbounded then we suppose that there exists  $L_n(\varphi_x)(x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$ , for any  $x \in I, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Corollary 2.3.** ([2]) Suppose that in addition to the conditions in Corollary 2.2, the sequence  $(L_n)_n$  satisfies  $L_n(e_0) = e_0$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then for all  $f \in CB_+(I), n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in I$  we have

$$|f(x) - L_n(f)(x)| \leq \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\delta} L_n(\varphi_x)(x) \right] \omega(f; \delta).$$

### 3. Construction of the Operators and Auxiliary Results

Since  $T_{n,\beta}^{(M)}(f)(0) - f(0) = 0$  for all  $n$ , throughout the paper we may suppose that  $x > 0$ . We need the following notations and Lemmas for the proof the main results.

For each  $k, j \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $x \in \left[ \frac{aj+\beta}{n}, \frac{a(j+1)+\beta}{n} \right], j = 0, x \in \left[ 0, \frac{a+\beta}{n} \right] = \left[ 0, \frac{e^\beta}{n} \right], a = e^\beta - \beta, 0 \leq \beta < 1$ , let us denote

$$M_{k,n,j}(x) := \frac{W_{n,k,\beta}(x) \left| \frac{k}{n} - x \right|}{W_{n,j,\beta}(x)}, m_{k,n,j}(x) := \frac{W_{n,k,\beta}(x)}{W_{n,j,\beta}(x)}.$$

where  $W_{n,k,\beta}$  is defined as in the operators (1.1). It is clear that if  $k \geq j + 1$  then

$$M_{k,n,j}(x) = \frac{W_{n,k,\beta}(x) \left( \frac{k}{n} - x \right)}{W_{n,j,\beta}(x)}$$

and if  $k \leq j$  then

$$M_{k,n,j}(x) = \frac{W_{n,k,\beta}(x) \left(x - \frac{k}{n}\right)}{W_{n,j,\beta}(x)}.$$

**Lemma 3.1.** Denoting  $W_{n,k,\beta}(x) = (nx + k\beta)^{k-1} \frac{e^{-(nx+k\beta)}}{k!}$ , we have

$$\bigvee_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k,\beta}(x) = W_{n,j,\beta}(x), \text{ for all } x \in \left[ \frac{aj + \beta}{n}, \frac{a(j+1) + \beta}{n} \right],$$

where  $a = e^\beta - \beta$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $x \in \left[0, \frac{a+\beta}{n}\right] = \left[0, \frac{e^\beta}{n}\right]$ .

**Proof.** Firstly, we show that for fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 \leq k$  we have

$$0 \leq W_{n,k+1,\beta}(x) \leq W_{n,k,\beta}(x) \text{ if and only if } x \in \left[0, \frac{a(k+1) + \beta}{n}\right].$$

Indeed, writing the the above inequality explicitly, we have

$$0 \leq (nx + (k+1)\beta)^k \frac{e^{-(nx+(k+1)\beta)}}{(k+1)!} \leq (nx + k\beta)^{k-1} \frac{e^{-(nx+k\beta)}}{k!}.$$

If  $x = 0$ , this inequality is true. For  $x > 0$ , after simplifications it becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{nx + (k+1)\beta}{nx + k\beta}\right)^k &\leq \frac{e^\beta (k+1)}{nx + k\beta} \\ (nx + k\beta) \left(\frac{nx + (k+1)\beta}{nx + k\beta}\right)^k &\leq e^\beta (k+1) \\ (nx + k\beta) \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{nx + k\beta}\right)^k &\leq e^\beta (k+1) \\ nx &\leq \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{\beta}{nx+k\beta}\right)^k} e^\beta (k+1) - k\beta \\ x &\leq \frac{e^\beta (k+1)}{n} - \frac{k\beta}{n} \\ &= \frac{e^\beta (k+1) - k\beta}{n} = \frac{(e^\beta - \beta)(k+1) + \beta}{n} \\ &= \frac{a(k+1) + \beta}{n}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a = (e^\beta - \beta)$ ,  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ . Then

$$0 \leq x \leq \frac{a(k+1) + \beta}{n}, a = e^\beta - \beta.$$

By taking  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  in the inequality just proved above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} W_{n,1,\beta}(x) &\leq W_{n,0,\beta}(x), \text{ if and only if } x \in \left[0, \frac{a + \beta}{n}\right], \\ W_{n,2,\beta}(x) &\leq W_{n,1,\beta}(x), \text{ if and only if } x \in \left[0, \frac{2a + \beta}{n}\right], \\ &\vdots \\ W_{n,k+1,\beta}(x) &\leq W_{n,k,\beta}(x), \text{ if and only if } x \in \left[0, \frac{a(k+1) + \beta}{n}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

From the above inequalities, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } x \in \left[0, \frac{a + \beta}{n}\right] & \text{ then } W_{n,k,\beta}(x) \leq W_{n,0,\beta}(x), \text{ for all } k = 0, 1, \dots \\ \text{if } x \in \left[\frac{a + \beta}{n}, \frac{2a + \beta}{n}\right] & \text{ then } W_{n,k,\beta}(x) \leq W_{n,1,\beta}(x), \text{ for all } k = 0, 1, \dots \\ \text{if } x \in \left[\frac{2a + \beta}{n}, \frac{3a + \beta}{n}\right] & \text{ then } W_{n,k,\beta}(x) \leq W_{n,2,\beta}(x), \text{ for all } k = 0, 1, \dots \end{aligned}$$

and proceeding in the same manner,

$$\text{if } x \in \left[\frac{aj + \beta}{n}, \frac{a(j + 1) + \beta}{n}\right] \text{ then } W_{n,k,\beta}(x) \leq W_{n,j,\beta}(x), \text{ for all } k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

then we have

$$0 \leq W_{n,k+1,\beta}(x) \leq W_{n,k,\beta}(x) \text{ if and only if } x \in \left[\frac{aj + \beta}{n}, \frac{a(j + 1) + \beta}{n}\right].$$

**Lemma 3.2.** For all  $k, j \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ , and  $x \in \left[\frac{aj + \beta}{n}, \frac{a(j + 1) + \beta}{n}\right], j = 0, x \in \left[0, \frac{a + \beta}{n}\right] = \left[0, \frac{e^\beta}{n}\right]$ , we have

$$m_{k,n,j}(x) \leq 1.$$

Proof. We have two cases: 1)  $k \geq j$  and 2)  $k < j$ .

Let  $k \geq j$ . Since the function  $g(x) = \frac{1}{x}$  is nonincreasing on  $\left[\frac{aj + \beta}{n}, \frac{a(j + 1) + \beta}{n}\right]$  it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m_{k,n,j}(x)}{m_{k+1,n,j}(x)} &= \frac{W_{n,k,\beta}(x)}{W_{n,k+1,\beta}(x)} = \frac{(nx + k\beta)^{k-1} \frac{e^{-(nx+k\beta)}}{k!}}{(nx + (k + 1)\beta)^k \frac{e^{-(nx+(k+1)\beta)}}{(k + 1)!}} \\ &= \frac{(nx + k\beta)^k e^\beta (k + 1)}{(nx + (k + 1)\beta)^k (nx + k\beta)}, x \in \left[\frac{aj + \beta}{n}, \frac{a(j + 1) + \beta}{n}\right] \\ &\geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$m_{j,n,j}(x) \geq m_{j+1,n,j}(x) \geq m_{j+2,n,j}(x) \geq \dots$$

We now turn to the case  $k \leq j$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m_{k,n,j}(x)}{m_{k-1,n,j}(x)} &= \frac{(nx + k\beta)^{k-1} \frac{e^{-(nx+k\beta)}}{k!}}{(nx + (k - 1)\beta)^{k-2} \frac{e^{-(nx+(k-1)\beta)}}{(k - 1)!}} \\ &= \frac{(nx + k\beta)^{k-2}}{(nx + (k - 1)\beta)^{k-2}}, x \in \left[\frac{aj + \beta}{n}, \frac{a(j + 1) + \beta}{n}\right] \\ &\geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \frac{(nx + k\beta)^{k-2}}{(nx + (k - 1)\beta)^{k-2}} = \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{nx + (k - 1)\beta}\right)^{k-2} \geq 1 \text{ and } \frac{(nx + k\beta)}{e^\beta (k - 1)} \geq 1.$$

which implies

$$m_{j,n,j}(x) \geq m_{j-1,n,j}(x) \geq m_{j-2,n,j}(x) \geq \dots$$

Since  $m_{j,n,j}(x) = 1$ , the proof of the lemma is complete.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $x \in \left[\frac{aj + \beta}{n}, \frac{a(j + 1) + \beta}{n}\right]$ ,

(i) If  $k \geq (j + 1)$  is such that

$$k - \sqrt{\beta k^2 + a_1 k + a_2 j + a_3 - a\beta k j} \geq aj,$$

then

$$M_{k,n,j}(x) \geq M_{k+1,n,j}(x)$$

where  $a_1 = -\beta^2 + 2e^\beta + 2\beta - 1$ ,  $a_2 = -2a\beta - 2a - ae^\beta$ ,  $a_3 = -\beta^2 + 2e^\beta + \beta - \beta e^\beta$ .

(ii) If  $k \leq j$  is such that

$$k + \sqrt{\beta k^2 + a_4 k + a_5 j - \beta^2 - a\beta k j} \leq aj,$$

then

$$M_{k,n,j}(x) \geq M_{k-1,n,j}(x).$$

where  $a_4 = 2\beta - \beta^2 + a + 1$ ,  $a_5 = -2\beta a$ .

Proof. (i) We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{M_{k,n,j}(x)}{M_{k+1,n,j}(x)} &= \frac{(nx+k\beta)^{k-1} \frac{e^{-(nx+k\beta)}}{k!}}{(nx+(k+1)\beta)^k \frac{e^{-(nx+(k+1)\beta)}}{(k+1)!}} \frac{\left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)}{\left(\frac{k+1}{n} - x\right)} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{nx+(k+1)\beta}\right)^{k-1} \frac{e^\beta(k+1)}{nx+(k+1)\beta} \frac{(k-nx)}{(k+1-nx)} \\ &\geq \frac{(k+1)}{nx+(k+1)\beta} \frac{(k-nx)}{(k+1-nx)} \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{nx+(k+1)\beta}\right)^{k-1} e^\beta \\ &\geq \frac{(k+1)}{(j+1)a+(k+1)\beta} \frac{(k-(j+1)a)}{(k+1-ja)}, \end{aligned}$$

$x \in \left[\frac{aj+\beta}{n}, \frac{a(j+1)+\beta}{n}\right]$ . Then, since the condition

$$k - \sqrt{\beta k^2 + a_1 k + a_2 j + a_3 - a\beta k j} \geq aj,$$

where  $a_1 = -\beta^2 + 2e^\beta + 2\beta - 1$ ,  $a_2 = -2a\beta - 2a - ae^\beta$ ,  $a_3 = -\beta^2 + 2e^\beta + \beta - \beta e^\beta$ , we obtain

$$\frac{M_{k,n,j}(x)}{M_{k+1,n,j}(x)} \geq 1.$$

(ii) We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{M_{k,n,j}(x)}{M_{k-1,n,j}(x)} &= \frac{(nx+k\beta)^{k-1} \frac{e^{-(nx+k\beta)}}{k!}}{(nx+(k-1)\beta)^k \frac{e^{-(nx+(k-1)\beta)}}{(k-1)!}} \frac{\left(x - \frac{k}{n}\right)}{\left(x - \frac{k-1}{n}\right)} \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{nx+(k-1)\beta}\right)^k \frac{nx+k\beta}{e^\beta k} \frac{(nx-k)}{(nx-k+1)} \\ &\geq \frac{ja+\beta+k\beta}{k} \frac{ja+\beta-k}{ja+\beta-k+1} \end{aligned}$$

Then, since the condition

$$k + \sqrt{\beta k^2 + a_4 k + a_5 j - \beta^2 - a\beta k j} \leq aj,$$

where  $a_4 = 2\beta - \beta^2 + a + 1$ ,  $a_5 = -2\beta a$ , we obtain

$$\frac{M_{k,n,j}(x)}{M_{k-1,n,j}(x)} \geq 1,$$

which proves the lemma.

### 4. Approximation Result

For the function  $f \in CB_+(I)$ , we obtain the degree of approximation by using the Shisha-Mond Theorem given in [1],[2].

**Theorem 4.1.** If  $f : [0, \lambda] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a bounded and continuous function on  $[0, \lambda], \lambda > a + 1, a = e^\beta - \beta, 0 \leq \beta < 1$ , then we get the following estimate

$$\left| T_{n,\beta}^{(M)}(f)(x) - f(x) \right| \leq 6\lambda\omega_1 \left( f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in [0, \lambda],$$

where

$$\omega_1(f, \delta) = \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)|; x, y \in [0, \lambda], |x - y| \leq \delta\}.$$

Proof. Since  $T_n^{(M)}(e_0)(x) = 1$  and using the Shisha-Mond Theorem, we have

$$\left| T_n^{(M)}(f)(x) - f(x) \right| \leq \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\delta_n} T_n^{(M)}(\varphi_x)(x) \right) \omega_1(f, \delta_n)$$

where  $(\varphi_x)(t) = |t - x|$ . Hence, it is sufficient to estimate the following term

$$E_n(x) := T_n^{(M)}(\varphi_x)(x) = \frac{\bigvee_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k,\beta}(x) \left| \frac{k}{n} - x \right|}{\bigvee_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k,\beta}(x)}$$

Let  $x \in \left[ \frac{aj+\beta}{n}, \frac{a(j+1)+\beta}{n} \right]$  and  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  is arbitrarily fixed. By Lemma 3.1 we get

$$E_n(x) = \max_{k=0,1,2,\dots} \{M_{k,n,j}(x)\}, x \in \left[ \frac{aj + \beta}{n}, \frac{a(j + 1) + \beta}{n} \right].$$

For  $j = 0$ , we get

$$M_{k,n,0}(x) = nx(nx + k\beta)^{k-1} \frac{e^{-k\beta}}{k!} \left| \frac{k}{n} - x \right|, k \geq 0$$

If  $k = 0$ , then we have

$$M_{0,n,0}(x) = x = \sqrt{x}\sqrt{x} \leq \sqrt{x}\sqrt{\frac{a + \beta}{n}} = \sqrt{\frac{e^\beta x}{n}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{e^\beta \lambda}{n}}$$

If  $k = 1$  then

$$\begin{aligned} M_{k,n,0}(x) &= nx(nx + k\beta)^{k-1} \frac{e^{-k\beta}}{k!} \left| \frac{k}{n} - x \right|, x \in \left[ 0, \frac{e^\beta}{n} \right] \\ &= nx(nx + \beta)^0 \frac{e^{-\beta}}{1!} \left| \frac{1}{n} - x \right| \\ &\leq xe^{-\beta} = \sqrt{x}\sqrt{x}e^{-\beta} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{xe^\beta}{n}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{e^\beta \lambda}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $k \geq 2$  then

$$\begin{aligned} M_{k,n,0}(x) &= nx(nx + k\beta)^{k-1} \frac{e^{-k\beta}}{k!} \left| \frac{k}{n} - x \right|, x \in \left[ 0, \frac{e^\beta}{n} \right] \\ &\leq x(nx + k\beta)^{k-1} \frac{e^{-k\beta}}{(k - 1)!} \\ &\leq x \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{e^\beta \lambda}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

So, we obtain an upper estimate for each  $M_{k,n,j}(x)$  where  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  is fixed,  $x \in \left[\frac{aj+\beta}{n}, \frac{a(j+1)+\beta}{n}\right]$  and  $k = 1, \dots$ . Actually, we will prove that

$$M_{k,n,j}(x) \leq \max \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{\max\{a_4, a_5\}} + 2a}{\sqrt{n}}, \sqrt{\frac{e^\beta \lambda}{n}}, \frac{\sqrt{\max\{a_1, a_2\}}}{\sqrt{n}} \right\},$$

for all  $x \in [0, \lambda]$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The proof of the inequality (2) will be investigated by the following cases:

1)  $k \geq (j+1)$  and 2)  $k \leq j$ .

Case 1) Subcase a) Initially, let take

$$k - \sqrt{\beta k^2 + a_1 k + a_2 j + a_3 - a\beta k j} < a j,$$

then we get

$$\begin{aligned} M_{k,n,j}(x) &= m_{k,n,j}(x) \left( \frac{k}{n} - x \right) \\ &\leq \left( \frac{k}{n} - x \right) \leq \left( \frac{k}{n} - \frac{ja + \beta}{n} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{k}{n} - \frac{k}{n} + \frac{\sqrt{\beta k^2 + a_1 k + a_2 j + a_3 - a\beta k j}}{n} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{\beta k^2 + a_1 k + a_2 j + a_3 - a\beta k j}}{n} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{a_1 + a_2 j}}{n} \leq \sqrt{\max\{a_1, a_2\}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Subcase b) Now let  $k - \sqrt{\beta k^2 + a_1 k + a_2 j + a_3 - a\beta k j} \geq a j$ .

Since the function  $g(x) = x - \sqrt{\beta x^2 + a_1 x + a_2 j + a_3 - a\beta x j}$  is nondecreasing, it follows that there exists  $\bar{k} \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$ , of maximum value, such that  $\bar{k} - \sqrt{\beta \bar{k}^2 + a_1 \bar{k} + a_2 j + a_3 - a\beta \bar{k} j} < a j$ . Then for  $k_1 = \bar{k} + a$  we get  $k_1 - \sqrt{\beta k_1^2 + a_1 k_1 + a_2 j + a_3 - a\beta k_1 j} \geq a j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\bar{k}+a,n,j}(x) &= m_{\bar{k}+a,n,j}(x) \left| \frac{\bar{k} + a}{n} - x \right| \\ &\leq \left( \frac{\bar{k} + a}{n} - \frac{\bar{k} - \sqrt{\beta \bar{k}^2 + a_1 \bar{k} + a_2 j + a_3 - a\beta \bar{k} j}}{n} \right) \\ &\leq \sqrt{\max\{a_1, a_2\}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last above inequality follows from the fact that

$\bar{k} - \sqrt{\beta \bar{k}^2 + a_1 \bar{k} + a_2 j + a_3 - a\beta \bar{k} j} < a j$  necessarily implies  $k < 3a j$ . Also, we have  $k_1 \geq (j+1)$ . Indeed, this is a consequence of the fact that  $g$  is nondecreasing and because is easy to see that  $g(j) < j$ . By Lemma 3.3, (i) it follows that  $M_{\bar{k}+1,n,j}(x) \geq M_{\bar{k}+2,n,j}(x) \geq \dots$

Hence, we get  $M_{k,n,j}(x) \leq \sqrt{\max\{a_1, a_2\}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$  for any  $\bar{k} \in \{\bar{k} + 1, \bar{k} + 2, \dots\}$ .

Case 2) Subcase a) Firstly, let  $k + \sqrt{\beta k^2 + a_4 k + a_5 j - \beta^2 - a\beta k j} > a j$ . Then we get,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{k,n,j}(x) &= m_{k,n,j}(x) \left( x - \frac{k}{n} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{a(j+1) + \beta}{n} - \frac{k}{n} \\ &\leq \frac{k + \sqrt{\beta k^2 + a_4 k + a_5 j - \beta^2 - a\beta k j} + \beta}{n} - \frac{k}{n} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{\max\{a_4, a_5\}} + \beta}{\sqrt{n}}. \end{aligned}$$



Subcase b) Suppose now that  $k + \sqrt{\beta k^2 + a_4 k + a_5 j - \beta^2 - a\beta k j} \leq aj$ . Let  $\tilde{k} \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  be the minimum value such that

$$\tilde{k} + \sqrt{\beta \tilde{k}^2 + a_4 \tilde{k} + a_5 j - \beta^2 - a\beta \tilde{k} j} > aj.$$

Then  $k_2 = \tilde{k} - a$  satisfies  $k_2 + \sqrt{\beta k_2^2 + a_4 k_2 + a_5 j - \beta^2 - a\beta k_2 j} \leq aj$  and

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\tilde{k}-a,n,j}(x) &= m_{\tilde{k}-a,n,j}(x) \left( x - \frac{\tilde{k} - a}{n} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{a(j+1) + \beta}{n} - \frac{\tilde{k} - a}{n} \\ &\leq \frac{\tilde{k} + \sqrt{\beta \tilde{k}^2 + a_4 \tilde{k} + a_5 j - \beta^2 - a\beta \tilde{k} j} + a}{n} - \frac{\tilde{k} - a}{n} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{\max\{a_4, a_5\}} + 2a}{\sqrt{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the last inequality we used the obvious relationship  $k_2 = \tilde{k} - a$ ,

$$k_2 + \sqrt{\beta k_2^2 + a_4 k_2 + a_5 j - \beta^2 - a\beta k_2 j} \leq aj$$

which implies  $\tilde{k} \leq (j + 1)$  and  $k_2 \leq j$ .

By Lemma 3.2, (ii) it follows that

$$M_{\tilde{k}-a,n,j}(x) \geq M_{\tilde{k}-2a,n,j}(x) \geq M_{\tilde{k}-3a,n,j}(x) \geq \dots \geq M_{0,n,j}(x).$$

We thus obtain  $M_{k,n,j}(x) \leq \frac{\sqrt{\max\{a_4, a_5\}} + 2a}{\sqrt{n}}$  for any  $k \leq j$  and  $x \in \left[ \frac{aj+\beta}{n}, \frac{a(j+1)+\beta}{n} \right]$ .

Collecting all the above estimates we have the proof of case (2). Thus, the proof is completed.

## 5. Conclusion

In this study, we introduced the nonlinear Jain operators of max-product type. We also estimate the rate of pointwise convergence of these operators.

## Funding

There is no funding for this work.

## Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

## Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Author's contributions

All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## References

- [1] Bede, B., Coroianu, L., Gal, S. G.: *Approximation and shape preserving properties of the Bernstein operator of max-product kind*. Intern. J. Math. and Math. Sci. 26 pages (2009). doi:10.1155/2009/590589
- [2] Bede, B., Gal, S. G.: *Approximation by nonlinear Bernstein and Favard-Szasz- Mirakjan operators of max-product kind*. Journal of Concrete and Applicable Mathematics. **8** (2), 193-207 (2010).
- [3] Bede, B., Coroianu, L., Gal, S. G.: *Approximation and shape preserving properties of the nonlinear Meyer-Konig and Zeller operator of max-product kind*. Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization. **31** (3), 232-253 (2010).
- [4] Bede, B., Nobuhara, H., Fodor, J., Hirota, K.: *Max-product Shepard approximation operators*. Journal of Advanced Computational Intelligence and Intelligent Informatics. **10**, 494-497 (2006).
- [5] Bede, B., Nobuhara, H., Dankova, M., Di Nola, A.: *Approximation by pseudo- linear operators*. Fuzzy Sets and Systems. **159**, 804-820 (2008).
- [6] Bede, B., Coroianu, L., Gal, S. G.: *Approximation by Max-Product Type Operators*. Springer International Publishing. Switzerland (2016).
- [7] Bede, B., Coroianu, L., Gal, S. G.: *Approximation and shape preserving properties of the nonlinear Favard-Szasz- Mirakjan operator of max-product kind*. Filomat. **24** (3), 55-72 (2010).
- [8] Dođru, O., Mohapatra, R. N., Örkücü, M.: *Approximation properties of generalized Jain operators*. Filomat. **30** (9), 2359-2366 (2016).
- [9] Farcas A.: *An Asymptotic Formula for Jain operators*. Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math. **57**, 511-517 (2012).
- [10] Gal, S. G.: *Shape-Preserving Approximation by Real and Complex Polynomials*. Birkhauser. Boston-Basel-Berlin (2008).
- [11] Jain, Gopi C.: *Approximation of functions by a new class of linear operators*. Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society. **13** (3) , 271-276 (1972).
- [12] Özarslan, M.A.: *Approximation properties of Jain-Stancu operators*. Filomat. **30**, 1081-1088 (2016).
- [13] Olgun, A., Taşdelen, F., Erençin, A.: *A generalization of Jain's operators*. Appl. Math. Comput. **266**, 6-11 (2015).
- [14] Mishra, V.N., Sharma, P., Kiliçman, A., Jain, D.: *Statistical approximation properties of Stancu type  $q$ -Baskakov-Kantorovich operators*. Filomat. **30** (7), 1853–1868 (2016).
- [15] Mishra, V.N., Patel, P., Mishra, L.N.: *The Integral type Modification of Jain Operators and its Approximation Properties*. Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization. **39** (12), 1265-1277 (2018).
- [16] Mishra, V.N., Sharma, P., Birou, M.: *Approximation by Modified Jain-Baskakov Operators*. Georgian Mathematical Journal. **27** (3), 403-412 (2020).
- [17] Mishra, V.N., Patel, P.: *Some approximation properties of modified Jain-Beta operators*. Journal of Calculus of Variations. Article ID 489249 (2013).
- [18] Patel, P., Mishra, V.N.: *Jain-Baskakov Operators and its different generalization*. Acta Mathematica Vietnamica. **40** (4), 715–733, (2015).
- [19] Patel, P., Mishra, V.N.: *On Approximation properties of modified Szász-Mirakyan operators via Jain Operators*. Anal. Theory Appl. **32** (3), 232-241 (2016).
- [20] Çiçek, H., İzgi, A., Ayhan, M. : *GBS Operators of Bivariate Durrmeyer Operators on Simplex*. Communications in Advanced Mathematical Sciences. Jan. 2021. <https://dx.doi.org/10.33434/cams.932416>
- [21] Çiçek, H., İzgi, A.: *The  $q$ -Chlodowsky and  $q$ -Szász-Durrmeyer Hybrid Operators on Weighted Spaces*. Journal of Mathematics. (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8682598>.

## Affiliations

SEVILAY KIRCI SERENBAY

**ADDRESS:** Harran University, Dept. of Mathematics, Şanlıurfa, Turkey.

**E-MAIL:** sevilaykirci@gmail.com

**ORCID ID:**0000-0001-5819-9997

ÖZGE DALMANOĞLU

**ADDRESS:** Başkent University, Dept. of Mathematics Education, Ankara, Turkey.

**E-MAIL:** ozgedalmanoglu@gmail.com

**ORCID ID:**0000-0002-0322-7265

ECEM ACAR

**ADDRESS:** Harran University, Dept. of Mathematics, Şanlıurfa, Turkey.

**E-MAIL:** karakusecem@harran.edu.tr

**ORCID ID:**0000-0002-2517-5849