# THE INVESTIGATION OF SUBSTITUENT EFFECTS ON SOME LIGAND-FIELD PARAMETERS OF SOME PSEUDO-OCTAHEDRAL NICKEL(II) COMPLEXES

PERİHAN ÇERENCE GÜRKAN\* and NECLA GÜNDÜZ

Gazi University, Department on Chemistry, Ankara, Turkey and

Ankara University, Department of Chemistry, Ankara, Turkey

### ABSTRACT

The ligand-field parameters  $Dq,\beta'$  and  $\beta$  of pseudo-octahedral Ni(11) complex of N-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-salicylaldimine and twelve different derivatives were calculated. Substituent effects on these parameters are discussed.

Dq values were found to be higher and  $\beta$  values to be lower in those complexes bearing electron withdrawing  $NO_2$  groups, than the respective values in the unsubstituted parent complex. On the other hand, Dq's were found to be lower and  $\beta$ 's to be higher in complexes carrying electron donating groups like  $CH_3$ ., C1 and Br in comparison with those of the parent complex.

## INTRODUCTION

The Ni<sup>2+</sup> complexes of N-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-salicylaldimine and its twelve different derivatives were found pseudo-octahedral structure in our previous work (1). This structure was reached by axial polymerization of planar dimer units through Ni-O-Ni bridges.

In this work the ligand-field parameters Dq, B' and  $\beta$  of all the complexes were calculated and substituent effects on these parameters were discussed.

The general structure of ligands and complexes is shown in Fig. 1 and the abbreviations for the names of the ligands and complexes are given in Table 1.

<sup>\*</sup> B, is taken to be 1030 cm- for the free nickel-II ion.

Fig. 1. The general structure of the ligands and pseudo-octahedral structure of the complexes.

x x'		Symbol of the ligand	Symbol of the complex	Series	
Н	н	L-O	C-O		
Cl	Н	L-1-Cl	C-1-Cl		
$\mathbf{Br}$	H	L-1-Br	C-1-Br	1	
CH,	H	L-1-CH	C-1-CH,		
$NO_2$	Н	I1-NO <sub>2</sub>	C-1-NO <sub>2</sub>		
Н	CI	L-2 -Cl	C- 2-CI		
$\mathbf{H}$	Br	L-2-Br	C-2-Br	2	
$\mathbf{H}$	CH.	L-2-CH <sub>o</sub>	C-2-CH <sub>2</sub>		
н	$NO_2$	$L-2-NO_2^2$	C-2-NO <sub>2</sub>		
Cl	Cl	L-3-Cl	C-3-C1		
Br	Br	L-3-Br	C-3-Br	3	
CH.	CH.	L-3-CH	C-3 CH		

Table 1. Abbreviated Names of the Ligands and the Complexes and Key to the Series.

# **EXPERIMENTAL**

Preparation of Ligands and complexes, magnetic measurements, elemental analysis and electronic spectra are given in our previous work (1).

L-3-NO

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

NO.

In general, the ligand-field spectra of octahedral Ni(II) complexes consist of three spin-allowed transitions from  $^3A_{2g}$  to  $^3T_{2g}$  ( $\nu_1$ ),  $^3T_{1g}$ 

(F) ( $\nu_2$ ) and  ${}^3T_{1g}$  (P), ( $\nu_2$ ), which generally fall within the ranges 7000–13000, 11000–20000 and 19000–27000 cm<sup>-1</sup> respectively (2). The frequencies and wave numbers of the d-d transitions of all the complexes are tabulated in Table 2. Spectra could be recorded only over the wavelenght range between 1700–350 nm because there was a strong interference beyond 1700 nm possibly due to the nujol used (3) and a very strong absorption of glass plates at around 350 nm. Beside these there were small interference bands of nujol which approximate band widths of 5–25 nm appearing at about 980, 1260 and 1380 nm regions (3).

The values of  $\lambda_{max}$  corresponding to  $\nu_1$  transitions in all complexes could not be determined from the spectra obtained. Since  $\lambda_{max}$  of  $\nu_1$  transition for C-3–NO<sub>2</sub> fall in the wavelength region of about 1260 nm and for other complexes of about 1380 nm. As it was mentioned above both of these regions are covered with interference bands of nujol.

Because of the above difficulties exact positions of  $\lambda_{max}$  values could not be determined but given as a range of 40–60 nm in the spectra. The exact  $\lambda_{max}$  of each  $\nu_1$  transition, which gives the 10 Dq value, was calculated from the energies of  $\nu_2$  and  $\nu_3$  using the equation given by Tanabe and Sugano (4); for most of the complexes it was found to lie within the given range.

The three ligand-field parameters Dq, B' and  $\beta$  have been calculated (4,5) and tabulated in Table 2 for the complexes. Then the substituent effects on these parameters were discussed.

The complexes with electron donating C1, Br or CH<sub>2</sub> groups have lower Dq values and the complexes with electron withdrawing NO<sub>2</sub> groups have higher Dq compared to that of the parent compound. Because of inductive effect C1 and Br are expected to be electron withdrawing atoms, but they act as electron donating atoms because of resonance effect.

As it is seen in Fig. 1, the donor atoms in the ligands are N and O. If electron withdrawing substituent, NO<sub>2</sub> is present, a decrease in the electron density of the ligand 2p orbitals facilities a interaction to some extent. Thus Dq increases with electron withdrawing substituents. On the contrary if the electron donating substituents C1, Br, or CH<sub>2</sub> which increase the electron density of N and O atoms are present it becomes difficult of transfer any electron density from the metal to the ligands

	Cal			Calcula	ted value		
Complex	ν <sub>1</sub> . λ <sub>1</sub>	$egin{pmatrix} oldsymbol{ u}_2 \ oldsymbol{\lambda}_2 \end{array}$	$\lambda_3$	(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	B' (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$\beta^* = \frac{B'}{B}$
C-O	7353-7042	12364	23381	734.0	7340	915	0.89
	1360-1420	808.8	427.67		1362.4		
C-I-Cl	7576-7143	12231	23635	723.3	7233	945	0.92
	13201400	817.6	423.1	!	1382.6		
C-I-Br	7326-7143	12241	23613	721.3	7213	945	0.92
	1365-1400	819.6	423,5		1386.4		1
C-I-CH <sub>3</sub>	7407-6944	12228	24355	719.7	7197	1000	0.97
•	1350-1440	817.8	410.6		1389.5		
$C-I-NO_2$	7843-7519	12302	21519	744.0	7440	767	0.74
	1275-1330	812 9	464.7		1344.1		
C-II-Cl	7407-7042	12226	22821	727.6	7276	881	0.85
	1350-1420	817.9	438.2		1374.4		
C-II-Br	7463-7042	12161	22722	723.6	7243	878	0.85
	1340-1420	822.3	440.1		1381.9		
C-II-CH <sub>3</sub>	7463-7042	12136	22712	721.9	7219	879	0.85
Ü	1340-1420	824.0	440.3		1385.2		
C-II-NO <sub>2</sub>	7547-7435	12228	21377	739.6	7396	761	0.74
_	1325-1345	817.8	467 8		1352.1		
C-III-Cl	7353-7143	12231	23781	722.1	7221	957	0.93
	1360-1400	817.6	420.5		1384.8		
C-II-IBr	7407-7194	12194	23680	729.5	7205	951	0.92
	1350-1390	820.1	422.3		1387.9		
C-III-CH <sub>2</sub>	7576-7353	12247	24284	721.3	7213	993	0.96
-	1320-1360	816.5	411.8		1386.4		
C-III-NO <sub>2</sub>	8130-8000	12143	19102	784.3	7843	514	0.50
_	1220 -1260	823.5	523.5		1275.0		

Table II. The electronic transitions and calculated ligand-field parameters of complexes.

and therefore the Dq values decrease with respect to the standard parent complex C-O.

Nephelauxetic parameter  $\beta$  is the measure of the covalency of the metal-ligand bond. In a totally ionic metal-ligand interaction  $\beta$  is 1.00. The more the covalency the smaller  $\beta$  is. In all three series  $\beta$ 's are smaller than that of C–O for the complexes with NO<sub>2</sub> group. This shows that metal-ligand bonds are more covalent, thus the  $\pi$  interaction is more significant in these complexes. In the series I and III complexes that have C1, Br and CH<sub>2</sub> groups the values of  $\beta$  is bigger than that of C–O. Thus the covalency is smaller and  $\pi$  interaction is weaker. But there is a deviation from the above general trend in the series II, which can be explained as follows.

 $X = CI, Br, CH_3, NO_2$ 

 $\chi' = Cl$ , Br,  $CH_3$ ,  $NO_2$ 

Fig. 2. Dimeric structure of I. series (a) and II. series (b) complexes.

If Fig 2a and Fig 2b are compared it is seen that the bridging oxygens and nitrogen atom are affected from the resonance effect of the substituents in the series I while the only nonbridging oxygens are affected in the series II. As it seen in Fig 1. If the Ni(II) ions are coordinated by the series I ligands the number of donor atoms which are affected by the substituents in either 4 or 5. They are two bridging oxygens and two nitrogen atom or one nitrogen atom and four bridging oxygen atoms. In the case of having the series II ligands, the number of donor atoms which are affected by the substituents is either one or two nonbridging oxygens. As a conclusion we may say that the substituent effect is greater in the series I complexes compared to the series II complexes.

This difference in resonance effect is observed in the Dq values given in Table 2, where the effect of substituents is large in the complexes of series I and small in the series II. Although the above explanation is reflected nicely on Dq values, there are some irregularities in  $\beta$  parameters. In serie II complexes with electron donating groups have smaller  $\beta$  values than C–O. Furthermore, the three complexes have the same  $\beta$  value. It can be said that the metal-ligand bonds are not significantly affected by the substituents which have a very small electron donating effect. The  $\beta$  value of C–2–NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub> being strong electron withdrawing group, is smaller than the C–O as expected.

### REFERENCES

GÜRKAN, P., GÜNDÜZ, N.

LEVER, A.B.P., "Inorganic Electronic Spectroscopy" Elsevier, Sci. Publ. Co. Netherlands, 1984, PP. 507-544.

LEE, R.H., GRISWOLD, E., KLEINBERG, J., Inorg. Chem. 3(9), 1278, (1964).

TANABE, Y., SUGANO, S., J. Phys. Sec. Japan 9, 753, (1954).

REEDIJK, J., VON LEEUWEN, P.W.N.M., Groeneveld, L.W., Rec. Trawv. Chim. 87, 129, (1968).