

## COREGULAR SEQUENCES AND TOP LOCAL HOMOLOGY MODULES

Nguyen Minh Tri

Received: 7 August 2020; Accepted: 8 October 2021

Communicated by A. Çiğdem Özcan

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we show that if  $M$  is a non-zero Artinian  $R$ -module and  $\underline{x} := x_1, \dots, x_n$  is an  $M$ -coregular sequence, then  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  is a  $D(H_n^{\underline{x}}(M))$ -coregular sequence. Moreover, if  $R$  is complete with respect to  $I$ -adic topology and  $d = \text{Ndim} M$ , then  $\dim H_d^I(M) \leq d$  and  $\text{depth} H_I^d(M) \geq \min\{2, d\}$  whenever  $H_d^I(M) \neq 0$ .

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2020):** 13C14, 13C15, 13D07

**Keywords:** Coregular sequence, local homology, Co-Cohen-Macaulay module

### 1. Introduction

In this paper,  $R$  is a Noetherian commutative ring with identity,  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$  and  $M$  is an  $R$ -module. The theory of local homology was initiated by Matlis [10] in 1974. This theory was studied and improved by Simon [15], Greenlees and May [8], Tarrío, López and Lipman [17]. In [4], Cuong and Nam defined the local homology modules  $H_i^I(M)$  of  $M$  with respect to  $I$  by

$$H_i^I(M) = \varprojlim_t \text{Tor}_i^R(R/I^t, M).$$

This concept in some sense is dual to Grothendieck's definition of local cohomology modules. Also, this definition of local homology modules coincides with the definition of Greenlees and May [8] when  $M$  is an Artinian  $R$ -module.

The aim of this paper is to provide some properties of coregular sequences which are used in investigation top local homology modules. This work is inspired by some results in [2]. We see in Theorem 3.7 that if  $M$  is a non-zero Artinian  $R$ -module and  $\underline{x} := x_1, \dots, x_n$  is an  $M$ -coregular sequence, then  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  is a  $D(H_n^{\underline{x}}(M))$ -coregular sequence. Let  $M$  be an Artinian  $R$ -module of Noetherian dimension  $n$  and  $I$  an ideal of  $R$ , the top local homology module  $H_n^I(M)$  is not finitely generated in general. Under condition  $R$  is complete with respect to  $I$ -adic topology, we shall prove in Theorem 3.12 that  $\dim H_n^I(M) \leq n$  and  $\text{depth} H_I^n(M) \geq \min\{2, n\}$  whenever  $H_n^I(M) \neq 0$ .

Throughout, for any ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , the set  $\{m \in M \mid Im = 0\}$  is denoted by  $0 :_M I$ . For each  $R$ -module  $M$ , we denote by  $\text{Coass}_R M$  the set of all coassociated prime ideals of  $M$  (see [18]). Also, we say that  $R$  is complete with respect to  $I$ -adic topology if  $R \cong H_0^I(R)$ . If  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a local ring and  $M$  is an  $R$ -module, then the Matlis duality of  $M$  is denoted by  $D(M)$ . The projective dimension and flat dimension of  $R$ -module  $M$  are denoted by  $\text{pd}_R M$  and  $\text{fd}_R M$ , respectively. Many basic properties of local homology modules can be found in [4].

## 2. Preliminaries

First, we recall the definition of an  $M$ -coregular sequence. The concept of coregular sequence was introduced by Matlis [10] as a natural dual of the concept regular sequence.

**Definition 2.1.** ([10]) *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. An element  $x \in R$  is called coregular for  $M$  (or  $M$ -coregular) if  $M = xM$ .*

*A sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in R$  is called  $M$ -coregular sequence if*

- (i)  $x_i$  is  $0 :_M (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1})$ -coregular for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ;
- (ii)  $0 :_M (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \neq 0$ .

We denote by  $\text{Width}_I(M)$  the supremum of the lengths of all maximal  $M$ -coregular sequences in  $I$ . If  $M$  is an Artinian  $R$ -module such that  $0 :_M I \neq 0$ , then we see in [13] that

$$\text{Width}_I(M) = \inf\{i \mid \text{Tor}_i^R(R/I, M) \neq 0\} < \infty.$$

Moreover, it follows from [6] that

$$\text{Width}_I(M) = \inf\{i \mid H_i^I(M) \neq 0\}.$$

We now recall the concept of Noetherian dimension  $\text{Ndim}M$  of an  $R$ -module which was introduced by Roberts [14] with the terminology Krull dimension. Kirby [9] changed the terminology of Roberts and referred to Noetherian dimension to avoid confusion with the well-known Krull dimension for finitely generated modules.

**Definition 2.2.** ([14]) *The Noetherian dimension of  $M$ , denoted by  $\text{Ndim}M$ , is defined inductively as follows.*

*When  $M = 0$  we define  $\text{Ndim}M = -1$ . Then by induction, for any integer  $r \geq 0$ , we define  $\text{Ndim}M = r$  when*

- (i)  $\text{Ndim}M < r$  is false, and
- (ii) for every ascending chain  $M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq \dots$  of submodules of  $M$  there exists an integer  $n_0$  such that  $\text{Ndim}(M_{n+1}/M_n) < r$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ .

Thus  $M$  is non-zero and finitely generated if and only if  $\text{Ndim}M = 0$ . If  $M$  is Artinian module, then  $\text{Ndim}M < \infty$  (see [14]). Also, if  $M$  is an Artinian  $R$ -module with  $\text{Ndim}M = d > 0$  and  $x \in R$  is an  $M$ -coregular element, then  $\text{Ndim}(0 :_M x) = d - 1$  (see [7]). Cuong and Nam [4] showed that if  $M$  is an Artinian  $R$ -module, then  $H_i^I(M) = 0$  for all  $i > \text{Ndim}M$ . Moreover, if  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a local ring and  $M$  is non-zero, then  $H_{\text{Ndim}M}^{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \neq 0$ .

In [16], the authors introduced the concept of Co-Cohen-Macaulay modules by comparing the Noetherian dimension and width for Artinian modules over a local ring.

**Definition 2.3.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring. An Artinian module  $M$  is called Co-Cohen-Macaulay if  $\text{Ndim}M = \text{Width}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ .*

It is clear that if  $M$  is a non-zero Co-Cohen-Macaulay  $R$ -module, then  $H_i^{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = 0$  for all  $i \neq \text{Ndim}M$ .

### 3. Results

First, we will study the coregular sequences in connection with local homology modules and homological functor.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $M$  be a non-zero Artinian  $R$ -module and  $\underline{x} := x_1, \dots, x_n$  a maximal  $M$ -coregular sequence. Then*

$$\text{Tor}_i^R(R/\underline{x}, H_n^{\underline{x}}(M)) \cong \text{Tor}_{n+i}^R(R/\underline{x}, M)$$

for all  $i \geq 0$ .

**Proof.** It follows from [12, Lemma 3.1(i)] that there is a Grothendieck spectral sequence

$$E_{p,q}^2 = \text{Tor}_p^R(R/\underline{x}, H_q^{\underline{x}}(M)) \Rightarrow \text{Tor}_{p+q}^R(R/\underline{x}, M).$$

Let  $i \geq 0$  an integer, there exists a filtration  $\Phi$  of submodules of  $H_{n+i} = \text{Tor}_{n+i}^R(R/\underline{x}, M)$

$$0 = \Phi^{-1}H_{n+i} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \Phi^{n+i-1}H_n \subseteq \Phi^{n+i}H_{n+i} = H_{n+i}$$

such that

$$E_{j,n+i-j}^{\infty} \cong \Phi^j H_{n+i} / \Phi^{j-1} H_{n+i}$$

for all  $0 \leq j \leq n+i$ . It should be aware that  $H_i^{\underline{x}}(M) = 0$  for all  $i \neq n$ . This indicates  $E_{p,q}^{\infty} = 0$  for all  $p \geq 0, q \neq n$  and  $E_{i,n}^2 = E_{i,n}^{\infty}$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . Consequently, one gets that

$$\Phi^{i-1}H_{n+i} = \dots = \Phi^0 H_{n+i} = \Phi^{-1}H_{n+i} = 0$$

and

$$\Phi^i H_{n+i} = \dots = \Phi^{i+n} H_{n+i} = H_{n+i}.$$

Thus, there is an isomorphism  $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/\underline{x}, H_n^{\underline{x}}(M)) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_{n+i}^R(R/\underline{x}, M)$ , as required.  $\square$

In [18], a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  is called coassociated to a non-zero  $R$ -module  $M$  if there is an Artinian homomorphic image  $T$  of  $M$  with  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{Ann} T$ . The set of coassociated primes of  $M$  is denoted by  $\mathrm{Coass}_R M$ .

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $M$  be a non-zero Artinian  $R$ -module and  $\underline{x} := x_1, \dots, x_n$  a maximal  $M$ -coregular sequence. Then  $\mathrm{Coass}_R H_n^{\underline{x}}(M)$  is a finite set.*

**Proof.** Proposition 3.1 shows that

$$\mathrm{Tor}_0^R(R/\underline{x}, H_n^{\underline{x}}(M)) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_n^R(R/\underline{x}, M).$$

Combining [5, Theorem 4.2(i)] with [5, Corollary 3.4], we realize that  $\mathrm{Coass}_R H_n^{\underline{x}}(M) \subseteq V(\underline{x})$ . By [18, Theorem 1.21],

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Coass}_R(\mathrm{Tor}_0^R(R/\underline{x}, H_n^{\underline{x}}(M))) &= V(\underline{x}) \cap \mathrm{Coass}_R H_n^{\underline{x}}(M) \\ &= \mathrm{Coass}_R H_n^{\underline{x}}(M). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $M$  is an Artinian  $R$ -module, the set  $\mathrm{Coass}_R \mathrm{Tor}_n^R(R/\underline{x}, M)$  is finite. Thus, the assertion follows from the above isomorphism.  $\square$

If  $M$  is a finitely generated module over a local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ , then we know that

$$\mathrm{pd}_R M = \sup\{i \mid \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/\mathfrak{m}, M) \neq 0\}.$$

In the case where  $M$  is an Artinian  $R$ -module, the flat dimension of  $M$  is defined as (see [1])

$$\mathrm{fd}_R M = \sup\{i \mid \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/\mathfrak{m}, M) \neq 0\}.$$

By using two above results, we have the following consequence.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a complete local ring with respect to  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic topology,  $M$  a non-zero Artinian  $R$ -module. Assume that  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  is a maximal  $M$ -coregular sequence such that  $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Then the following statements hold:*

- (i)  $\mathrm{pd}_R H_n^{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$  is finite if and only if  $\mathrm{fd}_R M$  is finite.
- (ii) If  $\mathrm{fd}_R M < \infty$ , then  $\mathrm{pd}_R H_n^{\mathfrak{m}}(M) + n = \mathrm{fd}_R M$ .

**Proof.** It follows from [4, Proposition 4.6] that  $H_n^m(M)$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Combining the hypothesis with the isomorphism in Proposition 3.1, one obtains that

$$\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/\mathfrak{m}, H_n^m(M)) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_{n+i}^R(R/\mathfrak{m}, M).$$

Consequently, the assertions follow from the above facts.  $\square$

Before, stating and proving the first main result of this paper, we need some lemmas which provide us many properties of coregular sequences.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $\underline{x} := x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$  be an  $A$ -coregular sequence and  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} D$  an exact sequence. Then there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow 0 :_A \underline{x} \rightarrow 0 :_B \underline{x} \rightarrow 0 :_C \underline{x} \rightarrow 0 :_D \underline{x}.$$

**Proof.** We need only consider the case where  $x$  is an  $A$ -coregular element. Consider the chain of homomorphisms

$$0 \rightarrow 0 :_A x \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} 0 :_B x \xrightarrow{\bar{g}} 0 :_C x \xrightarrow{\bar{h}} 0 :_D x,$$

where  $\bar{f}, \bar{g}, \bar{h}$  are restrictions of  $f, g, h$  respectively. It remains to prove that the chain of homomorphisms is exact at  $0 :_C x$ . Let  $c \in \mathrm{Ker} \bar{h}$ , then  $0 = \bar{h}(c) = h(c)$ . There exists an element  $b \in B$  such that  $g(b) = c$  and  $xg(b) = xc = 0$ . Therefore, we have  $a \in A$  satisfying  $f(a) = xb$ . Since  $x$  is an  $A$ -coregular element, one gets  $a' \in A$  such that  $a = xa'$ . This implies that  $b - f(a') \in 0 :_B x$  and  $\bar{g}(b - f(a')) = c$ . Consequently, we have  $c \in \mathrm{Im} \bar{g}$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

It should be pointed out that  $0 :_A \underline{x} \cong \mathrm{Hom}(R/\underline{x}, M)$ . Therefore, we immediately have a consequence.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $\underline{x} := x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$  be an  $M$ -coregular sequence. Then*

$$\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(R/\underline{x}, M) = 0.$$

Combining [11, Proposition 1.1.7] with [5, Proposition 4.1], there is a Mayer-Vietoris sequence.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $I, J$  be two ideals of  $R$  and  $M$  an Artinian  $R$ -module. Then there is an exact sequence*

$$\dots \rightarrow H_i^{I \cap J}(M) \rightarrow H_i^I(M) \oplus H_i^J(M) \rightarrow H_i^{I+J}(M) \rightarrow H_{i-1}^{I \cap J}(M) \rightarrow \dots$$

Now, the first main result relates to the Matlis duality of top local homology modules and coregular sequences.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $M$  be a non-zero Artinian  $R$ -module. Assume that  $\underline{x} := x_1, \dots, x_n$  is an  $M$ -coregular sequence. Then  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  is a  $D(H_n^{\underline{x}}(M))$ -coregular sequence.*

**Proof.** The proof is by induction on  $n$ . Let  $n = 1$ , the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow 0 :_M x_1 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x_1} M \rightarrow 0$$

induces the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_1^{(x_1)}(0 :_M x_1) \rightarrow H_1^{(x_1)}(M) \xrightarrow{x_1} H_1^{(x_1)}(M) \rightarrow H_0^{(x_1)}(0 :_M x_1) \rightarrow 0.$$

It is clear that  $H_0^{(x_1)}(0 :_M x_1) \cong 0 :_M x_1$  and  $H_1^{(x_1)}(0 :_M x_1) = 0$ . Hence, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_1^{(x_1)}(M) \xrightarrow{x_1} H_1^{(x_1)}(M) \rightarrow 0 :_M x_1 \rightarrow 0$$

which yields the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow D(0 :_M x_1) \rightarrow D(H_1^{(x_1)}(M)) \xrightarrow{x_1} D(H_1^{(x_1)}(M)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $x_1$  is an  $M$ -coregular sequence, it follows that  $D(0 :_M x_1) \neq 0$ . Thus,  $x_1$  is a  $D(H_1^{(x_1)}(M))$ -coregular sequence.

Now, assume that  $n > 1$  and the claim is true for the cases are less than  $n$ . The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow 0 :_M x_1 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x_1} M \rightarrow 0$$

leads the long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_n^{\underline{x}}(0 :_M x_1) \rightarrow H_n^{\underline{x}}(M) \xrightarrow{x_1} H_n^{\underline{x}}(M) \rightarrow H_{n-1}^{\underline{x}}(0 :_M x_1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Lemma 3.6 gives us a long exact sequence

$$\dots H_n^I(0 :_M x_1) \oplus H_n^J(0 :_M x_1) \rightarrow H_n^{I+J}(0 :_M x_1) \rightarrow H_{n-1}^{I \cap J}(0 :_M x_1) \rightarrow \dots,$$

where  $I = (x_1)$  and  $J = (x_2, \dots, x_n)$ . It is clear that  $H_i^I(0 :_M x_1) = 0$  for all  $i > 1$ . By [6, Theorem 3.8], we see that  $H_i^{I \cap J}(0 :_M x_1) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ . Hence, there is an isomorphism

$$H_i^{(x_2, \dots, x_n)}(0 :_M x_1) \cong H_i^{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}(0 :_M x_1)$$

for all  $i \geq 2$ . This implies that

$$H_n^{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}(0 :_M x_1) \cong H_n^{(x_2, \dots, x_n)}(0 :_M x_1) = 0.$$

Consequently, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_n^{\underline{x}}(M) \xrightarrow{x_1} H_n^{\underline{x}}(M) \rightarrow H_{n-1}^{\underline{x}}(0 :_M x_1) \rightarrow 0$$

which induces another exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow D(H_{n-1}^{\underline{x}}(0 :_M x_1)) \rightarrow D(H_n^{\underline{x}}(M)) \xrightarrow{x_1} D(H_n^{\underline{x}}(M)) \rightarrow 0.$$

The inductive hypothesis shows that  $x_2, \dots, x_n$  is a  $D(H_{n-1}^{\underline{x}}(0 :_M x_1))$ -coregular sequence. Hence,  $x_2, \dots, x_n$  is a  $(0 :_{D(H_n^{\underline{x}}(M))} x_1)$ -coregular sequence and the assertion follows.  $\square$

The following result is a property of coregular sequences.

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $M$  be a non-zero Artinian  $R$ -module. Let  $\underline{x} := x_1, \dots, x_n$  be an  $M$ -coregular sequence. Then*

$$\mathrm{Tor}_{n+1}^R(R/\underline{x}, M) = 0.$$

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.1, there is an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Tor}_{n+1}^R(R/\underline{x}, M) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(R/\underline{x}, H_n^{\underline{x}}(M))$$

which induces

$$D(\mathrm{Tor}_{n+1}^R(R/\underline{x}, M)) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_R^1(R/\underline{x}, D(H_n^{\underline{x}}(M))).$$

Combining Corollary 3.5 with Theorem 3.7, we get

$$D(\mathrm{Tor}_{n+1}^R(R/\underline{x}, M)) = 0,$$

and which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring and  $M$  a non-zero Co-Cohen-Macaulay  $R$ -module with  $\mathrm{Ndim} M = d > 0$ . Assume that  $\underline{x} := x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{m}$  is an  $M$ -coregular sequence. Then  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  is a  $H_d^{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ -regular sequence.*

**Proof.** The proof is by induction on  $n$ . Let  $n = 1$ , the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow 0 :_M x_1 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x_1} M \rightarrow 0$$

yields the long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_d^{\mathfrak{m}}(0 :_M x_1) \rightarrow H_d^{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \xrightarrow{x_1} H_d^{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \rightarrow H_{d-1}^{\mathfrak{m}}(0 :_M x_1) \rightarrow 0.$$

By [16, Lemma 2.2 (ii)], we see that  $\mathrm{Ndim}(0 :_M x_1) = d - 1$  and then  $H_d^{\mathfrak{m}}(0 :_M x_1) = 0$  by [4, Proposition 4.8]. It follows from [4, Proposition 4.10] that  $H_{d-1}^{\mathfrak{m}}(0 :_M x_1) \neq 0$ . This means that  $x_1$  is a  $H_d^{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ -regular sequence.

Now, assume that  $n > 1$ . The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow 0 :_M x_1 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x_1} M \rightarrow 0$$

yields the long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_d^{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \xrightarrow{x_1} H_d^{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \rightarrow H_{d-1}^{\mathfrak{m}}(0 :_M x_1) \rightarrow 0.$$

It should be mentioned that  $0 :_M x_1$  is a Co-Cohen-Macaulay  $R$ -module with  $\text{Ndim}(0 :_M x_1) = d - 1$ . Note that  $x_2, \dots, x_n$  is  $0 :_M x_1$ -coregular sequence. By the inductive hypothesis, it is a  $H_{d-1}^{\mathfrak{m}}(0 :_M x_1)$ -regular sequence and the claim follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring,  $M$  a non-zero Co-Cohen-Macaulay  $R$ -module with  $\text{Ndim}M = d$ . Assume that  $R$  is complete with respect to  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic topology. Then  $\text{depth}H_d^{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = d$ .*

**Proof.** We prove by induction on  $d$ . If  $d = 0$ , then  $M$  has finite length. It is clear that  $H_0^{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \cong M$  and  $\text{depth}H_0^{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = 0$ .

Assume that  $d > 0$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  is a maximal  $M$ -coregular sequence in  $\mathfrak{m}$ . By the similar method in the proof of Theorem 3.7, one has

$$\text{depth}H_d^{\mathfrak{m}}(M)/x_1H_d^{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = \text{depth}H_{d-1}^{\mathfrak{m}}(0 :_M x_1) = d - 1.$$

Combining the assumption with [4, Proposition 4.6], we see that  $H_d^{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$  is finitely generated  $R$ -module. Hence, [3, Proposition 1.2.10 (d)] shows that

$$\text{depth}H_d^{\mathfrak{m}}(M)/x_1H_d^{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = \text{depth}H_d^{\mathfrak{m}}(M) - 1,$$

and which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.11.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring,  $M$  a non-zero Co-Cohen-Macaulay  $R$ -module with  $\text{Ndim}M = d$ . Assume that  $R$  is complete with respect to  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic topology and  $x_1, \dots, x_d \in \mathfrak{m}$  is an  $M$ -coregular sequence such that  $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ . Then  $\text{fd}_R M = \text{depth}R$ .*

**Proof.** Using Auslander-Buchsbaum formula, Proposition 3.3 (ii) and Proposition 3.10, we get the claim.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.12.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring and  $M$  a non-zero Artinian  $R$ -module with  $\text{Ndim}M = d$ . Assume that  $R$  is complete with respect to  $I$ -adic topology. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i)  $\dim H_d^I(M) \leq d$ ;
- (ii) If  $H_d^I(M) \neq 0$ , then  $\text{depth}H_d^I(M) \geq \min\{2, d\}$ .

**Proof.** We use induction on  $d$ . Let  $d = 0$ , then  $M$  has finite length and  $H_0^I(M) \cong M$ . Consequently, one gets  $\dim H_0^I(M) = 0$  and  $\text{depth}H_0^I(M) = 0$ .



Let  $d > 0$ . By [4, Proposition 4.4 and Corollary 4.5], we may assume that there exists  $x \in \mathfrak{m}$  which is an  $M$ -coregular element. It was shown in [16, Lemma 2.2] that  $\text{Ndim}(0 :_M x) = d - 1$ . The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow 0 :_M x \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x} M \rightarrow 0$$

induces a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_d^I(M) \xrightarrow{x} H_d^I(M) \rightarrow H_{d-1}^I(0 :_M x) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

Since  $H_d^I(M)/xH_d^I(M)$  is isomorphic to a submodule of  $H_{d-1}^I(0 :_M x)$ , it follows from the hypothesis that

$$\dim H_d^I(M)/xH_d^I(M) \leq d - 1.$$

In view of [6, Theorem 5.3] and the hypothesis, we can conclude that  $H_d^I(M)$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module. This implies that

$$\dim H_d^I(M)/xH_d^I(M) = \dim H_d^I(M) - 1$$

and then  $\dim H_d^I(M) \leq d$ .

It induces from the Nakayama's Lemma and the assumption that  $H_d^I(M)/xH_d^I(M) \neq 0$ . This indicates that  $H_{d-1}^I(0 :_M x) \neq 0$ . Consequently, if  $d = 1$ , then we see that  $\text{depth}H_1^I(M) = 1$ . We consider the cases where  $d \geq 2$ . By the inductive hypothesis, one can claim that  $\text{depth}H_{d-1}^I(0 :_M x) \geq 1$ . Let  $y$  be a  $H_{d-1}^I(0 :_M x)$ -regular element. It is easy to check that  $y$  is a  $H_d^I(M)/xH_d^I(M)$ -regular element. Thus, we can assert that  $\text{depth}H_d^I(M) \geq \min\{2, d\}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.13.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring,  $M$  a non-zero Co-Cohen-Macaulay  $R$ -module with  $\text{Ndim}M = d$ . Assume that  $R$  is complete with respect to  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic topology. Then  $H_d^{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$  is a Cohen-Macaulay  $R$ -module of dimension  $d$ .*

**Proof.** Combining Proposition 3.10 with Theorem 3.12, the assertion follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.14.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring,  $M$  a non-zero Artinian  $R$ -module with  $\text{Ndim}M = d \leq 2$ . Assume that  $R$  is complete with respect to  $I$ -adic topology and  $H_d^I(M) \neq 0$ . Then  $H_d^I(M)$  is Cohen-Macaulay.*

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to thank the referee for the valuable suggestions and comments.

### References

- [1] K. Bahmanpour, *A note on homological dimensions of Artinian local cohomology modules*, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 56(3) (2013), 491-499.
- [2] K. Bahmanpour, *A complex of modules and its applications to local cohomology and extension functors*, *Math. Scand.*, 117(1) (2015), 150-160.
- [3] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [4] N. T. Cuong and T. T. Nam, *The  $I$ -adic completion and local homology for Artinian modules*, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 131(1) (2001), 61-72.
- [5] N. T. Cuong and T. T. Nam, *On the co-localization, co-support and co-associated primes of local homology modules*, *Vietnam J. Math.*, 29(4) (2001), 359-368.
- [6] N. T. Cuong and T. T. Nam, *A local homology theory for linearly compact modules*, *J. Algebra*, 319(11) (2008), 4712-4737.
- [7] N. T. Cuong and L. T. Nhan, *On the Noetherian dimension of Artinian modules*, *Vietnam J. Math.*, 30(2) (2002), 121-130.
- [8] J. P. C. Greenlees and J. P. May, *Derived functors of  $I$ -adic completion and local homology*, *J. Algebra*, 149(2) (1992), 438-453.
- [9] D. Kirby, *Dimension and length for Artinian modules*, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.(2)*, 41(164) (1990), 419-429.
- [10] E. Matlis, *The Koszul complex and duality*, *Comm. Algebra*, 1 (1974), 87-144.
- [11] T. T. Nam, *On local homology theory for linearly compact modules*, Ph.D. Thesis, Institute of Mathematics, Hanoi, 2001.
- [12] T. T. Nam, *On the finiteness of co-associated primes of local homology modules*, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 48(3) (2008), 521-527.
- [13] A. Ooishi, *Matlis duality and the width of a module*, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 6(3) (1976), 573-587.
- [14] R. N. Roberts, *Krull dimension for Artinian modules over quasi local commutative rings*, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 26(103) (1975), 269-273.
- [15] A-M. Simon, *Some homological properties of complete modules*, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 108(2) (1990), 231-246.
- [16] Z. Tang and H. Zakeri, *Co-cohen-macaulay modules and modules of generalized fractions*, *Comm. Algebra*, 22(6) (1994), 2173-2204.
- [17] A. A. Tarrío, A. J. López and J. Lipman, *Local homology and cohomology on schemes*, *Ann. Sci. cole Norm. Sup. (4)*, 30(1) (1997), 1-39.
- [18] S. Yassemi, *Coassociated primes*, *Comm. Algebra*, 23(4) (1995), 1473-1498.

**Nguyen Minh Tri**

Department of Mathematics and Physics

University of Information Technology

Vietnam National University Ho Chi Minh City

Quarter 6, Linh Trung Ward, Thu Duc City, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

e-mail: [trinm@uit.edu.vn](mailto:trinm@uit.edu.vn), [triminhng@gmail.com](mailto:triminhng@gmail.com)