



Common Fixed Point Theorem for Contractive Mappings in Complex Valued b -Metric Spaces

Ebrahim ANALOUEI ADEGANI^{1,*}, Ahmad ZIREH², Mahmood BIDKHAM³

¹*Young Researchers and Elite Club, Mobarakeh Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mobarakeh, Iran*

²*Department of Mathematics, Shahrood University of Technology, P.O.Box 316-36155, Shahrood, Iran*

³*Department of Mathematics, University of Semnan, Semnan, Iran*

Received: 30/04/2016 Accepted: 13/05/2016

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we have presented some common fixed point results for the contractive mappings on complex valued b -metric spaces and obtained a generalization of the theorem of Azam et al., [2], Klin-eam and Suanoom [7], Mukheimer [8], Rouzkard and Imdad [12], Sitthikul and Saejung [13] and Sintunavarat and Kumam[14]. Further, our result is supported by examples.

Keywords: *Common fixed point, complex valued b -metric space.*

1. INTRODUCTION

It is common that the contractive-type conditions are very important in the study a fixed point theory. The first important result of fixed points for contractive-type mapping was the well-known Banach-Caccioppoli theorem published for the first time in 1922 in [4].

The concept of a b -metric space was introduced by S. Czerwik [5, 6]. Recently, some authors have proved some fixed point theorems in these spaces; see for example [3, 9, 10]. In 2013, Rao et al., [11] introduce the concept of complex valued b -metric spaces which was more general than the well-known complex valued metric spaces that was introduced by Azam et al., [2] in 2011. Several authors studied many common fixed point results on complex valued metric spaces, see, for example, [1, 13, 14].

*Corresponding author, e-mail: analoe.ebrahim@gmail.com

In this work, we establish a common fixed point result for mappings having contraction property on complex valued b -metric spaces. Moreover, the obtained result is generalizations of recent results proved by Azam et al., [2], Klin-eam and Suanoom [7], Mukheimer [8] and Rouzkard and Imdad [12], Sitthikul and Saejung [13] and Sintunavarat and Kumam [14].

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, at first we recall some definitions and properties in complex valued b -metric spaces that used in this paper.

Let \mathbb{C} be the set of complex numbers and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Define a partial order \preceq on \mathbb{C} as follows:

$$z_1 \preceq z_2 \text{ if and only if } \operatorname{Re}(z_1) \leq \operatorname{Re}(z_2), \operatorname{Im}(z_1) \leq \operatorname{Im}(z_2).$$

It follows that $z_1 \preceq z_2$ if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i). $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) = \operatorname{Re}(z_2), \operatorname{Im}(z_1) < \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$,
- (ii). $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) < \operatorname{Re}(z_2), \operatorname{Im}(z_1) = \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$,
- (iii). $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) < \operatorname{Re}(z_2), \operatorname{Im}(z_1) < \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$,
- (iv). $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) = \operatorname{Re}(z_2), \operatorname{Im}(z_1) = \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$.

In particular, we will write $z_1 \prec z_2$ if $z_1 \neq z_2$ and one of (i), (ii), and (iii) is satisfied and we will write $z_1 \prec z_2$ if only (iii) is satisfied. Note that it is obvious that the following statements hold:

- (i). If $0 \preceq z_1 \prec z_2$ then $|z_1| < |z_2|$,
- (ii). If $z_1 \preceq z_2$ and $z_2 \prec z_3$ then $z_1 \prec z_3$.

Definition 2.1. [2] Let X be a nonempty set. Suppose that the mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies:

- (a). $0 \preceq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (b). $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (c). $d(x, z) \preceq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then d is called a complex valued metric on X , and (X, d) is called a complex valued metric space.

Definition 2.2. [11] Let X be a nonempty set and $s \geq 1$ a given real number. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a b -metric provided that, for all $x, y, z \in X$,

- (cvbm-1). $0 \preceq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (cvbm-2). $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (cvbm-3). $d(x, z) \preceq s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$.

The pair (X, d) is called a complex valued b -metric space.

Example 2.3. [11] Let $X = [0, 1]$. Define the mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for all $x, y \in X$, by

$$d(x, y) = |x - y|^2 + i|x - y|^2.$$

The pair (X, d) is called a complex valued b -metric space with $s = 2$.

Definition 2.4. [11] Let (X, d) be a complex valued b -metric space.

- (i). A point $x \in X$ is called interior point of a set $A \subseteq X$ whenever there exists $0 \prec r \in C$ such that $B(x, r) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) \prec r\} \subseteq A$.
- (ii). A point $x \in X$ is called a limit point of A whenever for every $0 \prec r \in C, B(x, r) \cap (A - X) \neq \emptyset$.
- (iii). A subset $A \subseteq X$ is called open whenever each element of A is an interior point of A .
- (iv). A subset $A \subseteq X$ is called close whenever each limit point of A is an interior of A .
- (v). The family $F = \{B(x, r), x \in X, 0 \prec r\}$; is a sub-basis for a Hausdorff topology τ on X . We denote this complex topology by τ_c . Indeed, the topology τ_c is Hausdorff.

Definition 2.5. [11] Let (X, d) be a complex valued b -metric space. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X and $x \in X$.

- (i). If for every $c \in C$, with $0 \prec c$ there is $n_0 \in N$ such that $d(x_n, x) \prec c$, for all $n > n_0$, then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be convergent, $\{x_n\}$ converges to x , x is the limit point of $\{x_n\}$. We denote this by $Lim_n x_n = x$ or $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (ii). If for every $c \in C$, with $0 \prec c$ there is $n_0 \in N$ such that for all $n > n_0, d(x_n, x_{n+m}) \prec c$, where $m \in N$, then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be Cauchy sequence.
- (iii). If every Cauchy sequence is convergent in (X, d) , then (X, d) is called a complete complex valued b -metric space.

Lemma 2.6. [11] Let (X, d) be a complex valued b -metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Then $\{x_n\}$ converges to x if and only if $|d(x_n, x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.7. [11] Let (X, d) be a complex valued b -metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Then $\{x_n\}$ converges to x if and only if $|d(x_n, x_{n+m})| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, m \in N$

Recently, many authors established some common fixed point results in complex valued metric and b -metric spaces.

Theorem 2.8. [2] Let (X, d) be a complete complex valued metric space and let λ_1, λ_2 be nonnegative real numbers such that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 < 1$. Suppose $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ are mappings satisfying

$$d(Sx, Ty) \preceq \lambda_1 d(x, y) + \frac{\lambda_2 d(x, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)},$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Theorem 2.9. [8] Let (X, d) be a complete complex valued b -metric space with the coefficient $s \geq 1$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ are mappings satisfying

$$d(Sx, Ty) \preceq \lambda_1 d(x, y) + \frac{\lambda_2 d(x, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)},$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where λ_1, λ_2 are nonnegative real with $s\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 < 1$. Then S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Theorem 2.10. [12] If S and T are self-mappings defined on a complete complex valued metric space (X, d) satisfying the condition

$$d(Sx, Ty) \preceq \lambda_1 d(x, y) + \frac{\lambda_2 d(x, Sx)d(y, Ty) + \lambda_3 d(y, Sx)d(x, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)},$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ are nonnegative real with $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 < 1$. Then S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Theorem 2.11. [7] If S and T are self-mappings defined on a complete complex valued metric space (X, d) satisfying the condition

$$\begin{aligned} d(Sx, Ty) \preceq & \lambda_1 d(x, y) + \frac{\lambda_2 d(x, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)} \\ & + \frac{\lambda_3 d(y, Sx)d(x, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \frac{\lambda_4 d(x, Sx)d(x, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)} \\ & + \frac{\lambda_5 d(y, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where λ_i for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ are nonnegative real with $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + 2\lambda_4 + 2\lambda_5 < 1$. Then S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

3. MAIN RESULTS

We start this section by a proposition.

Proposition 3.1. Let (X, d) be a complete complex valued b -metric space with the coefficient $s \geq 1$. If $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are convergent to x and y respectively, then

$$\frac{1}{s^2} |d(x, y)| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |d(x_n, y_n)| \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |d(x_n, y_n)| \leq s^2 |d(x, y)|.$$

So if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |d(x, y)|$ exists and equals to $|d(x, y)|$.

Proof. Suppose $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are convergent to x and y respectively. Then, by (cvbm -3) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, y_n) & \preceq s(d(x_n, x) + d(x, y_n)) \\ & \preceq s[d(x_n, x) + s(d(x, y) + d(y, y_n))] \\ & = sd(x_n, x) + s^2 d(x, y) + s^2 d(y, y_n), \end{aligned}$$

consequently,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |d(x_n, y_n)| \leq s^2 |d(x, y)|. \tag{3.1}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &\leq s(d(x, x_n) + d(x_n, y)) \\ &\leq s[d(x, x_n) + s(d(x_n, y_n) + d(y_n, y))] \\ &= sd(x_n, x) + s^2d(x_n, y_n) + s^2d(y_n, y), \end{aligned}$$

consequently,

$$\frac{1}{s^2} |d(x, y)| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |d(x_n, y_n)|. \tag{3.2}$$

Consequently, from (3.1) and (3.2) we have

$$\frac{1}{s^2} |d(x, y)| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |d(x_n, y_n)| \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |d(x_n, y_n)| \leq s^2 |d(x, y)|. \quad \square$$

The following theorem is the first main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.2. Let (X, d) be a complete complex valued b-metric space with the coefficient $s \geq 1$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow X$.

Suppose there exist mappings $\lambda_i : X \times X \rightarrow [0,1]$ where $i = 1,2,3,4,5$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad &\lambda_i(TSx, y) \leq \lambda_i(x, y) \text{ and } \lambda_i(x, STy) \leq \lambda_i(x, y), \\ (b) \quad &s\lambda_1(x, y) + \lambda_2(x, y) + \lambda_3(x, y) + (s^2 + s)(\lambda_4(x, y) + \lambda_5(x, y)) < 1, \\ (c) \quad &d(Sx, Ty) \leq \lambda_1(x, y)d(x, y) + \lambda_2(x, y) \frac{d(x, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)} \\ &+ \lambda_3(x, y) \frac{d(y, Sx)d(x, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \lambda_4(x, y) \frac{d(x, Sx)d(x, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)} \\ &+ \lambda_5(x, y) \frac{d(y, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique common fixed point $u \in X$ such that $u = Su = Tu$.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X . Define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ by

$$x_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n}; \quad x_{2n+2} = Tx_{2n+1} \text{ for all } n = 0,1,2,\dots \tag{3.4}$$

From condition (a), for $x, y \in X$, $n = 0,1,2,\dots$ and $i = 1,2,3,4,5$, we have

$$\lambda_i(x_{2n}, y) = \lambda_i(TSx_{2n-2}, y) \leq \lambda_i(x_{2n-2}, y) \leq \lambda_i(TSx_{2n-4}, y) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_i(x_0, y). \tag{3.5}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\lambda_i(x, x_{2n+1}) = \lambda_i(x, STx_{2n-1}) \leq \lambda_i(x, x_{2n-1}) \leq \lambda_i(x, STx_{2n-3}) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_i(x, x_1). \tag{3.6}$$

From inequality (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k}) &= d(STx_{2k-1}, Tx_{2k-1}) \preceq \lambda_1(Tx_{2k-1}, x_{2k-1})d(Tx_{2k-1}, x_{2k-1}) \\
 &+ \lambda_2(Tx_{2k-1}, x_{2k-1}) \frac{d(Tx_{2k-1}, STx_{2k-1})d(x_{2k-1}, Tx_{2k-1})}{1 + d(Tx_{2k-1}, x_{2k-1})} \\
 &+ \lambda_3(Tx_{2k-1}, x_{2k-1}) \frac{d(x_{2k-1}, STx_{2k-1})d(Tx_{2k-1}, Tx_{2k-1})}{1 + d(Tx_{2k-1}, x_{2k-1})} \\
 &+ \lambda_4(Tx_{2k-1}, x_{2k-1}) \frac{d(Tx_{2k-1}, STx_{2k-1})d(Tx_{2k-1}, Tx_{2k-1})}{1 + d(Tx_{2k-1}, x_{2k-1})} \\
 &+ \lambda_5(Tx_{2k-1}, x_{2k-1}) \frac{d(x_{2k-1}, STx_{2k-1})d(x_{2k-1}, Tx_{2k-1})}{1 + d(Tx_{2k-1}, x_{2k-1})},
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k}) &= d(STx_{2k-1}, Tx_{2k-1}) \preceq \lambda_1(x_{2k}, x_{2k-1})d(x_{2k}, x_{2k-1}) \\
 &+ \lambda_2(x_{2k}, x_{2k-1}) \frac{d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})d(x_{2k-1}, x_{2k})}{1 + d(x_{2k}, x_{2k-1})} \\
 &+ \lambda_3(x_{2k}, x_{2k-1}) \frac{d(x_{2k-1}, x_{2k+1})d(x_{2k}, x_{2k})}{1 + d(Tx_{2k-1}, x_{2k-1})} + \\
 &+ \lambda_4(x_{2k}, x_{2k-1}) \frac{d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})d(x_{2k}, x_{2k})}{1 + d(x_{2k}, x_{2k-1})} + \\
 &+ \lambda_5(x_{2k}, x_{2k-1}) \frac{d(x_{2k-1}, x_{2k+1})d(x_{2k-1}, x_{2k})}{1 + d(x_{2k}, x_{2k-1})}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Since $|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k-1})| \leq 1 + |d(x_{2k}, x_{2k-1})|$, from (3.8) with using (3.5) and (3.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k})| &= |d(STx_{2k-1}, Tx_{2k-1})| \leq \lambda_1(x_0, x_{2k-1})|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k-1})| \\
 &+ \lambda_2(x_0, x_{2k-1})|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})| + \lambda_5(x_0, x_{2k-1})|d(x_{2k-1}, x_{2k+1})| \\
 &\leq \lambda_1(x_0, x_1)|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k-1})| + \lambda_2(x_0, x_1)|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})| \\
 &+ \lambda_5(x_0, x_1)[s|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k-1})| + s|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})|],
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$|d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k})| \leq \frac{\lambda_1(x_0, x_1) + s\lambda_5(x_0, x_1)}{1 - \lambda_2(x_0, x_1) - s\lambda_5(x_0, x_1)} |d(x_{2k-1}, x_{2k})|. \tag{3.9}$$

Since $s \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_1(x, y) + \lambda_2(x, y) + (s + s)\lambda_5(x, y) &\leq \\
 s\lambda_1(x, y) + \lambda_2(x, y) + \lambda_3(x, y) + (s^2 + s)(\lambda_4(x, y) + \lambda_5(x, y)) &< 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, set $\mu_1 = \frac{\lambda_1(x_0, x_1) + s\lambda_5(x_0, x_1)}{1 - \lambda_2(x_0, x_1) - s\lambda_5(x_0, x_1)}$, where $\mu_1 < 1$.

Similarly, we get

$$d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1}) = d(Sx_{2k}, TSx_{2k}) \preceq \lambda_1(x_0, x_1)d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + \lambda_2(x_0, x_1)d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) + \lambda_4(x_0, x_1)[sd(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + sd(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})],$$

which implies that

$$|d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})| \leq \frac{\lambda_1(x_0, x_1) + s\lambda_4(x_0, x_1)}{1 - \lambda_2(x_0, x_1) - s\lambda_4(x_0, x_1)} |d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})|. \tag{3.10}$$

Therefore, set $\mu_2 = \frac{\lambda_1(x_0, x_1) + s\lambda_4(x_0, x_1)}{1 - \lambda_2(x_0, x_1) - s\lambda_4(x_0, x_1)}$, where $\mu_2 < 1$. Set $\lambda = \max\{\mu_1, \mu_2\}$, where $\lambda < 1$. Therefore

by (3.9) and (3.10), we conclude that $|d(x_{n+1}, x_n)| \leq \lambda |d(x_n, x_{n-1})|$ for all $n \in N$. So we get by induction,

$$|d(x_{n+1}, x_n)| \leq \lambda^n |d(x_0, x_1)|, \tag{3.11}$$

for all $n \in N$. So

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |d(x_{n+1}, x_n)| = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Now, we prove $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence. Without loss of generality, we take $m > n$, and $m, n \in N$, then by (cvbm-3) and using (3.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |d(x_n, x_m)| \\
& \leq s |d(x_n, x_{n+1})| + s |d(x_{n+1}, x_m)| \\
& \leq s |d(x_n, x_{n+1})| + s^2 |d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})| + s^2 |d(x_{n+2}, x_m)| \\
& \leq s |d(x_n, x_{n+1})| + s^2 |d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})| + s^3 |d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3})| + s^3 |d(x_{n+3}, x_m)| \\
& \leq s |d(x_n, x_{n+1})| + s^2 |d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})| + \dots + s^{m-n-2} |d(x_{m-3}, x_{m-2})| \\
& \quad + s^{m-n-1} |d(x_{m-2}, x_{m-1})| + s^{m-n-1} |d(x_{m-1}, x_m)| \\
& \leq s |d(x_n, x_{n+1})| + s^2 |d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})| + \dots + s^{m-n-2} |d(x_{m-3}, x_{m-2})| \\
& \quad + s^{m-n-1} |d(x_{m-2}, x_{m-1})| + s^{m-n} |d(x_{m-1}, x_m)| \\
& \leq s\lambda^n |d(x_0, x_1)| + s^2\lambda^{n+1} |d(x_0, x_1)| + \dots + s^{m-n-2}\lambda^{m-3} |d(x_0, x_1)| \\
& \quad + s^{m-n-1}\lambda^{m-2} |d(x_0, x_1)| + s^{m-n}\lambda^{m-1} |d(x_0, x_1)| \\
& \leq s^n\lambda^n |d(x_0, x_1)| + s^{n+1}\lambda^{n+1} |d(x_0, x_1)| + \dots + s^{m-3}\lambda^{m-3} |d(x_0, x_1)| \\
& \quad + s^{m-2}\lambda^{m-2} |d(x_0, x_1)| + s^{m-1}\lambda^{m-1} |d(x_0, x_1)| \\
& \leq s^n\lambda^n |d(x_0, x_1)| + s^{n+1}\lambda^{n+1} |d(x_0, x_1)| + \dots + s^{m-3}\lambda^{m-3} |d(x_0, x_1)| \\
& \quad + s^{m-2}\lambda^{m-2} |d(x_0, x_1)| + s^{m-1}\lambda^{m-1} |d(x_0, x_1)| + s^m\lambda^m |d(x_0, x_1)| + \dots + \dots \\
& = \frac{(s\lambda)^n}{1-s\lambda} |d(x_0, x_1)|.
\end{aligned}$$

From condition inequality above we get $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ Therefore, with Tending $s\lambda < 1$. we have (b) such that $z \in X$ is complete, there exists X Since X is a Cauchy sequence in $\{x_n\}$ Thus, $|d(x_n, x_m)| \rightarrow 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |d(x_n, z)| = 0. \quad (3.13)$$

Now, we show that Z is a fixed point S . From (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(z, Sz) & \preceq sd(z, Tx_{2n+1}) + sd(Tx_{2n+1}, Sz) = sd(z, x_{2n+2}) + sd(Sz, Tx_{2n+1}) \\
& \preceq sd(z, x_{2n+2}) + s\lambda_1(z, x_{2n+1})d(z, x_{2n+1}) \\
& \quad + s\lambda_2(z, x_{2n+1}) \frac{d(z, Sz)d(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})}{1+d(z, x_{2n+1})} \\
& \quad + s\lambda_3(z, x_{2n+1}) \frac{d(x_{2n+1}, Sz)d(z, Tx_{2n+1})}{1+d(z, x_{2n+1})} \\
& \quad + s\lambda_4(z, x_{2n+1}) \frac{d(z, Sz)d(z, Tx_{2n+1})}{1+d(z, x_{2n+1})} \\
& \quad + s\lambda_5(z, x_{2n+1}) \frac{d(x_{2n+1}, Sz)d(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})}{1+d(z, x_{2n+1})},
\end{aligned}$$

which (3.6) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |d(z, Sz)| &\leq s |d(z, x_{2n+2})| + s\lambda_1(z, x_1) |d(z, x_{2n+1})| \\
 &+ s\lambda_2(z, x_1) \frac{|d(z, Sz)d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})|}{|1 + d(z, x_{2n+1})|} \\
 &+ s\lambda_3(z, x_1) \frac{|d(x_{2n+1}, Sz)d(z, x_{2n+2})|}{|1 + d(z, x_{2n+1})|} \\
 &+ s\lambda_4(z, x_1) \frac{|d(z, Sz)d(z, x_{2n+2})|}{|1 + d(z, x_{2n+1})|} \\
 &+ s\lambda_5(z, x_1) \frac{|d(x_{2n+1}, Sz)d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})|}{|1 + d(z, x_{2n+1})|}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

The condition (cvbm-3) yields $|d(x_{2n+1}, z)| \leq s(|d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n})| + |d(x_{2n}, z)|)$, so from (3.12) and (3.13)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |d(x_{2n+1}, z)| = 0. \tag{3.15}$$

On the other hand, from Proposition 3.1 we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})| \leq s^2 |d(z, z)| = 0. \tag{3.16}$$

Taking the upper limit in (3.14) and combining (3.15) and (3.16), we get $|d(z, Sz)| = 0$. Thus $d(z, Sz) = 0$ and hence $z = Sz$. It follows similarly that $z = Tz$. Therefore, z is a common fixed point of S and T .

Now, we show Common fixed point of S and T is unique. Suppose that there is another common fixed point $z^* \in X$ such that $z^* = Sz^* = Tz^*$. From (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(z, z^*) &= d(Sz, Tz^*) \preceq \lambda_1(z, z^*)d(z, z^*) + \lambda_2(z, z^*) \frac{d(z, Sz)d(z^*, Tz^*)}{1 + d(z, z^*)} \\
 &+ \lambda_3(z, z^*) \frac{d(z^*, Sz)d(z, z^*)}{1 + d(z, z^*)} + \lambda_4(z, z^*) \frac{d(z, Sz)d(z, Tz^*)}{1 + d(z, z^*)} \\
 &+ \lambda_5(z, z^*) \frac{d(z^*, Sz)d(z^*, Tz^*)}{1 + d(z, z^*)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $|1 + d(z, z^*)| > |d(z, z^*)|$, we get

$$|d(z, z^*)| \leq [\lambda_1(z, z^*) + \lambda_3(z, z^*)]d(z, z^*).$$

This is contradiction because condition (b) imply $\lambda_1(z, z^*) + \lambda_3(z, z^*) < 1$. Therefore, we obtain $|d(z, z^*)| = 0$. Thus $z = z^*$. This completes the proof.

The following examples illustrate of our main result.

Example 3.3. Let $X = [0, 1]$. Define the mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow C$ for all $x, y \in X$ by

$$d(x, y) = |x - y|^2 + i|x - y|^2.$$

Then (X, d) by Example 2.3 is a complete complex valued b -metric space with the coefficient

$s=2$. Now, define two mappings $T, S : X \rightarrow X$ by $S(x) = T(x) = \frac{x}{5}$ for all $x \in X$.

Let $\lambda_i : X \times X \rightarrow [0, 1)$ where $i=1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ for all $x, y \in X$ be defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(x, y) &= \frac{xy}{10} + \frac{1}{24} & \lambda_2(x, y) &= \frac{xy}{24} & \lambda_3(x, y) &= \frac{x^2 y^2}{24} \\ \lambda_4(x, y) &= \frac{x^3 y^3}{24} & \lambda_5(x, y) &= \frac{x^4 y^4}{24}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $2\lambda_1(x, y) + \lambda_2(x, y) + \lambda_3(x, y) + 6(\lambda_4(x, y) + \lambda_5(x, y)) < 1$ and also,

$$\lambda_1(TSx, y) = \lambda_1\left(T\left(\frac{x}{5}\right), y\right) = \lambda_1\left(\frac{x}{25}, y\right) = \frac{xy}{250} + \frac{1}{24} \leq \frac{xy}{10} + \frac{1}{24} = \lambda_1(x, y)$$

$$\lambda_1(x, STy) = \lambda_1\left(x, S\left(\frac{y}{5}\right)\right) = \lambda_1\left(x, \frac{y}{25}\right) = \frac{xy}{250} + \frac{1}{24} \leq \frac{xy}{10} + \frac{1}{24} = \lambda_1(x, y),$$

$$\lambda_2(TSx, y) = \lambda_2\left(T\left(\frac{x}{5}\right), y\right) = \lambda_2\left(\frac{x}{25}, y\right) = \frac{xy}{600} \leq \frac{xy}{24} = \lambda_2(x, y)$$

$$\lambda_2(x, STy) = \lambda_2\left(x, S\left(\frac{y}{5}\right)\right) = \lambda_2\left(x, \frac{y}{25}\right) = \frac{xy}{600} \leq \frac{xy}{24} = \lambda_2(x, y).$$

Similarly for $i=3, 4, 5$ we will have

$$\lambda_i(TSx, y) \leq \lambda_i(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_i(x, STy) \leq \lambda_i(x, y).$$

We next verify inequality (3.3) of Theorem 3.2. For all $x, y \in X$

$$0 \preceq d(x, y), \frac{d(x, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1+d(x, y)}, \frac{d(y, Sx)d(x, Ty)}{1+d(x, y)}, \frac{d(x, Sx)d(x, Ty)}{1+d(x, y)}, \frac{d(y, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1+d(x, y)}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(Sx, Ty) &= \frac{1}{25} [|x-y|^2 + i |x-y|^2] \preceq \frac{1}{24} [|x-y|^2 + i |x-y|^2] \\
 &\preceq \left(\frac{xy}{10} + \frac{1}{24} \right) [|x-y|^2 + i |x-y|^2] = \lambda_1(x, y) d(x, y) \\
 &\preceq \lambda_1(x, y) d(x, y) + \lambda_2(x, y) \frac{d(x, Sx) d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)} \\
 &\preceq \lambda_3(x, y) \frac{d(y, Sx) d(x, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \lambda_4(x, y) \frac{d(x, Sx) d(x, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)} \\
 &\preceq \lambda_5(x, y) \frac{d(y, Sx) d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

So we get inequality (3.3). Hence the required condition of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied and there exists a unique common fixed point 0 of the mappings S and T .

Example 3.4. Let $X = \{(1,2), (2,3), (3,4), (4,5), (5,6)\}$. Define the mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow C$ for all $z_1, z_2 \in X$, by

$$d(x, y) = |x - y|^2 + i |x - y|^2,$$

where $Z_1 = X_1 + iy_1, Z_2 = X_2 + iy_2$. Then $(X; d)$ is a complete complex valued b -metric space with the coefficient $S=2$. Now, define two mappings $T, S : X \rightarrow X$ by $S(z) = T(z) = |x-y| + 2i|x-y|$, for all $z = x + iy \in X$.

Let $\lambda_i : X \times X \rightarrow [0, 1)$ where $i=1,2,3,4,5$ for all $z_1, z_2 \in X$ be defined as follows:

$$\lambda_1(z_1, z_2) = \frac{|x_1 - y_1| |x_2 - y_2|}{10} + \frac{1}{24}, \quad \lambda_i(z_1, z_2) = \frac{|x_1 - y_1| |x_2 - y_2|}{24} \quad \forall i = 2, 3, 4, 5.$$

Clearly $2\lambda_1(z_1, z_2) + \lambda_2(z_1, z_2) + \lambda_3(z_1, z_2) + 6(\lambda_4(z_1, z_2) + \lambda_5(z_1, z_2)) < 1$ and also,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_1(TS z_1, z_2) &= \lambda_1(T(|x_1 - y_1| + 2i |x_1 - y_1|), z_2) \\
 &= \lambda_1(|x_1 - y_1| - 2 |x_1 - y_1| + 2i |x_1 - y_1| - 2 |x_1 - y_1|, z_2) \\
 &= \lambda_1(|x_1 - y_1| + 2i |x_1 - y_1|, z_2) = \frac{||x_1 - y_1| - 2 |x_1 - y_1| ||x_2 - y_2|}{10} + \frac{1}{24} \\
 &= \frac{|x_1 - y_1| |x_2 - y_2|}{10} + \frac{1}{24} = \lambda_1(z_1, z_2),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1(z_1, STz_2) &= \lambda_1(z_1, S(|x_2 - y_2| + 2i|x_2 - y_2|)) \\
&= \lambda_1(z_1, \|x_2 - y_2\| - 2\|x_2 - y_2\| + 2i\|x_2 - y_2\| - 2\|x_2 - y_2\|) \\
&= \lambda_1(z_1, |x_2 - y_2| + 2i|x_2 - y_2|) = \frac{\|x_2 - y_2\| - 2\|x_2 - y_2\| + \|x_1 - y_1\|}{10} + \frac{1}{24} \\
&= \frac{|x_1 - y_1| + \|x_2 - y_2\|}{10} + \frac{1}{24} = \lambda_1(z_1, z_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly for $i=2,3,4,5$ we will have

$$\lambda_i(TS z_1, z_2) \leq \lambda_i(z_1, z_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_i(z_1, STz_2) \leq \lambda_i(z_1, z_2).$$

For all $z_1, z_2 \in X$ we have $0 = d(Sz_1, Tz_2)$, therefore the inequality (3.3) is trivial. Hence the required condition of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied also the point $(1, 2) \in X$ is a unique common fixed point of S and T .

If we let $S=T$ in Theorem 3.2, then we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5. Let (X, d) be a complete complex valued b -metric space with the coefficient $s \geq 1$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$. Suppose there exist mappings $\lambda_i : X \times X \rightarrow [0, 1)$ where $i=1,2,3,4,5$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
(a) \quad & \lambda_i(T^2x, y) \leq \lambda_i(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_i(x, T^2y) \leq \lambda_i(x, y), \\
(b) \quad & s\lambda_1(x, y) + \lambda_2(x, y) + \lambda_3(x, y) + (s^2 + s)(\lambda_4(x, y) + \lambda_5(x, y)) < 1, \\
(c) \quad & d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda_1(x, y)d(x, y) + \lambda_2(x, y) \frac{d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)} \\
& \quad + \lambda_3(x, y) \frac{d(y, Tx)d(x, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \lambda_4(x, y) \frac{d(x, Tx)d(x, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)} \\
& \quad + \lambda_5(x, y) \frac{d(y, Tx)d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)},
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique point $u \in X$ such that $u = Tu$.

Remark 3.6. For $\lambda_i(x, y) = 0$ for $i=4,5$ and $S=1$ in Theorem 3.2, we get result obtained by Sithikul and Saejung in [13, Theorems 2.4].

Remark 3.7. By definition $\lambda_1(x, y) = \Lambda(x)$, $\lambda_2(x, y) = \Xi(x)$ and $\lambda_i(x, y) = 0$ for $i=3,4,5$ and $S=1$ in Theorem 3.2, we get result obtained by Sintunavarat and Kumam in [14, Theorems 3.1].

Remark 3.8. By choosing $\lambda_i(x, y) = \lambda_i$ for $i=1, 2$, $\lambda_i(x, y) = 0$ for $i=3,4,5$ and $S=1$ in Theorem 3.2, we deduce Theorem 2.8.

Remark 3.9. By choosing $\lambda_i(x, y) = \lambda_i$ for $i=1, 2$ and $\lambda_i(x, y) = 0$ for $i=3,4,5$ in Theorem 3.2, we deduce Theorem 2.9.

Remark 3.10. By letting $\lambda_i(x, y) = \lambda_i$ for $i=1, 2, 3$, $\lambda_i(x, y) = 0$ for $i=4,5$ and $S=1$ in Theorem 3.2, we get Theorem 2.10.

Remark 3.11. By letting $\lambda_i(x, y) = \lambda_i$ for $i=1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $s=1$ in Theorem 3.2, we get Theorem 2.11.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the referee, for the careful reading of the paper and for the helpful suggestions and comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ahmad, J., Azam, A. and Saejung, S., "Common fixed point results for contractive mappings in complex valued metric spaces", *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2014:67 (2014).
- [2] Azam, A., Fisher, B. and Khan, M., "Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces", *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.*, 32: 243-253, (2011).
- [3] Bakhtin, I.A., "The contraction principle in quasimetric spaces", *Funct. Anal.*, 30: 26-37, (1989).
- [4] Banach, S., "Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrals", *Fund. Math.*, 3: 133-181, (1922).
- [5] Czerwik, S., "Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces", *Acta. Math. Inform. Univ. Ostraviensis.*, 1: 5-11, (1993).
- [6] Czerwik, S., "Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces", *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena.*, 46: 263-276, (1998).
- [7] Klin-eam, C. and Suanoom, C., "Some common fixed point theorems for generalized contractive type mappings on complex-valued metric spaces", *Abst. Appl. Anal.*, 2013: Art. ID 604215, 6 pages, (2013).
- [8] Mukheimer, A.A., "Some common fixed point theorems in complex valued b-metric spaces", *Sci. World J.*, 2014: Art. ID 587825, 6 pages, (2014).
- [9] Ozturk, V. and Turkoglu, D., "Common fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying (E.A)-property in \mathbf{b} -metric spaces", *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, 8: 1127-1133, (2015).
- [10] Ozturk, V. and Turkoglu, D., "Fixed points for generalized contractions in \mathbf{b} -metric spaces", *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, 16: 2059-2066, (2015).
- [11] Rao, K., Swamy P. and Prasad J., "A common fixed point theorems in complex valued b-metric spaces", *Bull. Math. Statist. Res.*, 1: 1-8, (2013).
- [12] Rouzkard, F. and Imdad, M., "Some common fixed point theorems on complex-valued metric spaces", *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 64: 1866-1874, (2012).
- [13] Sitthikul, K. and Saejung, S., "Some fixed point theorems in complex valued metric space", *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2012: 189, (2012).
- [14] Sintunavarat, W. and Kumam, P., "Generalized common fixed point theorems in complex-valued metric spaces and applications", *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2012: 84 (2012).