



New Generalized Hypergeometric Functions

S. R. Kabara ¹

Keywords:

Gauss and confluent hypergeometric functions, classical Pochhammer symbol, Two-parameter Pochhammer symbol, Classical factorial function and Two-parameter factorial function.

Abstract – The classical Gauss hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; z)$ and the Kumar confluent hypergeometric function ${}_1F_1(\alpha, \beta; z)$ are defined using a classical Pochhammer symbol $(\alpha)_n$ and a factorial function. This research paper will present a two-parameter Pochhammer symbol $(\lambda, \mu)_n$ and discuss some of its properties such as recursive formulae and integral representation. In addition, the generalized Gauss and Kumar confluent hypergeometric functions are defined using the two-parameter Pochhammer symbol and a two-parameter factorial function $(m, j)!$ and some of the properties of the new generalized hypergeometric functions were also discussed.

Subject Classification (2020): 33B99, 33C05, 33C15, 33C20.

1. Introduction

The pochhammer symbol is named after the German mathematician Leo Pochhammer, defined as a shifted (rising) factorial [2] and given by

$$(\lambda)_n = \begin{cases} \lambda(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2)(\lambda+3)\cdots(\lambda+(n-1)), & n \in \mathbb{N} \\ 1, & n = 0 \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Rafael and Pariguan in [7] presented the definition of the pochhammer m -symbol as

$$(\lambda)_{n,\mu} = \lambda(\lambda+\mu)(\lambda+2\mu)(\lambda+3\mu)\cdots(\lambda+(n-1)\mu), \quad (1.2)$$

$(\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \mu \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N})$

and introduced the m -analogue of the gamma function.

Remark 1.1. When $\mu = 1$, (the classical Pochhammer symbol).

Srivastava in [11] generalized the Pochhammer symbol using the extended gamma function in [14] as

¹ srkabara@gmail.com

¹ Kano University of Science and Technology, Wudil, Kano, Nigeria.

Article History: Received: 13.04.2022 — Accepted: 13.10.2022 — Published: 16.11.2022

$$(\lambda, \mu)_n = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma_\mu(\lambda + n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)}, & (\Re(\mu) > 0; \lambda, n \in \mathbb{N}) \\ (\lambda)_n, & (\mu = 0; \lambda, n \in \mathbb{N}) \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

A new generalization of the Pochhammer symbol in [11] was proposed by Sahin [14] as

$$(\lambda; r, s; \rho, \eta)_n = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma_{r,s}^{(\rho,\eta)}(\lambda + n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)}, & (\Re(r) > 0, \Re(s) > 0, \Re(\rho) > 0, \Re(\eta) > 0), \\ (\lambda)_n, & (r = 1, s = 0, \rho = 1, \eta = 0), \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

Where $\Gamma_{r,s}^{(\rho,\eta)}$ is the generalized extended gamma function.

Sahai [18] generalized the Pochhammer symbol using an extended gamma function in [20] as

$$(\lambda; r, \rho, \eta)_n = \frac{\Gamma_r^{(\rho,\eta)}(\lambda + n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)}, \quad (\Re(\rho) > 0, \Re(\eta) > 0, \Re(r) > 0; \lambda, n \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{1.5}$$

Srivastava [16] introduced a generalized Pochhammer symbol from an extended gamma function in [14] as

$$(\lambda; \rho, \{k_v\}_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0})_n = \frac{\Gamma_\rho^{\left(\{k_v\}_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0}\right)}(\lambda + n)}{\Gamma_\rho^{\left(\{k_v\}_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0}\right)}(\lambda)}, \quad (\lambda, n \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{1.6}$$

Another generalized Pochhammer symbol was defined by Safdar [21] using an extended gamma function in [22] as

$$(\lambda; \rho, \mu)_n = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma_\rho(\lambda + n; \mu)}{\Gamma(\lambda)}, & (\Re(\rho) > 0, \Re(\mu) > 0; \lambda, n \in \mathbb{N}), \\ (\lambda; \rho)_n, & (\mu = 1; \lambda, n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}), \end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

A factorial function denoted by $(!)$ is given by

$$(\lambda)! = \begin{cases} \lambda(\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 3) \cdots 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1, & \lambda \in \mathbb{N} \\ 1, & \lambda = 0 \end{cases} \tag{1.8}$$

In 2014, Mubeen and Rehman [3] generalized the classical factorial function called (λ, μ) -factorials as

$$(\lambda, \mu)! = \lambda\mu(\lambda\mu - \mu)(\lambda\mu - 2\mu)(\lambda\mu - 3\mu) \cdots 3\mu \cdot 2\mu \cdot \mu, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{N}, \mu > 0 \tag{1.9}$$

On simplifying the right-hand side of (1.8), we have

$$(\lambda, \mu)! = \mu^n \lambda! = \mu^n \Gamma(\lambda + 1) \tag{1.10}$$

(1.10) is the relationship between the generalized factorial function $(\lambda, \mu)!$ and the gamma function.

Remark 1.2. When $\mu = 1$, $(\lambda, \mu)! = (\lambda, 1)! = (\lambda)!$ (the classical factorial function).

Other related literatures can be obtained [23, 24, 25 & 26].

Motivated by (1.9), the second part the paper will propose a new generalized Pochhammer symbol $(\lambda, \mu)_n$ and give some of its properties.

2. New Generalised Pochhammer Symbol

The $(\lambda, \mu)_n$ Pochhammer symbol is defined as

$$(\lambda, \mu)_n = \begin{cases} \lambda\mu(\lambda\mu + \mu)(\lambda\mu + 2\mu)(\lambda\mu + 3\mu)\cdots(\lambda\mu + (n-1)\mu), & \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N} \\ 1, & n = 0 \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

(2.1) can be simplified as

$$(\lambda, \mu)_n = \lambda\mu^n(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)(\lambda + 3)\cdots(\lambda + n - 1) = \mu^n(\lambda)_n \tag{2.2}$$

Remark 2.1. When $\mu = 1$ in (2.2), $(\lambda, \mu)_n = (\lambda, 1)_n = (\lambda)_n$ (i.e. the classical Pochhammer symbol)

Theorem 2.1. The following formulas holds

$$(\lambda\mu, \mu)_n = \mu^n(\lambda\mu)_n \tag{2.3}$$

$$(\lambda + p, \mu)_n = \mu^n(\lambda + p)_n, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2.4}$$

$$((\lambda + q)\mu, \mu)_n = \mu^n((\lambda + q)\mu)_n, \quad q \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2.5}$$

From (2.2),

$$(\lambda, \mu)_n = \mu^n \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \tag{2.6}$$

(2.6) is the relationship between the two parameters Pochhammer symbol and the classical gamma function.

Proof To prove equations (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5), put $\lambda = \lambda\mu$, $\lambda = \lambda + p$ and $\lambda = (\lambda + q)\mu$ in (2.2) respectively.

The proof of (2.6) follows from the fact that $(\lambda)_n = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)}$.

In the third part of the paper, we are going to state some recurrence formulae.

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. The following formula holds true

$$(\lambda, \mu)_{n+1} = (\lambda + n)(\lambda, \mu)_n \tag{3.1}$$

Proof

$$(\lambda, \mu)_{n+1} = \mu^n \lambda(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)(\lambda + 3)\cdots(\lambda + n - 1)(\lambda + n)$$

Using (2.2) in the above equation, we obtained the desired result.

Theorem 3.2. The following formula holds true

$$(\lambda + 1, \mu + 1)_n - (\lambda, \mu)_n = (\lambda, \mu)_n \left[\frac{\lambda + n}{\lambda} \frac{(\mu + 1)^n}{\mu^n} - 1 \right] \tag{3.2}$$

Proof

$$(\lambda + 1, \mu + 1)_n = (\mu + 1)^n (\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)(\lambda + 3)\cdots(\lambda + n - 2)(\lambda + n)$$

Multiplying both sides of the equation by $\lambda\mu^n$ and dividing through by $(\mu + 1)^n$, we get the required result.

Theorem 3.2.

$$(\lambda, \mu)_n = (\lambda + n - 1)(\lambda, \mu)_{n-1} \tag{3.3}$$

Proof

$$(\lambda, \mu)_n = \mu^n \lambda(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)(\lambda + 3) \cdots (\lambda + n - 2)(\lambda + n - 1)$$

On using (2.2), we obtained the desired result.

Theorem 3.3.

$$(\lambda, \mu)_{m+n} = (\lambda, \mu)_m (\lambda + m, \mu + m)_n \tag{3.4}$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda, \mu)_{m+n} &= \mu^{m+n} \lambda(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)(\lambda + 3) \cdots (\lambda + m + n - 1) \\ &= \mu^{m+n} \lambda(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)(\lambda + 3) \cdots (\lambda + m - 1)(\lambda + m)(\lambda + m + 1)(\lambda + m + 2) \cdots (\lambda + m + n - 1) \\ &= (\lambda, \mu)_m (\lambda + m, \mu + m)_n. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.4.

$$(\lambda - 1, \mu - 1)_{n+1} = \mu(\lambda + n - 1)(\lambda - 1, \mu - 1)_n \tag{3.5}$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda - 1, \mu - 1)_{n+1} &= (\mu - 1)^{n+1} (\lambda - 1)\lambda(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)(\lambda + 3) \cdots (\lambda + n - 2)(\lambda + n - 1) \\ &= \mu(\lambda + n - 1)(\lambda - 1, \mu - 1)_n. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.5.

$$(\lambda + 1, \mu + 1)_n = (\lambda + n)(\lambda + 1, \mu + 1)_{n-1} \tag{3.6}$$

Proof

$$(\lambda + 1, \mu + 1)_{n-1} = (\mu + 1)^{n-1} (\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)(\lambda + 3) \cdots (\lambda + n - 1) \tag{3.7}$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.7) by $(\mu + 1)(\lambda + n)$, we get the desired result.

Theorem 3.6.

$$(\lambda, \mu)_n = \frac{\lambda \mu^n}{(\lambda + n)(\mu + 1)^n} (\lambda + 1, \mu + 1)_n \tag{3.8}$$

Proof

$$(\lambda + 1, \mu + 1)_n = (\mu + 1)^n (\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)(\lambda + 3) \cdots (\lambda + n - 1)(\lambda + n)$$

Multiplying through by $\lambda \mu^n$ and dividing the result by $(\lambda + n)$, we get (3.8).

Theorem 3.7.

$$(\lambda, \mu)_n = \frac{\mu^n}{(\mu - m)^n} \frac{(\lambda - m, \mu - m)_{n+m}}{(\lambda - m, \mu - m)_m} \tag{3.9}$$

Proof

$$\frac{(\lambda - m, \mu - m)_{n+m}}{(\lambda - m, \mu - m)_m} = \frac{(\mu - m)^{n+m} (\lambda - m)(\lambda - m + 1)(\lambda - m + 2) \cdots (\lambda + n - 1)}{(\mu - m)^m (\lambda - m)(\lambda - m + 1)(\lambda - m + 2) \cdots (\lambda - 1)}$$

$$= (\mu - m)^n \lambda(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2) \cdots (\lambda + n - 1)$$

Multiplying both sides by μ^n , we've

$$\frac{\mu^n (\lambda - m, \mu - m)_{n+m}}{(\lambda - m, \mu - m)_m} = (\lambda, \mu)_n (\mu - m)^n$$

on dividing both sides by $(\mu - m)^n$, we get the desired result.

Theorem 3.8.

$$(\lambda, \mu)_n = \frac{\mu^n}{\mu^m (\mu + m)^{n-m}} (\lambda, \mu)_m (\lambda + m, \mu + m)_{n-m} \tag{3.10}$$

Proof

$$(\lambda, \mu)_m (\lambda + m, \mu + m)_{n-m} = \mu^m (\mu + m)^{n-m} \lambda(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2) \cdots (\lambda + m - 1)(\lambda + m)(\lambda + m + 1)(\lambda + m + 2) \cdots (\lambda + n - 1)$$

Multiplying both sides by μ^n , we've

$$\mu^n (\lambda, \mu)_m (\lambda + m, \mu + m)_{n-m} = \mu^m (\mu + m)^{n-m} \mu^n \lambda(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2) \cdots (\lambda + n - 1)$$

$$= \mu^m (\mu + m)^{n-m} (\lambda, \mu)_n$$

Dividing through by $\mu^m (\mu + m)^{n-m}$, we get the desired result.

Theorem 3.9.

$$(\lambda, \mu)_n = \frac{\mu^n}{(\mu + m)^n} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + m)\Gamma(\lambda + n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(\lambda + m + n)} (\lambda + m, \mu + m)_n \tag{3.11}$$

Proof Taking the right-hand side of (3.11) and using (2.6), we get

$$\frac{\mu^n}{(\mu + m)^n} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + m)\Gamma(\lambda + n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(\lambda + m + n)} (\lambda + m, \mu + m)_n = (\lambda, \mu)_n \frac{1}{(\lambda + m, \mu + m)_n} (\lambda + m, \mu + m)_n$$

$$= (\lambda, \mu)_n$$

Theorem 3.10.

$$(\lambda, \mu)_n = \frac{\mu^n}{(\mu - m)^n} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda - m)\Gamma(\lambda + n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(\lambda - m + n)} (\lambda - m, \mu - m)_n \tag{3.12}$$

Proof Taking the right-hand side of (3.12) and using (2.6), we get

$$\frac{\mu^n}{(\mu - m)^n} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda - m)\Gamma(\lambda + n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(\lambda - m + n)} (\lambda - m, \mu - m)_n = (\lambda, \mu)_n \frac{1}{(\lambda - m, \mu - m)_n} (\lambda - m, \mu - m)_n$$

$$= (\lambda, \mu)_n$$

Corrolary 3.1. The following integral representation holds

$$(\lambda, \mu)_n = \frac{\mu^n}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty t^{\lambda+n-1} e^{-t} dt \tag{3.13}$$

The hypergeometric functions is defined using a factorial function and a Pochhammer symbol. In the fourth part of this paper, we will define new generalized hypergeometric functions using (1.10) and (2.1).

4. Generalized Hypergeometric Functions

The new generalized Gauss and confluent hypergeometric functions are given by

$${}_pF_q \left[\begin{matrix} (\alpha_1, \beta), \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p \\ \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_q \end{matrix}; z \right] = \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{(\alpha_1, \beta)_m (\alpha_2)_m \cdots (\alpha_p)_m}{(\delta_1)_m (\delta_2)_m \cdots (\delta_q)_m} \frac{z^m}{(m, j)!} \tag{4.1}$$

In particular,

$${}_1F_1 [(\alpha_1, \beta), \delta; z] = \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{(\alpha, \beta)_m}{(\delta)_m} \frac{z^m}{(m, j)!}, \tag{4.2}$$

And

$${}_2F_1 [(\alpha_1, \beta), \gamma, \delta; z] = \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{(\alpha, \beta)_m (\gamma)_m}{(\delta)_m} \frac{z^m}{(m, j)!} \tag{4.3}$$

Theorem 4.1. The following integral representation holds true

$${}_pF_q \left[\begin{matrix} (\alpha_1, \beta), \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p \\ \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_q \end{matrix}; z \right] = \frac{\mu^n}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty t^{\lambda-1} e^{-t} {}_{p-1}F_q \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_p \\ \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_q \end{matrix}; tz \right] dt, \tag{4.4}$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} {}_pF_q \left[\begin{matrix} (\alpha_1, \beta), \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p \\ \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_q \end{matrix}; z \right] &= \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{(\alpha_1, \beta)_m (\alpha_2)_m \cdots (\alpha_p)_m}{(\delta_1)_m (\delta_2)_m \cdots (\delta_q)_m} \frac{z^m}{(m, j)!} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{\mu^n}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty t^{\lambda-1} e^{-t} \frac{(\alpha_2)_m (\alpha_3)_m \cdots (\alpha_p)_m}{(\delta_1)_m (\delta_2)_m \cdots (\delta_q)_m} \frac{(tz)^m}{(m, j)!} dt \\ &= \frac{\mu^n}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty t^{\lambda-1} e^{-t} \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{(\alpha_2)_m (\alpha_3)_m \cdots (\alpha_p)_m}{(\delta_1)_m (\delta_2)_m \cdots (\delta_q)_m} \frac{(tz)^m}{(m, j)!} dt \\ &= \frac{\mu^n}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty t^{\lambda-1} e^{-t} {}_{p-1}F_q \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_p \\ \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_q \end{matrix}; tz \right] dt. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.2. The beta-type integral representation holds true

$${}_pF_q \left[\begin{matrix} (\alpha_1, \beta), \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p \\ \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_q \end{matrix}; z \right] = \frac{1}{B(\alpha_p, \delta_q - \alpha_p)} \int_0^1 t^{\alpha_p - 1} (1-t)^{\delta_q - \alpha_p - 1} {}_{p-1}F_{q-1} \left[\begin{matrix} (\alpha_1, \beta), \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{p-1} \\ \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_{q-1} \end{matrix}; tz \right] dt, \tag{4.5}$$

$$(\operatorname{Re}(\alpha_p) > 0; \operatorname{Re}(\delta_q) > 0; \operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq 0)$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} {}_pF_q \left[\begin{matrix} (\alpha_1, \beta), \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p \\ \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_q \end{matrix}; z \right] &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1, \beta)_m (\alpha_2)_m \cdots (\alpha_p)_m}{(\delta_1)_m (\delta_2)_m \cdots (\delta_q)_m} \frac{z^m}{(m, j)!} \\ &= \frac{1}{B(\alpha_p, \delta_q - \alpha_p)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1, \beta)_m (\alpha_2)_m \cdots (\alpha_{p-1})_m}{(\delta_1)_m (\delta_2)_m \cdots (\delta_{q-1})_m} \int_0^1 t^{\alpha_p + m - 1} (1-t)^{\delta_q - \alpha_p - 1} \frac{z^m}{(m, j)!} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{B(\alpha_p, \delta_q - \alpha_p)} \int_0^1 t^{\alpha_p - 1} (1-t)^{\delta_q - \alpha_p - 1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1, \beta)_m (\alpha_2)_m \cdots (\alpha_{p-1})_m}{(\delta_1)_m (\delta_2)_m \cdots (\delta_{q-1})_m} \frac{(tz)^m}{(m, j)!} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{B(\alpha_p, \delta_q - \alpha_p)} \int_0^1 t^{\alpha_p - 1} (1-t)^{\delta_q - \alpha_p - 1} {}_{p-1}F_{q-1} \left[\begin{matrix} (\alpha_1, \beta), \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{p-1} \\ \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_{q-1} \end{matrix}; tz \right] dt. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 4.1. The following integral representations hold true

$${}_1F_1 [(\alpha, \beta), \delta; z] = \frac{\mu^m}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^{\infty} t^{\lambda-1} e^{-t} {}_0F_1 [-, \delta; tz] dt, \tag{4.6}$$

$${}_2F_1 [(\alpha, \beta), \delta; z] = \frac{\mu^m}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^{\infty} t^{\lambda-1} e^{-t} {}_1F_1 [\alpha, \delta; tz] dt, \tag{4.7}$$

$${}_1F_1 [(\alpha, \beta), \delta; z] = \frac{1}{B(\alpha, \delta - \alpha)} \int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1} (1-t)^{\delta-\alpha-1} {}_0F_0 [-, -; tz] dt, \tag{4.8}$$

$${}_2F_1 [(\alpha_1, \beta), \alpha_2, \delta; z] = \frac{1}{B(\alpha, \delta - \alpha)} \int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1} (1-t)^{\delta-\alpha-1} {}_1F_0 [(\alpha_1, \beta), -; tz] dt. \tag{4.9}$$

Theorem 4.3. The following derivative holds

$$\frac{d}{dz} {}_pF_q \left[\begin{matrix} (\alpha_1, \beta), \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p \\ \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_q \end{matrix}; z \right] = \frac{1}{j} \frac{(\alpha_1, \beta)_m (\alpha_2)_m \cdots (\alpha_p)_m}{(\delta_1)_m (\delta_2)_m \cdots (\delta_q)_m} {}_pF_q \left[\begin{matrix} (\alpha_1 + 1, \beta + 1), \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p \\ \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_q \end{matrix}; z \right] \tag{4.10}$$

Proof

$$\frac{d}{dz} {}_pF_q \left[\begin{matrix} (\alpha_1, \beta), \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p \\ \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_q \end{matrix}; z \right] = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1, \beta)_m (\alpha_2)_m \cdots (\alpha_p)_m}{(\delta_1)_m (\delta_2)_m \cdots (\delta_q)_m} \frac{z^{m-1}}{j^m \Gamma(m)}$$

As $m \rightarrow m+1$,

$$\frac{d}{dz} {}_pF_q \left[\begin{matrix} (\alpha_1, \beta), \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p \\ \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_q \end{matrix}; z \right] = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1, \beta)_{m+1} (\alpha_2)_{m+1} \cdots (\alpha_p)_{m+1}}{(\delta_1)_{m+1} (\delta_2)_{m+1} \cdots (\delta_q)_{m+1}} \frac{z^m}{j^{m+1} \Gamma(m+1)}$$

Using (1.6), yields

$$\frac{d}{dz} {}_pF_q \left[\begin{matrix} (\alpha_1, \beta), \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p \\ \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_q \end{matrix}; z \right] = \frac{1}{j} \frac{(\alpha_1, \beta)(\alpha_2) \cdots (\alpha_p)}{(\delta_1)(\delta_2) \cdots (\delta_q)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1, \beta)_m (\alpha_2)_m \cdots (\alpha_p)_m}{(\delta_1)_m (\delta_2)_m \cdots (\delta_q)_m} \frac{z^m}{(m, j)!}$$

Applying (4.1), we obtain the required result.

Corollary 4.2.

$$\frac{d}{dz} \left\{ {}_pF_q [(\alpha, \beta), \lambda, \delta; z] \right\} = \frac{1}{j} \frac{\lambda(\alpha, \beta)}{\delta} {}_2F_1 [(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1), \lambda + 1, \delta + 1; z], \tag{4.11}$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dz} \left\{ {}_1F_1 [(\alpha, \beta), \delta; z] \right\} = \frac{1}{j} \frac{(\alpha, \beta)}{\delta} {}_1F_1 [(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1), \delta + 1; z]. \tag{4.12}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1 [(\alpha, \beta), \lambda, \delta; z] &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha, \beta)_m (\lambda)_m}{(\delta)_m} \frac{z^m}{(m, j)!} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha, \beta)_m (\lambda)_m}{(\delta)_m} \frac{mz^{m-1}}{(m, j)!} \end{aligned}$$

As $m \rightarrow m+1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz} {}_2F_1 [(\alpha, \beta), \lambda, \delta; z] &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha, \beta)_{m+1} (\lambda)_{m+1}}{(\delta)_{m+1}} \frac{z^m}{j^{m+1} \Gamma(m+1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{j} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha, \beta)_{m+1} (\lambda)_{m+1}}{(\delta)_{m+1}} \frac{z^m}{j^m \Gamma(m+1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{j} \frac{\lambda(\alpha, \beta)}{\delta} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1)_m (\lambda + 1)_m}{(\delta + 1)_m} \frac{z^m}{(m, j)!} \\ &= \frac{1}{j} \frac{\lambda(\alpha, \beta)}{\delta} {}_2F_1 [(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1), \lambda + 1, \delta + 1; z] \end{aligned}$$

5. Families of Generating Functions Relations

In this section, we denote the following array of numbers $\frac{\lambda}{N}, \frac{\lambda+1}{N}, \dots, \frac{\lambda+n-1}{N}$ by $\Delta(N, \lambda)$

Theorem 5.1. The following relation holds true

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_n}{n!} {}_{r+N}F_s \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(N, \lambda+n), (\alpha_1, \beta), \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r \\ \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_s \end{matrix} ; zj^N \right] = {}_{r+N}F_s \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(N, \lambda), (\alpha_1, \beta), \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r \\ \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_s \end{matrix} ; z \left(\frac{j}{1-t} \right)^N \right] (1-t)^{-\lambda} \tag{5.1}$$

Proof: Given that

$$\left[(1-z) \left(1 - \frac{t}{1-z} \right) \right]^{-\lambda} = \left[(1-t) \left(1 - \frac{z}{1-t} \right) \right]^{-\lambda}$$

Since

$$(1-z)^{-\lambda} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_n}{n!} z^n \tag{5.2}$$

Yields

$$(1-z)^{-\lambda} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_n}{n!} \left(\frac{t}{1-z} \right)^n = (1-t)^{-\lambda} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_N}{N!} \left(\frac{z}{1-t} \right)^N$$

Applying (5.2) again and (4.1), we get the desired result.

Theorem 5.2

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_n}{n!} {}_{r+N}F_s \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(N, -n), (\alpha_1, \beta), \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r \\ \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_s \end{matrix} ; zj^N \right] = {}_{r+N}F_s \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(N, \lambda), (\alpha_1, \beta), \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r \\ \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_s \end{matrix} ; z \left(\frac{-tj}{1-t} \right)^N \right] (1-t)^{-\lambda} \tag{5.3}$$

Proof: The proof of (5.3) is similar to (5.1). This can be obtained from the fact that

$$\left[(1-z) \left(1 - \frac{-zt}{1-z} \right) \right]^{-\lambda} = \left[(1+zt) \left(1 - \frac{z}{1+zt} \right) \right]^{-\lambda}$$

References

[1] Abramowitz, M. and Stegun, I. A. (1970). Handbook of Mathematical Functions. National Bureau of Standards, Washington.

[2] Rehman, A., Mubeen, S., Ahmad, M. O. and Siddiqi, S. R. (2017). (n, k) - Multiple Factorials with Applications. Punjab University Journal of Mathematics, (ISSN 1016-2526), Vol. 49(2) pp. 1-11.

[3] Mubeen, S. and Rehman, A. (2014). (n, k) -Factorials. Journal of Inequalities and Special Functions, 5. No.3, pp. 14-20.

[4] Mubeen, S., Rehman, G. and Arshad, M. (2015). K-Gamma, K-Beta Matrix Functions and their Properties. J. Math. Comp. Sci., No. 5, pp. 647-657, ISSN:1927-5307.

- [5] Thukral, A. K. (2014). Factorials of Real Negative and Imaginary Numbers – A New Perspective. Springer plus. 3:658 doi : 101186/2193-1801-3-658.
- [6] Wolfram.com, “A Comprehensive Online Compendium of Formulas Involving the Special Functions of Mathematics”. <http://functions.wolfram.com/constant/E/>.
- [7] Rafael, D. and Pariguan, E. (2005). On Hypergeometric Functions and K-Pochhammer Symbol. arXiv:math/0405596.
- [8] Gonzalez, I., Jiu, L. and Moll, V. H. (2015). Pochhammer Symbol with Negative indices – A New Rule for the Method of Brackets. ArXiv: 1508.00056v1.*8
- [9] Milovanovic, G. V. and Petojevic, A. ‘Generalised Factorials Functions, Numbers and Polynomials’
- [10] Cattani, E. (2006). Three Lectures on Hypergeometric Functions. Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, M.A 01003.
- [11] Shrivastava, H. M., Cetinkaya, A. and Kiyamaz, O. (2014). A Certain Generalized Pochhammer Symbol and its Applications to Hypergeometric Functions. Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computation 226, 484 – 491.
- [12] Sahin, R. and Yagci, O. (2020). A New Generalisation of Pochhammer Symbol and its Applications. Applied Mathematics and Nonlinear Sciences 5(1), pp. 255 – 266.
- [13] Parmar, R. K and Raina, R. K. (2017). On the Extended Incomplete Pochhammer Symbols and Hypergeometric Functions”.
- [14] Chaudary, M. A. and Zubair S. M. (1994). Generalised Incomplete Gamma Functions with Applications”. Journal of Computing and Applied Mathematics and. (55), pp. 99 – 124.
- [15] Mubeen, S. and Rehman, A (2014). A Note on k-Gamma Function and Pochhammer k-Symbol. Journal of Informatics and Mathematical Sciences. Vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 93 – 107. ISSN 0975 – 5748.
- [16] Srivastava, R. (2013). Some Generalizations of Pochhammer’s Symbol and their Associated Families of Hypergeometric Functions and Hypergeometric Polynomials. Applied Mathematics and Information Sciences. 7, No. 6, 2195 – 2206.
- [17] Petojevic, A. (2008). A Note about the Pochhammer Symbol. Mathematica Moravica. Vol. 12 – 1, pp. 37 – 42.
- [18] Sahai, V. and Verma, A. (2016). On an Extension of the Generalized Pochhammer Symbol and its Applications to Hypergeometric Functions. Asian-European Journal of Mathematics. Vol. 9, No. 2, 1650064.
- [19] Srivastava, H. M., Parmar, R. K. and Chopra, P. (2012). A Class of Extended Fractional Derivative Operators and Associated Generating Relations Involving Hypergeometric Functions. Axiom 1, pp. 2195 – 2206.
- [20] Ozergin, E., Ozarslan, M. A. and Altin, A. (2011). Extension of Gamma, Beta and Hypergeometric Functions. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics. 235, pp. 4601 – 4610.

- [21] Safdar, M., Rahman, G., Ulla, Z., Ghaffar, A. and Nissar, K. S. (2019). A New Extension of the Pochhammer Symbol and Application to Hypergeometric Functions. *Journal of Applied and Computational Mathematics*. 5 – 151. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40819-019-0733-9>.
- [22] Chaudhry, M.A., Zubair, S.M. (2001). *On a Class of Incomplete Gamma Functions with Applications*. Chapman and Hall, (CRC Press Company), Boca Raton.
- [23] Çetinkaya, A., Kıymaz, I. O., Agarwal, P., & Agarwal, R. (2018). A Comparative Study on Generating Function Relations for Generalized Hypergeometric Functions via Generalized Fractional Operators. *Advances in Difference Equations*, 2018(1), 1-11. •
- [24] Şahin, R., & Yağcı, O. (2020). Fractional Calculus of the Extended Hypergeometric Function. *Applied Mathematics and Nonlinear Sciences*, 5(1), 369-384.
- [25] Yağcı, O., & Şahin, R. (2021). Degenerate Pochhammer Symbol, Degenerate Sumudu Transform, and Degenerate Hypergeometric Function with Applications. *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 1-18.
- [26] Ata, E., & Kıymaz, İ. O. (2020). A Study on Certain Properties of Generalized Special Functions Defined by Fox-Wright Function. *Applied Mathematics and Nonlinear Sciences*, 5(1), 147-162.