



# Approximate spectral cosyntesis in the harmonically weighted Dirichlet spaces

Faruk Yilmaz 

*Kırşehir Ahi Evran University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Kırşehir, Turkey*

## Abstract

For a finite positive Borel measure  $\mu$  on the unit circle, let  $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$  be the associated harmonically weighted Dirichlet space. A shift invariant subspace  $\mathcal{M}$  recognizes strong approximate spectral cosyntesis if there exists a sequence of shift invariant subspaces  $\mathcal{M}_k$ , with finite codimension, such that the orthogonal projections onto  $\mathcal{M}_k$  converge in the strong operator topology to the orthogonal projection onto  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $\mu$  is a finite sum of atoms, then we show that shift invariant subspaces of  $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$  admit strong approximate spectral cosyntesis.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2020).** 47A15, 30H10, 31C25

**Keywords.** weighted Dirichlet spaces, invariant subspaces

## 1. Introduction

Let  $H^2$  be the usual Hardy space and  $dA(re^{it}) = \frac{1}{\pi} r dr dt$  be the normalized area measure on the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $\mu$  be a finite positive Borel measure on the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$ . The harmonically weighted Dirichlet space  $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$  is the set of all functions  $f \in H^2$  such that

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu(f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 P_\mu(z) dA(z) < \infty,$$

where  $P_\mu$  is the Poisson integral of the measure  $\mu$ :

$$P_\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{it} - z|^2} d\mu(t).$$

It is well known that the space  $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$  is a Hilbert space with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\mu$  given by  $\|f\|_\mu^2 = \|f\|_{H^2}^2 + \mathcal{D}_\mu(f)$ . Moreover, these spaces are reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces, that is, for each  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , there exists a function  $k_z^\mu \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ , called the reproducing kernel, such that for every  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ ,  $f(z) = \langle f, k_z^\mu \rangle_\mu$ . If  $\mu$  is the normalized Lebesgue measure  $m$ , then  $\mathcal{D}(m)$  is the classical Dirichlet space  $\mathcal{D}$ , and if  $\mu \equiv 0$ , then we define  $\mathcal{D}(0) = H^2$ .

The shift operator  $S$  on  $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$ , that is multiplication by  $z$ , is a bounded linear operator. A (closed) subspace  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$  is called invariant if  $S$  maps  $\mathcal{M}$  into itself. The collection of all invariant subspaces is denoted by  $\text{Lat}(S, \mathcal{D}(\mu))$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$  the invariant subspace generated by  $f$ , denoted by  $[f]_{\mathcal{D}(\mu)}$ , is  $[f]_{\mathcal{D}(\mu)} = \text{clos}_{\mathcal{D}(\mu)}\{pf : p \text{ a polynomial}\}$ . This is the

smallest closed invariant subspace containing  $f$ . If  $[f]_{\mathcal{D}(\mu)} = \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ , then  $f$  is called cyclic for  $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$ .

The precise knowledge of invariant subspaces and cyclic functions for the Hardy space  $H^2$  is known by Beurling's theorem [1]. In fact, if  $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Lat}(S, H^2)$  is nontrivial, then  $\mathcal{M} = \theta H^2$ , where  $\theta$  is an inner function, that is,  $\theta$  is a bounded holomorphic function on the unit disc such that  $|\theta(e^{it})| = 1$  a.e. on  $\mathbb{T}$ ; and outer functions are cyclic. However, we do not have complete characterization of  $\text{Lat}(S, \mathcal{D}(\mu))$ , and do not know which functions are cyclic in  $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$ . These problems are still open. For the study of invariant subspaces and cyclic functions, see e.g. [3, 7–10, 17–22].

Approximate spectral synthesis of a subspace, which was suggested by Nikolskii, is a process of reconstructing it not only by the root vectors that are contained in it but also the limit process of a sequence of subspaces (see [16, 24]). To be more precise, let  $X$  be a Banach space of analytic functions on the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$ . Suppose  $X$  is invariant with respect to the shift operator  $S$ . A subspace  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $X$ , which is invariant with respect to the shift operator  $S$ , is said to admit strong approximate spectral cosynthesis if there exists a sequence  $\mathcal{M}_n$  of invariant subspaces such that  $\dim(X/\mathcal{M}_n) < \infty$ ,  $\mathcal{M} = \varinjlim \mathcal{M}_n$  and  $\mathcal{M}^\perp = \varinjlim \mathcal{M}_n^\perp$ , where  $\varinjlim \mathcal{M}_n := \{x \in X : \exists x_n \in \mathcal{M}_n \text{ with } x_n \rightarrow x\}$  (see Definition 4 of [24]). If  $X$  is a Hilbert space, then we can restate the above definition in light of Lemma 2 of [24] (also see Lemma 4.2 of [14]) as follows: an invariant subspace  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq X$  is said to admit strong approximate spectral cosynthesis if there exists a sequence  $\mathcal{M}_n$  of invariant subspaces, with  $\dim(X/\mathcal{M}_n) < \infty$ , such that the orthogonal projections  $P_n$  onto  $\mathcal{M}_n$  converge in the strong operator topology (SOT) to the orthogonal projection  $P$  onto  $\mathcal{M}$ .

If  $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \delta_{\zeta_j}$  with  $c_j > 0$  and  $\zeta_j \in \mathbb{T}$ , where  $\delta_{\zeta_j}$  is the Dirac measure at  $\zeta_j$ , D. Guillot gave the complete characterization of the  $\text{Lat}(S, \mathcal{D}(\mu))$  in [12]. In this case, using D. Guillot's characterization, our aim in this paper is to study the approximate spectral cosynthesis problem for the harmonically weighted Dirichlet space  $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$ . We have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \delta_{\zeta_j}$  with  $c_j > 0$  and  $\zeta_j \in \mathbb{T}$ , and  $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$  be the associated harmonically weighted Dirichlet space. Suppose that  $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Lat}(S, \mathcal{D}(\mu))$  is nontrivial. Then  $\mathcal{M}$  admits strong approximate spectral cosynthesis.*

For the Hardy space  $H^2$  the corresponding problem has a solution as a consequence of Beurling's theorem and Caratheodory-Schur theorem (see [25]). For certain weighted Bergman spaces, spectral synthesis problem was considered by S.M. Shimorin in [24]. He showed that invariant subspaces of index 1 admit strong approximate spectral cosynthesis. In [25], the author has a similar result for the weighted Dirichlet spaces and a partial result for the classical Dirichlet space.

As an application, in the final remark we will explain that Theorem 1.1 answers a certain case of a question posed by J. B. Conway, and D. Hadwin (see [5]).

The plan will be as follows. In the next section, we will give a background on the harmonically weighted Dirichlet spaces  $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$ . In the last section we will prove Theorem 1.1 as Theorem 3.3.

## 2. The harmonically weighted Dirichlet spaces

Given an analytic function  $f$  on the open unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ , we denote by  $f(\zeta)$  the radial limit  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} f(r\zeta)$ , whenever it exists. It is well known that if  $f \in H^2$ , then the radial limit  $f(\zeta)$  exists almost everywhere on the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$ .

Given a finite positive Borel measure  $\mu$  on the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$ , the harmonically weighted Dirichlet space  $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$  can alternatively be defined as the set of all analytic functions  $f \in H^2$

such that

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu(f) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathcal{D}_\zeta(f) d\mu(\zeta) < \infty,$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_\zeta(f)$  is the local Dirichlet integral of  $f$  at  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$  given by

$$\mathcal{D}_\zeta(f) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{|f(e^{it}) - f(\zeta)|^2 dt}{|e^{it} - \zeta|^2 2\pi}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Lat}(S, \mathcal{D}(\mu))$  and  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{T}}(f)$  the set of points in  $\mathbb{T}$  where the radial limit of  $f$  is zero, that is,

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{T}}(f) = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{T} : \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} f(r\zeta) = 0\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{M}) = \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{M}} \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{T}}(f).$$

Another notion that plays an important role is capacity. To define it we consider the harmonic Dirichlet space  $\mathcal{D}^h(\mu)$ , associated with  $\mu$ ,

$$\mathcal{D}^h(\mu) := \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}) : \mathcal{D}_\mu(f) < \infty\}.$$

The space  $\mathcal{D}^h(\mu)$  is a Hilbert space and the norm on it is  $\|f\|_\mu^2 := \|f\|_{L^2}^2 + \mathcal{D}_\mu(f)$ . For any subset  $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ , the capacity  $c_\mu$  of  $E$  is defined by

$$c_\mu(E) := \inf\{\|f\|_\mu^2 : f \in \mathcal{D}^h(\mu) \text{ and } |f| \geq 1 \text{ a.e. on a neighborhood of } E\}.$$

For every Borel subset  $F \subset \mathbb{T}$ , we have  $c_\mu(F) = \sup\{c_\mu(K) : K \subset F \text{ is compact}\}$  (see Corollary 3.3 of [12]). If  $\mu = m$ , the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ , it is well known that the  $c_\mu$  capacity and logarithmic capacity are equivalent. See [11] for more details. A property holds  $c_\mu$ -quasi-everywhere, denoted by  $c_\mu - q.e.$ , if it holds everywhere except on a set of zero  $c_\mu$ -capacity.

If  $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  is a Borel set, define  $\mathcal{D}_E(\mu)$  as follows;

$$\mathcal{D}_E(\mu) := \{f \in \mathcal{D}(\mu) : f = 0 \text{ } c_\mu - q.e. \text{ on } E\}.$$

Note that it was shown in [12] (see Proposition 5.2) that  $\mathcal{D}_E(\mu)$  is closed in  $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$ . Clearly it is invariant, so  $\mathcal{D}_E(\mu) \in \text{Lat}(S, \mathcal{D}(\mu))$ .

Richter and Sundberg in [20] gave the following characterization of invariant subspaces of  $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** (see [20] Theorem 5.3) Let  $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Lat}(S, \mathcal{D}(\mu))$  and let  $\theta$  be the greatest common inner divisor of functions in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then, there is an outer function  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$  such that

$$\mathcal{M} = [\theta f]_{\mathcal{D}(\mu)} = \theta[f]_{\mathcal{D}(\mu)} \cap \mathcal{D} = [f]_{\mathcal{D}(\mu)} \cap \theta H^2.$$

In fact,  $f$  can be chosen so that  $f$  and  $\theta f$  are multipliers of  $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$ .

When the associated measure is a finite sum of atoms, using the above result of Richter and Sundberg, D. Guillot showed that

$$[f]_{\mathcal{D}(\mu)} = \{f \in \mathcal{D}(\mu) : f = 0 \text{ } c_\mu - q.e. \text{ on } \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{T}}(f)\},$$

where  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$  is an outer function (see Theorem 5.9 of [12]). Using these results, D. Guillot's characterization of invariant subspaces can be given in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** (See [12]) Let  $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \delta_{\zeta_j}$  with  $c_j > 0$  and  $\zeta_j \in \mathbb{T}$ . Let  $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Lat}(S, \mathcal{D}(\mu))$  and let  $\theta$  be the greatest common inner divisor of functions in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{M} = \theta H^2 \cap \mathcal{D}_E(\mu),$$

where  $E = \{\zeta \in \text{supp}\mu : c_\mu(\{\zeta\}) > 0 \text{ and } \zeta \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{M})\}$ .

In [6] El-Fallah, Elmadani, and Kellay extended D. Guillot’s characterization of invariant subspaces to harmonically weighted Dirichlet spaces  $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$  where the associated measure  $\mu$  has countable support (see Theorem 2 of [6]). The following theorem is well known.

**Theorem 2.3.** (See [3]) Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a reproducing kernel Hilbert space of analytic functions on a region  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  and let  $\{f_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $f_n \rightarrow f$  weakly
- (2)  $\|f_n\| \leq M$  and  $f_n(z) \rightarrow f(z)$  for all  $z \in \Omega$
- (3)  $\|f_n\| \leq M$  and  $f_n \rightarrow f$  locally uniformly.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

For the rest of the paper we will take  $\mu$  to be finite sum of atoms, i.e.,  $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \delta_{\zeta_j}$  with  $c_j > 0$  and  $\zeta_j \in \mathbb{T}$ . We begin with the following propositions which will be used in the sequel.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $B$  be a finite Blaschke product with the zero set  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^k$ , and  $F \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$  be an outer function such that  $F(\zeta_j) = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $BF \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ .

**Proof.** By the Richter-Sundberg formula (see Theorem 3.1 of [19]) the local Dirichlet integral  $\mathcal{D}_\zeta(BF)$  of  $BF$  is

$$\mathcal{D}_\zeta(BF) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1 - |\alpha_i|^2}{|\zeta - \alpha_i|^2} |F(\zeta)|^2 + \mathcal{D}_\zeta(F).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_\mu(BF) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1 - |\alpha_i|^2}{|\zeta - \alpha_i|^2} |F(\zeta)|^2 d\mu(\zeta) + \mathcal{D}_\mu(F) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \frac{1 - |\alpha_i|^2}{|\zeta_j - \alpha_i|^2} |F(\zeta_j)|^2 + \mathcal{D}_\mu(F) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 3.2.** For  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , let  $F_j(z) = z - \zeta_j$  and  $F(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n F_j(z)$ . Then for each  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $F_j$  is an outer function with  $F_j \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ .

Further,  $F(z)$  is an outer function with  $F \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ .

**Proof.** It is well known that  $F_j$  and  $F$  are outer functions (see [15] page 27). Since polynomials are dense in  $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$  (see Corollary 3.8 of [18]), obviously we have  $F_j$  and  $F$  are in  $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$ . □

Now we are ready to prove our main result.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \delta_{\zeta_j}$  with  $c_j > 0$  and  $\zeta_j \in \mathbb{T}$ , and  $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$  be the associated harmonically weighted Dirichlet space. Suppose that  $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Lat}(S, \mathcal{D}(\mu))$  is nontrivial. Then  $\mathcal{M}$  admits strong approximate spectral cosynthesis.

**Proof.** If  $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Lat}(S, \mathcal{D}(\mu))$  is nontrivial, then by Theorem 2.2

$$\mathcal{M} = \theta H^2 \cap \mathcal{D}_E(\mu),$$

where  $\theta$  is the greatest common inner divisor of the inner parts of the nonzero functions in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Without loss of generality let  $E = \{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n\}$ . Notice that, in this case since  $F(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n (z - \zeta_j) \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$  is an outer function by Proposition 3.2, we have  $\mathcal{D}_E(\mu) = [F]_{\mathcal{D}(\mu)}$  by Theorem 5.9 of [12]. Hence  $\mathcal{M} = \theta H^2 \cap \mathcal{D}_E(\mu) = [\theta F]_{\mathcal{D}(\mu)}$  by Theorem 2.1, where  $\theta F$  is extremal for  $\mathcal{M}$ , that is,  $\theta F \in \mathcal{M} \ominus z\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\|\theta F\|_\mu = 1$  (see Theorem 3.2 of [20]). Using the Caratheodory-Schur theorem (see, e.g., Theorem 5.5.1 of [23]), we can get a sequence of finite Blaschke products  $B_k$  such that  $B_k \rightarrow \theta$  locally uniformly in the unit disc. Denote the zeros of the Blaschke product  $B_k$  by  $\{\alpha_{k_i}\}_{i=1}^{t_k}$ . Then by Proposition 3.1,  $B_k F \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ . Now set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_k &= [B_k F]_{\mathcal{D}(\mu)} = B_k H^2 \cap \mathcal{D}_E(\mu) = \{f \in \mathcal{D}(\mu) : f \in B_k H^2 \text{ and } f|_E = 0\} \\ &= \{f \in \mathcal{D}(\mu) : f(\alpha_{k_i}) = 0, i = 1, \dots, t_k, \text{ and } f|_E = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $P_k$  and  $P$  be the orthogonal projections onto  $\mathcal{M}_k$  and  $\mathcal{M}$ , respectively. To finish the proof, we need to show that  $P_k \rightarrow P$  in SOT, and  $\mathcal{M}_k$  has finite codimension.

If  $B_k F(z) \rightarrow \theta F(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , then  $P_k \rightarrow P$  in SOT follows from Corollary 4.5 of [14], since  $B_k F$  is extremal function for  $\mathcal{M}_k$  and  $\theta F$  is extremal function for  $\mathcal{M}$ . That  $B_k \rightarrow \theta$  locally uniformly on  $\mathbb{D}$  implies that  $B_k(z) \rightarrow \theta(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . But since  $F \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$  this implies that  $B_k F(z) \rightarrow \theta F(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ .

It is left to show that  $\dim \mathcal{M}_k^\perp < \infty$ . Since the  $c_\mu(\{\zeta_i\}) > 0$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , the evaluation functional  $f \mapsto f(\zeta_i)$  is bounded on  $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$ , so there exists a reproducing kernel  $k_{\zeta_i}^\mu \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  (see [12], or Lemma 3.2 of [6]). Then by a similar argument of Lemma 3.4 of [25], one can show that  $\mathcal{M}_k$  has finite co-dimension by showing that

$$\mathcal{M}_k^\perp = \bigvee \{k_{\zeta_i}^\mu\}_{i=1}^n \cup \{k_{\alpha_{k_i}}^\mu\}_{i=1}^{t_k},$$

where the symbol  $\bigvee$  denotes the closed linear span,  $k_{\zeta_i}^\mu$  and  $k_{\alpha_{k_i}}^\mu$  are the reproducing kernels at  $\zeta_i$  and  $\alpha_{k_i}$ , respectively. □

If  $\theta \equiv 1$  in Theorem 2.1, then  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_E(\mu)$ . Hence one can take  $\mathcal{M}_k = \mathcal{D}_E(\mu)$ . The easy way to prove Theorem 3.3 for  $\mathcal{D}_E(\mu)$  is the observation that we have reproducing kernels  $k_\zeta^\mu$  for  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ . But one can do more. In fact, next we show that  $\mathcal{D}_E(\mu)$  admits strong approximate spectral cosynthesis by a sequence of zero-based invariant subspaces for some zero set in the unit disc. We can prove this by an argument that is similar to Theorem 3.6 of [25]. For the sake of completeness, we provide the details here.

Without loss of generality let  $E = \{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n\}$ . For  $r < 1$  define  $rE := \{r\zeta_1, \dots, r\zeta_n\}$ , and set  $I(rE) = \{f \in \mathcal{D}(\mu) : f(r\zeta_j) = 0, \forall j = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Then it is clear that  $I(rE) \in \text{Lat}(S, \mathcal{D}(\mu))$ . Note that this kind of invariant subspaces are called zero-based subspaces, and we reserve this notation for them. By choosing an increasing sequence  $r_k < 1$  with  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty (1 - nr_k) < \infty$ , let the Blaschke product  $B(z)$  be given by

$$B(z) = \prod_{j=1}^\infty \frac{\bar{z}_j}{|z_j|} \frac{z_j - z}{1 - \bar{z}_j z},$$

where  $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^\infty = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty r_k E = \{r_1 \zeta_1, \dots, r_1 \zeta_n, r_2 \zeta_1, \dots, r_2 \zeta_n, \dots\}$ . Set  $f_0(z) := B(z)F(z)$ , where

$$F(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n (z - \zeta_j)$$

is the outer function defined in Proposition 3.2.

**Proposition 3.4.** *With the above notation, we have  $f_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$  and  $f_0 \in \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty I(r_k E)$ .*

**Proof.** Proof of  $f_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$  is similar to the Proposition 3.1, and by construction it is clear that  $f_0 \in \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty I(r_k E)$ . □

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}_E(\mu) \in \text{Lat}(S, \mathcal{D}(\mu))$  be nontrivial. Then  $\mathcal{D}_E(\mu)$  admits strong approximate spectral cosynthesis by a sequence of zero based invariant subspaces that has a finite zero set in the unit disc.*

**Proof.** We assume that  $E = \{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n\}$ . Note that in this case  $E = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{M})$ .

For each  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , let  $F_j(z) = z - \zeta_j$  and  $F(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n F_j(z)$ . Then by Proposition 3.2,  $F_j$  and  $F$  are outer functions with  $F_j, F \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ . Then by Theorem 5.9 of [12]  $\mathcal{D}_E(\mu) = [F]_{\mathcal{D}(\mu)}$ .

Let  $r_k < 1$  be an increasing sequence such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - nr_k) < \infty$ , and by reindexing let  $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} r_k E = \{r_1 \zeta_1, \dots, r_1 \zeta_n, r_2 \zeta_1, \dots, r_2 \zeta_n, \dots\}$  and consider the Blaschke product  $B(z)$  with zeroes  $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ . Let  $f_0(z) := B(z)F(z)$ . Then by Proposition 3.4,  $f_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$  and  $f_0 \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} I(r_k E)$ , where  $I(r_k E)$  is the zero-based invariant subspace on  $r_k E$ . Next, we claim that

$$\mathcal{D}_E(\mu) = \bigvee_{t=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=t}^{\infty} I(r_k E).$$

For each  $t$ , let  $f \in \bigcap_{k=t}^{\infty} I(r_k E)$ . Then  $f(r_k \zeta_j) = 0$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and  $k \geq t$ . As  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $r_k \rightarrow 1$ , we have the radial limits  $f(\zeta_j) = 0$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . This implies  $f \in \mathcal{D}_E(\mu)$ . Hence  $\bigvee_{t=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=t}^{\infty} I(r_k E) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_E(\mu)$ . To show the reverse inclusion, since  $\mathcal{D}_E(\mu) = [F]_{\mathcal{D}(\mu)}$ , it is enough to show that  $F \in \bigvee_{t=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=t}^{\infty} I(r_k E)$ .

Let  $I_t := \bigcap_{k=t}^{\infty} I(r_k E)$ . Observe that if  $f \in I_t$ , then  $f(z) = 0$  for all  $z \in \bigcup_{k=t}^{\infty} r_k E =: Z_t$ . Also note that  $I_t \subseteq I_{t+1}$ . Let  $B_t(z)$  be the Blaschke product with the zero set  $Z_t$ , and let  $f_t(z) := B_t(z)F(z)$ . Then by a similar argument to that in Proposition 3.4 one can show that  $f_t \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$  and trivially  $f_t \in I_t$ . Since  $B_t(z) \rightarrow 1$  pointwise as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\|f_t\|_{\mathcal{D}(\mu)} \leq C$  for some constant  $C$ , we have  $f_t \rightarrow F$  weakly by Theorem 2.3. Hence  $F \in \bigvee_{t=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=t}^{\infty} I(r_k E)$  since weak and norm closure are equivalent. Therefore  $\mathcal{D}_E(\mu) = \bigvee_{t=1}^{\infty} I_t$ ,

which implies that  $\mathcal{D}_E(\mu)^\perp = \bigcap_{t=1}^{\infty} I_t^\perp$ , and  $I_t \subseteq I_{t+1}$  implies that  $I_t^\perp \supseteq I_{t+1}^\perp$ . Let  $P$  and  $P_t$  be the orthogonal projections onto  $\mathcal{D}_E(\mu)$  and  $I_t$ , respectively. Then by Problem 120 of [13]  $I - P_t \rightarrow I - P$  in SOT, and hence  $P_t \rightarrow P$  in SOT. To finish the proof we need to construct the sequence of invariant subspaces  $\mathcal{M}_m$  with finite codimension such that the corresponding projections converge to  $P$  in SOT.

Recall that  $Z_t = \bigcup_{k=t}^{\infty} r_k E$ . For each  $t$ , let  $A_{m,t} = \{w_1, \dots, w_m\} \subseteq Z_t$  be such that  $A_{m,t} \subseteq A_{m+1,t}$  and  $\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_{m,t} = Z_t$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}_m = I(A_{m,t})$  be the zero-based invariant subspace on  $A_{m,t}$ . Then it is clear that  $\mathcal{M}_m \supseteq \mathcal{M}_{m+1}$  and  $I_t = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_m$ . Let  $P_{m,t}$  be the orthogonal projection onto  $\mathcal{M}_m$ . Then for each  $t$ ,  $P_{m,t} \rightarrow P_t$  in SOT as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . The SOT is metrizable on bounded subsets of all bounded linear operators (see Proposition 1.3 in Chapter 9 of [4]), so we can get a subsequence  $P_{m_t,t}$  such that  $P_{m_t,t} \rightarrow P$  in SOT. It is left to show that  $\mathcal{M}_m = I(A_{m,t})$  has finite codimension. This can be done as in Theorem 3.3.  $\square$

#### 4. Final remark

Let  $T$  be a bounded linear operator on a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . An invariant subspace  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $T$  is called *stable* if whenever  $\{T_n\}$  is a sequence of bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\|T_n - T\| \rightarrow 0$ , there is a sequence of invariant subspaces  $\mathcal{M}_n$  of  $T_n$  such that  $P_n \rightarrow P$  in the strong operator topology (SOT), where  $P_n$  and  $P$  are orthogonal projections onto  $\mathcal{M}_n$  and  $\mathcal{M}$ , respectively. If the projections converge in the norm, then  $\mathcal{M}$  is called a *norm-stable* invariant subspace. Following the notation in [5], let  $\text{Lat}_s(T, \mathcal{H})$  and  $\text{Lat}_{ns}(T, \mathcal{H})$  denote the collection of stable and norm-stable invariant subspaces of  $T$ , respectively. Conway and Hadwin asked the following question: Is  $\text{Lat}_s(T, \mathcal{H})$  the closure in the strong operator topology of  $\text{Lat}_{ns}(T, \mathcal{H})$  for any operator  $T$ ? They showed that this question has affirmative answer when  $T$  is normal operator or the unweighted unilateral shift of finite multiplicity. A general result of Borichev et al. [2] implies that if  $T$  is any weighted unilateral shift operator, then an invariant subspace is norm-stable if and only if its co-dimension is finite. Let  $\text{Lat}_{fc}(S, \mathcal{D}(\mu))$  denotes the finite co-dimensional invariant subspaces. Then our main result Theorem 1.1 implies that the strong closure of  $\text{Lat}_{fc}(S, \mathcal{D}(\mu))$  is  $\text{Lat}(S, \mathcal{D}(\mu))$ . On the other hand,  $\text{Lat}_{fc}(S, \mathcal{D}(\mu)) \subset \text{Lat}_{ns}(S, \mathcal{D}(\mu)) \subset \text{Lat}_s(S, \mathcal{D}(\mu))$ ; for the first inclusion see [2] and the second one is trivial. Then we have a positive answer to the above mentioned question of Conway and Hadwin.

**Acknowledgment.** I would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her valuable remarks and suggestions.

#### References

- [1] A. Beurling, *On two problems concerning linear transformations in Hilbert space*, Acta Math. **81**(17), 1948.
- [2] A. Borichev, D. Hadwin, and H. Yousefi, *Stable and norm-stable invariant subspaces*, J. Operator Theory **69**(1), 3–16, 2013.
- [3] L. Brown and A. L. Shields, *Cyclic vectors in the Dirichlet space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **285**(1), 269–303, 1984.
- [4] J. B. Conway, *A Course in Functional Analysis*, Grad. Texts in Math. **96**, Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [5] J. B. Conway and D. Hadwin, *Stable invariant subspaces for operators on Hilbert space*, Ann. Polon. Math. **66**, 49–61, 1997. Volume dedicated to the memory of Włodzimierz Mlak.
- [6] O. El-Fallah, Y. Elmadani, and K. Kellay, *Cyclicity and invariant subspaces in Dirichlet spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **270**(9), 3262–3279, 2016.
- [7] O. El-Fallah, K. Kellay, J. Mashreghi, and T. Ransford, *A Primer on the Dirichlet Space*, Volume **203** of Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [8] O. El-Fallah, K. Kellay, and T. Ransford, *Cyclicity in the Dirichlet space*, Ark. Mat. **44**(1), 61–86, 2006.
- [9] O. El-Fallah, K. Kellay, and T. Ransford, *On the Brown-Shields conjecture for cyclicity in the Dirichlet space*, Adv. Math. **222**(6), 2196–2214, 2009.
- [10] Y. Elmadani and I. Labghail, *Cyclicity in Dirichlet spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull. **62**(2), 247–257, 2019.
- [11] M. Fukushima, Y. Oshima, and M. Takeda, *Dirichlet Forms and Symmetric Markov Processes* **19**, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics 1991.
- [12] D. Guillot, *Fine boundary behavior and invariant subspaces of harmonically weighted Dirichlet spaces*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **6**(6), 1211–1230, 2012.

- [13] P. R. Halmos, *A Hilbert Space Problem Book* Volume **19** of Grad. Texts in Math. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, second edition, 1982. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 17.
- [14] S. Luo and S. Richter, *Hankel operators and invariant subspaces of the Dirichlet space*, J. Lond. Math. Soc.(2) **91**(2), 423–438, 2015.
- [15] N. K. Nikolski, *Operators, Functions, and Systems: An Easy Reading Vol. 1* volume **92** of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. Hardy, Hankel, and Toeplitz, Translated from the French by Andreas Hartmann.
- [16] N. K. Nikolski, *Two problems on spectral synthesis*, Journal of Soviet Mathematics **26**(5), 2185–2186, 1984.
- [17] S. Richter, *Invariant subspaces of the Dirichlet shift*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **386**, 205–220, 1988.
- [18] S. Richter, *A representation theorem for cyclic analytic two-isometries*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **328**(1), 325–349, 1991.
- [19] S. Richter and C. Sundberg, *A formula for the local Dirichlet integral*, Michigan Math. J. **38**(3), 355–379, 1991.
- [20] S. Richter and C. Sundberg, *Multipliers and invariant subspaces in the Dirichlet space*, J. Operator Theory **28**(1), 167–186, 1992.
- [21] S. Richter and C. Sundberg, *Invariant subspaces of the Dirichlet shift and pseudocontinuations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **341**(2), 863–879, 1994.
- [22] S. Richter and F. Yilmaz, *Regularity for generators of invariant subspaces of the Dirichlet shift*, J. Funct. Anal. **277**(7), 2117–2132, 2019.
- [23] W. Rudin, *Function Theory in Polydiscs*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1969.
- [24] S. M. Shimorin, *Approximate spectral synthesis in the Bergman space*, Duke Math. J. **101**(1), 1–39, 2000.
- [25] F. Yilmaz, *Approximation of invariant subspaces in some Dirichlet-type spaces*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **12**(8), 1959–1972, 2018.