

# Journal of Aquaculture Engineering and Fisheries Research

E-ISSN 2149-0236

ORIGINAL ARTICLE/ORIJİNAL ÇALIŞMA

FULL PAPER

TAM MAKALE

## THE USE OF GARLIC (*Allium sativum*) MEAL AS A NATURAL FEED SUPPLEMENT IN DIETS FOR EUROPEAN SEABASS (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) JUVENILES

**Latife C. İRKİN, Murat YİĞİT**<sup>1</sup> Canakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Faculty of Marine Science and Technology, Department of Aquaculture, Canakkale, Turkey<sup>2</sup> Canakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Faculty of Marine Science and Technology, Departments of Aquaculture and Marine Technology Engineering, Canakkale, Turkey**Received:** 17.09.2015**Accepted:** 16.11.2015**Published online:** 05.04.2016**Corresponding author:****Murat YİĞİT**, Canakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Faculty of Marine Science and Technology, Departments of Aquaculture and Marine Technology Engineering, 17100-Canakkale, Turkey**E-mail:** [muratvigit@comu.edu.tr](mailto:muratvigit@comu.edu.tr)**Abstract:**

The incorporation of garlic meal (GM) in diets for European seabass juveniles were evaluated with a diet containing 43% protein and 17% lipid (gross energy 19kJ/g diet). Experimental diets with GM incorporation of 0, 2, 4, and 6% were fed to fish (10.60 ±0.16 g) until satiation for 60-days. Significant differences ( $p<0.05$ ) were recorded for growth performance, with the highest rate in the 4% GM group, followed by the control group. Improved feed conversion (FCR) and protein efficiency rates (PER) were observed in the GM4 group compared to the other treatments. Nitrogen retention as a percent of intake was highest in the in GM4 group. Significantly higher values ( $p<0.05$ ) were found for body protein and lipid, and lower values ( $p<0.05$ ) for the hepatosomatic, viscerasomatic or mesenteric fat indexes in the GM4 group compared to the other treatments. Fish fed garlic supplemented diets showed lower saturated fatty acids (SFA) and monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA), but higher polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) compared to the control group with no garlic treatment. Results indicate that dietary GM inclusion of 4% can improve fish growth and nutrient utilization with an increase of fish muscle quality by elevated PUFA concentrations, and a reduction of total nitrogen excretion.

**Keywords:** Feed additives, Garlic meal, Growth performance, Nutrient utilization, Fatty acid profile

## Introduction

The success in intensive aquaculture is basically dependent on quality of feed and feeding strategies which are the most important factors influencing growth performance, feed utilization and body chemical composition of fish (Okumus and Mazlum, 2002). In intensive aquaculture, the aim is to gain maximum yields from water resources with providing artificial diets that thrives fish growth and gain maximum weight in the shortest time frame as possible (Bhosale et al., 2010). Recently, some valuable components stimulating the defense system and stress response of fish, the so-called immunostimulants, have been isolated from plants, animals or microorganisms (Sakai, 1999). The incorporation of immunostimulants in fish diets has been suggested as an effective method for the improvement of the activity of non-specific defense mechanisms increasing disease resistance (Dalmo and Seljelid, 1995) and for the control of biomass in aquaculture (Yokoyama et al., 2005). Probably known as one of the earliest medicinal plants (Farahi et al., 2010), the use of garlic in aquaculture became popular for providing protection against diseases or inducing fish feeds as a growth promoter. Garlic was used as a growth promoter in tilapia (Diab et al., 2002; Shalaby et al., 2006; Mesalhy et al., 2008; Soltan and El-Laithy, 2008; Metwally, 2009; Abdel-Hakim et al., 2010), in Asian seabass (Talpur and Ikhwanuddin, 2012), in sterlet sturgeon (Lee et al., 2014), and in Seabass fry (Saleh et al., 2015). To our knowledge, so far, no information is available on the effects of dietary garlic on growth performance, feed utilization and body chemical composition in juvenile European seabass, which is one of the main aquaculture species in the Mediterranean, where the Turkish and Greek aquaculture industries share a total production of 211.055 tons with a grand value of 1.293.082 USD for seabass together with seabream (FAO, 2012). During the last 10 years, aquaculture based fish production has doubled from around 41 million tons to over 90 million during with a market value of over 51 million USD in year 2000 and 144 million USD in 2012 (FAO, 2012). The increase of fish production worldwide triggers the demand for high quality diets improving fish growth and welfare.

The objective of this study was to evaluate the effects of dietary garlic meal as a natural feed supplement on growth performance, nutrient utilization, body biochemical composition, and nitrogen balance and muscle fatty acid profile of European seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) juveniles.

## Materials and Methods

### Experimental fish, diets and culture conditions

European Seabass obtained from a commercial marine fish hatchery (İda Gıda, Canakkale-Turkey) were transferred to the Marine Aquaculture Research and Development facilities of the Faculty of Marine Science and Technology at Canakkale Onsekiz Mart University. The experimental facility was a closed recirculation aquaculture system (RAS), run with mechanical and biological filtration, continuous air supply and water heaters. Water flow rate was 2.0 l/min (complete water turnover was about 2.4 times per hour). During the entire experimental period, temperature was  $23.3 \pm 0.98^{\circ}\text{C}$ , dissolved oxygen  $7.00 \pm 1.0 \text{ mgL}^{-1}$ , salinity 24 ‰, pH  $7.50 \pm 0.5$ , and Ammonia-N ( $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ ) was  $0.28 \pm 0.07 \text{ mgL}^{-1}$ .

After transportation, the experimental fish were initially placed in indoor tanks ( $1.0 \text{ m}^3$ ) with a continuous seawater flow through system for an acclimatization period of 2 weeks. Thereafter, a total of 108 juveniles (mean initial weight of  $10.60 \pm 0.16 \text{ g}$ ) out of the main stock were randomly distributed in to 12 identical shaped glass aquariums (9 fish per aquarium) with a water volume of 50 L, according to a triplicate design. Four different feed formulations were prepared and experimental diets were produced with garlic meal inclusion levels of 0, 2, 4 and 6%. The experimental group fed diets without garlic meal (0%) served as control. Chemical composition of the experiment diets is given in Table 1. Biochemical composition of the ingredients used in feed formulation and those for European seabass are shown in Table 2. Fish were fed until satiation twice a day (08:30 and 16:30), 6 days a week for a total period of 60 days. Satiation level was considered when fish no longer attacked food particles and refused feeding. During the trial a natural photoperiod regime was followed (N  $40^{\circ}04'29.98''$ , E  $26^{\circ}21'35.60''$  - Canakkale, Turkey), and no additional light was applied.

**Table 1.** Feed ingredients and composition of the experimental diets

| Ingredient (g/100g)  | Experimental Diets (%) |       |       |       |                             |
|--|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------------------|
|  | Control                | GM2   | GM4   | GM6   |                             |
| Fish meal (FM)   | 57.50                  | 57.30 | 57.10 | 56.90 |                             |
| Soybean meal (SBM)   | 20.00                  | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 |                             |
| Garlic meal (GM)   | 0.00                   | 2.00  | 4.00  | 6.00  |                             |
| Fish oil (FO)  | 10.60                  | 10.61 | 10.61 | 10.62 |                             |
| b-Corn starch  | 8.90                   | 7.09  | 5.29  | 3.48  |                             |
| Vit-Min Premix   | 3.00                   | 3.00  | 3.00  | 3.00  |                             |
| <u>Analyzed biochemical composition (g/100g air dry basis)</u>                 |                        |       |       |       |                             |
| Moisture (%)   | 12.00                  | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |                             |
| Crude Protein (%)  | 43.30                  | 42.80 | 43.20 | 43.10 |                             |
| Crude Lipid (%)  | 16.69                  | 17.56 | 17.09 | 16.64 |                             |
| Crude Ash (%)  | 11.86                  | 11.14 | 11.44 | 11.25 |                             |
| <u>Estimated nutrients</u>   |                        |       |       |       |                             |
| NFE (%)  | 13.15                  | 13.50 | 11.27 | 14.01 |                             |
| GE (kJ/g diet)   | 19.05                  | 19.33 | 18.86 | 19.13 |                             |
| P/E (mg/kJ)  | 22.72                  | 22.14 | 22.91 | 22.53 |                             |
| PE/TE  | 0.54                   | 0.52  | 0.54  | 0.53  |                             |
| <u>Amino acid composition of experimental diets (% dry matter)<sup>a</sup></u> |                        |       |       |       | <u>Seabass requirements</u> |
| Arginine   | 3.05                   | 3.05  | 3.05  | 3.05  | 1.80                        |
| Lysine   | 3.78                   | 3.77  | 3.77  | 3.77  | 1.88                        |
| Histidine  | 1.26                   | 1.26  | 1.27  | 1.27  | 0.63                        |
| Isoleucine   | 2.53                   | 2.53  | 2.52  | 2.52  | 1.02                        |
| Leucine  | 3.93                   | 3.93  | 3.94  | 3.94  | 1.68                        |
| Valine   | 2.70                   | 2.70  | 2.70  | 2.70  | 1.13                        |
| Met+Cys  | 1.89                   | 1.89  | 1.89  | 1.88  | 0.90                        |
| Phe+Tyr  | 3.98                   | 3.98  | 3.98  | 3.98  | 1.02                        |
| Threonine  | 2.11                   | 2.11  | 2.11  | 2.11  | 1.05                        |
| Tryptophan   | NA                     | NA    | NA    | NA    | 0.23                        |
| <u>n-3 HUFA in experimental diet (%)</u>                                       |                        |       |       |       |                             |
| Lipid in FM (%)  | 8.50                   | 8.50  | 8.50  | 8.50  |                             |
| Lipid from FM (%)  | 4.89                   | 4.87  | 4.85  | 4.84  |                             |
| Total FO in diet (%)   | 15.49                  | 15.48 | 15.46 | 15.46 |                             |
| n-3 HUFA in FO (%) <sup>b</sup>  | 29.76                  | 29.76 | 29.76 | 29.76 |                             |
| Total n-3 HUFA in diet (%)   | 4.61                   | 4.61  | 4.60  | 4.60  |                             |
| n-3 HUFA requirement (%)   |                        |       |       |       | 0.7 <sup>c</sup>            |

<sup>a</sup> Calculated according to values given in Table 2.

<sup>b</sup> Güner et al. (1998).

<sup>c</sup> Skalli and Robin (2004).

NFE (Nitrogen free extract) = 100 – (crude protein + crude lipid + crude ash)

GE= Gross energy, calculated according to energy fuels of 23.6 kJ/g protein, 39.5 kJ/g lipid and 17 kJ/g NFE.

P/E= mg Protein / kJ enerji ratio

PE/TE= Energy from protein to total energy ratio

**Table 2.** Biochemical composition of ingredients and European seabass used in the experiment

|                                  | European Seabass <sup>a</sup> | Fish Meal <sup>b</sup> | Soybean Meal <sup>b</sup> | Garlic Meal <sup>c</sup> |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| <u>Analytical value (%)</u>      |                               |                        |                           |                          |
| Moisture                         | 8.0                           | 11.0                   | 10.0                      |                          |
| Crude Protein                    | 66.0                          | 46.3                   | 6.5                       |                          |
| Crude Lipid                      | 8.5                           | 3.1                    | 0.5                       |                          |
| Crude Ash                        | 15.8                          | 7.4                    | 1.5                       |                          |
| <u>Essencial amino acid (%)*</u> |                               |                        |                           |                          |
| Arginine                         | 4.60                          | 4.11                   | 3.41                      | 4.59                     |
| Lysine                           | 4.80                          | 5.49                   | 3.10                      | 4.48                     |
| Histidin                         | 1.60                          | 1.76                   | 1.26                      | 2.07                     |
| Isoleucine                       | 2.60                          | 3.38                   | 2.92                      | 2.26                     |
| Leucine                          | 4.30                          | 5.43                   | 4.02                      | 8.13                     |
| Valine                           | 2.90                          | 3.81                   | 2.53                      | 3.66                     |
| Methionine                       | N/A                           | 2.16                   | 0.72                      | 0.78                     |
| Cystein                          | N/A                           | 0.66                   | 0.63                      | 0.79                     |
| Met+Cys                          | 2.30                          | 2.82                   | 1.35                      | 1.57                     |
| Phenylalanine                    | N/A                           | 3.03                   | 2.45                      | 3.89                     |
| Tyrosine                         | N/A                           | 2.44                   | 1.72                      | 2.42                     |
| Phe+Tyr                          | 2.60                          | 5.47                   | 4.17                      | 6.31                     |
| Threonine                        | 2.70                          | 3.00                   | 1.92                      | 3.52                     |
| Tryptophan                       | 0.60                          | 0.82                   | 0.68                      | N/A                      |

<sup>a</sup> Kaushik (1998)<sup>b</sup> Halver (1991)<sup>c</sup> Aremu et al. (2011)

N/A = not available

### Fish sampling and analytical methods

At the start of the experiment, fish samples (10 fish from an initial pool) were removed and anaesthetized at a high dose level and stored at -25 °C for subsequent analysis of fish body composition. At the end of the trial, 5 fish per tank (15 per diet) were removed following the same procedure as conducted for the initial samples and stored at -25 °C for subsequent analysis of final fish body composition. The proximate composition of the experimental diets and freeze-dried fish whole body proximate composition was determined following AOAC (2000) guidelines as follows: Moisture by weight loss after 24 h in an oven at 105 °C; crude ash by incineration in a muffle furnace at 550 °C for 24 h; crude protein (% Nx6.25) by the Kjeldahl method after acid digestion; lipids by ethyl ether extraction in a Soxhlet System. All laboratory analyses were performed in triplicate. Fatty acid was conducted using the Folch et al. (1957) method. After ethyl ether extraction of lipids in a Soxhlet System, fatty acids have been determined as ethyl esters, by Shimadzu capillary gas chromatograph equipped

with flame ionization detector (GC/FID) and cyanopropyl-aryl HP-88 capillary column. For the esterization, the procedures of IUPAC (1987) were followed.

### Statistical analyses

The results from the present study were analyzed by two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS for Windows, Version 10.0 for significant differences among treatments means. Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955) was used to compare differences among individual means. Probability values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

### Results and Discussion

In the present study European seabass with initial mean weight of 10.60 ± 0.157 g were fed diets containing different levels of garlic meal for a period of 60 days. At the end of the trial, growth performance of fish showed significant (p<0.05) differences among dietary treatments. Best growth performance was obtained in fish fed diet with 4% garlic meal inclusion (GP4) with a final

mean weight of  $25.15 \pm 0.07$  g, which was followed by the control diet with no garlic meal inclusion, showing a final weight of  $24.27 \pm 0.58$  g. Relative growth rates (RGR) recorded during the study period showed a similar trend with the highest rate in the GM4 group fed 4% garlic meal diet, followed by the control group fed a diet without garlic meal inclusion, the GM2 and GM6, respectively. Specific growth rate (SGR, %/day), which is the logarithmic expression of fish growth were similar to the RGR values. The highest SGR was recorded in the GM4 group with 4% garlic meal inclusion ( $1.43 \pm 0.03$ , %/day), followed by the control group ( $1.38 \pm 0.07$ , %/day), GM2 ( $1.27 \pm 0.08$ , %/day) and the GM6 ( $1.19 \pm 0.03$ , %/day) groups, respectively (Table 3). This growth promotion effect of diets supplemented with garlic meal can be attributed to the improved feed efficiency, which is in agreement with the results in Nile tilapia (Diab et al., 2002; Shalaby et al., 2006; Mesalhy et al., 2008; Soltan and El-Laithy, 2008; Metwally, 2009; Abdel-Hakim et al., 2010), in Asian seabass (Talpur and Ikhwanuddin, 2012), in sterlet sturgeon (Lee et al., 2014), and in Seabass fry (Saleh et al., 2015), where the incorporation of different levels of garlic increased final weights and specific growth rates of fish. Soltan and El-Laithy (2008) reported that the incorporation of 1% garlic into diets improved survival rate of Nile tilapia. Similarly, Abdel-Hakim et al. (2010) found better achievements of dietary garlic on growth performance and feed utilization with low levels of garlic inclusion at 0.5 % level in tilapia. Better growth effects were found with higher incorporation levels of garlic meal in diets for Nile tilapia by Shalaby et al. (2006), who tested garlic incorporation levels from 10 g/kg to 40 g/kg diet, and recommended the incorporation of 3% dietary garlic for an increased growth, reduction of total bacteria, and improvement of fish health and welfare. Similarly, Lee et al. (2014) suggested that dietary garlic powder incorporation of about 3% could positively affect growth performance and protein retention in fingerling sterlet sturgeon. Farahi et al. (2010) used different levels (1%, 2% and 3%) of galic meal in rainbow trout diets and reported that the body protein was higher in the 3% galic group compared to the other experimental groups and that growth performance and fish health improved with the addition of galic meal in trout diets. Metwally (2009), used diets

containing garlic in three different forms; natural garlic (40g/kg diet, 4%), garlic oil capsules (Strongus®, pure garlic oil capsules; 250 mg/kg diet) and garlic powder tablets (32 g/kg diet, 3.2%), and reported that the dietary addition of garlic in any form can promote growth rate, decrease mortality and increase the antioxidant activity in fish. Mabrouk (2011) tested dietary garlic and onion inclusion levels of 4 % and 6 %, respectively and a 10 % mixture of garlic and onion (4% garlic - 6% onion) in diets for Nile tilapia, and reported that the addition of 10% mixture of garlic and onion significantly increased growth performance and feed utilization rather than solitary addition. To our knowledge so far, the only one study dealt with dietary garlic inclusion in European seabass feeds is the one reported by Saleh et al. (2015), who tested garlic incorporation levels of 10, 20, and 30 g/kg diet, and recommended 3% dietary garlic for the best growth, improved fish health and welfare. However, the highest garlic incorporation level tested by Saleh et al. (2015) was 3%, so based on their report it is not possible to comment on higher levels of garlic additon in the diet for seabass. A dietary garlic incorportaiton of 4% gave better results in terms of growth performance and feed utilization in the present study. Furthermore, Saleh et al. (2015) investigated the dietary garlic incorporation for seabass fry with an initial weight of 0.4 g, while in the present study larger size of seabass with an initial weight of 10 g were used.

In general, our results are in agreement or comparable with previous findings in terms of better growth performace in fish fed diets with 4% garlic incorporation, and the results from the present study and those of earlier ones revealed that garlic incorporation in fish diets improved growth performance, feed utilization, fish health and welfare. The discrepencies between the results of the present study and some of the previous ones regarding the effects of dietary garlic on growth performance of fish, feed utilization or body composition can be attributed to the differences in fish species or fish size, environmental conditions such as water temperature and salinity, type or level of the additives accomponying the main ingredients in diet formulation, or type of the garlic source used in the feeds, fish physiology or a combination of these factors together.

**Table 3.** Weight gain and feed utilization of juvenile European seabass fed diets with different garlic meal inclusion levels for a period of 60 days.

|                    | Experimental diets         |                            |                           |                           |
|--------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|                    | Control                    | GM2                        | GM4                       | GM6                       |
| Initial weight (g) | 10.61±0.225 <sup>a</sup>   | 10.56±0.191 <sup>a</sup>   | 10.64±0.148 <sup>a</sup>  | 10.58±0.147 <sup>a</sup>  |
| Final weight (g)   | 24.27±0.577 <sup>c</sup>   | 22.67±0.674 <sup>b</sup>   | 25.15±0.067 <sup>c</sup>  | 21.57±0.524 <sup>a</sup>  |
| RGR (%)            | 128.9±10.07 <sup>bc</sup>  | 114.8±10.24 <sup>ab</sup>  | 136.4±3.56 <sup>c</sup>   | 103.9±3.93 <sup>a</sup>   |
| SGR (%/day)        | 1.38 ± 0.074 <sup>bc</sup> | 1.27 ± 0.079 <sup>ab</sup> | 1.43 ± 0.025 <sup>c</sup> | 1.19 ± 0.032 <sup>a</sup> |
| FI (%/day)         | 1.77 ± 0.101 <sup>b</sup>  | 1.67 ± 0.008 <sup>b</sup>  | 1.70 ± 0.083 <sup>b</sup> | 1.54 ± 0.029 <sup>a</sup> |
| FCR                | 1.36 ± 0.074 <sup>a</sup>  | 1.38 ± 0.082 <sup>a</sup>  | 1.26 ± 0.042 <sup>a</sup> | 1.35 ± 0.052 <sup>a</sup> |
| PER                | 1.75 ± 0.098 <sup>a</sup>  | 1.70 ± 0.103 <sup>a</sup>  | 1.82 ± 0.061 <sup>a</sup> | 1.72 ± 0.067 <sup>a</sup> |
| DFI (g/fish)       | 0.271±0.016 <sup>c</sup>   | 0.244±0.003 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.268±0.012 <sup>c</sup>  | 0.218±0.007 <sup>a</sup>  |
| DPI (g/fish)       | 0.130±0.008 <sup>c</sup>   | 0.119±0.001 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.133±0.006 <sup>c</sup>  | 0.107±0.003 <sup>a</sup>  |
| DEI (kJ/fish)      | 1.28 ± 0.08 <sup>bc</sup>  | 1.18 ± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>   | 1.32 ± 0.06 <sup>c</sup>  | 1.03 ± 0.03 <sup>a</sup>  |

Values (means±standart deviation, triplicate groups) with different superscripts are statistically different at 0.05 level. (One-way ANOVA and Duncan's multiple range test, P<0.05). (W1 = initial weight, W2 = final weight, t2-t1 = feeding days)

GM (Garlic meal)

RGR (relative growth rate, %) =  $(W2 - W1 / W1) \times 100$

SGR (specific growth rate, % growth/day) =  $((\ln W2 - \ln W1) / (t2-t1)) \times 100$

FI (feed intake, percent of biomass per day, %/day) =  $(\text{total feed offered} / ((W1 + W2) / 2) / \text{gün}) \times 100$

FCR (feed conversion rate) =  $\text{feed intake (g)} / \text{weight gain (g)}$

PER (protein efficiency rate) =  $(\text{weight gain (g)} / \text{protein intake (g)})$

DFI (daily feed intake, g/fish) =  $(\text{feed intake (g)} / \text{number of fish}) / \text{day}$

DPI (daily protein intake, g/fish) =  $(\text{feed intake} \times \text{crude protein in diet} / 100) / \text{day}$

DEI (daily energy intake, kJ/fish) =  $(\text{feed intake} \times \text{energy in diet} / 100) / \text{day}$

Interestingly, Mesalhy et al. (2008) found that the period of feeding has also affected the results. In their study, they reported that Nile tilapia fed with 10 or 20 g/kg garlic incorporated diets for two months or 20 g/kg diet for one months showed significant increase in the final body weights compared to the control diet group. El-Nawawy (1991) reported that the growth promoting effect of garlic is due to the increase of glucose inflow into the tissues. It is also reported that the sulfur compounds in garlic, the active antimicrobial agents can improve the immune system, stimulating growth of the animal (El-Afify, 1997). Dietary additives which have immunostimulant effects can increase serum lysozyme activity, either because of the increase of phagocytes secreting lysozyme, or due to the increase of the amount of lysozyme synthesized per cell (Engstad et al., 1992). The lysozyme activity is mainly affected by the type of immunostimulants incorporated in the diets, and the increase of lysozyme induced by the addition of immunostimulants in diets has been reported in several fish species (Lapatra et al., 1998; Paulsen et al., 2003). Higher lysozyme has been reported in fish fed garlic supplemented diets compared to those fed diets without garlic addition (Sahu et

al., 2007; Ndong and Fall, 2011). The improvements in growth performance induced by garlic inclusion to the diet may due to its antimicrobial, antioxidant, and antihypertensive characteristics (Konjufca et al., 1997; Sivam, 2001; Ibrahim et al., 2004). Block (1992) and Amagase and Milner (1993) suggested that these functions can be attributed to the bioactive components of garlic such as allin, allicin and diallylsulphides containing organosulphur compounds, particularly to thiosulfinates. Allicin in garlic promotes the performance of the intestinal flora according to Khalil et al. (2001), who indicated that the digestion is improved and the utilization of energy is enhancing, which can explain the improved growth of fish fed garlic supplemented diets.

Essential amino acid profile calculations of diet ingredients used in the present study indicate that the amino acid composition of garlic meal show quite similarities to those of the fish meal. It is well known that the incorporation of alternative feed ingredients or additives may influence the amino acid imbalance of the diet, hence linking to a reduced growth performance or decreased feed consumption. The dietary incorporation of plant sources in fish feed is mostly limited upto a

certain percent especially in carnivorous fish species, due to the lack of some essential amino acids in their composition. However, amino acid composition of garlic meal used in the present study was very similar to that of the fish meal source. Some of the essential amino acids in garlic meal were even higher than the fish meal amino acid levels, with the exception of methionine compared to fish meal. This provides important indications that garlic meal can be used in combination with other plant protein sources such as soybean meal which is considered as a strong and promising alternative protein source for fish diets, but lacking in methionine or lysine, which are the most limiting amino acids for soybean protein sources (Ergün et al., 2008a,b; Yigit et al., 2010). Results from an earlier study (Mabrouk, 2011) support this hypothesis with the work on Nile tilapia, where 50% of fish meal was replaced with soybean meal and the diet was incorporated with garlic and onion meal at different levels. A diet combination of 50% fish meal and 50% soybean meal was enriched with a 10% mixture of garlic and onion, and as a result Mabrouk (2011) reported an improved growth performance and feed utilization in Nile tilapia.

Nitrogen retention as a percent of nitrogen intake was highest, while the nitrogen excretion as a percent of intake was lowest in the GM4 group compared to the other treatments (Table 4).

It is well known that the incorporation of plant feedstuffs in fish diet at an excess level may increase nitrogen excretion, lowering the retention rate of nitrogen (Burel et al., 2000; Fournier et al., 2004; Ergün et al., 2008a,b; Yigit et al., 2010). In the present study, even though no significance was found, the nitrogen retention as a percent of intake in the 4% garlic meal diet was higher than the control group with no garlic meal addition. When the garlic meal inclusion level increased to 6%, however, the nitrogen excretion as a percent of intake significantly decreased to a level below the control group, showing that the supplement of garlic should not exceed the 4% level. In contrast to nitrogen retention rates, the nitrogen excretion as a percent of nitrogen intake

showed a slight decline with the increase of dietary garlic meal and was recorded lowest in the 4% garlic diet, whereas again over this level the excretion rate increased to a level over the control group. Based on these tendencies of nitrogen excretion or retention rates, it might be interesting to see the long-term effect of dietary garlic meal on nitrogenous end-products.

Initial and final body moisture of experimental fish was found around 80% and did not significantly differ ( $p > 0.05$ ) among the experimental groups. Final fish body protein increased to over 46% in all treatment groups over the initial body protein of 42% at the end of the 60 days feeding trial. Highest protein content was found as  $49.3 \pm 0.67\%$  in the fish fed diets with 4% garlic meal inclusion (GM4), which was followed by the GM2 ( $48.9 \pm 0.46\%$ ) and the control diet ( $47.7 \pm 0.40\%$ ), respectively. The lowest body protein was found in fish fed the 6% garlic meal inclusion diet (GM6) with a value of  $46.9 \pm 0.75\%$ . Different than the fish body protein contents, the body lipids did not differ significantly ( $p > 0.05$ ) among diet treatments. However, compared to the initial values, body protein tended to decline, but not significantly except the GP4 group with 4% garlic meal inclusion. Ash content in fish body showed a decline over a 60 days feeding period compared to the initial value, and these differences were recorded as significant ( $p < 0.05$ ). Nitrogen free extracts were lowest in fish fed diets with 4% garlic meal inclusion while the highest gross energy level was recorded again in the 4% garlic meal diet group and the control group. The gross energy level in the 4% garlic meal diet and the control diet group were significantly higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) than the initial values (Table 5).

The finding concerning the significant increase recorded in the body protein content of fish fed garlic meal diets at all inclusion levels, could be possibly explained by the increase in muscle free amino-acid contents that can lead to the enhanced protein synthesis. Similarly, increase in fish body protein levels were reported in rainbow trout (Gabor et al., 2010) and in seabass fry (Saleh et al., 2015), when fed on diets supplemented with 3% garlic.

**Table 4.** Nitrogen budget of juvenile European seabass fed diets with different levels of garlic until satiation for a period of 60 days

|  | Experimental diets       |                          |                         |                         |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
|  | Control                  | GM2                      | GM4                     | GM6                     |
| <u>N budget (mg g<sup>-1</sup> production)</u> |                          |                          |                         |                         |
| N intake (NI)                                  | 91.7 ± 5.0 <sup>a</sup>  | 94.4 ± 5.7 <sup>a</sup>  | 88.1 ± 2.9 <sup>a</sup> | 93.3 ± 3.6 <sup>a</sup> |
| Total N retention                              | 16.7 ± 1.5 <sup>a</sup>  | 17.7 ± 1.6 <sup>a</sup>  | 17.4 ± 0.2 <sup>a</sup> | 16.1 ± 1.4 <sup>a</sup> |
| Total N retention (% NI)                       | 18.2 ± 1.3 <sup>ab</sup> | 18.7 ± 0.6 <sup>ab</sup> | 19.7 ± 0.4 <sup>b</sup> | 17.2 ± 1.3 <sup>a</sup> |
| Total N excretion                              | 75.0 ± 4.3 <sup>a</sup>  | 76.8 ± 4.1 <sup>a</sup>  | 70.8 ± 2.7 <sup>a</sup> | 77.3 ± 3.0 <sup>a</sup> |
| Total N excretion (% NI)                       | 81.8 ± 1.3 <sup>ab</sup> | 81.3 ± 0.6 <sup>ab</sup> | 80.3 ± 0.4 <sup>a</sup> | 82.8 ± 1.3 <sup>b</sup> |

Values with different superscripts (means±standart deviation, triplicate groups) are statistically different at 0.05 level (One-way ANOVA and Duncan's multiple range test,  $p < 0.05$ ).

GM (Garlic meal)

N intake (mg/g production) = (DPI x day / 6.25) / (W2 - W1)

N retention (mg/g production) = (total g protein remained in fish body / 6.25) / (W2 - W1)

N excretion (mg/g production) = (N intake (g) - N retention in fish body (g)) / (W2 - W1)

**Table 5.** Body composition of juvenile European seabass fed diets with different levels of garlic until satiation for a period of 60 days.

|                   | Initial                 | Experimental diets      |                         |                        |                         |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
|                   |                         | Control                 | GM2                     | GM4                    | GM6                     |
| Moisture (%)      | 80.0±0.26 <sup>a</sup>  | 79.9±0.74 <sup>a</sup>  | 79.9±1.15 <sup>a</sup>  | 80.1±1.01 <sup>a</sup> | 80.3±1.45 <sup>a</sup>  |
| Crude Protein (%) | 42.4±1.05 <sup>a</sup>  | 47.7±0.40 <sup>bc</sup> | 48.9±0.46 <sup>cd</sup> | 49.3±0.67 <sup>d</sup> | 46.9±0.75 <sup>b</sup>  |
| Crude Lipid (%)   | 25.1±2.15 <sup>a</sup>  | 24.7±1.75 <sup>a</sup>  | 21.7±1.64 <sup>a</sup>  | 25.3±2.50 <sup>a</sup> | 23.1±3.73 <sup>a</sup>  |
| Crude Ash (%)     | 20.0±0.12 <sup>d</sup>  | 14.5±0.02 <sup>b</sup>  | 13.5±0.58 <sup>a</sup>  | 15.9±0.28 <sup>c</sup> | 15.5±1.06 <sup>bc</sup> |
| NFE (%)           | 12.5±2.53 <sup>ab</sup> | 13.0±2.12 <sup>ab</sup> | 15.9±0.94 <sup>b</sup>  | 9.51±3.19 <sup>a</sup> | 14.5±3.89 <sup>ab</sup> |
| GE (kJ)           | 22.1±0.48 <sup>a</sup>  | 23.3±0.41 <sup>b</sup>  | 22.9±0.41 <sup>ab</sup> | 23.3±0.57 <sup>b</sup> | 22.7±0.78 <sup>ab</sup> |

Values (means±standart deviation, triplicate groups) with different superscripts are statistically different at 0.05 level (One-way ANOVA and Duncan's multiple range test,  $P < 0.05$ ).

NFE (Nitrogen free extract) = 100 - (crude protein + crude lipid + crude ash)

GE= Gross energy, calculated according to energy fuels of 23.6 kJ/g protein, 39.5 kJ/g lipid, 17.2 kJ/g NFE.

Eventhough no significant differences ( $p > 0.05$ ) were observed, higher levels of total saturated fatty acids (SFA) such as Palmitoleic acid (PA, 16:1), Oleic acid (OA, 18:1n-9), Miristoleic acid (MA, 14:1) and Nervonic acid (NA, 24:1) were found in the initial fish and the control group fed garlic incorporated diets. The only exception among the SFAs was observed for Gadoleic acid (GA, 20:1n-9), which showed the lowest level (4.758 ± 0.02) in the initial fish body, and presented an increase with dietary garlic meal inclusion levels with the highest value of 6.978 ± 0.23 in fish fed the 4% garlic meal diet ( $p < 0.05$ ). Similar to the SFAs, the total monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) in the initial fish body samples, Linoleic acid (LA, 18:2n-6) was highest (12.77 ± 0.26) in the initial fish body, while LA content

in fish tissues showed a decline with the increase of dietary garlic level and the lowest value (7.99 ± 3.38) was recorded for the GM4 group ( $p < 0.05$ ).  $\alpha$ -Linoleic acid ( $\alpha$ -LA, 18:3n-6) however was highest (0.152 ± 0.006) in the control and lowest (0.128 ± 0.004) in the GM6 group ( $p > 0.05$ ). Among the total MUFA, Arachidonic acid (AA, 20:4n-6) in fish body was highest in the initial fish samples, and showed a decline with the increase of dietary garlic meal in the experimental groups. Compared to the SFAs and MUFAs, the total polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA, n3/n6) showed a converse trend, with lower levels of PUFA in the initial fish samples or the control group and higher levels for fish fed with garlic incorporated diets. Among the PUFAs, Eicosatrienoic acid (EA, 20:3n-6) in the

final fish body samples from the GM4 treatment was significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher than the values found for the initial fish, and those for the GM2 or GM6 groups, but no significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ) was found between the 4% garlic meal and the control diet groups. Eicosapentanoic acid (EPA, 20:5 n-3) followed the same trends with EA values, with a significantly higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) value in the experimental group fed the 4% garlic diet. Docosaheksanoic acid (DHA, 22:6 n-3) in the fish muscle tissues were highest in the initial fish samples and the GM4 group, however there was no significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ) among the experimental treatments in general for the DHA. Fatty acid composition in the muscle tissues of seabass juveniles fed experimental diets with different levels of garlic meal is given in Table 6.

The n-3 HUFA such as EPA (20:5n-3), DHA (22:5n-6) or the n-6 HUFA such as Arachidonic acid (AA, 20:4n-6) are indispensable for fish health and welfare as well as a proper growth performance. PUFA such as DHA is indispensable for breeding performance and a high growth and survival rate of larvae (Fernandez-Palacios et al., 1997). Several beneficial effects of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA, n3/n6) have been reported in human health (Li et al., 2008; Buckley and Howe, 2009; Arab-Tehrany et al., 2012; Howe and Buckley, 2014; Yessoufou et al., 2015). In earlier reports, it has been observed that the incorporation of 3% fermented garlic powder in diets for laying hens increased the PUFA:SFA ratio in the egg yolk compared to laying hens fed the control diets or the diets with lower garlic incorporation (Ao et al., 2010). Similarly, Lee et al. (2012) reported that juvenile sterlet sturgeon fed diets with garlic showed lower SFA and MUFA, but higher PUFA compared to the initial fish or those fed the control diet without garlic treatment. The findings in the present study are in accordance with previous reports, indicating that dietary garlic meal may improve unsaturated fatty acid concentrations in fish body by accumulating EPA (20:5n-3) and DHA (22:5n-6) in the tissues. However, this observation found in the present study, in terms of decreasing SFAs and increasing PUFAs in European seabass with dietary garlic incorporation could not be compared with other studies, since there are no reports regarding the relation between dietary garlic and PUFA:SFA ratio in seabass, to our knowledge so far.

Hepatosomatic indexes (HSI) of the initial and the final fish samples were highest in the experimental groups fed with 2% (GM2) and 6% (GM6) garlic meal diets, while significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) lower rates of HSI were found in the control and the 4% (GM4) garlic meal treatments. Significantly lower ( $p < 0.05$ ) viscerosomatic indexes (VSI) were also found for fish fed the control and the 4% garlic meal inclusion diet (GM4) compared to the GM2 and GM6 treatments. Similar findings were also recorded for the lipid accumulations around the internal organs, the so called mesenteric fat index (MFI), with significantly lower ( $p > 0.05$ ) values for the control and the GM4 groups compared to the GM2 and GM6 treatment groups (Table 7).

In animal nutrition studies, the hepatosomatic index (HSI) is used as an indicator for the energy reserve status of the animal. Since the liver is a target for the metabolism in the fish body, the hepatosomatic index is an effective biomarker for the detection of hazardous effects derived from environmental factors (Pait and Nelson 2003). The HSI in the present study was significantly lower in fish fed diets with 4 % garlic compared to the other garlic inclusion levels. However, the lowest HSI recorded in the 4% garlic group was not significantly different than the control group without garlic meal addition. Our results are in partial agreement with the findings of Abdel-Hakim et al. (2010) who reported that there are slight differences in HSI in fish fed the garlic meal diet however the differences between the garlic treatment groups and the control group were insignificant. Shalaby et al. (2006) found that supplementing garlic meal in Nile tilapia diets at increasing levels from 1 to 4 %, did not affect the HSI in percent. In contrast, Metwally (2009) who used different forms of garlic in diets for Nile tilapia fingerlings (natural garlic 40 g/kg diet, garlic oil capsules 250 mg/kg diet, and garlic powder 32g/kg diet), reported that HSI in all experimental diets with different forms of garlic decreased significantly. Similarly, Lee et al. (2014) also presented significantly lower HSI in sturgeon fed diets containing garlic powder than that of fish group fed diets without garlic inclusion.

**Table 6.** Fatty acid composition (%) in muscle tissues of juvenile European seabass fed diets with different levels of garlic meal until satiation for a period of 60 days.

|   |              | Experimental diets         |                             |                             |                            |                           |
|---|--------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
|   |              | Initial                    | Control                     | GM2                         | GM4                        | GM6                       |
| $\Sigma$ SFA (Total saturated fatty acid)               |              |                            |                             |                             |                            |                           |
| 16:1  | PA           | 7.116 ± 0.66 <sup>a</sup>  | 6.994 ± 0.85 <sup>a</sup>   | 6.895 ± 0.15 <sup>a</sup>   | 6.674 ± 0.67 <sup>a</sup>  | 6.910 ± 0.02 <sup>a</sup> |
| 18:1 (n-9)  | OA           | 28.26 ± 0.44 <sup>a</sup>  | 30.65 ± 1.45 <sup>a</sup>   | 30.46 ± 0.06 <sup>a</sup>   | 30.39 ± 2.13 <sup>a</sup>  | 30.42 ± 0.11 <sup>a</sup> |
| 14:1  | MA           | 0.0388±0.003 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.0424±0.011 <sup>a</sup>   | 0.0369±0.000 <sup>a</sup>   | 0.0349±0.002 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.040±0.001 <sup>a</sup>  |
| 20:1 (n-9)  | GA           | 4.758 ± 0.02 <sup>a</sup>  | 5.206 ± 1.15 <sup>ab</sup>  | 6.421 ± 0.23 <sup>bc</sup>  | 6.978 ± 0.23 <sup>c</sup>  | 6.640 ± 0.06 <sup>c</sup> |
| 24:1  | NA           | 0.0388±0.001 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.0296±0.003 <sup>a</sup>   | 0.0366±0.001 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.0369±0.000 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.036±0.001 <sup>b</sup>  |
| $\Sigma$ MUFA (Total mono unsaturated fatty acid)       |              |                            |                             |                             |                            |                           |
| 18:2 (n-6)  | LA           | 12.77 ± 0.26 <sup>b</sup>  | 10.83 ± 1.03 <sup>ab</sup>  | 10.21 ± 0.13 <sup>ab</sup>  | 7.99 ± 3.38 <sup>a</sup>   | 10.34±0.20 <sup>ab</sup>  |
| 18:3 (n-6)  | $\alpha$ -LA | 0.149 ± 0.009 <sup>b</sup> | 0.152 ± 0.006 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.140 ± 0.001 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.144 ± 0.001 <sup>b</sup> | 0.128±0.004 <sup>a</sup>  |
| 20:4 (n-6)  | AA           | 0.619 ± 0.025 <sup>b</sup> | 0.425 ± 0.054 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.439 ± 0.011 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.442 ± 0.013 <sup>a</sup> | 0.423±0.021 <sup>a</sup>  |
| $\Sigma$ PUFA (Total polyunsaturated fatty acid, n3/n6) |              |                            |                             |                             |                            |                           |
| 20:3(n-6)   | EA           | 0.156 ± 0.004 <sup>a</sup> | 0.158 ± 0.002 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.155 ± 0.002 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.169 ± 0.009 <sup>b</sup> | 0.152±0.002 <sup>a</sup>  |
| 20:5 (n-3)  | EPA          | 5.127 ± 0.199 <sup>a</sup> | 5.687 ± 0.123 <sup>b</sup>  | 5.249 ± 0.031 <sup>a</sup>  | 5.728 ± 0.152 <sup>b</sup> | 4.967±0.099 <sup>a</sup>  |
| 22:6 (n-3)  | DHA          | 12.81 ± 0.51 <sup>a</sup>  | 11.99 ± 1.31 <sup>a</sup>   | 11.89 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>   | 12.37 ± 0.14 <sup>a</sup>  | 11.52 ± 0.02 <sup>a</sup> |

Values (means±standart deviation, triplicate groups) with different superscripts are statistically different at 0.05 level (One-way ANOVA and Duncan's multiple range test, P<0.05).

GM (Garlic meal), PA (Palmitoleic acid, 16:1), OA (Oleic acid, 18:1 n-9), MA (Miristoleic acid, 14:1), GA (Gadoleic acid, 20:1 n-9), NA (Nervonic acid, 24:1), LA (Linoleic acid, 18:2 n-6),  $\alpha$  LA ( $\alpha$ -Linoleic acid, 18:3 n-6), EA (Eicosatrienoic acid, 20:3 n-3+n-6), AA (Arachidonic acid, 20:4 n-6), EPA (Ecosapentanoic acid, 20:5 n-3), DHA (Docosaheksanoic acid, 22:6 n-3).

**Table 7.** Body morphological indices of juvenile European seabass fed diets with different levels of garlic meal until satiation for a period of 60 days.

|     | Experimental diets      |                         |                        |                         |
|-----|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
|     | Control                 | GM2                     | GM4                    | GM6                     |
| HSI | 0.99±0.29 <sup>ab</sup> | 1.46±0.41 <sup>c</sup>  | 0.93±0.25 <sup>a</sup> | 1.27±0.26 <sup>bc</sup> |
| VSI | 8.30±1.07 <sup>a</sup>  | 9.34±1.72 <sup>ab</sup> | 8.43±1.63 <sup>a</sup> | 10.2±1.26 <sup>b</sup>  |
| MFI | 1.64±0.72 <sup>a</sup>  | 4.16±1.30 <sup>b</sup>  | 1.93±0.82 <sup>a</sup> | 3.22±1.39 <sup>b</sup>  |

Values (means±standart deviation, triplicate groups) with different superscripts are statistically different at 0.05 level (One-way ANOVA and Duncan's multiple range test, P<0.05).

HSI= Hepatosomatic index, VSI= Viscerasomatic index, MFI= Mesenteric fat index, GM= Garlic meal

## Conclusion

The results obtained in the present study demonstrated that garlic meal as a natural feed additive represents alternative solutions to induce aquafeeds as a growth promoter. It might be concluded that the dietary garlic inclusion levels affect growth performance, feed utilization and body protein content of European seabass at on-growing stage. Furthermore, a lowering effect on nitrogen excretion rate was also recorded when garlic meal was incorporated in diets for seabass at on-growing stage. Based on the tendency of a lowered nitrogen excretion or enhanced retention

rates found in the present study, it might be interesting to search the long-term effect of dietary garlic on nitrogenous end-products and fish growth. Additionally, dietary garlic meal improved unsaturated fatty acid concentrations by accumulating EPA (20:5n-3) and DHA (22:5n-6) in the tissues of seabass juveniles, as a result of lowered SFAs and MUFAs, but increased PUFAs in fish fed garlic supplemented diets. The suggested dietary garlic for seabass juveniles in the present study was 4% (40 g/kg) for a positive influence on growth performance and nutrient utilization. Further studies are encouraged to focus on the total economic cost and benefit analysis for

the use of garlic in large scale aquaculture operations.

### Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Canakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Marine Science and Technology Faculty for the use of experimental facilities and laboratories throughout the study, which has been performed in partial fulfillment of requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy of the first author. İda Gıda AS (Marine Fish Farm) in Canakkale-Turkey is gratefully acknowledged for their support of the experimental fish.

### References

- Abdel-Hakim, N.F., Lashin, M.M.E., Al-Azab, A.A.M. & Ashry, A.M. (2010). Effect of fresh or dried garlic as a natural feed supplement on growth performance and nutrients utilization of the Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Biology and Fisheries*, 14, 19-38.
- Amagase, H. & Milner, J.A. (1993). Impact of various sources of garlic and their constituents on 7, 12-dimethylbenz[a]anthracene binding to mammary cell DNA. *Carcinogenesis*, 14, 1627-1631.
- Ao, X., Yoo, J.S., Lee, J.H., Jang, H.D., Wang, J.P., Zhou, T.X. & Kim, I.H. (2010). Effects of fermented garlic powder on production performance, egg quality, blood profiles and fatty acids composition of egg yolk in laying hens. *Asian-Australian Journal of Animal Science*, 23, 786-791.
- AOAC (2000). Official methods of analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists International. 17th ed Vol II. Washington DC, USA
- Arab-Tehrany, E., Jacquot, M., Gaiani, C. & Imran, M., Desobry, S., Linder, M. (2012). Beneficial effects and oxidative stability of omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 25, 24-33.
- Aremu, M.O., Nweze, C.C. & Alade, P. (2011): Evaluation of protein and Amino acid composition of selected spices grown in the Middle Belt Region of Nigeria. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 10, 991-995.
- Bhosale, S.V., Bhilave, M.P. & Nadaf, S.B. (2010). Formulation of fish feed using ingredients from plant sources. *Research Journal of Agriculture Science*, 1, 284-287.
- Block, E. (1992). The organ sulfur chemistry of the genus *Allium* implications for the organic chemistry of sulfur. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 31, 1135-1178.
- Brannas, E., Jonsson, S. & Lundqvist, H. (2003). Influence of food abundance on individual behaviour strategy and growth rate in juvenile Brown trout (*Salmo trutta*). *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 81, 684-691.
- Buckley, J.D., Howe, P.R. (2009). Anti-obesity effects of long-chain omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids. *Obesity Review*, 10(6), 648-659.
- Burel, C., Boujard, T., Kaushik, S.J., Boeuf, G., Van Der Geyten, S., Mol, K.A., Kuhn, E.R., Quinsac, A., Krouti, M., Ribailier, D. (2000). Potential of plant-protein sources as fish meal substitutes in diets for turbot (*Psetta maxima*): growth, nutrient utilisation and thyroid status. *Aquaculture*, 188, 363-382.
- Dalmo, R.A. & Seljelid, R. (1995). The immunomodulatory effect of LPS, laminaran and sulphated laminaran [b (1, 3)-D-glucan] on Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L., macrophages in vitro. *Journal of Fish Disease*, 18, 175-185.
- Diab, A.S., El-nagar, G.O. & Abd-El-hady, Y.M. (2002). Evaluation of *Nigella sativa* L (black seeds; baraka), *Allium sativum* (garlic) and biogen as feed additives on growth performance and immunostimulants of *O. niloticus* fingerlings. *Suez Canal Veterinary Medicine Journal*, 1, 745-75.
- Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple range and multiple 'F' tests. *Biometrics*, 11, 1-42.
- El-Afify, S.F. (1997). Nutritional studies on onion and garlic supplement to poultry feed. Doctor thesis of the Faculty of Agriculture at Ain-Shams University, Egypt.
- El-Nawawy, H. (1991). Some of non conventional ingredients in broiler ration. Master of Science thesis of the Faculty of Agriculture at Ain-Shams University, Egypt.
- Engstad, R.E., Robertson, B. & Frivold, E. (1992). Yeast glucan induces increase in activity of lysozyme and complement mediated

Journal abbreviation: **J Aquacult Eng Fish Res**

- haemolytic activity in Atlantic salmon blood. *Fish Shellfish Immunology*, 2, 287-297.
- Ergün, S., Yigit, M., Türker, A. & Harmantepe, B. (2008a). Incorporation of soybean meal and hazelnut meal in diets for Black Sea turbot (*Scophthalmus maeoticus*). *Israeli Journal of Aquaculture*, 60(1), 27-36.
- Ergün, S., Yigit, M. & Türker, A., Harmantepe, B. (2008b): Partial replacement of fishmeal by defatted soybean meal in diets for Black Sea turbot (*Psetta maeotica*): growth and nutrient utilization in winter. *Israeli Journal of Aquaculture*, 60(3), 175-182.
- FAO (2012). Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper No. 500/1, 2012. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome
- Farahi, A., Kasiri, M., Sudagar, M., Iraei, M. & Shahkolaei, M. (2010). Effect of garlic (*Allium sativum*) on growth factors, some hematological parameters and body compositions in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture, Aquarium, Conservation & Legislation - International Journal of the Bioflux Society*, 3, 4.
- Fernandez-Palacios, H., Izquierdo, M.S. & Robaina, L. (1997). The effect of dietary protein and lipid from squid and fish meals on the egg quality of broodstock for Gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*). *Aquaculture*, 148, 233-246.
- Folch, J., Lees, M. & Sloane-Stanley, G.H.S. (1957). A simple method for the isolation and purification of total lipids from animal tissues. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 226, 497-509.
- Fournier, V., Huelvan, C. & Desbruyeres, E. (2004): Incorporation of a mixture of plant feedstuffs as substitute for fish meal in diets of juvenile turbot (*Psetta maxima*). *Aquaculture*, 236, 451-465.
- Gabor, E.F., Şara, A. & Barbu, A. (2010). The effects of some phytoadditives on growth, health and meat quality on different species of fish. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*, 43(1), 61-65.
- Güner, S., Dincer, B., Alemdag, N., Colak, A. & Tüfekci, M. (1998). Proximate composition and selected mineral content of commercially important fish species from the Black Sea. *Journal of Science Food and Agriculture*, 78, 337-342.
- Halver, J.E. (1991). Fish nutrition. Second edition. Academic Press, Inc, USA. pp 733-734.
- Howe, P. & Buckley, J. (2014). Metabolic health benefits of long-chain omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids. *Military Medicine*, 179, 138-143.
- Ibrahiem, I.A., Elam, T.A., Mohamed, F.F., Awadalla, S.A. & Yousif, Y.I. (2004). Effect of onion and / or garlic as feed additives on growth performance and immunity in broiler muscovy ducks. Paper presented at the 1st Annual Conference of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Moshtohor, Benha-Ras Sedr, and September 2004.
- Kaushik, S. (1998). Whole body amino acid composition of European seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*), gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*) and turbot (*Psetta maxima*) with an estimation of their IAA needs. *Aquatic Living Resources*, 11, 351-358.
- Khalil, R.H., Nadia, B.M. & Soliman, M.K. (2001). Effects of biogen and levamisol Hcl on the immune response of cultured *Oreochromis niloticus* to *Aeromonas hydrophila* vaccine. *Beni-Suef Veterinary Medical Journal*, 11(2). 381-392.
- Konjufca, V.H., Pesti, G.M. & Bakalli, R.I. (1997). Modulation of cholesterol levels in broiler meat by dietary garlic and copper. *Poultry Science*, 76, 1264-1271.
- Lapatra, S.E., Lauda, K.A., Jones, G.R., Sjhewmaker, W.S. & Bayne, C.J. (1998). Resistance to IHN virus infection in rainbow trout is increased by glucan while subsequent production of serum neutralizing activity is decreased. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 8, 435-446.
- Lee, D.H., Ra, C.S., Song, Y.H., Sung, K.I. & Kim, J.D. (2012). Effect of dietary garlic extracts on growth, feed utilization and whole body composition of juvenile sterlet sturgeon (*Acipenser ruthenus*). *Asian Australasian Journal of Animal Science*, 25, 577-583.
- Lee, D.H., Lim, S.R., Han, J.J., Lee, S.W., Ra, C.S. & Kim, J.D. (2014). Effects of dietary garlic powder on growth, feed utilization and whole body composition changes in fingerling Sterlet sturgeon, *Acipenser ruthenus*. *Asian*

Journal abbreviation: **J Aquacult Eng Fish Res**

- Australasian Journal of Animal Science*, 27(9), 1303-1310.
- Li, J.J., Huang, C.J. & Xie, D. (2008). Anti-obesity effects of conjugated linoleic acid, docosahexaenoic acid, and eicosapentaenoic acid. *Molecular Nutrition and Food Research*, 52(6), 631-645.
- Mabrouk, H.A. (2011). Response of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fingerlings to different replacement levels of fish meal with soybean meal using garlic and onion. <http://en.engormix.com/MA-aquaculture/articles/garliconion-on-growth-of-tilapia-t2108/p0.htm> Published on: 27 Dec 2011
- Mesalhy Aly, S.E., Abdel Atti, N.M. & Mohamed, M.F. (2008). Effect of garlic on the survival, growth, resistance and quality of *Oreochromis niloticus*. Proceedings of the Eight International Symposium on Tilapia in Aquaculture ISTA 8. Cairo, Egypt. Vol 1. Pp 227- 295. El-Ghobasshy, H., Fitzsimmons, K., Diab, A.S. (Eds). October 12-14, 2008, Egypt.
- Metwally, M.A.A. (2009). Effects of garlic (*Allium sativum*) on some antioxidant activities in tilapia nilotica (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *World Journal of Fish Marine Science*, 1(1), 56-64.
- Ndong, D. & Fall, J. (2011). The effect of garlic (*Allium sativum*) on growth and immune responses of hybrid tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* x *Oreochromis aureus*). *Journal of Clinical Immunology and Immunopathology Research*, 3(1), 1-9.
- Okumus, I. & Mazlum., M.D. (2002). Evaluation of commercial trout feeds: Feed consumption, growth, feed conversion, carcass composition and bioeconomic analysis. *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science*, 2, 101-107.
- Pait, A.S. & Nelson, J.O. (2003). Vitellogenesis in male *Fundulus heteroclitus* (Killifish) induced by selected estrogenic compounds. *Aquatic Toxicology*, 64, 331-342.
- Paulsen, S.M., Lunde, H., Engstad, R.E. & Robertsen, B. (2003). In vivo effects of glucan and LPS on regulation of lysozyme activity and mRNA expression in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 14, 39-54.
- Sahu, S., Das, B.K., Mishra, B.K., Pradhan, J., Sarangi, N. (2007). Effects of *Allium sativum* on the immunity and survival of *Labeo rohita* infected with *Aeromonas hydrophila*. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 23, 80-86.
- Sakai, M. (1999). Current research status of fish immunostimulants. *Aquaculture*, 172, 63-92.
- Saleh, N.E., Michael, F.R. & Toutou, M.M. (2015): Evaluation of garlic and onion powder as phyto-a dditives in the diet of sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). *Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research*, 41(2), 211-217.
- Shalaby, A.M., Khattab, Y.A. & Abdel Rahman, A.M. (2006). Effects of garlic (*Allium sativum*) and chloramphenicol on growth performance, physiological parameters and survival of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Journal of Venomous Animals and Toxins Including Tropical Diseases*, 12(2), 178.
- Sivam, G.P. (2001). Protection against Helicobacter pylori and Other Bacterial Infections by Garlic. *The Journal of Nutrition*, 131(3), 1106-1108.
- Skalli, A. & Robin, J.H. (2004). Requirement in n-3 long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids for European sea bass juveniles: growth and fatty acid composition. *Aquaculture*, 240, 399-415.
- Soltan, M.A. & El-Laithy, S.M. (2008). Effect of probiotics and some spices as feed additives on the performance and behaviour of the Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Biology and Fisheries*, 12(2), 63-80.
- Talpur, A.D. & Ikhwanuddin, M. (2012). Dietary effects of garlic (*Allium sativum*) on haemato-immunological parameters, survival, growth, and disease resistance against *Vibrio harveyi* infection in Asian sea bass, *Lates calcarifer* (Bloch). *Aquaculture*, 364-365, 6-12.
- IUPAC (1987). Standard Methods for Analysis of Oils, Fats and Derivatives. Seventh ed. Blackwell Scientific Publications; 1987. IUPAC Method 2.301; Report of IUPAC Working Group WG 2/87.
- Yessoufou, A., Nekoua, M.P., Gbankoto, A., Mashaalla, Y. & Moutairou, K. (2015). Beneficial effects of omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids in gestational diabetes: consequences in macrosomia and adulthood obesity. *Journal of Diabetes*, 1-11, Research Article ID 731434.

Journal abbreviation: **J Aquacult Eng Fish Res**

- Yigit, M., Ergün, S., Türker, A., Harmantepe, B. & Erteken, A. (2010). Evaluation of soybean meal as a protein source and its effect on growth and nitrogen utilization of Black Sea turbot (*Psetta maeotica*) juveniles. *Journal of Marine Science and Technology*, 18(5), 682-688.
- Yokoyama, S., Koshio, S., Takakura, N., Oshida, K., Ishikawa, M., Gallardo-Cigarroa, F.J. & Teshima, S. (2005). Dietary bovine lactoferrin enhances tolerance to high temperature stress in Japanese flounder *Paralichthys olivaceus*. *Aquaculture*, 249: 367-373.