



Some new results on \star -metric spaces

Abhishikta Das¹ , Tarapada Bag² 

Keywords

t-definer,
 \star -metric space,
 closed set,
 metrizable

Abstract — The concept of \star -metric, based on the relaxation of triangle inequality of metric axioms by using a *t*-definer, was introduced by Khatami and Mirzavaziri. This paper extends and generalizes some well-known results of classical metric space. Considering the definition of \star -metric space, it studies the notion of a closed ball. The paper proves some results related to closed sets, convergent sequences, Cauchy sequences, and the diameter of a set. This paper contains the study on the metrizable of \star -metric space and provides an alternative approach to the proof of metrizable for \star -metric space using the famous ‘Niemytski and Wilson’s metrization theorem’.

Subject Classification (2020): 54E15, 54E35

1. Introduction

As the concept of Metric Space and Banach contraction principle was brought to light, researchers attempted to elaborate that concept by generalizing the metric axioms or the contraction principle. The study of generalization with the distance functions is mainly followed by two approaches: increasing the number of components of the metric function and relaxing the triangle inequality of metric axioms. *D*-metric [1], *G*-metric [2], and *S*-metric [3] spaces are examples of the first type, whereas *b*-metric [4], rectangular metric [5], and ϕ -metric [6] are examples of the second type of generalization. The idea of metric functions was extended to fuzzy sets [7] by introducing the notion of fuzzy metric space [8]. Cone [9] and parametric metric [10] are another kind of generalization of distance function to abstract spaces. Thus far, researchers tried to establish several metric fixed point theorems in the setting of these generalized metric spaces. For more details, see [11–15].

Following the relaxation approach of the triangle inequality, Khatami and Mirzavaziri [16] introduced another generalized metric space, namely, \star -metric space. They used the concept of *t*-conorm function [17] to the ‘triangle inequality’ and developed this new structure. *t*-conorm \star is function defined from $[0, 1]^2$ to $[0, 1]$ satisfying $\alpha \star 0 = \alpha$, for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Khatami and Mirzavaziri [16] extended this notion by defining a binary operation, triangular definer (or *t*-definer) on $[0, \infty)$ which has been used in the axiom (ρ^*3) of \star -metric space. Later, they studied the topological space induced by a \star -metric, defined open ball, constructed some non-trivial examples of \star -metrizable topological spaces,

¹abhishikta.math@gmail.com; ²tarapadavb@gmail.com (Corresponding Author)

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, Institute of Siksha-Bhavana, Visva-Bharati University, Santiniketan, West Bengal, India
 Article History: Received: 27 Sep 2023 - Accepted: 25 Nov 2023 - Published: 31 Dec 2023

and extended some topological concepts of metric spaces. Recently, He et al. [18] exercised on the metrizable of the topological space induced by a \star -metric, and concluded that every \star -metric space is metrizable. They define the notion of convergence of sequence, and proved some other results on compactness, total boundedness, and completeness.

This manuscript aims to extend of some well-known concepts in metric space, such as the notion of closed ball, results related to convergent sequence, etc. Lastly, we prove the metrizable of \star -metric space via ‘Niemytski and Wilson’s metrization theorem’. Although He et al. [18] have worked on the metrizable of \star -metric space but our new proof is a bit easier to understand than the existing one.

The article is organized as follows. Section 2 consists of preliminary results which are required for the main work of this article. Section 3 introduces some new metric results in the \star -metric space.

2. Preliminaries

This section consists of some definitions, and results on \star -metric space. The subsequent definitions are of t-definer and \star -metric.

Definition 2.1. [16] A t-definer $\star : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a function satisfying the following conditions:

$$(T1) \quad \alpha \star \mu = \mu \star \alpha$$

$$(T2) \quad \alpha \star (\mu \star \eta) = (\alpha \star \mu) \star \eta$$

$$(T3) \quad \alpha \leq \mu \Rightarrow [\alpha \star \eta \leq \mu \star \eta \text{ and } \eta \star \alpha \leq \eta \star \mu]$$

$$(T4) \quad \alpha \star 0 = \alpha$$

$$(T5) \quad \star \text{ is continuous in its first component with respect to the Euclidean topology.}$$

for all $\alpha, \mu, \eta \in [0, \infty)$.

Remark 2.2. [16] Observe that

i. Continuity in the first component of \star implies the continuity in the second component, because of the condition (T1) of a t-definer.

ii. Moreover, from [17] we know that the t-definer is a non-decreasing function. Hence, the continuity of the first component is equivalent to its continuity.

Followings are some examples of t-definer.

Example 2.3. [16]

i. Lukasiewicz t-definer: $\alpha \star \mu = \alpha + \mu$, for all $\alpha, \mu \in [0, \infty)$

ii. Maximum t-definer: $\alpha \star \mu = \max\{\alpha, \mu\}$, for all $\alpha, \mu \in [0, \infty)$

iii. $\alpha \star \mu = (\sqrt{\alpha} + \sqrt{\mu})^2$, for all $\alpha, \mu \in [0, \infty)$

Definition 2.4. [16] Let Ω be a nonempty set and \star be a t-definer. A pair (Ω, ρ^\star) is known to be as a \star -metric space if $\rho^\star : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a function satisfying the following properties:

$$(\rho^{\star 1}) \quad \rho^\star(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \geq 0, \text{ for all } \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Omega \text{ and } \rho^\star(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \gamma_1 = \gamma_2$$

$$(\rho^{\star 2}) \quad \rho^\star(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \rho^\star(\gamma_2, \gamma_1), \text{ for all } \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Omega$$

$$(\rho^{\star 3}) \quad \rho^\star(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \leq \rho^\star(\gamma_1, \gamma_3) \star \rho^\star(\gamma_3, \gamma_2), \text{ for all } \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \in \Omega$$

Remark 2.5. From the Example 2.4 of [16], it can be concluded that \star -metric, in general, is not a metric. But observe that a \star -metric with respect to the Lukasiewicz t-definer reduced to a metric.

Definition 2.6. [16] Let \star be a t-definer. The residuum of \star is defined by $\alpha \dot{\rightarrow} \beta = \inf\{\gamma : \gamma \star \alpha \geq \beta\}$. Moreover, for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, \infty)$, $\gamma \geq \alpha \dot{\rightarrow} \beta$ if and only if $\gamma \star \alpha \geq \beta$, which is known as the residuation property of \star and $\dot{\rightarrow}$.

Lemma 2.7. [16] Let \star be a t-definer, and $\dot{\rightarrow}$ be its residuum. Then,

- i. $\alpha \dot{\rightarrow} \beta = \min\{\gamma : \gamma \star \alpha \geq \beta\}$
- ii. $0 \dot{\rightarrow} \alpha = \alpha$
- iii. $\alpha \dot{\rightarrow} \beta = 0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha \geq \beta$
- iv. $\alpha \star (\alpha \dot{\rightarrow} \beta) = \max\{\alpha, \beta\}$
- v. $\alpha \dot{\rightarrow} \beta \geq (\alpha \dot{\rightarrow} \gamma) \dot{\rightarrow} (\gamma \dot{\rightarrow} \beta)$
- vi. $\alpha \dot{\rightarrow} \beta \leq (\alpha \dot{\rightarrow} \gamma) \star (\gamma \dot{\rightarrow} \beta)$

In the following, we recall the definition of open ball, and some other related results on \star -metric space.

Definition 2.8. [16] Let (Ω, ρ^\star) be a \star -metric space.

i. For any $a \in \Omega$ and $t > 0$, the open ball with center a , and radius t is defined as

$$N_t(a) = \{\eta \in \Omega : \rho^\star(a, \eta) < t\}$$

- ii. A point $x \in B \subseteq \Omega$ is called an interior point of B if there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $N_\varepsilon(x) \subset B$.
- iii. $B \subseteq \Omega$ is said to be an open set if each point of B is an interior point of its.
- iv. The \star -metric topology is defined by the set $\tau_{\rho^\star} = \{A \subseteq \Omega : A \text{ is an open set in } \Omega\}$.

Remark 2.9. The definitions of closed set, limit point, interior, and closure of a set, derived set, continuous function are same as in usual metric space.

Proposition 2.10. [16] In a \star -metric space,

- i. Every open ball is an open set.
- ii. Every \star -metric space is
 - (a) Hausdorff.
 - (b) First countable.
 - (c) Normal.

Remark 2.11. [18] For $t > 0$, there exists $t_1 > 0$ such that $[0, t_1] \star [0, t_1] \subseteq [0, t]$.

Theorem 2.12. [18] Every \star -metric space (Ω, ρ^\star) with the topology τ_{ρ^\star} induced by ρ^\star is metrizable.

Definition 2.13. [18] Let (Ω, ρ^\star) be a \star -metric space and $\{\alpha_n\}$ be a sequence in (Ω, ρ^\star) .

- i. If there exists an element $\alpha \in (\Omega, \rho^\star)$ such that for every $r > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\rho^\star(\alpha_n, \alpha) < r$, for all $n \geq N$, then $\{\alpha_n\}$ is said to converge to α under ρ^\star and written by $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$.
- ii. $\{\alpha_n\}$ is said to be a Cauchy sequence if for every $r > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\rho^\star(\alpha_n, \alpha_m) < r$, for all $m, n \geq N$.
- iii. (Ω, ρ^\star) is complete if every Cauchy sequence in Ω converges to some member of it.

Definition 2.14. [18] For a subset B of a \star -metric space (Ω, ρ^\star) , the diameter of the set B is defined as $\delta(B) = \sup\{\rho^\star(\mu, \xi) : \mu, \xi \in \Omega\}$.

Moreover, we recall the metrization theorem by Niemytski and Wilson.

Theorem 2.15. [19] Let Ω be a topological space. If a distance function $\chi : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfies the followings

- i. $\chi(\xi, \zeta) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \xi = \zeta$, for all $\xi, \zeta \in \Omega$
- ii. $\chi(\xi, \zeta) = \chi(\zeta, \xi)$, for all $\xi, \zeta \in \Omega$

and one of the following conditions is holds

- (a) given a point $\xi \in \Omega$ and a number $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $f(\xi, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that if $\chi(\xi, \zeta) < f(\xi, \varepsilon)$ and $\chi(\zeta, \mu) < f(\xi, \varepsilon)$, then $\chi(\xi, \mu) < \varepsilon$;
- (b) if $\xi \in \Omega$, and $\{\zeta_n\}$ and $\{\mu_n\}$ are two sequences in Ω such that $\chi(\zeta_n, \xi) \rightarrow 0$ and $\chi(\zeta_n, \mu_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\chi(\mu_n, \xi) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- (c) for each point $\xi \in \Omega$ and $s > 0$; there is $r > 0$ such that if $\zeta \in \Omega$ for which $\chi(\xi, \zeta) \geq s$ and μ is any point in Ω , then $\chi(\xi, \mu) + \chi(\zeta, \mu) \geq r$,

then the topological space Ω is metrizable.

Niemytski [20] showed the equivalence of the conditions (a) and (b) of Theorem 2.15. Later, Wilson [21] proved that a space is metrizable if there exists a distance function satisfying the conditions i, ii, and (c).

3. Main Result

In this section, we introduce the concept of closed ball, and prove some results related to the notion of convergence of sequence.

Definition 3.1. Let (Ω, ρ^*) be a \star -metric space. For any $a \in \Omega$ and $t > 0$, we define the closed ball with center a and radius t by the set $\bar{N}_t(a) = \{\eta \in \Omega : \rho^*(a, \eta) \leq t\}$.

Example 3.2. We consider the \star -metric $\rho^*(a, b) = (\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2$ on $[0, \infty)$ of the Example 2.4 of [16] where the underlying t-definer is taken as $a \star b = (\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b})^2$, for all $a, b \in [0, \infty)$.

Then, for any $a \in [0, \infty)$ and $t > 0$, the closed ball $\bar{N}_t(a)$ is of the form

$$\bar{N}_t(a) = \{\eta \in [0, \infty) : \rho^*(a, \eta) \leq t\} = \{\eta \in [0, \infty) : (\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{\eta})^2 \leq t\}$$

In particular, let $a = 4$ and $t = 1$. Then,

$$\{\eta \in [0, \infty) : (2 - \sqrt{\eta})^2 \leq 1\} = \{\eta \in [0, \infty) : -1 \leq 2 - \sqrt{\eta} \leq 1\} = \{\eta \in [0, \infty) : 1 \leq \sqrt{\eta} \leq 3\} = [1, 9]$$

Proposition 3.3. In a \star -metric space (Ω, ρ^*) , every closed ball is closed set.

Proof.

Consider a closed ball $\bar{N}_r(a)$, with $a \in \Omega$ and $r > 0$. We will show that $\Omega \setminus \bar{N}_r(a)$ is an open set. Let us take $x \in \Omega \setminus \bar{N}_r(a)$. Therefore, $\rho^*(x, a) > r$. Let $r_1 = r \dot{\rightarrow} \rho^*(x, a)$. We claim that $\bar{N}_{r_1}(x) \subseteq \Omega \setminus \bar{N}_r(a)$. Let $b \in \bar{N}_{r_1}(x)$. Thus, $\rho^*(x, b) < r_1$ which implies

$$\rho^*(x, b) < r \dot{\rightarrow} \rho^*(x, a)$$

or

$$\rho^*(x, b) < \inf\{c : c \star r \geq \rho^*(x, a)\}$$

or

$$\rho^*(x, b) \star r < \rho^*(x, a)$$

Again from (ρ^*3) , we have $\rho^*(x, a) \leq \rho^*(a, b) \star \rho^*(b, x)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^*(x, b) \star r < \rho^*(a, b) \star \rho^*(b, x) &\Rightarrow \rho^*(a, b) > r \\ &\Rightarrow b \in \Omega \setminus \bar{N}_r(a) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\bar{N}_{r_1}(x) \subseteq \Omega \setminus \bar{N}_r(a)$ which implies $\Omega \setminus \bar{N}_r(a)$ is an open set. Consequently $\bar{N}_r(a)$ is a closed set. \square

Proposition 3.4. Let (Ω, ρ^*) be a \star -metric space, and $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ be two sequences in (Ω, ρ^*) that converge to α and β in (Ω, ρ^*) , respectively. Then, $\{\rho^*(\alpha_n, \beta_n)\}$ converges to $\rho^*(\alpha, \beta)$.

Proof.

Since $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$ and $\beta_n \rightarrow \beta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^*(\alpha_n, \alpha) = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^*(\beta_n, \beta) = 0$. Using (ρ^*3) , for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\rho^*(\alpha, \beta) \leq \rho^*(\alpha, \alpha_n) \star \rho^*(\alpha_n, \beta) \leq \rho^*(\alpha, \alpha_n) \star \rho^*(\alpha_n, \beta_n) \star \rho^*(\beta_n, \beta) \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\rho^*(\alpha_n, \beta_n) \leq \rho^*(\alpha_n, \alpha) \star \rho^*(\alpha, \beta_n) \leq \rho^*(\alpha_n, \alpha) \star \rho^*(\alpha, \beta) \star \rho^*(\beta, \beta_n). \tag{3.2}$$

Since \star is continuous, passing limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ on the both side of (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$\rho^*(\alpha, \beta) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^*(\alpha, \alpha_n) \star \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^*(\alpha_n, \beta_n) \star \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^*(\beta_n, \beta) \leq 0 \star \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^*(\alpha_n, \beta_n) \star 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^*(\alpha_n, \beta_n)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^*(\alpha_n, \beta_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^*(\alpha_n, \alpha) \star \rho^*(\alpha, \beta) \star \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^*(\beta_n, \beta) \leq 0 \star \rho^*(\alpha, \beta) \star 0 = \rho^*(\alpha, \beta).$$

This implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^*(\alpha_n, \beta_n) = \rho^*(\alpha, \beta)$. \square

Proposition 3.5. A necessary and sufficient condition that a sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq \Omega$ converges to x is that every neighborhood $N_r(x)$ of x contains all points of the sequence except perhaps a finite number.

Proof.

The proof is same as the proof in classical metric spaces. \square

Theorem 3.6. In a \star -metric space (Ω, ρ^*) , for $A, B \subseteq \Omega$, the results are holds.

- i. $A \subseteq \bar{A}$
- ii. $A = \bar{A}$ if A is closed
- iii. $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow \bar{A} \subseteq \bar{B}$
- iv. $\overline{(A \cup B)} = \bar{A} \cup \bar{B}$
- v. $\overline{\bar{A}} = \bar{A}$

Proof.

The proofs of *i*, *ii*, *iii*, and *iv* are straightforward. We only prove the last one.

Let $B = \bar{A}$. Then, by *i*, $B \subseteq \bar{B}$ which implies $\bar{A} \subseteq \overline{\bar{A}}$. Moreover, let $p \in \overline{\bar{A}}$ and $K = N_r(p)$, $r > 0$. Then, $K \cap \bar{A} \neq \phi$. Hence, there exists $q \in K \cap \bar{A}$.

Let $L = N_{r_1}(q)$, neighborhood of q where $0 < r_1 < \rho^*(p, q) \dot{\rightarrow} r$ and $\eta \in L$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^*(\eta, q) < r_1 &\Rightarrow \rho^*(\eta, q) < \rho^*(p, q) \dot{\rightarrow} r \\ &\Rightarrow \rho^*(\eta, q) < \inf\{c : c \star \rho^*(p, q) \geq r\} \\ &\Rightarrow \rho^*(\eta, q) \star \rho^*(p, q) < r \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\rho^*(\eta, p) < \rho^*(\eta, q) \star \rho^*(q, p) < r$ implies $\eta \in N_r(p)$. Hence, $\eta \in K$ which implies $L \subset K$. Since $q \in \bar{A}$ and L is any neighborhood of q , then $L \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $\alpha \in L \cap A$ implies $\alpha \in L \subset K$. Hence, $K \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Since K is any neighborhood of p , then $p \in \bar{A}$. Therefore, $\bar{\bar{A}} \subset \bar{A}$. Consequently, $\bar{\bar{A}} = \bar{A}$. \square

Theorem 3.7. Limit of a convergent sequence in a \star -metric space (Ω, ρ^*) is unique.

Proof.

Let $\{\alpha_n\} \subseteq \Omega$ be a convergent sequence in (Ω, ρ^*) that converge to some $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then, the continuity of ' \star ' ensures the existence of some $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ satisfying $\varepsilon_1 \star \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon$. For this ε_1 , there exists $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\rho^*(\alpha_n, \alpha) < \varepsilon_1, \text{ for all } n \geq N_1 \text{ and } \rho^*(\alpha_n, \beta) < \varepsilon_1, \text{ for all } n \geq N_2$$

Let $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$. Then,

$$\rho^*(\alpha, \beta) \leq \rho^*(\alpha, \alpha_n) \star \rho^*(\alpha_n, \beta) < \varepsilon_1 \star \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon, \text{ for all } n \geq N$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is chosen arbitrarily, we obtain $\rho^*(\alpha, \beta) = 0$, i.e., $\alpha = \beta$. \square

Theorem 3.8. In a \star -metric space, every convergent sequence is Cauchy.

Proof.

Let $\{\alpha_n\}$ be a convergent sequence in a \star -metric space (Ω, ρ^*) and converges to $\alpha \in \Omega$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then, by the continuity of ' \star ', there exists $\delta > 0$ satisfying $\delta \star \delta < \varepsilon$. For δ , there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\rho^*(\alpha_n, \alpha) < \delta$ and $\rho^*(\alpha_m, \alpha) < \delta$, for all $n, m \geq N$. Hence,

$$\rho^*(\alpha_n, \alpha_m) \leq \rho^*(\alpha_n, \alpha) \star \rho^*(\alpha, \alpha_m) < \delta \star \delta < \varepsilon, \text{ for all } m, n \geq N$$

Therefore, $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (Ω, ρ^*) . \square

Remark 3.9. The converse of Theorem 3.8 does not hold in general. Note that every \star -metric space is a metric space if in particular we consider the Lukasiewicz t-definer. Since Cauchy sequence in usual metric space is not convergent in general, thus same in \star - metric space.

Theorem 3.10. Let (Ω, ρ^*) be a \star -metric space. If $\{x_n\} \subset \Omega$ is a sequence such that $\{x_n\}$ converges to x , then $\{x_n\}$ is bounded in the sense that, for every fixed element α of Ω , the sequence $\{\rho^*(x_n, \alpha)\}$ is bounded.

Proof.

From the inequality (ρ^*3) gives $\rho^*(x_n, \alpha) \leq \rho^*(x_n, x) \star \rho^*(x, \alpha)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^*(x_n, \alpha) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^*(x_n, x) \star \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^*(x, \alpha) = 0 \star \rho^*(x, \alpha), \text{ i.e., } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^*(x_n, \alpha) \leq \rho^*(x, \alpha)$$

Again using (ρ^*3) , $\rho^*(x, \alpha) \leq \rho^*(x, x_n) \star \rho^*(x_n, \alpha)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ implies

$$\rho^*(x, \alpha) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^*(x, x_n) \star \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^*(x_n, \alpha) = 0 \star \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^*(x_n, \alpha), \text{ i.e., } \rho^*(x, \alpha) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^*(x_n, \alpha)$$

Then, $\{\rho^*(x_n, \alpha)\}$ converges to $\rho^*(x, \alpha)$. Thus, $\{\rho^*(x_n, \alpha)\}$ is a convergent sequence of real numbers. Hence, $\{\rho^*(x_n, \alpha)\}$ is bounded. \square

Proposition 3.11. Let (Ω, ρ^*) be a \star -metric space and $S \subseteq \Omega$ be bounded. Then, $\delta(S) = \delta(\bar{S})$.

Proof.

For any $S \subseteq \Omega$, $\delta(S) \leq \delta(\bar{S})$. Suppose that $\delta(\bar{S}) > k$. Then, $\sup\{\rho^*(x, y) : x, y \in \bar{S}\} > k$ implies there exists $x_0, y_0 \in \bar{S}$ such that $\rho^*(x_0, y_0) > k$. Since $x_0, y_0 \in \bar{S}$, then there exists $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\} \in S$

such that $x_n \rightarrow x_0$, $y_n \rightarrow y_0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, we can write, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^*(x_n, y_n) = \rho^*(x_0, y_0)$ which implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^*(x_n, y_n) > k$. That is, there exists a natural number N_0 such that $\rho^*(x_n, y_n) > k$, for all $n \geq N_0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup\{\rho^*(x_n, y_n)\} \geq k, \text{ for all } n \geq N_0 &\Rightarrow \sup_{x, y \in S} \{\rho^*(x, y)\} \geq k \\ &\Rightarrow \delta(S) \geq k \\ &\Rightarrow \delta(S) \geq \delta(\bar{S}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\delta(S) = \delta(\bar{S})$. \square

We establish the metrizable of \star -metric space via ‘Niemytski and Wilson’s metrization theorem’.

Theorem 3.12. Every \star -metric space is metrizable.

Proof.

Suppose ρ^* be a \star -metric on a non-empty set Ω . Then, clearly the function ρ^* satisfies the conditions *i* and *ii* of Theorem 2.15. Next consider a point a , and $\{\eta_n\}$ and $\{\nu_n\}$ be two sequences in (Ω, ρ^*) such that $\{\rho^*(\eta_n, a)\}$ and $\{\rho^*(\nu_n, \eta_n)\}$ tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By the inequality (ρ^*3) , we have $\rho^*(a, \nu_n) \leq \rho^*(a, \eta_n) \star \rho^*(\eta_n, \nu_n)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

This implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^*(a, \nu_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^*(a, \eta_n) \star \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^*(\eta_n, \nu_n) = 0 \star 0, \text{ i.e., } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^*(a, \nu_n) = 0$$

Hence, by Niemytski and Wilson’s metrization theorem (Theorem 2.15), (Ω, ρ^*) is metrizable. \square

4. Conclusion

In this article, we extended some well-known results of metric spaces in \star -metric space. We defined closed ball, and proved some results related to convergent and Cauchy sequences. All the results were proved with respect to the general t-definer ‘ \star ’. Lastly, we proved the metrizable of \star -metric space using the well-known ‘Niemytski and Wilson’s metrization theorem’, which is simpler and easier to understand than the proof of metrizable by He et al. [18].

There is a vast scope of research on this new structure. The study in this new setting seems very interesting in the presence of a general t-definer ‘ \star ’. Though \star -metric space is metrizable, generalizing metric fixed point theories, especially for non-linear contraction mappings, are the open problems in \star -metric spaces. We hope our theoretical results of this manuscript may help researchers for further development in such spaces.

Author Contributions

All the authors equally contributed to this work. They all read and approved the final version of the paper.

Conflicts of Interest

All the authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgement

This study was supported University Grant Commission (UGC), New Delhi, India for awarding senior research fellowship [Grant No.1221/(CSIRNETJUNE2019)]. The authors are thankful to the

Department of Mathematics, Siksha-Bhavana, and Visva-Bharati.

References

- [1] B. C. Dhage, *Generalized metric space and mappings with fixed point*, Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society 84 (1992) 329–336.
- [2] Z. Mustafa, B. Sims, *A new approach to generalized metric spaces*, Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis 7 (2) (2006) 289–297.
- [3] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, A. Aliouche, *A generalization of fixed point theorems in S -metric spaces*, Matematički Vesnik 64 (3) (2012) 258–266.
- [4] S. Czerwik, *Contraction mappings in b -metric spaces*, Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis 1 (1) (1993) 5–11.
- [5] A. Branciari, *A fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccioppoli type on a class of generalised metric spaces*, Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen 57 (1–2) (2000) 31–37.
- [6] A. Das, A. Kundu, T. Bag, *A new approach to generalize metric functions*, International Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications 14 (3) (2023) 279–298.
- [7] L. A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Information and Control 8 (3) (1965) 338–353.
- [8] I. Kramosil, J. Michalek, *Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces*, Kybernetika 11 (5) (1975) 326–334.
- [9] L. G. Huang, X. Zhang, *Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis 332 (2) (2007) 1468–1476.
- [10] N. Hussain, S. Khaleghizadeh, P. Salimi, A. A. N. Abdou, *A new approach to fixed point results in triangular intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces*, Abstract and Applied Analysis 2014 (2014) Article ID 690139 16 pages.
- [11] A. Das, T. Bag, *A generalization to parametric metric spaces*, International Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications 14 (1) (2023) 229–244.
- [12] A. Das, T. Bag, *A study on parametric S -metric spaces*, Communications in Mathematics and Applications 13 (3) (2022) 921–933.
- [13] A. Das, T. Bag, *A survey on Branciari metric spaces*, Communications in Mathematics and Applications 14 (2) (2023) 1051–1112.
- [14] W. Kirk, N. Shahzad, *Fixed point theory in distance spaces*, 1th Edition, Springer, Switzerland, 2014.
- [15] M. E. Gordji, M. Rameni, M. De La Sen, Y. Je Cho, *On orthogonal sets and Banach fixed point theorem*, Fixed Point Theory 18 (2) (2017) 569–578.
- [16] S. M. A. Khatami, M. Mirzavaziri, *Yet another generalization of the notion of a metric space*, (2020) 7 pages <https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.00943>.
- [17] E. P. Klement, R. Mesiar, E. Pap, *Triangular norms*, Vol. 8 of Trends in Logic, Springer, Dordrecht, 2000.
- [18] S. Y. He, L. H. Xie, P. F. Yan, *On $*$ -metric spaces*, Filomat 36 (18) (2022) 6173–6185.
- [19] A. H. Frink, *Distance functions and the metrization problem*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 43 (1937) 133–142.

- [20] V. W. Niemytski, *On the "Third axiom of metric space"*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 29 (3) (1927) 507–513.
- [21] W. A. Wilson, *On semi-metric spaces*, American Journal of Mathematics 53 (2) (1931) 361–373.