

ADALYA

26 2023



AKMED

KOÇ UNIVERSITY

Suna & İnan Kırac

Research Center for

Mediterranean Civilizations

26 2023

ISSN 1301-2746

ADALYA

The Annual of the Koç University Suna & İnan Kıraç Research Center
for Mediterranean Civilizations

(OFFPRINT)



ADALYA

The Annual of the Koç University Suna & İnan Kırac Research Center
for Mediterranean Civilizations (AKMED)

Adalya, a peer reviewed publication, is indexed in the A&HCI (Arts & Humanities Citation Index) – CC / A&H (Current Contents / Arts & Humanities), Social Sciences and Humanities Database of TÜBİTAK / ULAKBİM Tr Index, ERIH PLUS (European Reference Index for the Humanities and Social Sciences), Scopus, and Index Copernicus.

<i>Mode of publication</i>	Worldwide periodical
<i>Publisher certificate number</i>	18318
ISSN	1301-2746
<i>Publisher management</i>	Koç University Rumelifeneri Yolu, 34450 Sarıyer / İstanbul
<i>Publisher</i>	Metin Sitti, President, on behalf of Koç University
<i>Editor-in-chief</i>	Oğuz Tekin
<i>Editors</i>	Tarkan Kahya and Arif Yacı
<i>English copyediting</i>	Mark Wilson
<i>Editorial advisory board</i>	(Members serve for a period of five years) Emanuela Borgia, Università di Roma Sapienza (2021-2025) Ian Hodder, Koç Üniversitesi (2023-2027) Nevra Necipoğlu, Boğaziçi University (2023-2027) Fatih Onur, Akdeniz University (2023-2027) Christopher H. Roosevelt, Koç University (2021-2025) Charlotte Roueché, Emerita, King's College London (2019-2023) Mustafa H. Sayar, İstanbul University (2023-2027) Peter van Alfen, American Numismatic Society (2023-2027)
©	Koç University AKMED, 2023
<i>Production</i>	Zero Production Ltd. Abdullah Sok. No. 17 Taksim 34433 İstanbul Tel: +90 (212) 244 75 21 • Fax: +90 (212) 244 32 09 info@zerobooksonline.com; www.zerobooksonline.com
<i>Printing</i>	Fotokitap Fotoğraf Ürünleri Paz. ve Tic. Ltd. Şti. Oruç Reis Mah. Tekstilkent B-5 Blok No. 10-AH111 Esenler - İstanbul / Türkiye Certificate number: 47448
<i>Mailing address</i>	Barbaros Mah. Kocatepe Sok. No. 22 Kaleiçi 07100 Antalya / Türkiye Tel: +90 (242) 243 42 74 • Fax: +90 (242) 243 80 13 https://akmed.ku.edu.tr
<i>E-mail address</i>	adalya@ku.edu.tr

Contents

Güzel Öztürk <i>Cultural Continuity from the Ka`rum Period to the Hittite Empire Period in Light of Stamp Seals and Impressions</i>	1
Müge Bulu <i>Contextualizing the Consumption of Syro-Cilician Ware at Tell Atchana / Alalakh (Hatay, Türkiye): A Functional Analysis</i>	37
Gülgüney Masalcı Şahin – Özlem Sir Gavaz <i>New Tablet Fragments on Dreams from the Boğazkale Archive</i>	75
Aytaç Dönmez – Halil Mert Erdoğan <i>Xanthos West Agora III: Dynastic Nele</i>	97
Oğuz Tekin <i>Weights of Alexandria in the Troad: Forms, Types, Units, and Chronology</i>	127
Erkan Alkaç – Beste Tomay <i>Amphora Stamps of the Hellenistic and Roman Periods from Myra and its Harbor Neighborhood of Andriake</i>	149
Mehmet Özhanlı <i>New Votive Plates Discovered in the Temple of Men and its Sanctuary in Pisidian Antioch</i>	171
Asuman Coşkun Abuagla <i>Nominative and Genitive Endings of Some Epichoric Personal Names in Light of Inscriptions from Tymbrida</i>	185
Nergis Ataç – Guntram Koch <i>Figürliche Reliefs frühchristlicher Zeit in Kleinasien (4.-6. / 7. Jahrhundert n.Chr.)</i>	197
Orçun Erdoğan – Hatice Pamir <i>The Temple Church at Epiphaneia in Cilicia Pedias and its Terracotta Frieze</i>	233
Yavuz Selim Güler <i>A Roman Steelyard with a Control Inscription from the Roman Imperial Period in the Pera Museum</i>	257
Mehmet Önal – Sevcan Ölçer <i>Research on the History, Function and Architectural Features of the Harran Saqiyas</i>	265
Seçkin Evcim <i>The Discovery in Olympus (Lycia) of One of the Oldest Known Paintings of Christ Pantocrator with a Discussion of its Iconography</i>	289

Reyhan Yirşen

The Problem of Piracy in Commercial Relations between the Ottoman State and the Kingdom of Two Sicilies (Sicilyateyn) between 1740 and 1804 319

Ahmet Kısa

Antalya Junior High School as the First Example Reflecting the Ottoman Modern Educational Approach in Antalya 337

Evren Dayar

Three Periods of Antalya in the 19th Century 363

A Roman Steelyard with a Control Inscription from the Roman Imperial Period in the Pera Museum

YAVUZ SELİM GÜLER*

Abstract

The Suna and İnan Kıraç Foundation Pera Museum founded in 2005 stands out as an institution promoting research on the history of measurement with one of its permanent collections: the Anatolian Weights and Measures Collection. The collection holds a wide range of steelyards dating to the Roman, Byzantine, and Ottoman periods. Among these objects, a Roman steelyard comes into prominence with its unique control inscriptions dated to the reign of Emperor Commodus (AD 180-192). This article introduces an unpublished steelyard, which shows how Romans maintained the integrity of their steelyards. It evaluates the physical characteristics and metrology of the steelyard, and provides an epigraphic analysis of the inscription compared with similar control inscriptions of weighing and measuring equipment from the Roman Imperial period. The inscription contributes to our understanding of the verification process of the steelyard by the officials after its manufacture.

Keywords: Commodus, Rome, steelyard, measurement, epigraphy, inspection

Öz

2005 yılında kurulan Suna ve İnan Kıraç Vakfı Pera Müzesi, Anadolu Ağırlık ve Ölçüleri Koleksiyonu ile ölçüm tarihi üzerine yapılan çalışmaları destekleyen bir kurum olarak öne çıkmaktadır. Müze'nin koleksiyonu, Roma, Osmanlı ve Bizans dönemlerine tarihlenen geniş bir kantar seçkisine sahiptir. Bu eserler arasında, üzerindeki İmparator Commodus Dönemi'ne (MS 180-192) tarihlenen kontrol damgasıyla nadir bir Roma kantarı öne çıkmaktadır ve bu makale, Romalıların kantarlarını nasıl denetlediğini gösteren yayımlanmamış bu kantarı tanıtmaktadır. Makale, kantarın fiziksel özelliklerini ve metrolojisini değerlendirmektedir ve benzer kontrol damgalarıyla kantarın üzerinde bulunan yazıtın epigrafik analizini yapmaktadır. Yazıt, üretimden sonra kantarın resmi merciler tarafından onaylanma sürecini anlamlandırmamızı sağlamaktadır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Commodus, Roma, kantar, ölçüm, epigrafi, kontrol

Introduction

A steelyard (Lat. *statera*, Gr. *κάμπανος*) is a weighing instrument with two unequal ends and works with the law of the lever. It consists of a beam scale (sg. *scapus*), suspension hooks (pl. *ansae*), a counterweight (sg. *aequipondium*), and a suspension apparatus (sg. *lancula*). The beam contains unit signs (pl. *puncta*) and pivot points (pl. *fulcra*).¹ Although the principles of a simple unequal-arm balance had been known since the late fifth century BC in Greece, the

* Yavuz Selim Güler, Suna and İnan Kıraç Foundation Pera Museum, Anatolian Weights & Measures and Kütahya Tiles & Ceramics, Collection Supervisor, Tepebaşı, Beyoğlu, İstanbul, Türkiye. E-mail: yavuzselim.g@gmail.com; <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1749-7725>

¹ For Vitruvius' explanation of the working principles of a Roman steelyard, see his *De arch.* 10.3.4.

steelyard mechanism developed in the Hellenistic period. The earliest examples of steelyards dated to the first century BC and were found in Italy. Archaeological studies show that steelyard became widespread across the Roman Empire in the first to second centuries AD.²

It came into prominence as an important invention in the history of measurement because of its practical usage. The user only needs to read the graduation mark, which the counterweight shows when the steelyard is in equilibrium. In this way, the steelyard as a portable equipment enabled the users to weigh a wide range of commodities.³ Apart from its practical usage, a complex mechanism lays behind, which should be precise in order to weigh goods and commodities accurately. Therefore, the production and assemblage processes would be monitored carefully, which is rarely verified with inscriptions.⁴

This article examines the Roman steelyard with Latin inscriptions of official control from the Pera Museum.⁵ First, it describes the physical attributions of the steelyard and assesses the production process of the object. Secondly, the article discusses the metrology of the steelyard to reveal its weighing capacity. In the end, it analyzes the steelyard's inscriptions by providing an epigraphic autopsy and comparing the inscriptions with other known examples of Roman steelyards. Since there is no study focusing on steelyard control inscriptions, the article fills the lacuna in the literature by compiling all the published steelyards with the control stamp from the Capitoline Hill in Rome and evaluates the Pera Museum steelyard within the context of monitoring in the marketplace.

Physical Characteristics of the Steelyard

The copper-alloy steelyard consists of a steelyard bar square in section cast in a single mould (fig. 1).⁶ Two suspension hooks with spiral-shaped links hang down from the fulcrum holes.⁷ There are traces of a lead piece affixed onto the fulcrum bar to calibrate a minor error or an inaccuracy in measurement.⁸ The surface of the steelyard is coated with a patina and contains traces of corrosion. Unfortunately, the original counterweight and the suspension apparatus have not been preserved.⁹

² For the discussion on the technical development of the steelyard mechanism and the reason why it became widespread during the Roman Imperial period, see Büttner and Renn 2016.

³ *The Book of the Prefect* compiled by Emperor Leo VI (886-912) provides a testimony stating which commodity should be weighed with a steelyard or an equal-arm balance. Although it is a later source, the account shows that steelyards continued to be used for weighing a wide range of heavier products in the marketplace; see Kolias and Chroné 2010.

⁴ For examples of inscribed steelyards from the Roman Imperial period, see table 3.

⁵ The Pera Museum acquired the steelyard in 2007 from Haluk Perk, a private collector in Türkiye. The steelyard was registered to the inventory of the Anatolian Weights and Measures Collection with the inventory number PMA 4917.

⁶ For the terminology about steelyard equipment used in this article, see Sams 1982.

⁷ The steelyard bar, weighing 141 grams in total, is composed of a bar 288 mm long with five sections:

1) Beam scale: 207 mm; thickness: 9 mm; diameter of the biconical finial: 15 mm.

2) Fulcrum bar: 81 mm; width: 13 mm; thickness: 5 mm.

3) Hole for affixing the suspension apparatus: outer diameter: 21 mm; inner diameter: 10 mm.

4) Fulcrum hole 1: outer diameter: 15 mm; inner diameter: 7 mm; suspension hook attached to fulcrum hole 2: max length: 72 mm.

5) Fulcrum hole 2: outer diameter: 14 mm; inner diameter: 9 mm; suspension hook attached to fulcrum hole 3: max length: 74 mm.

⁸ For a similar example of an added lead piece on a Roman steelyard, see Zahn 1913, 7.

⁹ The counterweight could be made of lead with a biconical or globular shape. For examples with complete steelyard equipment, see Vincze 2019, 58-61.

The beam scale contains graduation marks on two faces and is oriented at an angle of 45 degrees with respect to the fulcrum bar, which facilitates the reading of the graduation marks.¹⁰ The graduation marks are either incised or inscribed with punched dots. Since steelyards needed special adjustments by the producer, the calibration might have been carefully applied by inscribing minor and major increments on the beam scale after the casting of the beam scale.¹¹

The steelyard in the Pera Museum can be classified as “Typus Pompeii.”¹² It shares characteristics of this type such as spiral connections of the suspension hooks, a biconical finial, and a large hole for a suspension apparatus on the right side of the fulcrum bar. Since the archaeological context is not known for most of these examples, it is a challenge to understand the spread of the “Typus Pompeii” geographically. Such steelyards with a context were found in Spain and Italy, but there are many steelyards in the inventories of the museums in France, Italy, Spain, Germany, Austria, the Netherlands, Portugal and the United Kingdom.¹³ Since there is no information about the context of the steelyard in the Pera Museum, it is not possible to understand its origin. There are examples of Typus Pompeii in private collections in Türkiye, but these examples do not have any archaeological context as well.

Metrology

TABLE 1 Graduation marks.

Face	Graduation Marks
1	I S I S [X] V S I S I S I S I S X S I S I S I S I S V III S I
2	I S I S I S I

The Pera steelyard has two faces with graduation marks on the beam scale. Throughout the article, the faces of the steelyard with graduation marks are referred to as “Face 1” and “Face 2” according to the order of the aforementioned fulcrum holes. On the steelyard, Roman numerals represent major increments in weight, whereas short vertical lines, indicated with “.” marks, show minor calibrations in weight.¹⁴

“Face 1” has incised-Roman numerals on the beam scale. The letter “S,” which is the abbreviation of a *semis* of a *libra*, shows in punched dots half-*uncia* increments. Discernable marks on “Face 1” show a capacity of weighing between three-*libra* and seventeen-*libra*. On “Face 2” the longer lines stand for the increments in *libra*. Shorter lines, which divide the intervals between the longer vertical lines into twelve equal intervals, represent increments in *uncia*. Therefore, every six-*uncia* was indicated with the letter “S” in punched dots, which is the abbreviation of a *semis* of a *libra*. Therefore, discernable marks on “Face 2” show a capacity of weighing between one-*libra* and four-*libra*. The steelyard, in general, has graduation marks

¹⁰ Kardyras 1998.
¹¹ There were several stages in the production and calibration process of a Roman steelyard, which included the manufacturer and the officials for the monitoring; see Corti 2019, 156-58.
¹² Norbert Franken categorized the Roman and Byzantine steelyards according to their physical characteristics. For the characteristics of the “Typus Pompeii,” see Franken 1994, 77-81.
¹³ The topoi research project (D-5-5), “Between knowledge and innovation: the unequal armed balance,” provides an extensive database of the steelyards from museum inventories and excavations. For “Typus Pompeii” examples, see Büttner et al. 2016.
¹⁴ Since the Romans hung steelyards from the right, the increments in weight were shown in retrograde numerals. For a discussion on steelyards, see Mutz 1983, 17-21.

indicating weighing capacities between one-*libra* and four-*libra* as well as three-*libra* and seventeen-*libra*. Another limitation is to calculate the real equivalence of the graduation marks in grams because of the missing equipment. These include the suspension apparatus, which was most probably a scale pan, and the counterweight. Therefore, our research can only reveal the theoretical metrology of the steelyard.¹⁵

Inscriptions

TABLE 2 Inscriptions.

Face	Inscription	Transliteration	Translation
1 (fig. 2)	IMP ANTONIN CAES AVG · COM	Imp(eratore) Caes(are) Antonin(o) Aug(usto) Com(modus)	During the reign of Emperor Caesar Antoninus Augustus Commodus
2 (fig. 3)	EX · AC · INCAPITOL IO	Exac(ta) in Capitolio	Examined in Capitol

Inscriptions are found on both sides of the fulcrum bar. These were inscribed with letters in punched dots. The letter heights are between 4–6 mm. The inscriptions provide information about the chronology and reveal the inspection process of the steelyard.

To date, only very few Roman steelyards with inscriptions has been published and examined in detail. Among the steelyard with inscriptions, very few contain inscriptions which help to date the steelyards.¹⁶ These inscriptions on steelyards refer to the names of the emperors Claudius, Vespasian, Trajan, and Marcus Aurelius with their exact dates (table 3).

TABLE 3 Roman steelyards with “exacta in Capitolio” inscription.

Museum/Collection	Context	Length	Date	Inscription	Reference
Museo archeologico nazionale di Napoli (Inv. no: 74039)	Herculaneum (Naples, Italy)	N/A	AD 47	TI(berio) CLAVD(io) CAES(are) AVG(usto) IIII, I(ucio) VITEL(lio) III CO(n)S(ulibus) EXACTA AD ARTIC(uleiana) CVRA AEDIL(ium)	<i>DarSag</i> 3(2), 1228; <i>ILS</i> 3(1), 965.
Musée du Petit Palais (Inv. No: DUT 96)	Campania (Italy)	N/A	AD 47	TI CLAV CAS IIII L VITEL COS	<i>ILS</i> 3(1), 965-66.
La Colección de Pesas y Medidas del Ayuntamiento de Valencia (Inv. no: N/A)	Vicinity of El Saler Beach (Valencia, Spain)	690 mm	AD 74-75	IMP(eratori) CAESARI VESPASIANO AVG(usto) PON(tifice) MAX(imo) TRI(bunicia) P(otestati) VI IMP(erator) XIII P(ater) P(atriae) CO(n)S(ule) DES(ignato) EXACTA IN CAPITOLIO	Izquierdo and Ramón 1998.

¹⁵ See Sams 1982 for the discussion on Yassiada steelyards.

¹⁶ For an overview of Roman steelyards, see Franken 1994. See Corti 2019 for steelyards stamped with inscriptions of their producers.

Museum/Collection	Context	Length	Date	Inscription	Reference
Museo archeologico nazionale di Napoli (Inv. no: 74056)	Herculaneum (Naples, Italy)	N/A	AD 77	IMP(eratore) VESP(asiano) AVG(usto) IIX T(ito) IMP(eratoris) AVG(usti) F(ilio) VI CO(n)S(ulibus) EXACTA I(n) CAPITOLIO	<i>DarSag</i> 3(2), 1228; <i>ILS</i> 3(1), 965.
Museo Archeologico di Sagunto (Inv. no: N/A)	Maritime archeological find (Valencia, Spain)	545 mm	AD 112	IMP(eratore) CAE(sare) NERVA TRAIANO AVG(usto) GER(manico) DAC(ico) CO(n)S(ule) VI EX A(cta) IN CAPITOLIO	Aranegui Gascó 1989.
Staatliche Museen zu Berlin (Inv. no: 30218)	Vicinity of Tiber (Rome, Italy)	204 mm	AD 161	IMP(eratore) CAES(are) M(arco) AVREL(io) ANTONIN(o) IMP(eratore) CAES(are) L(ucio) AVRELIO VERO AVG(usto) CO(n)S(ule) II EX(acta) INCAPITOLIO	Zahn 1913, 1-10.

On Face 1 is found the inscription giving the date of the Pera steelyard. The inscription in the ablative case gives the meaning “during the reign of,” and the titles in the inscription belong to Emperor Commodus. In contrast to the steelyards with the names of the emperors, the inscription on the Pera steelyard does not mention the exact year of inspection. This could be understood by the reference to the *consul designatus* and the *tribunicia potestas*. However, it was not a unique case for weighing equipment because there are bronze weights examined in the temple of Castor and Pollux in Rome without the consular year of the emperor.¹⁷ Nevertheless, it is a challenge to determine the exact year of the monitoring of the steelyard. For this reason, only a relative chronology can be given. Commodus received the title IMP CAES (*imperator caesar*) before July 17, AD 180 and since died in December 31, AD 192,¹⁸ the inscription can be dated between AD 180-192.

Face 2 refers to the place where the equipment was controlled. The word “*exacta*” is the perfect passive participle of “*exigo*” in the ablative. It has a special meaning “to control” for the verification of weighing equipment in Latin.¹⁹ “*In Capitolio*” indicates the place where the steelyard was inspected, which was the Capitoline Hill in Rome. This location was one of the places where weighing equipment was kept, and *aediles* supervised and carried out the examination of weights.²⁰ Another location mentioned on the steelyards was Articuleianum, which can be seen in table 3. It was most probably located near the Capitoline Hill and used by the *aediles*.²¹

¹⁷ One of the locations for weighing equipment was the Temple of Castor and Pollux in the Forum. The weights from the first and second century AD contain control inscriptions indicating that they were inspected in the temple of Castor and Pollux. The weighing equipment were kept and money exchange services were conducted in the temple. There were also accounts referring to senators depositing money during the Imperial period; see *Juv.* 14.259-60; *Cic. Quinct.* 17; Luciani and Lucchelli 2016, 267-68.

¹⁸ For a discussion on the chronology of the Roman emperors, see Kienast et al. 2017, 140-42.

¹⁹ For a discussion on “*exigo*,” see Luciani and Lucchelli 2016, 267-68.

²⁰ Aranegui Gasco 1989; Zahn 1913, 7-8.

²¹ Articuleianum had a connection with the gens Articuleius from the Augustan period. For a discussion see Berrendonner 2009, 355.

A Prototype Sent to the Provinces?

The Roman steelyard in the Pera Museum was controlled by the officials in the Capitoline Hill during the reign of Commodus (AD 180-192). However, it is important to consider that the control by the state also showed that steelyards were a public instrument.²² Steelyards, which were part of *intrumenta publica* such as weights and equal arm balances, were controlled by local authorities such as *aediles*, *agoranomoi*, and *metronomoi*.²³ There are examples of equal arm balances²⁴ as well as weights, which mention both the emperor and the official authorities including the *agoranomos*.²⁵ For this reason, it is necessary to consider the steelyard as an object belonging to the state and showing the standards adjusted by its officials.

If we consider the steelyards with archaeological context, we arrive at certain conclusions. On one hand, most of the examples with the *exacta in Capitolio* inscription have an archaeological context in Italy. On the other hand, there are examples found in a maritime context in Spain.²⁶ These examples may be prototypes sent by the capital to the provinces for local authorities to duplicate weighing equipment in correct measure. Later accounts from the fourth and fifth centuries AD refer to weights shipped to the provinces from the capital to set out the reference weights.²⁷ For this reason, the steelyard might have been a “reference” equipment sent to the provinces that may have become a symbol for assuring the quality of equipment across the empire. Since there are very few published examples of Roman steelyards with control inscriptions, this article has aimed to contribute to the understanding of how Roman officials might have handled fraudulent activities in weighing. New discoveries in museums and excavations will provide new evidence for “certified” steelyards in the Roman Imperial period.

Bibliography

- Aranegui Gascó, C. 1989. “Statera romana hallada en Valencia.” *Archivo de Prehistoria Levantina* 19:263-70.
- Berrendonner, C. 2009. “La surveillance des poids et mesures par les autorités romaines: l’apport de la documentation épigraphique latine.” *Cahiers du Centre Gustave Glotz* 20:351-70.
- Büttner, J., and J. Renn. 2016. “The Early History of Weighing Technology from the Perspective of a Theory of Innovation.” *eTopoi: Journal for Ancient Studies* 6:757-76.
- Büttner, J., I. Özşen, J. Schlehofer, and A. Schomberg. 2016. *Ancient Steelyards, Edition Topoi*. DOI: 10.17171/1-6. <http://repository.edition-topoi.org/collection/BSYP/overview>
- Corti, C. 2019. “Per aes et libram. Le stadere di Borgo delle Orsoline (Parma, I) / Per aes et libram. The Steelyards from Borgo delle Orsoline (Parma, I).” *Sylloge Epigraphica Barcinonensis* 17:147-58.
- Dönmez-Öztürk, F., R. Haensch, H.S. Öztürk, and P. Weiss. 2008. “Aus dem Pera Museum (Istanbul) Weitere Gewichte mit Nennung von Statthaltern von Pontus et Bithynia.” *Chiron* 38:243-60.
- Franken, N. 1994. “Zur Typologie antiker Schnellwaagen.” *BjB* 193:69-120.

²² Berrendonner 2009.

²³ For a discussion on the officials in the market place in antiquity, see Tekin 2016, 29-34.

²⁴ See a discussion of the BANNAF equal arm balance pan, see Güler 2022. For a general overview of these stamped pans, see Krier 2008.

²⁵ For Late Roman lead weights from Pontus and Bithynia with the names of *agoranomoi*, see Dönmez-Öztürk et al. 2008.

²⁶ Franken 1993. For examples of the steelyards with *exacta in Capitolio*, see table 3.

²⁷ Berrendonner 2009.

- Güler, Y.S. 2022. "Pera Müzesinde Bulunan BANNAF Damgalı Bir Bronz Kefe Üzerine Değerlendirme / An Evaluation of a Bronze Pan with the Inscription BANNAF in the Pera Museum." *Türk Arkeoloji ve Kültürel Miras Enstitüsü Dergisi / The Journal of the Turkish Institute of Archaeology and Cultural Heritage* 2:75-96.
- Izquierdo, A.F., and M.R. Ramón. 1998. "Una statera romana en la colección de pesas y medidas del Ayuntamiento de Valencia." In *III Jornadas de arqueología subacuática: puertos antiguos y comercio marítimo: Facultat de Geografia i Història de Valencia, 13, 14, y 15 de noviembre de 1997*, edited by J.P. Ballester and G.P. Berlanga, 327-38. Valencia: Departament de prehistòria i arqueologia Universitat de València.
- Kardyras, N. 1998. "Χάλκινος καμπάνος (καντάρι) από παλαιοχριστιανική έπαυλή της Θεσσαλονίκης / A Bronze Steelyard from an Early Christian Villa in Thessaloniki." *Museum of Byzantine Culture* 5:36-49.
- Kienast, D., W. Eck, and M. Heil. 2017. *Römische kaisertabelle. Grundzüge einer römischen Kaiserchronologie*. 6th ed. Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft.
- Kolias, T.G., and M. Chrone. 2010. *Το επαρχικόν βιβλίον Λέοντος 6. του Σοφού: εισαγωγή, απόδοση κειμένου στη νέα ελληνική, σχόλιασμός*. Athens: Kanake.
- Krier, J. 2008. "BANNA-Schälchen: zu Verbreitung, Datierung und Funktion eines rätselhaften Fundobjekts der frühen Kaiserzeit." In *Instrumenta Inscripta Latina II: Akten des 2. Internationalen Kolloquiums Klagenfurt, 5.-8. Mai 2005*, edited by M. Hainzmann and R. Wedenig, 189-200. Aus Forschung und Kunst 36. Klagenfurt: Verlag des Geschichtsvereines für Kärnten.
- Luciani, F., and T. Lucchelli. 2016. "Pondera exacta ad Castoris." *Antichità Altoadriatiche* 83:265-289.
- Mutz, A. 1983. *Römische Waagen und Gewichte aus Augst und Kaiseraugst*. Augster Museumshefte 6. Pratteln: Römermuseum.
- Sams, G.K. 1982. "The Weighing Implements." In *Yassi Ada. Vol. 1, A Seventh-Century Byzantine Shipwreck*, 202-30. Nautical Archaeology Series. College Station, TX: Texas A & M University Press.
- Tekin, O. 2016. *Balance Weights in the Aegean World: Classical and Hellenistic Periods*. Istanbul: Türk Eskiçağ Bilimleri Enstitüsü.
- Vincze, G.C. 2019. "Steelyards from the Roman Collection of the Hungarian National Museum." In *Numismatica Pannonica 1. Proceedings of the Conference held by the Antique Numismatic Workshop on the 10th September at the Hungarian Numismatic Society Budapest, Hungary*, edited by L. Juhász, 51-71. Acta Numismatica Hungarica Suppl. 1. Budapest: Hungarian Numismatic Society.
- Zahn, R. 1913. "Zwei neue Schnellwagen im Antiquarium." *AmtBer* 35.1:1-10.



FIG. 1
General view of
the steelyard.



FIG. 2
Inscription on the
fulcrum bar of face 1.



FIG. 3
Inscription on the
fulcrum bar of face 2.

