

A Trapezoid Type Tensorial Norm Inequality for Continuous Functions of Selfadjoint Operators in Hilbert Spaces

Silvestru Sever Dragomir^{1*,2} 

¹College of Engineering & Science Victoria University, Department of Mathematics, PO Box 14428 Melbourne City, MC 8001, Australia.

²University of the Witwatersrand, DST-NRF Centre of Excellence in the Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, School of Computer Science & Applied Mathematics, Johannesburg, South Africa

ABSTRACT

Generalized trapezoid and trapezoid rules play an important role in approximating the Lebesgue integral in the case of scalar-valued functions defined on a finite interval. Motivated by this reason, in this paper we provided several norm error bounds in approximation the integral of continuous function of the convex combination of some tensorial products in terms of the corresponding tensorial generalized and trapezoid rules. The case of continuously differentiable functions is analysed in detail in the case when the derivative is bounded on a finite interval. Related results for the case when the absolute value of the derivative is convex is also provided. The important particular case for the operator exponential function is also considered and the corresponding norm inequalities revealed.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 47A63, 47A99

Keywords: tensorial product, selfadjoint operators, operator norm, trapezoid rule, convex functions

1. INTRODUCTION

Assume that the function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$, then we have the *generalized trapezoid inequality*, see for instance [Cerone, P., Dragomir, S. S. \(2000\)](#)

$$\left| \frac{(b-x)f(b) + (x-a)f(a)}{b-a} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \leq \left[\frac{1}{4} + \left(\frac{x - \frac{a+b}{2}}{b-a} \right)^2 \right] \|f'\|_\infty (b-a), \quad (1.1)$$

for all $x \in [a, b]$ and the constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is the best possible.

For $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$ we get the *trapezoid inequality*

$$\left| \frac{f(b) + f(a)}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \|f'\|_\infty (b-a),$$

with $\frac{1}{4}$ as best possible constant.

In order to extend this result for tensorial products of selfadjoint operators and norms, we need the following preparations.

Let I_1, \dots, I_k be intervals from \mathbb{R} and let $f : I_1 \times \dots \times I_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an essentially bounded real function defined on the product of the intervals. Let $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ be a k -tuple of bounded selfadjoint operators on Hilbert spaces H_1, \dots, H_k such that the spectrum of A_i is contained in I_i for $i = 1, \dots, k$. We say that such a k -tuple is in the domain of f . If

$$A_i = \int_{I_i} \lambda_i dE_i(\lambda_i)$$

is the spectral resolution of A_i for $i = 1, \dots, k$; where $E_i(\cdot)$ is the spectral measure of A_i for $i = 1, \dots, k$; by following [Araki, H.](#),

Corresponding Author: Silvestru Sever Dragomir **E-mail:** sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

Submitted: 19.09.2023 • **Last Revision Received:** 02.11.2023 • **Accepted:** 06.11.2023



This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0)

Hansen, F. (2000), we define

$$f(A_1, \dots, A_k) := \int_{I_1} \dots \int_{I_k} f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) dE_1(\lambda_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE_k(\lambda_k) \tag{1.2}$$

as a bounded selfadjoint operator on the tensorial product $H_1 \otimes \dots \otimes H_k$.

If the Hilbert spaces are of finite dimension, then the above integrals become finite sums, and we may consider the functional calculus for arbitrary real functions. This construction Araki, H., Hansen, F. (2000) extends the definition of Korányi Korányi, A. (1961) for functions of two variables and have the property that

$$f(A_1, \dots, A_k) = f_1(A_1) \otimes \dots \otimes f_k(A_k),$$

whenever f can be separated as a product $f(t_1, \dots, t_k) = f_1(t_1) \dots f_k(t_k)$ of k functions each depending on only one variable.

It is known that, if f is super-multiplicative (sub-multiplicative) on $[0, \infty)$, namely

$$f(st) \geq (\leq) f(s)f(t) \text{ for all } s, t \in [0, \infty)$$

and if f is continuous on $[0, \infty)$, then (Furuta, T., Mičić Hot, J., Pečarić, J., Seo, Y. 2005, p. 173)

$$f(A \otimes B) \geq (\leq) f(A) \otimes f(B) \text{ for all } A, B \geq 0. \tag{1.3}$$

This follows by observing that, if

$$A = \int_{[0, \infty)} t dE(t) \text{ and } B = \int_{[0, \infty)} s dF(s)$$

are the spectral resolutions of A and B , then

$$f(A \otimes B) = \int_{[0, \infty)} \int_{[0, \infty)} f(st) dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \tag{1.4}$$

for the continuous function f on $[0, \infty)$.

Recall the geometric operator mean for the positive operators $A, B > 0$

$$A\#_t B := A^{1/2}(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^t A^{1/2},$$

where $t \in [0, 1]$ and

$$A\#B := A^{1/2}(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2} A^{1/2}.$$

By the definitions of $\#$ and \otimes we have

$$A\#B = B\#A \text{ and } (A\#B) \otimes (B\#A) = (A \otimes B) \# (B \otimes A).$$

In 2007, S. Wada Wada, S. (2007) obtained the following Callebaut type inequalities for tensorial product

$$\begin{aligned} (A\#B) \otimes (A\#B) &\leq \frac{1}{2} [(A\#_\alpha B) \otimes (A\#_{1-\alpha} B) + (A\#_{1-\alpha} B) \otimes (A\#_\alpha B)] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (A \otimes B + B \otimes A) \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

for $A, B > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. For other similar results, see Ando, T. (1979), Aujila, J. S., Vasudeva, H. L. (1995) and Ebadian, A., Nikoufar, I., Gordji, M. E. (2011)-Kitamura, K., Seo, Y. (1998).

Motivated by the above results, if f is continuously differentiable on I with $\|f'\|_{I, \infty} := \sup_{t \in I} |f'(t)| < \infty$ and A, B are selfadjoint operators with spectra $\text{Sp}(A), \text{Sp}(B) \subset I$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| (1-\lambda)f(A) \otimes 1 + \lambda 1 \otimes f(B) - \int_0^1 f((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\ &\leq \|f'\|_{I, \infty} \left[\frac{1}{4} + \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right] \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. In particular, we have the trapezoid inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \frac{f(A) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes f(B)}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|f'\|_{I, \infty} \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\|. \end{aligned}$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

Recall the following property of the tensorial product

$$(AC) \otimes (BD) = (A \otimes B) (C \otimes D) \tag{2.1}$$

that holds for any $A, B, C, D \in B(H)$, the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on H .

If we take $C = A$ and $D = B$, then we get

$$A^2 \otimes B^2 = (A \otimes B)^2.$$

By induction and using (2.1) we derive that

$$A^n \otimes B^n = (A \otimes B)^n \text{ for natural } n \geq 0. \tag{2.2}$$

In particular

$$A^n \otimes 1 = (A \otimes 1)^n \text{ and } 1 \otimes B^n = (1 \otimes B)^n \tag{2.3}$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

We also observe that, by (2.1), the operators $A \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes B$ are commutative and

$$(A \otimes 1) (1 \otimes B) = (1 \otimes B) (A \otimes 1) = A \otimes B. \tag{2.4}$$

Moreover, for two natural numbers m, n we have

$$(A \otimes 1)^m (1 \otimes B)^n = (1 \otimes B)^n (A \otimes 1)^m = A^m \otimes B^n. \tag{2.5}$$

We have the following representation results for continuous functions:

Lemma 2.1. Assume A and B are selfadjoint operators with $\text{Sp}(A) \subset I$ and $\text{Sp}(B) \subset J$. Let f, h be continuous on I , g, k continuous on J and φ continuous on an interval K that contains the sum of the intervals $h(I) + k(J)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & (f(A) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes g(B)) \varphi(h(A) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes k(B)) \\ &= \int_I \int_J (f(t) + g(s)) \varphi(h(t) + k(s)) dE_t \otimes dF_s, \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where A and B have the spectral resolutions

$$A = \int_I t dE(t) \text{ and } B = \int_J s dF(s). \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. By Stone-Weierstrass, any continuous function can be approximated by a sequence of polynomials, therefore it suffices to prove the equality for the power function $\varphi(t) = t^n$ with n any natural number.

For natural number $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K} &:= \int_I \int_J (f(t) + g(s)) (h(t) + k(s))^n dE_t \otimes dF_s \\ &= \int_I \int_J (f(t) + g(s)) \sum_{m=0}^n C_n^m [h(t)]^m [k(s)]^{n-m} dE_t \otimes dF_s \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n C_n^m \int_I \int_J (f(t) + g(s)) [h(t)]^m [k(s)]^{n-m} dE_t \otimes dF_s \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n C_n^m \left[\int_I \int_J f(t) [h(t)]^m [k(s)]^{n-m} dE_t \otimes dF_s \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_I \int_J [h(t)]^m g(s) [k(s)]^{n-m} dE_t \otimes dF_s \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_I \int_J f(t) [h(t)]^m [k(s)]^{n-m} dE_t \otimes dF_s \\ &= f(A) [h(A)]^m \otimes [k(B)]^{n-m} = (f(A) \otimes 1) ([h(A)]^m \otimes [k(B)]^{n-m}) \\ &= (f(A) \otimes 1) ([h(A)]^m \otimes 1) (1 \otimes [k(B)]^{n-m}) \\ &= (f(A) \otimes 1) (h(A) \otimes 1)^m (1 \otimes k(B))^{n-m} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_I \int_J [h(t)]^m g(s) [k(s)]^{n-m} dE_t \otimes dF_s \\ &= [h(A)]^m \otimes (g(B) [k(B)]^{n-m}) = (1 \otimes g(B)) ([h(A)]^m \otimes [k(B)]^{n-m}) \\ &= (1 \otimes g(B)) ([h(A)]^m \otimes 1) (1 \otimes [k(B)]^{n-m}) \\ &= (1 \otimes g(B)) (h(A) \otimes 1)^m (1 \otimes k(B))^{n-m}, \end{aligned}$$

with $h(A) \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes k(B)$ commutative.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K} &= (f(A) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes g(B)) \sum_{m=0}^n C_n^m (h(A) \otimes 1)^m (1 \otimes k(B))^{n-m} \\ &= (f(A) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes g(B)) (h(A) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes k(B))^n, \end{aligned}$$

for which the commutativity of $h(A) \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes k(B)$ has been employed.

We have the following representation result:

Theorem 2.2. Assume that f is continuously differentiable on I , A and B are selfadjoint operators with $\text{Sp}(A), \text{Sp}(B) \subset I$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \lambda) 1 \otimes f(B) + \lambda f(A) \otimes 1 - \int_0^1 f((1 - u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \tag{2.9} \\ &= (1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1) \int_0^1 (u - \lambda) f'((1 - u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

In particular, we have the trapezoid identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1 \otimes f(B) + f(A) \otimes 1}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1 - u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \tag{2.10} \\ &= (1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1) \int_0^1 \left(u - \frac{1}{2}\right) f'((1 - u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Integrating by parts in the Lebesgue integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b (t - x) f'(t) dt &= (t - x) f(t) \Big|_a^b - \int_a^b f(t) dt \tag{2.11} \\ &= (b - x) f(b) + (x - a) f(a) - \int_a^b f(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

for $a \leq x \leq b$ and f absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$.

If we take $x = (1 - \lambda)a + \lambda b$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and change the variable $t = (1 - u)a + ub$, then $dt = (b - a) du$ and by (2.11) we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \lambda)(b - a) f(b) + \lambda(b - a) f(a) - (b - a) \int_0^1 f((1 - u)a + ub) du \\ &= (b - a)^2 \int_0^1 (u - \lambda) f'((1 - u)a + ub) du, \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \lambda) f(b) + \lambda f(a) - \int_0^1 f((1 - u)a + ub) du \tag{2.12} \\ &= (b - a) \int_0^1 (u - \lambda) f'((1 - u)a + ub) du, \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b \in I$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Assume that A and B have the spectral resolutions

$$A = \int_I t dE(t) \text{ and } B = \int_I s dF(s).$$

If we take the integral $\int_I \int_I$ over $dE_t \otimes dF_s$ in (2.12) written for $b = s, a = t$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_I \int_I \left[(1 - \lambda) f(s) + \lambda f(t) - \int_0^1 f((1 - u)t + us) du \right] dE_t \otimes dF_s \\ &= \int_I \int_I \left[(s - t) \int_0^1 (u - \lambda) f'((1 - u)t + us) \right] dE_t \otimes dF_s. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

By utilizing Fubini’s theorem and Lemma 2.1 we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_I \int_I \left[(1 - \lambda) f(s) + \lambda f(t) - \int_0^1 f((1 - u)t + us) du \right] dE_t \otimes dF_s \\ &= (1 - \lambda) \int_I \int_I f(s) dE_t \otimes dF_s + \lambda \int_I \int_I f(t) dE_t \otimes dF_s \\ & \quad - \int_0^1 \left(\int_I \int_I (f((1 - u)t + us)) dE_t \otimes dF_s \right) du \\ &= (1 - \lambda) 1 \otimes f(B) + \lambda f(A) \otimes 1 - \int_0^1 f((1 - u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_I \int_I \left[(s - t) \int_0^1 (u - \lambda) f'((1 - u)t + us) du \right] dE_t \otimes dF_s \\ &= \int_0^1 (u - \lambda) \left[\int_I \int_I (s - t) f'((1 - u)t + us) dE_t \otimes dF_s \right] du \\ &= \int_0^1 (u - \lambda) (1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1) f'((1 - u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \\ &= (1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1) \int_0^1 (u - \lambda) f'((1 - u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Therefore, by (2.13)-(2.15) we get the desired identity (2.9).

We have the following generalized trapezoid inequality:

Theorem 2.3. Assume that f is continuously differentiable on I with $\|f'\|_{I,\infty} := \sup_{t \in I} |f'(t)| < \infty$ and A, B are selfadjoint operators with $\text{Sp}(A), \text{Sp}(B) \subset I$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (1 - \lambda) 1 \otimes f(B) + \lambda f(A) \otimes 1 - \int_0^1 f((1 - u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\ & \leq \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| \left[\frac{1}{4} + \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right] \|f'\|_{I,\infty} \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

In particular, we have the trapezoid inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1 \otimes f(B) + f(A) \otimes 1}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1 - u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \|f'\|_{I,\infty} \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Proof. If we take the norm in the identity (2.9) and use the properties of the integral, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (1 - \lambda) 1 \otimes f(B) + \lambda f(A) \otimes 1 - \int_0^1 f((1 - u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\ &= \left\| (1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1) \int_0^1 (u - \lambda) f'((1 - u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\ & \leq \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| \left\| \int_0^1 (u - \lambda) f'((1 - u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\ & \leq \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| \int_0^1 |u - \lambda| \|f'((1 - u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B)\| du \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Observe that, by Lemma 2.1

$$|f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B)| = \int_I \int_I |f'((1-u)t + us)| dE_t \otimes dF_s$$

for $u \in [0, 1]$.

Note that

$$|f'((1-u)t + us)| \leq \|f'\|_{I,\infty}$$

for $u \in [0, 1]$ and $t, s \in I$.

If we take the integral $\int_I \int_I$ over $dE_t \otimes dF_s$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} &|f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B)| \\ &= \int_I \int_I |f'((1-u)t + us)| dE_t \otimes dF_s \leq \|f'\|_{I,\infty} \int_I \int_I dE_t \otimes dF_s = \|f'\|_{I,\infty} \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

for $u \in [0, 1]$. This implies that

$$\|f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B)\| \leq \|f'\|_{I,\infty}$$

for $u \in [0, 1]$, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 |u - \lambda| \|f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B)\| du \\ &\leq \|f'\|_{I,\infty} \int_0^1 |u - \lambda| du = \|f'\|_{I,\infty} \frac{(1-\lambda)^2 + \lambda^2}{2} \\ &= \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| \left[\frac{1}{4} + \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \right] \|f'\|_{I,\infty} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, which proves (2.16).

3. RELATED RESULTS

In this section we give some norm trapezoid inequalities under various assumptions of convexity for the absolute value of the derivative $|f'|$ on I .

Theorem 3.1. Assume that f is continuously differentiable on I with $|f'|$ is convex on I , A and B are selfadjoint operators with $\text{Sp}(A), \text{Sp}(B) \subset I$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| (1-\lambda)1 \otimes f(B) + \lambda f(A) \otimes 1 - \int_0^1 f((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\ &\leq \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| [p(1-\lambda) \|f'(A)\| + p(\lambda) \|f'(B)\|], \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, where

$$p(\lambda) := \frac{1}{6} (2\lambda^3 - 3\lambda + 2).$$

In particular, we have the trapezoid inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \frac{1 \otimes f(B) + f(A) \otimes 1}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| (\|f'(A)\| + \|f'(B)\|). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Since $|f'|$ is convex on I , then

$$|f'((1-u)t + us)| \leq (1-u)|f'(t)| + u|f'(s)|$$

for all $t, s \in I$ and $u \in [0, 1]$.

If we take the integral $\int_I \int_I$ over $dE_t \otimes dF_s$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_I \int_I |f'((1-u)t + us)| dE_t \otimes dF_s \\ &\leq (1-u) \int_I \int_I |f'(t)| dE_t \otimes dF_s + u \int_I \int_I |f'(s)| dE_t \otimes dF_s, \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$|f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B)| \leq (1-u)|f'(A)| \otimes 1 + u|f'(B)| \otimes 1 \tag{3.3}$$

for all $u \in [0, 1]$.

If we take the norm in (3.3), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B)\| &\leq \|(1-u)|f'(A)| \otimes 1 + u|f'(B)| \otimes 1\| \\ &\leq (1-u)\|f'(A)\| + u\|f'(B)\| \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

for all $u \in [0, 1]$.

By (2.18) and (3.4) we derive

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| (1-\lambda)1 \otimes f(B) + \lambda f(A) \otimes 1 - \int_0^1 f((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\ &\leq \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| \int_0^1 |u-\lambda| \|f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B)\| du \\ &\leq \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| \\ &\times \left[\|f'(A)\| \int_0^1 |u-\lambda|(1-u) du + \|f'(B)\| \int_0^1 u|u-\lambda| du \right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Observe that, for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$,

$$\int_0^1 u|u-\lambda| du = p(\lambda) \text{ and } \int_0^1 (1-u)|u-\lambda| du = p(1-\lambda).$$

By utilizing (3.5) we derive (3.1).

We recall that the function $g : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *quasi-convex*, if

$$g((1-\lambda)t + \lambda s) \leq \max\{g(t), g(s)\} = \frac{1}{2}(g(t) + g(s) + |g(t) - g(s)|)$$

for all $t, s \in I$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that f is continuously differentiable on I with $|f'|$ is quasi-convex on I , A and B are selfadjoint operators with $\text{Sp}(A), \text{Sp}(B) \subset I$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| (1-\lambda)1 \otimes f(B) + \lambda f(A) \otimes 1 - \int_0^1 f((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| \left[\frac{1}{4} + \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \right] \\ &\times (\| |f'(A)| \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes |f'(B)| \| + \| |f'(A)| \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes |f'(B)| \|). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \frac{1 \otimes f(B) + f(A) \otimes 1}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| \\ &\times (\| |f'(A)| \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes |f'(B)| \| + \| |f'(A)| \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes |f'(B)| \|). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. Since $|f'|$ is quasi-convex on I , then we get

$$|f'((1-u)t + us)| \leq \frac{1}{2} (|f'(t)| + |f'(s)| + \||f'(t)| - |f'(s)|\|)$$

for all for $u \in [0, 1]$ and $t, s \in I$.

If we take the integral $\int_I \int_I$ over $dE_t \otimes dF_s$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_I \int_I |f'((1-u)t + us)| dE_t \otimes dF_s \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_I \int_I (\|f'(t)\| + |f'(s)| + \||f'(t)| - |f'(s)|\|) dE_t \otimes dF_s \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned} & |f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B)| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (|f'(A)| \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes |f'(B)| + ||f'(A)| \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes |f'(B)||) \end{aligned}$$

for all for $u \in [0, 1]$.

If we take the norm, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B)\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (|||f'(A)| \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes |f'(B)||| + |||f'(A)| \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes |f'(B)|||) \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

for all for $u \in [0, 1]$.

By (2.18) and (3.8)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (1-\lambda)1 \otimes f(B) + \lambda f(A) \otimes 1 - \int_0^1 f((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\ & \leq \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| \int_0^1 |u-\lambda| \|f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B)\| du \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| \left[\frac{1}{4} + \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \right] \\ & \times (|||f'(A)| \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes |f'(B)||| + |||f'(A)| \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes |f'(B)|||) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and the inequality (3.6) is proved.

4. EXAMPLES

It is known that if U and V are commuting, i.e. $UV = VU$, then the exponential function satisfies the property

$$\exp(U) \exp(V) = \exp(V) \exp(U) = \exp(U + V).$$

Also, if U is invertible and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$ then

$$\int_a^b \exp(tU) dt = U^{-1} [\exp(bU) - \exp(aU)].$$

Moreover, if U and V are commuting and $V - U$ is invertible, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \exp((1-s)U + sV) ds &= \int_0^1 \exp(s(V-U)) \exp(U) ds \\ &= \left(\int_0^1 \exp(s(V-U)) ds \right) \exp(U) \\ &= (V-U)^{-1} [\exp(V) - \exp(U)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since the operators $U = A \otimes 1$ and $V = 1 \otimes B$ are commutative and if $1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1$ is invertible, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \exp((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \\ &= (1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1)^{-1} [\exp(1 \otimes B) - \exp(A \otimes 1)]. \end{aligned}$$

If A, B are selfadjoint operators with $\text{Sp}(A), \text{Sp}(B) \subset [m, M]$, with $m < M$ real numbers and $1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1$ is invertible, then by (2.16)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (1-\lambda) \exp(A) \otimes 1 + \lambda 1 \otimes \exp(B) \right. \\ & \left. - (1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1)^{-1} [\exp(1 \otimes B) - \exp(A \otimes 1)] \right\| \\ & \leq \exp(M) \left[\frac{1}{4} + \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \right] \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\|, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Since for $f(t) = \exp t$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $|f'|$ is convex, then by Theorem 3.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (1 - \lambda) \exp(A) \otimes 1 + \lambda 1 \otimes \exp(B) \\ & - (1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1)^{-1} [\exp(1 \otimes B) - \exp(A \otimes 1)] \| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{4} + \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right] \| 1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1 \| \\ & \times (\| \exp(A) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \exp(B) \| + \| \exp(A) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \exp(B) \|) \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Peer Review: Externally peer-reviewed.

Conflict of Interest: Author declared no conflict of interest.

Financial Disclosure: Author declared no financial support.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank the anonymous referees for valuable comments that have been implemented in the final version of the manuscript.

LIST OF AUTHOR ORCIDS

Silvestru Sever Dragomir <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2902-6805>

REFERENCES

- Ando, T. 1979, Concavity of certain maps on positive definite matrices and applications to Hadamard products, *Lin. Alg. & Appl.* 26, 203-241.
- Araki, H., Hansen, F. 2000, Jensen's operator inequality for functions of several variables, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 128 No. 7, 2075-2084.
- Aujila, J. S., Vasudeva, H. L. 1995, Inequalities involving Hadamard product and operator means, *Math. Japon.* 42 265-272.
- Cerone, P., Dragomir, S. S. 2000, Trapezoidal-type rules from an inequalities point of view, in *Handbook of Analytic-Computational Methods in Applied Mathematics*, G. Anastassiou (Ed.), CRC Press, NY, 65-134.
- Dragomir, S. S. 2006, Bounds for the normalized Jensen functional, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 74(3), 417-478.
- Dragomir, S. S. 2022, Some tensorial Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for convex functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *Preprint RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.* 25, Art. 90, 14 pp. [Online <https://rgmia.org/papers/v25/v25a90.pdf>].
- Korányi, A. 1961, On some classes of analytic functions of several variables. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 101, 520-554.
- Ebadian, A., Nikoufar, I., Gordji, M. E. 2011, Perspectives of matrix convex functions, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 108, no. 18, 7313-7314.
- Fujii, J. I. 1995, The Marcus-Khan theorem for Hilbert space operators. *Math. Jpn.* 41, 531-535
- Furuta, T., Mičić Hot, J., Pečarić, J., Seo, Y. 2005, Mond-Pečarić method in operator inequalities. *inequalities for bounded selfadjoint operators on a Hilbert space*, Element, Zagreb.
- Kitamura, K., Seo, Y. 1998, Operator inequalities on Hadamard product associated with Kadison's Schwarz inequalities, *Scient. Math.* 1, No. 2, 237-241.
- Wada, S. 2007, On some refinement of the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality, *Lin. Alg. & Appl.* 420, 433-440.