



## Proximity Coincidence Points for a Pair of Maps with Three Auxiliary Functions in Partially Ordered Metric Spaces

\*Venkata Ravindranadh Babu Gutti<sup>1</sup>, Leta Bekere Kumssa<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam-530 003, India.

### Article Info

Received: 02/11/2016

Accepted: 10/03/2017

### Abstract

In this paper, we establish proximity coincidence point results using three auxiliary functions, which need not be continuous, in partially ordered metric spaces for a pair of maps. We also discuss several corollaries and give illustrative examples in support of our results. The results presented in this paper generalize the results of Wangkeeree and Sissarat [17].

### Keywords

proximity coincidence point,  
proximally increasing map,  
best proximity point,  
partially ordered set.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The famous Banach's contraction principle is an important tool to assert the uniqueness of fixed point for selfmaps in complete metric spaces. When a map from a metric space into itself has no fixed points, it could be interesting to study the existence and uniqueness of some points that minimize the distance between an origin and its corresponding image. That is, it may be speculated to determine an element  $x$  for which the error  $d(x, Tx)$  is minimal, in the sense  $x$  and  $Tx$  are in close proximity to each other. This concept gives rise to the best proximity theory.

Let  $A$  be a nonempty subset of a metric space  $(X, d)$  and  $f: A \rightarrow X$  is a map. If the fixed point equation  $fx = x$  does not possess a solution, then  $d(x, fx) > 0$  for all  $x \in A$ . In such a situation, it is the aim of best proximity theory to find an element  $x \in A$  such that  $d(x, fx)$  is minimum in some sense. A point  $x \in A$  is called best proximity point of  $T: A \rightarrow B$  if  $d(x, Tx) = d(A, B)$  where  $d(A, B) := \inf\{d(x, y) : (x, y) \in A \times B\}$ . A best proximity point becomes a fixed point if the underlying mapping is a selfmapping. Therefore, it can be concluded that best proximity point theorems generalize fixed point theorems in a natural way.

In recent years, the existence and convergence of best proximity points is an interesting topic of optimization theory which attracted the attention of many authors [1-3, 5-6, 12, 15]. The best proximity point evolves as a generalization of the concept of the best approximation. The authors [7, 9-11, 13-14] and reference therein obtained best proximity point theorems under certain contraction conditions for non-selfmaps.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We recall the following notations and definitions. Let  $(X, d, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered metric space and let  $A$  and  $B$  be nonempty subsets of  $X$ .

$$A_0 := \{x \in A : d(x, y) = d(A, B) \text{ for some } y \in B\},$$

$$B_0 := \{y \in B : d(x, y) = d(A, B) \text{ for some } x \in A\}.$$

**Definition 2.1** [16] Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two nonempty subsets of a metric space  $(X, d)$  with  $A_0 \neq \emptyset$ . Then the pair  $(A, B)$  is said to have the  $P$ -property, if for any  $x_1, x_2 \in A_0$  and  $y_1, y_2 \in B_0$ ,

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} d(x_1, y_1) = d(A, B) \\ d(x_2, y_2) = d(A, B) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow d(x_1, x_2) = d(y_1, y_2).$$

**Definition 2.2** A mapping  $T: A \rightarrow A$  is said to be increasing if for all  $x, y \in A$ ,  $x \preceq y \Rightarrow Tx \preceq Ty$ .

**Definition 2.3** [8] Let  $(X, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $F, g: X \rightarrow X$  be maps.

(i)  $F$  is called  $g$ -nondecreasing if  $gx \preceq gy$  implies  $Fx \preceq Fy$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

(ii)  $F$  is called  $g$ -non-increasing if  $gx \preceq gy$  implies  $Fy \preceq Fx$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 2.4** [6] A mapping  $T: A \rightarrow B$  is said to be proximally increasing (nondecreasing) if for all  $u_1, u_2, x_1, x_2 \in A$ ,

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x_1 \preceq x_2 \\ d(u_1, Tx_1) = d(A, B) \\ d(u_2, Tx_2) = d(A, B) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow u_1 \preceq u_2.$$

Similarly, a mapping  $T: A \rightarrow B$  is said to be proximally decreasing (non-increasing) if for all  $u_1, u_2, x_1, x_2 \in A$ ,

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x_1 \preceq x_2 \\ d(u_1, Tx_1) = d(A, B) \\ d(u_2, Tx_2) = d(A, B) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow u_2 \preceq u_1.$$

**Definition 2.5** [17] ( $g$ -proximally increasing). Suppose  $(X, \preceq)$  is a partially ordered set. Let  $f: A \rightarrow B$  and  $g: A \rightarrow A$  be maps. A map  $f$  is said to be  $g$ -proximally increasing if for all  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in A$ ,

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} gy_1 \preceq gy_2 \\ d(x_1, fy_1) = d(A, B) \\ d(x_2, fy_2) = d(A, B) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow x_1 \preceq x_2.$$

Here we note that if  $g$  is an identity map of  $A$ , then clearly  $f$  is proximally increasing (nondecreasing) and if  $A = B$ , then  $f$  is  $g$  increasing (nondecreasing).

**Definition 2.6** [17] (Proximity coincidence point). Let  $A$  and  $B$  be nonempty subsets of a metric space  $(X, d)$ . Let  $f: A \rightarrow B$  be a non-selfmap and  $g: A \rightarrow A$  be a self map on  $A$ . A point  $x \in A$  is said to be a proximity coincidence point of  $f$  and  $g$  if  $d(gx, fx) = d(A, B)$ .

In 2015, Wangkeeree and Sissarat [17] proved some proximity coincidence point for non-selfmap and selfmap in partially ordered metric space.

**Theorem 2.7** [17] Let  $(X, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set and suppose that there is a metric  $d$  on  $X$  such that  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space. Let  $(A, B)$  be a pair of nonempty subsets of  $X$ . Assume that  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  are nonempty subsets of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively. Let  $f: A \rightarrow B$  and  $g: A \rightarrow A$  satisfy the following conditions.

- (i)  $f$  is a  $g$ -proximally increasing and  $(A, B)$  satisfy the  $P$ -property,
- (ii)  $g(A_0)$  is closed and  $f(A_0) \subseteq B_0$ ,  $A_0 \subseteq g(A_0)$ ,
- (iii)  $\psi(d(fx, fy)) \leq \alpha(d(gx, gy)) - \beta(d(gx, gy))$  for all  $x, y \in A$  such that  $gx \preceq gy$ , where  $\psi, \alpha, \beta: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  are such that  $\psi$  is continuous and monotone nondecreasing,  $\alpha$  is continuous and  $\beta$  is lower semi-continuous,  $\psi(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$ ,  $\alpha(0) = \beta(0) = 0$  and  $\psi(t) - \alpha(t) + \beta(t) > 0$  for all  $t > 0$ ,
- (iv) there exist elements  $x_0, x_1 \in A_0$  such that  $d(gx_1, fx_0) = d(A, B)$  and  $gx_0 \preceq gx_1$ .

Also, we assume that if any nondecreasing sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $gA_0$  converges to  $z$ , then  $x_n \preceq z$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

Then there exists an element  $x^* \in A$  such that  $d(gx^*, fx^*) = d(A, B)$ .

We denote by  $\Psi$  the set of all functions  $\psi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that

- (i)  $\psi$  is nondecreasing,
- (ii)  $\psi(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$  and
- (iii) if  $\{t_n\} \subseteq (0, \infty)$  is any bounded sequence such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(t_n) = 0$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0$ .

We denote by  $\Theta$  the set of all functions  $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that

- (i)  $\varphi$  is bounded on any bounded interval in  $[0, \infty)$  and
- (ii)  $\varphi$  is continuous at 0 and  $\varphi(0) = 0$ .

In Section 3 of this paper, we prove our main results by using three auxiliary functions in which we drop the continuity assumption from the result of Wangkeeree and Sissarat [17], so that our result is more general. In Section 4, we draw some corollaries and provide examples in support of our results.

We state the following lemma, which we use in our main results.

**Lemma 2.8.** [4] Suppose that  $(X, d)$  is a metric space. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$  such that  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $\{x_n\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence, then there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  and sequences of positive integers  $\{m_k\}$  and  $\{n_k\}$  with  $n_k > m_k > k$  such that  $d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}) \geq \varepsilon$ ,  $d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k-1}) < \varepsilon$  and

$$\begin{array}{ll} (i) \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_k-1}, x_{m_k+1}) = \varepsilon & (ii) \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) = \varepsilon \\ (iii) \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_k-1}, x_{m_k}) = \varepsilon & (iv) \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k+1}) = \varepsilon. \end{array}$$

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $(X, d, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered complete metric space. Let  $(A, B)$  be a pair of nonempty subsets of  $X$ . Assume that  $A_0$  is a nonempty subset of  $A$ . Let  $f: A \rightarrow B$  and  $g: A \rightarrow A$  satisfy the following conditions:

- (i)  $f$  is a  $g$ -proximally increasing and  $(A, B)$  satisfy the  $P$ -property,  
(ii)  $g(A_0)$  is closed and  $f(A_0) \subseteq B_0$ ,  $A_0 \subseteq g(A_0)$ ,  
(iii) there exist  $\psi \in \Psi$  and  $\varphi, \theta \in \Theta$  with the condition

$$\psi(t) - \overline{\lim} \varphi(x_n) + \underline{\lim} \theta(x_n) > 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $\{x_n\}$  is any sequence in  $[0, \infty)$  with  $x_n \rightarrow t > 0$  and

$$\psi(d(fx, fy)) \leq \varphi(d(gx, gy)) - \theta(d(gx, gy)) \quad (2)$$

for all  $x, y \in A_0$  with  $gx \preceq gy$  and also,

$$\psi(x) \leq \varphi(y) \Rightarrow x \leq y. \quad (3)$$

Also, suppose that if  $\{gx_n\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence in  $gA_0$  such that  $gx_n \rightarrow gz$ , then  $gx_n \preceq gz$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Furthermore, assume that there exist elements  $x_0, x_1 \in A_0$  such that  $d(gx_1, fx_0) = d(A, B)$  and  $gx_0 \preceq gx_1$ .

Then  $f$  and  $g$  have proximity coincidence point.

*Proof.* By our assumption, there exist  $x_0, x_1 \in A_0$  such that

$$d(gx_1, fx_0) = d(A, B) \text{ and } gx_0 \preceq gx_1. \quad (4)$$

As  $x_1 \in A_0$  so  $f(x_1) \subseteq B_0$ . Hence there exists  $z \in A$  such that  $d(z, fx_1) = d(A, B)$ . Therefore  $z \in A_0$ . Since  $A_0 \subseteq g(A_0)$ , there exists  $x_2 \in A_0$  such that  $z = gx_2$ . Hence

$$d(gx_2, fx_1) = d(A, B). \quad (5)$$

By  $g$ -proximally increasing property of  $f$ , from (4) and (5), we obtain  $gx_1 \preceq gx_2$ . On continuing this process, we get a sequence  $\{gx_n\}$  in  $gA_0$  such that

$$d(gx_{n+1}, fx_n) = d(A, B) \text{ for all } n \geq 0, \quad (6)$$

satisfying

$$gx_0 \preceq gx_1 \preceq \dots \preceq gx_n \preceq gx_{n+1} \preceq \dots. \quad (7)$$

By the  $P$ -property of  $(A, B)$ , from (4) and (5), we obtain  $d(gx_1, gx_2) = d(fx_0, fx_1)$ . On continuing this step, we have,

$$d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) \text{ for all } n \geq 0. \quad (8)$$

As  $gx_n \preceq gx_{n+1}$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , by applyin the inequality (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(gx_n, gx_{n+1})) &= \psi(d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)) \leq \varphi(d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)) - \theta(d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)). \end{aligned}$$

This implies, by (3), that  $d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \leq d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)$  and hence  $\{d(gx_n, gx_{n+1})\}$  is a decreasing sequence of non-negative real numbers. Therefore there exists  $r \geq 0$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = r.$$

Since  $d(gx_n, gx_{n+1})$  is a decreasing sequence which converges to  $r$ , we have  $r \leq d(gx_n, gx_{n+1})$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . From nondecreasing property of  $\psi$ , we get

$$\psi(r) \leq \psi(d(gx_n, gx_{n+1})).$$

Suppose  $r > 0$ . By applying the inequality (2), using (7) and (8), it follows that

$$\psi(r) \leq \psi(d(gx_n, gx_{n+1})) = \psi(d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)) \leq \varphi(d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)) - \theta(d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)). \quad (9)$$

On taking the limit supremum in (9), we have

$$\psi(r) - \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)) + \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta(d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)) \leq 0,$$

a contradiction. Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = 0. \quad (10)$$

We now show that the sequence  $\{gx_n\}$  is Cauchy. Let  $gx_n = y_n$ . Suppose that  $\{y_n\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence. Then by Lemma 2.8, then there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  and sequences of positive integers  $\{m_k\}$  and  $\{n_k\}$  such that  $n_k$  is the smallest index with  $n_k > m_k > k$ ,

$$d(y_{m_k}, y_{n_k}) \geq \varepsilon \text{ and } d(y_{m_k}, y_{n_{k-1}}) < \varepsilon, \quad (11)$$

satisfying  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{m_k}, y_{n_k}) = \varepsilon$ .

From (11) and by the nondecreasing property of  $\psi$ , we obtain  $\psi(\varepsilon) \leq \psi(d(y_{m_k}, y_{n_k}))$ . Since  $y_{m_k} \leq y_{n_k}$  for  $k \geq 0$ , by applying the inequality (2) and by using (8), we have

$$\psi(\varepsilon) \leq \psi(d(y_{m_k}, y_{n_k})) = \psi(d(fy_{m_{k-1}}, fy_{n_{k-1}})) \leq \varphi(d(y_{m_{k-1}}, y_{n_{k-1}})) - \theta(d(y_{m_{k-1}}, y_{n_{k-1}})).$$

On taking the limit supremum as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in the above inequality, we obtain

$$\psi(\varepsilon) \leq \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(d(y_{m_k}, y_{n_k})) + \underline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \theta(d(y_{m_k}, y_{n_k})) \leq 0,$$

a contradiction. Hence  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. i.e.  $\{gx_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $g(A_0)$ .

Since  $g(A_0)$  is a closed subset of a complete metric space  $X$  and hence complete, so that there exists  $x^* \in A_0$  such that  $gx_n \rightarrow gx^* \in g(A_0)$ . By the hypothesis of the theorem, we have  $gx_n \preceq gx^*$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $x^* \in A_0$ , we have  $fx^* \in f(A_0) \subseteq B_0$ . Therefore there exists a point  $z \in A_0$  such that

$$d(z, fx^*) = d(A, B). \quad (12)$$

Since the pair  $(A, B)$  satisfy the P-property, from (12) and (6), we have  $d(gx_{n+1}, z) = d(fx_n, fx^*)$ . By applying the inequality (2), it follows that

$$\psi(d(gx_{n+1}, z)) = \psi(d(fx_n, fx^*)) \leq \varphi(d(gx_n, gx^*)) - \theta(d(gx_n, gx^*)). \quad (13)$$

On taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (13), using the fact that  $gx_n \rightarrow gx^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , by the property (ii) of  $\varphi$  and  $\theta$  and the property of  $\psi$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(d(gx_{n+1}, z)) = 0$$

Therefore by hypothesis (iv), we get  $d(gx_{n+1}, z) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . i.e.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_{n+1} = z$  which implies

by the uniqueness of limit, that  $z = gx^*$ . Hence, we have  $d(gx^*, fx^*) = d(A, B)$ . Therefore  $x^*$  is the proximity coincidence point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

**Theorem 3.2** *In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1, assume the following:*

*Condition H : Suppose that  $g$  is one-to-one and for every  $x, y \in A$  there exists  $u \in A_0$  such that  $gu$  is comparable to  $gx$  and  $gy$ . Then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique proximity coincidence point.*

*Proof.* In view of the proof of Theorem 3.1, the set of proximity coincidence points of  $f$  and  $g$  is nonempty. Suppose that  $x, y \in A$  are the two distinct proximity coincidence points of  $f$  and  $g$ . That is,

$$d(gx, fx) = d(A, B) \text{ and } d(gy, fy) = d(A, B). \quad (14)$$

Case (i):  $gx$  is comparable to  $gy$ . i.e., either  $gx \preceq gy$  or  $gy \preceq gx$ .

We assume, without loss of generality, that  $gx \preceq gy$ . Since  $(A, B)$  satisfies the P-property, from (14), it follows that

$$d(gx, gy) = d(fx, fy). \quad (15)$$

Since  $gx \preceq gy$ , by the inequality (2), we get

$$\psi(d(gx, gy)) = \psi(d(fx, fy)) \leq \varphi(d(gx, gy)) - \theta(d(gx, gy)).$$

Since  $x$  and  $y$  are distinct and  $g$  is one-to-one, it follows that  $d(gx, gy) > 0$ . Therefore

$$\psi(d(gx, gy)) - \overline{\lim} \varphi(d(gx, gy)) + \underline{\lim} \theta(d(gx, gy)) \leq 0,$$

a contradiction. Hence  $gx = gy$ . This implies that  $x = y$ .

Case (ii):  $gx$  is not comparable to  $gy$ .

By assumption, there exists  $u \in A_0$  such that  $gu$  is comparable to  $gx$  and  $gy$ . Now, we set  $gu_0 = gu$ . Suppose that either

$$gu_0 \succcurlyeq gx \text{ or } gu_0 \preceq gx. \quad (16)$$

We assume, without loss of generality, that

$$gu_0 \preceq gx. \quad (17)$$

As  $u_0 = u \in A$ , so  $f(A_0) \subseteq B_0$ . Hence there exists  $z \in A$  such that  $d(z, fu_0) = d(A, B)$ . Therefore  $z \in A_0$ . Since  $A_0 \subseteq g(A_0)$ , there exists  $u_1 \in A_0$  such that  $z = gu_1$ . Hence

$$d(z, fu_0) = d(gu_1, fu_0) = d(A, B). \quad (18)$$

Since  $f$  is  $g$ -proximally increasing, from (14), (17) and (18), we obtain

$$gu_1 \preceq gx.$$

By using the P-property of the pair  $(A, B)$ , from (14) and (18), we have

$$d(gx, gu_1) = d(fx, fu_0).$$

On continuing this process, we can construct a sequence  $\{gu_n\}$  in  $gA_0$  such that

$$d(gx, gu_{n+1}) = d(fx, fu_n) \text{ and } gu_n \preceq gx \text{ for all } n \geq 0. \quad (19)$$

Hence by using (19) and the inequality (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(gx, gu_{n+1})) &= \psi(d(fx, fu_n)) \leq \varphi(d(gx, gu_n)) - \theta(d(gx, gu_n)) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(gx, gu_n)). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Therefore by condition (3), it follows that  $d(gx, gu_{n+1}) \leq d(gx, gu_n)$  so that  $\{d(gx, gu_n)\}$  is a decreasing sequence of non-negative real numbers. Hence there exists  $t \geq 0$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx, gu_{n+1}) = t. \quad (21)$$

Suppose that  $t > 0$ . Since  $\{d(gx, gu_n)\}$  is a decreasing sequence which converges to  $t$ , we have  $t \leq d(gx, gu_{n+1})$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Hence by nondecreasing property of  $\psi$ , it follows that

$$\psi(t) \leq \psi(d(gx, gu_{n+1})). \quad (22)$$

Combining (20), (22) and on taking limit supremum, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t) &\leq \limsup \varphi(d(gx, gu_n)) + \limsup (-\theta(d(gx, gu_n))). \text{ i.e.,} \\ \psi(t) - \limsup \varphi(d(gx, gu_n)) + \liminf (\theta(d(gx, gu_n))) &\leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence  $t = 0$ .

Similarly, we can show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gy, gu_n) = 0$ . Hence by triangle inequality, we have

$$d(gx, gy) \leq d(gx, gu_n) + d(gu_n, gy) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \text{ Hence } gx = gy. \text{ Since } g \text{ is one-to-one, we have } x = y.$$

#### 4. COROLLARIES AND EXAMPLES

If  $\psi$  is the identity mapping and  $\theta(t) = 0$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$  in Theorem 3.1, we have the following.

**Corollary 4.1** *Let  $(X, d, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered complete metric space. Let  $(A, B)$  be a pair of nonempty subsets of  $X$ . Assume that  $A_0$  is a nonempty subset of  $A$ . Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : A \rightarrow A$  satisfy the following conditions:*

- (i)  $f$  is a  $g$ -proximally increasing and  $(A, B)$  satisfy the  $P$ -property,
- (ii)  $g(A_0)$  is closed,  $f(A_0) \subseteq B_0$  and  $A_0 \subseteq g(A_0)$ ,
- (iii) there exists  $\varphi \in \Theta$  with the condition

$$\overline{\lim} \varphi(x_n) < t \quad (23)$$

where  $\{x_n\}$  is any sequence in  $[0, \infty)$  with  $x_n \rightarrow t > 0$  and

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \varphi(d(gx, gy)) \quad (24)$$

for all  $x, y \in A_0$  with  $gx \preceq gy$ .

Also, suppose that if  $\{gx_n\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence in  $gA_0$  such that  $gx_n \rightarrow gz$ , then  $gx_n \preceq gz$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Furthermore, assume that there exist elements  $x_0, x_1 \in A_0$  such that  $d(gx_1, fx_0) = d(A, B)$  and  $gx_0 \preceq gx_1$ .

Then  $f$  and  $g$  have proximity coincidence point.

If  $\psi(t) = \varphi(t)$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$  in Theorem 3.1, we have the following.

**Corollary 4.2** Let  $(X, d, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered complete metric space. Let  $(A, B)$  be a pair of nonempty subsets of  $X$ . Assume that  $A_0$  is a nonempty subset of  $A$ . Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : A \rightarrow A$  satisfy the following conditions:

- (i)  $f$  is a  $g$ -proximally increasing and  $(A, B)$  satisfy the  $P$ -property,
- (ii)  $g(A_0)$  is closed,  $f(A_0) \subseteq B_0$  and  $A_0 \subseteq g(A_0)$ ,
- (iii) there exists  $\psi \in \Psi$  and  $\varphi \in \Theta$  with the condition

$$\overline{\lim} \theta(x_n) > 0, \quad (24)$$

where  $\{x_n\}$  is any sequence in  $[0, \infty)$  with  $x_n \rightarrow t > 0$  and

$$\psi(d(fx, fy)) \leq \psi(d(gx, gy)) - \theta(d(gx, gy)) \quad (25)$$

for all  $x, y \in A_0$  with  $gx \preceq gy$ .

Also, suppose that if  $\{gx_n\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence in  $gA_0$  such that  $gx_n \rightarrow gz$ , then  $gx_n \preceq gz$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Furthermore, assume that there exist elements  $x_0, x_1 \in A_0$  such that  $d(gx_1, fx_0) = d(A, B)$  and  $gx_0 \preceq gx_1$ .

Then  $f$  and  $g$  have proximity coincidence point.

If  $\psi$  and  $\varphi$  are identity mappings and  $\theta(t) = (1 - k)t$ , where  $0 \leq k < 1$  in Theorem 3.1, we have the following.

**Corollary 4.3** Let  $(X, d, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered complete metric space. Let  $(A, B)$  be a pair of nonempty subsets of  $X$ . Assume that  $A_0$  is a nonempty subset of  $A$ . Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : A \rightarrow A$  satisfy the following conditions:

- (i)  $f$  is a  $g$ -proximally increasing and  $(A, B)$  satisfy the  $P$ -property,
  - (ii)  $g(A_0)$  is closed,  $f(A_0) \subseteq B_0$  and  $A_0 \subseteq g(A_0)$ .
- Suppose that there exists  $k \in [0, 1)$  such that for all  $x, y \in A_0$  with  $gx \preceq gy$ ,

$$d(fx, fy) \leq kd(gx, gy), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in A_0. \quad (26)$$

Also, suppose that if  $\{gx_n\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence in  $gA_0$  such that  $gx_n \rightarrow gz$ , then  $gx_n \preceq gz$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Furthermore, assume that there exist elements  $x_0, x_1 \in A_0$  such that  $d(gx_1, fx_0) = d(A, B)$  and  $gx_0 \preceq gx_1$ .

Then  $f$  and  $g$  have proximity coincidence point.

Since, for any nonempty subset  $A$  of  $X$ , the pair  $(A, A)$  satisfies the  $P$ -property if  $A = B$  in Theorem 3.1, we have the following fixed point result.

**Corollary 4.4** Let  $(X, d, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered complete metric space. Let  $A$  be a nonempty subset of  $X$ . Let  $f : A \rightarrow A$  and  $g : A \rightarrow A$  satisfy the following conditions:

- (i)  $f$  is a  $g$ -nondecreasing,
- (ii)  $g(A)$  is closed and  $f(A) \subseteq g(A)$ ,
- (iii) there exist  $\psi \in \Psi$  and  $\varphi, \theta \in \Theta$  with the condition

$$\psi(t) - \overline{\lim} \varphi(x_n) + \underline{\lim} \theta(x_n) > 0, \quad (27)$$

where  $\{x_n\}$  is any sequence in  $[0, \infty)$  with  $x_n \rightarrow t > 0$  and

$$\psi(d(fx, fy)) \leq \varphi(d(gx, gy)) - \theta(d(gx, gy)) \quad (28)$$



for all  $x, y \in A$  with  $gx \preceq gy$  and also,  $\psi(x) \leq \varphi(y) \Rightarrow x \leq y$ .

Also, suppose that if  $\{gx_n\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence in  $gA$  such that  $gx_n \rightarrow gz$ , then  $gx_n \preceq gz$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Furthermore, assume that there exists an element  $x_0 \in A$  such that  $gx_0 \preceq fx_0$ . Then  $f$  and  $g$  have a coincidence point in  $A$ .

If  $\psi$  is the identity mapping and  $\theta(t) = 0$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$  in Corollary 4.4, we have the following.

**Corollary 4.5** Let  $(X, d, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered complete metric space. Let  $A$  be a nonempty subset of  $X$ . Let  $f : A \rightarrow A$  and  $g : A \rightarrow A$  satisfy the following conditions:

- (i)  $f$  is a  $g$ -nondecreasing,
- (ii)  $g(A)$  is closed,  $f(A) \subseteq g(A)$ ,
- (iii) there exists  $\varphi \in \Theta$  with the condition

$$\overline{\lim} \varphi(x_n) < t \quad (29)$$

where  $\{x_n\}$  is any sequence in  $[0, \infty)$  with  $x_n \rightarrow t > 0$  and

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \varphi(d(gx, gy)) \quad (30)$$

for all  $x, y \in A_0$  with  $gx \preceq gy$ .

Also, suppose that if  $\{gx_n\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence in  $gA$  such that  $gx_n \rightarrow gz$ , then  $gx_n \preceq gz$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Furthermore, assume that there exists an element  $x_0 \in A$  such that  $gx_0 \preceq fx_0$ . Then  $f$  and  $g$  have a coincidence point in  $A$ .

If  $\psi(t) = \varphi(t)$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$  in Corollary 4.4, we have the following.

**Corollary 4.6** Let  $(X, d, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered complete metric space. Let  $A$  be a nonempty subset of  $X$ . Let  $f : A \rightarrow A$  and  $g : A \rightarrow A$  satisfy the following conditions:

- (i)  $f$  is a  $g$ -nondecreasing,
- (ii)  $g(A)$  is closed and  $f(A) \subseteq g(A)$ ,
- (iii) there exist  $\psi \in \Psi$  and  $\varphi \in \Theta$  with the condition

$$\underline{\lim} \theta(x_n) > 0, \quad (31)$$

where  $\{x_n\}$  is any sequence in  $[0, \infty)$  with  $x_n \rightarrow t > 0$  and

$$\psi(d(fx, fy)) \leq \psi(d(gx, gy)) - \theta(d(gx, gy)) \quad (32)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$  with  $gx \preceq gy$  and also,  $\psi(x) \leq \psi(y) \Rightarrow x \leq y$ .

Also, suppose that if  $\{gx_n\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence in  $gA$  such that  $gx_n \rightarrow gz$ , then  $gx_n \preceq gz$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Furthermore, assume that there exists an element  $x_0 \in A$  such that  $gx_0 \preceq fx_0$ . Then  $f$  and  $g$  have a coincidence point in  $A$ .

If  $\psi$  and  $\varphi$  are identity mappings and  $\theta(t) = (1 - k)t$ , where  $0 \leq k < 1$  in Corollary 4.4, we have the following.

**Corollary 4.7** Let  $(X, d, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered complete metric space. Let  $A$  be a nonempty subset of  $X$ . Let  $f : A \rightarrow A$  and  $g : A \rightarrow A$  satisfy the following conditions:

- (i)  $f$  is a  $g$ -nondecreasing,  
(ii)  $g(A)$  is closed and  $f(A) \subseteq g(A)$ ,  
(iii) there exists  $k \in [0,1)$  such that for all  $x, y \in A$  with  $gx \preceq gy$ ,

$$d(fx, fy) \leq kd(gx, gy), \quad (33)$$

Also, suppose that if  $\{gx_n\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence in  $gA$  such that  $gx_n \rightarrow gz$ , then  $gx_n \preceq gz$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Furthermore, assume that there exists an element  $x_0 \in A$  such that  $gx_0 \preceq fx_0$ . Then  $f$  and  $g$  have a coincidence point in  $A$ .

The following example is in support of Theorem 3.1.

**Example 4.8** Let  $X = [0,3] \times [0,3]$  with  $d(x, y) = |x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2|$ , where  $x = (x_1, x_2)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2)$ . We define a partial order  $\preceq$  on  $X$  by:

$$\preceq := \{((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) \in X \times X | x_1 = y_1, x_2 = y_2\} \cup \{((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) \in X \times X | x_1 = y_1 = 0, x_2, y_2 \in (0,1], x_2 \geq y_2\}.$$

$$\Delta \varepsilon \tau \quad A = \{(0, x) : 0 \leq x \leq 3\}, \quad B = \{(1, x) : 0 \leq x \leq 3\},$$

$$A_0 = \{(0, x) : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}, \quad B_0 = \{(1, x) : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}.$$

We define functions  $f: A \rightarrow B$  and  $g: A \rightarrow A$  by

$$f(0, x) = \left(1, \frac{x^2}{2+x}\right) \text{ and } g(0, x) = \left(1, \frac{3x}{2+x}\right).$$

Clearly  $d(A, B) = 1$ ,  $f(A_0) \subseteq B_0$ ,  $g(A_0)$  is closed and  $A_0 \subseteq g(A_0)$ . We now show that the pair  $(A, B)$  satisfies the P-property. For this purpose, let  $(0, x), (0, y) \in A_0$  and  $(1, u), (1, v) \in B_0$  such that

$$d((0, x), (1, u)) = d(A, B) = 1 \text{ and} \quad (34)$$

$$d((0, x), (1, u)) = d(A, B) = 1. \quad (35)$$

Hence from (34) and (35), we have  $x = u$  and  $y = v$ . This implies that

$$d((0, x), (0, y)) = d((0, u), (0, v)) = d((1, u), (1, v)).$$

Hence the pair  $(A, B)$  satisfies the P-property.

Now, we show that  $f$  is  $g$ -proximally increasing. In this case, let  $(0, x), (0, y), (0, u)$  and  $(0, v) \in A$  such that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} g(0, y) &\preceq g(0, v) \\ d((0, x), f(0, y)) &= 1 \\ d((0, u), f(0, v)) &= 1. \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Since  $g(0, y) \preceq g(0, v)$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(0, \frac{3y}{2+y}\right) \preceq \left(0, \frac{3v}{2+v}\right) &\Leftrightarrow \left(0, \frac{3y}{2+y}\right) \geq \left(0, \frac{3v}{2+v}\right) \Leftrightarrow y \geq v \\ &\Leftrightarrow 2y^2 + vy^2 \geq 2v^2 + yv^2 \Leftrightarrow \frac{y^2}{2+y} \geq \frac{v^2}{2+v}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

From  $d((0, x), f(0, y)) = d\left((0, x), \left(1, \frac{y^2}{2+y}\right)\right) = 1$ , we have

$$x = \frac{y^2}{2+y}. \quad (37)$$

From  $d((0, u), f(0, v)) = d\left((0, u), \left(1, \frac{v^2}{2+v}\right)\right) = 1$ , we have

$$u = \frac{v^2}{2+v}. \quad (38)$$

By (36), (37) and (38), we obtain  $x \geq u \Leftrightarrow (0, x) \preceq (0, u)$ . Hence  $f$  is  $g$ -proximally increasing.

We choose  $x_0 = \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ ,  $x_1 = \left(0, \frac{2}{29}\right) \in A_0$  such that  $d\left(g\left(0, \frac{2}{29}\right), f\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) = d(A, B)$  and

$$g\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \preceq g\left(0, \frac{2}{29}\right).$$

We define functions  $\psi, \varphi, \theta: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$\psi(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{7}{8}t & \text{if } t \in [0, 1] \\ \frac{3}{2}t & \text{if } t > 1, \end{cases} \quad \varphi(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{5}{6}t & \text{if } t \in [0, 1] \\ \frac{1}{2}t & \text{if } t > 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{16}t & \text{if } t \in [0, 1] \\ \frac{1}{8}t & \text{if } t > 1. \end{cases}$$

Let  $(0, x), (0, y) \in A$  such that  $g(0, x) \preceq g(0, y)$ . i.e., necessarily  $x, y \in (0, 1]$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \psi\left(d(f(0, x), f(0, y))\right) &= \psi\left(d\left(\left(1, \frac{x^2}{2+x}\right), \left(1, \frac{y^2}{2+y}\right)\right)\right) = \psi\left(\frac{2x^2+x^2y-2y^2-y^2x}{(2+x)(2+y)}\right) \\ &= \frac{7}{8}\left(\frac{2x^2+x^2y-2y^2-y^2x}{(2+x)(2+y)}\right) = \frac{7}{8}\left(\frac{2x+2y+xy}{(2+x)(2+y)}(x-y)\right) \leq \frac{37}{8}\frac{(x-y)}{(2+x)(2+y)} \\ &= \frac{5}{6}\left(\frac{6(x-y)}{(2+x)(2+y)}\right) - \frac{1}{16}\left(\frac{6(x-y)}{(2+x)(2+y)}\right) \\ &= \varphi\left(d(g(0, x), g(0, y))\right) - \theta\left(d(g(0, x), g(0, y))\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the inequality (2) holds. Therefore the functions  $\psi, \varphi, \theta, f$  and  $g$  satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 and  $(0, 0), (0, 3)$  are the proximity coincidence points of  $f$  and  $g$ .

Here we observe that  $g(0, 2)$  and  $g(0, \frac{5}{6})$  are not comparable, but there is no  $u \in A$  such that  $g(u)$  is comparable to both  $g(0, 2)$  and  $g(0, \frac{5}{6})$ . Therefore condition  $H$  in Theorem 3.2 fails to hold and  $f$  and  $g$  have more than one proximity coincidence point.

**Remark 4.9** The functions  $\psi, \varphi$  and  $\theta$  in Example 4.8 are not continuous, so that Theorem 2.7 is not applicable. Hence our result is more general than the result of Wangkeeree and Sissarat [17] in which continuous control functions are considered.

The following example is in support of Theorem 3.2.

**Example 4.10** Let  $X = \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \times \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \cup \{(0, 1), (0, 2), (1, 1), (1, 2)\}$ , with the Euclidean metric  $d$ . We define a partial order  $\preceq$  on  $X$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \preceq := & \{((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) \in X \times X \mid x_1 = y_1, x_2 = y_2\} \cup \{((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) \in X \times X \mid x_1 = y_1 = 0, \\ & x_2, y_2 \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right], x_2 \geq y_2\} \cup \{((0, 1), (0, 0)), ((0, 2), (0, 0))\} \text{ with } (x_1, x_2) \preceq (y_1, y_2) \Leftrightarrow x_1 = y_1 = y_2 = 0, \\ & x_2 \geq y_2, \text{ where } x_2 \in \{1, 2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $A = \{(0, x): 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}\} \cup \{(0,1), (0,2)\} = A_0$  and  $B = \{(1, x): 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}\} \cup \{(1,1), (1,2)\} = B_0$ .

We define  $f: A \rightarrow B$  and  $g: A \rightarrow A$  by

$f(0, x) = (1, \frac{x^2}{2})$  for all  $0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $f(0,1) = f(0,2) = (1, \frac{1}{4})$  and  $g(0, x) = (0, 2x^2)$  for all  $0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$g(0,1) = (0,1), \quad g(0,2) = (0,2).$$

Clearly  $d(A, B) = 1$ ,  $f(A_0) \subseteq B_0$  and  $A_0 \subseteq gA_0$ . We choose  $x_0 = (0, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $x_1 = (0, \frac{1}{4})$ . Then clearly  $d(g(0, \frac{1}{4}), f(0, \frac{1}{2})) = d(A, B)$  and  $g(0, \frac{1}{2}) \preceq g(0, \frac{1}{4})$ .

We now show that the pair  $(A, B)$  satisfies P-property. For this purpose, let  $(0, x_1), (0, y_1) \in A_0$  and  $(1, u_1), (1, v) \in B_0$  such that  $d((0, x_1), (1, u_1)) = d(A, B) = 1$  and  $d((0, y_1), (1, v_1)) = d(A, B) = 1$ . Then  $x_1 = u_1$  and  $y_1 = v_1$ . Hence  $d((0, x_1), (0, y_1)) = d((1, u_1), (1, v_1))$  so that the pair  $(A, B)$  satisfies the P-property.

Now, we show that  $f$  is g-proximally increasing on  $A$ . In this case, let  $(0, x)$ ,  $(0, u)$  and  $(0, v) \in A$  such that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} g(0, y) &\preceq g(0, v) \\ d((0, x), f(0, y)) &= 1 \\ d((0, u), f(0, v)) &= 1. \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Case (i):  $y, v \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ .

$$g(0, y) \preceq g(0, v) \Leftrightarrow y^2 \geq v^2. \quad (39)$$

From  $d((0, x), f(0, y)) = 1$ , we get

$$x = \frac{y^2}{2}. \quad (40)$$

Similarly, from  $d((0, u), f(0, v)) = 1$ , we get

$$u = \frac{v^2}{2}. \quad (41)$$

From (39), (40) and (41), we obtain  $(0, x) \preceq (0, u)$ .

Case (ii):  $g(0,1) \preceq g(0,0)$ .

If  $d((0, x), f(0,1)) = 1$ , we obtain

$$x = \frac{1}{4}. \quad (42)$$

If  $d((0, u), f(0,0)) = 1$ , we have

$$u = 0. \quad (43)$$

From (42) and (43), we obtain  $(0, x) = (0, \frac{1}{4}) \preceq (0,0) = (0, u)$ .

Case (iii):  $g(0,2) \preceq g(0,0)$ .

From  $d((0, x), f(0,2)) = 1$  and  $d((0, u), f(0,0)) = 1$ , we have  $x = \frac{1}{4}$  and  $u = 0$ . Therefore

$(0, x) \preceq (0, u)$ . Hence from all the above cases, we have  $f$  is g-proximally increasing on  $A$ .

Now, we define functions  $\psi, \varphi, \theta: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$\psi(t) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } t \in [0,1] \\ \frac{3}{2}t^2 & \text{if } t > 1, \end{cases} \quad \varphi(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{4}t & \text{if } t \in [0,1] \\ \frac{1}{2}t^2 & \text{if } t > 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}t & \text{if } t \in [0,1] \\ \frac{1}{8}t^2 & \text{if } t > 1. \end{cases}$$

With these  $\psi, \varphi$  and  $\theta$ , we verify that  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy the inequality (2). In the verification of the inequality (2), the following three cases are possible.

Case (i) :  $x, y \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  such that  $g(0, x) \preceq g(0, y)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(f(0, x), f(0, y))) &= \psi\left(d\left(\left(1, \frac{x^2}{2}\right), \left(1, \frac{y^2}{2}\right)\right)\right) = \psi\left(\sqrt{\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{y^2}{2}}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{y^2}{2}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\sqrt{x^2 - y^2} \\ &= \frac{3}{4}\sqrt{2x^2 - 2y^2} - \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2x^2 - 2y^2} \\ &= \varphi(d(g(0, x), g(0, y))) - \theta(d(g(0, x), g(0, y))). \end{aligned}$$

Case (ii) :  $g(0,1) \preceq g(0,0)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(f(0,1), f(0,0))) &= \psi\left(d\left(\left(1, \frac{1}{4}\right), (1,0)\right)\right) = \psi\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{4} \\ &= \varphi(d(g(0,1), g(0,0))) - \theta(d(g(0,1), g(0,0))). \end{aligned}$$

Case (iii) :  $g(0,2) \preceq g(0,0)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(f(0,2), f(0,0))) &= \psi\left(d\left(\left(1, \frac{1}{4}\right), (1,0)\right)\right) = \psi\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}} = \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{3}{4} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2^2} - \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{2^2} \\ &= \varphi(d(g(0,2), g(0,0))) - \theta(d(g(0,2), g(0,0))). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy the inequality (2). Also, it is trivial to see that condition  $H$  holds. Hence  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 and  $(0,0)$  is the unique proximity coincidence point of  $f$  and  $g$  in  $A$ .

## CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Abkar, A. and Gabeleh, M., "Global optimal solutions of noncyclic mappings in metric spaces," J. Optim. Theory Appl. 153, 298–305, (2012).
- [2] Abkar, A. and Gabeleh, M., "Best proximity points of non-selfmappings," Top 21, 287–295, (2013).
- [3] Al-Thaga, M.A and Shahzad, N., "Convergence and existence results for best Proximity points," Nonlinear Anal. 70, 3665-3671, (2009).
- [4] Babu, G. V. R. and Sailaja, P. N., "A fixed point theorem of generalized weak contractive maps in orbitally complete metric spaces," Thai Journal of Mathematics. 9(1), 1–10, (2011).

- [5] Basha, S. S., “Best proximity points: optimal solutions,” *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* 151, 210–216, (2011).
- [6] Basha, S. S., “Discrete optimization in partially ordered sets,” *J. Glob. Optim.* 54, 511–517, (2012).
- [7] Caballero, J., Harjani, J. and Sadarangani, K., A “best proximity point theorem for Geraghty-contractions,” *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2012, Article ID 231 (2012).
- [8] Ciric, L., Cakic, N., Rajovic, M., Ume, J. S. and Nieto, J. J., “Monotone generalized nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces,” *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 1–28, (2008).
- [9] Choudhury, B. S., Metiya, N., Postolache, M. and Konar, P., “A discussion on best proximity point and coupled best proximity point in partially ordered metric space,” *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* (2015) 2015:170 DOI 10.1186/s13663-015-0423-1, 17 pages.
- [10] Choudhury, B. S., Maity, P. and Konar, P., “A global optimality result using nonself mappings,” *Opsearch* 51, 312–320, (2014).
- [11] Choudhury, B. S., Maity, P. and Metiya, N., “Best proximity point theorems with cyclic mappings in set valued analysis,” *Indian J. Math.* 57, 79-102, (2015).
- [12] Eldred, A. A. and Veeramani, P., Existence and convergence of best proximity points. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 323, 1001–1006, (2006).
- [13] Karapinar, E., “On best proximity point of  $\phi$ -Geraghty contractions,” *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2013, Article ID 200 (2013).
- [14] Karpagam, S., Agrawal, S., “Best proximity points for cyclic Meir-Keeler contraction maps,” *Nonlinear Anal.* 74, 1040–1046, (2011).
- [15] Mongkolkeha, C. and Sintunavarat, W., “Best proximity points for multiplicative proximal contraction mapping on multiplicative metric spaces,” *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 8(6), 1134–1140, (2015).
- [16] Sankar Raj, V., “A best proximity point theorem for weakly contractive non-selfmappings,” *Nonlinear Anal.* 74, 4804–4808, (2011).
- [17] Wangkeeree, R. and Sissarat, N., “Some Proximally Coincidence Points for Non-Self Mappings and Self Mappings in Partially Ordered Metric Spaces,” *Thai Journal of Mathematics* 13 (3), 613–625, (2015) .