



## IDEAL THEORY OF $(m, n)$ -NEAR RINGS

Fahimeh MOHAMMADI<sup>1</sup> and Bijan DAVVAZ<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, Yazd University, Yazd, IRAN

**ABSTRACT.** The aim of this research work is to define and characterize a new class of  $n$ -ary algebras that we call  $(m, n)$ -near rings. We investigate the notions of  $i$ - $R$ -groups,  $i$ - $(m, n)$ -near field, prime ideals, primary ideals and subtractive ideals of  $(m, n)$ -near rings. We describe the concept of homomorphisms between  $(m, n)$ -near rings that preserve the  $(m, n)$ -near ring structure, and give some results in this respect.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Polyadic groups were introduced in 1928 by W. Dörnte [10]. An important role in  $n$ -group theory is the paper [12], for more details see [7, 11]. Then,  $n$ -ary operations are used then in the study of  $(m, n)$ -rings [5, 6, 13] and  $(m, n)$ -semirings [1, 3, 8].

Let  $A$  be a non-empty set. A map  $h : A^m \rightarrow A$  is called an  $m$ -ary operation. A non-empty set  $A$  with an  $m$ -ary operation  $h$  is called an  $m$ -ary groupoid that is denoted by  $(A, h)$ . The sequence  $z_i, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_m$  is denoted by  $z_i^m$  where  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . For all  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq m$ , the phrase  $h(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_i, k_{i+1}, \dots, k_j, l_{j+1}, \dots, l_m)$  is represented as  $h(z_1^i, k_{i+1}^j, l_{j+1}^m)$ . In this case when  $k_{i+1} = k_{i+2} = \dots = k_j = k$ , it is expressed as  $h(z_1^i, k^{j-i}, l_{j+1}^m)$ . An  $m$ -ary groupoid  $(A, h)$  is called an  $m$ -ary semigroup if  $h$  is associative; that is,

$$h(z_1^{i-1}, h(z_i^{m+i-1}), z_{m+i}^{2m-1}) = h(z_1^{j-1}, h(z_j^{m+j-1}), z_{m+j}^{2m-1}),$$

for all  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2m-1} \in A$  where  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq m$ . An  $m$ -ary semigroupoid  $(A, h)$  is named an  $m$ -ary group if for all  $c_1^{i-1}, c_{i+1}^n, b \in A$  exist  $z_1^n \in A$ , such that  $h(c_1^{i-1}, z_i, c_{i+1}^n) = b$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . We say  $f$  is commutative if  $h(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m) = h(z_{\eta(1)}, z_{\eta(2)}, \dots, z_{\eta(m)})$ , for every permutation  $\eta$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16Y30, 16Y99.

*Keywords.*  $(m, n)$ -near ring,  $(m, n)$ -near field, ideal, homomorphism.

<sup>1</sup> f1991mohammadi@gmail.com; 0009-0003-1562-9231;

<sup>2</sup> davvaz@yazd.ac.ir-Corresponding author; 0000-0003-1941-5372.

and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m \in A$ . An  $m$ -ary semigroup  $(A, h)$  is called a semi-abelian or  $(1, m)$ -commutative if  $h(z, c^{(m-2)}, k) = h(k, c^{(m-2)}, z)$ , for all  $c, z, k \in A$ .

## 2. $(m, n)$ -NEAR RINGS

We refer to [2, 4, 14], for details about near rings. In this section, we define the  $(m, n)$ -near ring and give examples for it and present definitions of  $\alpha_1$ - $(m, n)$ -near ring,  $\alpha_2$ - $(m, n)$ -near ring,  $R_0$ ,  $R_c$ , constant near ring,  $i$ -zero divisor,  $Z_{i,j}(R)$ . We present some results in this respect.

**Definition 1.** Assume that  $A$  is a non-empty set and  $h, k$  be  $r$ -ary and  $s$ -ary operations on  $A$ , respectively. In this case  $(A, h, k)$  is named an  $i$ - $(r, s)$ -near ring, if the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $(A, h)$  is an  $r$ -ary group (not necessarily abelian),
- (2)  $(A, k)$  is an  $s$ -ary semigroup,
- (3) The  $s$ -ary operation  $k$  is  $i$ -distributive with respect to the  $r$ -ary operation  $h$ ,

where the definition of  $i$ -distributive condition is as follows: for every  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m \in R$ , if  $i = n$ , then

$$k(c_1^{n-1}, h(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m)) = h(k(c_1^{n-1}, d_1), k(c_1^{n-1}, d_2), \dots, k(c_1^{n-1}, d_m)).$$

If  $i = 1$  then

$$k(h(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m), c_2^n) = h(k(d_1, c_2^n), k(d_2, c_2^n), \dots, k(d_m, c_2^n)).$$

If  $1 < i < n$  then

$$\begin{aligned} & k(c_1^{i-1}, h(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m), c_{i+1}^n) \\ &= h(k(c_1^{i-1}, d_1, c_{i+1}^n), k(c_1^{i-1}, d_2, c_{i+1}^n), \dots, k(c_1^{i-1}, d_m, c_{i+1}^n)). \end{aligned}$$

Throughout this paper, we explain  $i$ - $(m, n)$ -near ring by  $(m, n)$ -near ring. It is clear that every  $(m, n)$ -ring [5] is an  $(m, n)$ -near ring.

**Example 1.** Assume that  $(H, l)$  is an  $m$ -ary group with the identity element  $0$  and  $N(H) = \{h : H \rightarrow H \mid h \text{ is a function}\}$ . Then  $(N(H), l, \circ)$  is an  $(m, 2)$ -near ring, where  $\circ$  is the composition of functions.

- (1) We know  $(N(H), l)$  is an  $m$ -ary group (not necessarily abelian).
- (2) It is clear that  $(N(H), \circ)$  is a 2-ary semigroup.
- (3) The 2-ary operation  $\circ$  is 1-distributive with respect to the  $m$ -ary operation  $l$ .

We notice that in this  $(m, 2)$ -near ring the 2-distributive law fails to retain. To consider this, let  $d, d_j, c_i \in H, b_i \neq 0, 1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq 2$  and  $h_{d_j} : H \rightarrow H, h_{c_i} : H \rightarrow H$  for all  $g \in H$ , by  $h_{d_j}(g) = d_j, h_{c_i}(g) = c_i$ . Now, for  $i = 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [h_{c_1} \circ (l(h_{d_1}, h_{d_2}, \dots, h_{d_m}))](g) &= h_{c_1}(l(h_{d_1}(g), h_{d_2}(g), \dots, h_{d_m}(g))) \\ &= h_{c_1}(l(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m)) = l(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [l(h_{c_1} \circ h_{d_1}, h_{c_1} \circ h_{d_2}, \dots, h_{c_1} \circ h_{d_m})](g) &= l(h_{c_1}(h_{d_1}(g)), h_{c_1}(h_{d_2}(g)), \dots, h_{c_1}(h_{d_m}(g))) \\ &= l(h_{c_1}(d_1), h_{c_1}(d_2), \dots, h_{c_1}(d_m)) \\ &= l(c_1^{(m)}). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$[h_{c_1} \circ (l(h_{d_1}, h_{d_2}, \dots, h_{d_m}))](g) \neq [l(h_{c_1} \circ h_{d_1}, h_{c_1} \circ h_{d_2}, \dots, h_{c_1} \circ h_{d_m})](g).$$

For  $i = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (l(h_{d_1}, h_{d_2}, \dots, h_{d_m})) \circ h_{c_1}(g) &= (l(h_{d_1}, h_{d_2}, \dots, h_{d_m}))(c_1) \\ &= l(h_{d_1}(c_1), h_{d_2}(c_1), \dots, h_{d_m}(c_1)) \\ &= l(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [l(h_{d_1} \circ h_{c_1}, h_{d_2} \circ h_{c_1}, \dots, h_{d_m} \circ h_{c_1})](g) &= l((h_{d_1} \circ h_{c_1})(g), (h_{d_2} \circ h_{c_1})(g), \dots, (h_{d_m} \circ h_{c_1})(g)) \\ &= l((h_{d_1})(c_1), (h_{d_2})(c_1), \dots, (h_{d_m})(c_1)) \\ &= l(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$[(l(h_{d_1}, h_{d_2}, \dots, h_{d_m})) \circ h_{c_2}](g) = [l((h_{d_1} \circ h_{c_1}), (h_{d_2} \circ h_{c_1}), \dots, (h_{d_m} \circ h_{c_1}))](g).$$

Therefore  $N(H)$  fails to satisfy the  $i$ -distributive for  $i = 2$ .

**Example 2.** Consider the additive group  $\mathbb{Z}_{mn}$ . Then  $(\mathbb{Z}_{mn}, h)$  is a group, where  $h(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m) = c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_m$ . We define  $k$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_{mn}$  by  $k(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) = c_1$ , for all  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{mn}$ . It is easy to see  $(\mathbb{Z}_{mn}, h, k)$  is an  $(m, n)$ -near ring. For  $1 < i \leq n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} k(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{i-1}, h(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m), c_{i+1}, \dots, c_n) &= c_1 \\ h(k(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{i-1}, d_1, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_n), \dots, k(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{i-1}, d_m, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_n)) & \\ = h(c_1^{(m)}) &= mc_1. \end{aligned}$$

If  $mn = m - 1$ , then  $\bar{m} = \bar{1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{mn}$ . Hence, for all  $1 < i \leq n$ ,  $(\mathbb{Z}_{mn-1}, h, k)$  is  $i$ -distributive. For  $i = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} k(h(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m), c_2, \dots, c_n) &= h(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m) = d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_m \\ h(k(d_1, c_2, \dots, c_n), k(d_2, d_2, \dots, d_n), \dots, k(d_m, c_1, \dots, c_n)) & \\ = h(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m) &= d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_m. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, for  $i = 1$ ,  $(\mathbb{Z}_{mn-1}, h, k)$  is 1-distributive.

Assume that  $A$  is an  $(m, n)$ -near ring. The element  $e \in A$  is named an identity element if  $k(e^{(i-1)}, s, e^{(n-i)}) = s$  for all  $s \in A$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

**Example 3.** We know  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$  is an  $(m, n)$ -near ring with two binary operations  $m$ -addition and  $n$ -multiplication. 1 is an identity element in  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ .

Assume that  $(A, h, k)$  is an  $(m, n)$ -near ring.  $m \in A$  is named  $i$ -cancellable, if for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $c_i, d_i \in A$  and  $k(c_1^{i-1}, m, c_i^n) = k(d_1^{i-1}, m, d_i^n)$ , then  $c_i = d_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .  $m \neq 0$  is named an  $i$ -zero divisor, if there exist nonzero elements  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n \in R$  such that  $k(c_1^{i-1}, m, c_{i+1}^n) = 0$ . An  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(A, h, k)$  is called integral near ring if it has no zero divisors. An  $i$ - $(m, n)$ -near field is a non-empty set  $P$  together with two binary operations  $h$  and  $k$  such that  $(P, h)$  is a group (not necessarily abelian),  $(P, k)$  is a group and  $n$ -ary operation  $k$  is  $i$ -distributive with respect to the  $m$ -ary operation  $h$ .

**Example 4.** Set of rational numbers with two binary operations  $h$  and  $k$  so that  $k(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n) = d_1$  and  $h(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m) = d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_m$  for  $d_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $(\mathbb{Q}, h, k)$  is an  $(m, n)$ -near field.

**Definition 2.** Let  $(A, h, k)$  be an  $(m, n)$ -near ring,

- (1) If for every  $e \in A$  exists  $z \in A$  such that  $e = k(z^{(n-1)}, e, z^{(n-1)})$ , then  $A$  is named an  $\alpha_1$ - $(m, n)$ -near ring.
- (2) If for every  $e \in A - \{0\}$  exists  $z \in A - \{0\}$  such that  $z = k(z^{(n-1)}, e, z^{(n-1)})$ , then  $A$  is named an  $\alpha_2$ - $(m, n)$ -near ring.

**Example 5.**  $(N(H), l, \circ)$  defined in Example 1 is an  $\alpha_2$ - $(m, n)$ -near ring.

**Example 6.**  $(\mathbb{Z}_{mn}, h, k)$  defined in Example 2 is an  $\alpha_2$ - $(m, n)$ -near ring.

**Definition 3.** Let  $(A, h, k)$  be an  $(m, n)$ -near ring,

- (1) A subgroup  $(O, h)$  of an  $m$ -ary group  $(A, h)$  with the property  $k(O^{(n)}) \subset M$  is named an  $(m, n)$ -subnear ring of  $(A, h, k)$ . It is shown by  $O \leq N$ .
- (2) A subnear ring  $O$  of  $A$  is named  $i$ -invariant, if  $h(A^{(i-1)}, O, A^{(m-i)}) \subseteq O$ .

If  $O$  is  $i$ -invariant for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , then  $O$  is named invariant.

**Example 7.** The triple  $(2\mathbb{Z}, h, k)$  is an  $(m, n)$ -subnear ring of the  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(\mathbb{Z}, h, k)$ , that  $h(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m) = d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_m$  and  $k(e_1, e_2 + \dots, e_n) = e_1 \cdot e_2 \cdot \dots \cdot e_n$ .

**Definition 4.** Let  $(A, h, k)$  be an  $(m, n)$ -near ring and  $0$  is the identity element of  $(A, h)$ . Then,  $A_0 = \{r \in A \mid k(0^{(s-1)}, r, 0^{(n-s)}) = 0, 1 \leq s \leq n\}$  is called the zero symmetric part of  $A$ . In addition,  $A_c = \{r \in R \mid k(0^{(s-1)}, r, 0^{(n-s)}) = r, 1 \leq s \leq n\}$  is named a resistant part of  $A$ . An  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $A$  is named a zero symmetric near ring if  $A = A_0$ . An  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $A$  is named a constant  $(m, n)$ -near ring if  $A = A_c$ .

**Lemma 1.**  $A_0$  and  $A_c$  are  $(m, n)$ -subnear rings of the  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(A, h, k)$ .

*Proof.* We show that  $A_0$  is a subgroup of  $A$ . If  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in A_0$  then

$$k(0^{(i-1)}, x_j, 0^{(n-i)}) = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq m \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &k(0^{(i-1)}, h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m), 0^{(n-i)}) \\ &= h(k(0^{(i-1)}, x_1, 0^{(n-i)}), k(0^{(i-1)}, x_2, 0^{(n-i)}), \dots, k(0^{(i-1)}, x_m, 0^{(n-i)})) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in A_0$ , and so  $(A_0, h)$  is a subgroup of  $(A, h, k)$ . Next, if we take  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in A_0$ , then for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , we have  $k(0^{(i-1)}, y_j, 0^{(n-i)}) = 0$ . Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} k(0^{(n-1)}, k(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)) &= k(k(0^{(n-1)}, y_1), y_2, \dots, y_n) = k(0, y_2, \dots, y_n) \\ &= k(k(0^{(n)}, y_2, \dots, y_n)) = k(0, k(0^{(n-1)}, y_2), y_3, \dots, y_n) = k(0, 0, y_3, \dots, y_n) \\ &= \dots = k(0^{(n-1)}, y_n) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $k(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in A_0$ , and so  $k(A_0^{(n)}) \subset A_0$ . This shows that  $(A_0, h, k)$  is an  $(m, n)$ -subnear ring of  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(A, h, k)$ . We show that  $A_c$  is a subgroup of  $A$ . Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in A_0$ . Then, we have  $k(0^{(i-1)}, x_j, 0^{(n-i)}) = x_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Now, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} k(0^{(i-1)}, h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m), 0^{(n-i)}) &= h(k(0^{(i-1)}, x_1, 0^{(n-i)}), k(0^{(i-1)}, x_2, 0^{(n-i)}), \dots, k(0^{(i-1)}, x_m, 0^{(n-i)})) \\ &= h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m). \end{aligned}$$

This yields that  $h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in A_c$ . Hence,  $(A_c, h)$  is a subgroup of  $(A, h, k)$ . Next, if  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in A_c$ , then  $k(0^{(i-1)}, y_j, 0^{(n-i)}) = y_j$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . This gives that  $k(0^{(n-1)}, k(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)) = k(k(0^{(n-1)}, y_1), y_2, \dots, y_n) = k(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ . Therefore  $k(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in A_c$  and  $k(A_c^{(n)}) \subset A_c$ . Hence,  $(A_c, h, k)$  is an  $(m, n)$ -subnear ring of  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(A, h, k)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(A, h, k)$  be an  $(m, n)$ -near ring. If  $r \in A_0$  is  $i$ -cancellable, then  $r$  is not an  $i$ -zero divisor.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $r \in A_0$  is  $i$ -cancellable and also  $r$  is an  $i$ -zero divisor, so there exist nonzero elements  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n \in A$  such that  $k(d_1^{i-1}, r, d_{i+1}^n) = 0$ . Since  $r \in A_0$ , it follows that  $k(d_1^{i-1}, r, d_{i+1}^n) = 0 = k(0^{(i-1)}, r, 0^{(n-i)})$ . Again, since  $r$  is  $i$ -cancellable, it follows that for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $d_i = 0$ , that it is a contradiction.  $\square$

Let  $(A, h, k)$  be an  $(m, n)$ -near ring. The center,  $Z_{i,j}(A)$ , is the subset of elements in  $A$  that  $(i, j)$ -commute with element of  $A$ . In the symbol, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{i,j}(A) &= \{b \in A \mid a_1, \dots, a_n \in A \text{ and for } j > i, \\ &\quad k(a_1^{i-1}, b, a_i^n) = k(a_1^{i-1}, a_j, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{j-1}, b, a_{j+1}^n)\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Example 8.** *In Example 2, for all  $i, j \in 2, 3, \dots, n$ , we have  $Z_{i,j}(A) = A$ .*

Suppose that  $(A, h, k)$  is an  $(m, n)$ -near ring. If  $(A, k)$  is commutative, then  $A$  is named a commutative near ring. An element  $r \in A$  is named idempotent element if  $k(r^{(n)}) = r$ . An element  $r \in A$  is named nilpotent element if  $k(r^{(n)}) = 0$ .

**Example 9.** *In Example 2, for all  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{mn}$ , we have  $k(r^n) = r$ , and so all elements are idempotent. Moreover,  $\mathbb{Z}_{mn}$  has only one nilpotent element that is 0.*

Suppose that  $(A, h, k)$  is an  $(m, n)$ -near ring. A subset  $S$  of  $A$  is named nilpotent if  $k(S^{(n)}) = 0$ . A subset  $S$  of  $A$  is named nill if every element of  $S$  is a nilpotent element.

**Theorem 2.** Assume that  $S$  is a subset of  $A$ . If  $S$  is nilpotent, then  $S$  is nill.

*Proof.* Assume that  $S$  is nilpotent. Then  $k(S^{(n)}) = 0$ . This gives that  $k(s^{(n)}) = 0$  for all  $s \in S$ . Hence,  $S$  is a nilpotent for all  $s \in S$ , then  $S$  is nill.  $\square$

**Definition 5.** Assume that  $(A, h, k)$  is an  $(m, n)$ -near ring and  $(W, h)$  be an  $m$ -group with identity element  $0$  of  $(A, h)$ .  $W$  is named an  $i$ - $A$ -group if there exists a mapping  $l : \underbrace{W \times, \dots, \times W}_{i-1} \times A \times \underbrace{W \times \dots \times W}_{n-i} \rightarrow W$  the image of

$$(r^{(i-1)}, s, r^{(n-i)}) \in \underbrace{W \times, \dots, \times W}_{i-1} \times A \times \underbrace{W \times \dots \times W}_{n-i} \rightarrow W,$$

for  $s \in A$  and  $r \in W$ , is denoted by  $l(r^{(i-1)}, s, r^{(n-i)}) = k(r^{(i-1)}, s, r^{(n-i)})$ , satisfying the following conditions:

- (1)  $k(s_1^{i-1}, h(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m), s_{i+1}^n)$   
 $= h(k(s_1^{i-1}, r_1, s_{i+1}^n), k(s_1^{i-1}, r_2, s_{i+1}^n), \dots, k(s_1^{i-1}, r_n, s_{i+1}^n)).$
- (2)  $k(t_1^{i-1}, k(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n), t_{i+1}^n) = k(t_1^{i-l-1}, k(t_{i-l}^{i-1}, z_1^{n-l}), z_{n-l+1}^n, t_{i+1}^n)$   
 $= k(t_1^{i-1}, z_1^s, k(z_{s+1}^n, t_{i+1}^{i+s}), t_{i+s+1}^n)$  for all  $1 \leq l \leq i-1$  and  $1 \leq s \leq n-i$ ,

for all  $s_j, t_i \in W$  that  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ . For all  $r_i, z_t \in A$  that  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $1 \leq t \leq n$ , we denote this  $i$ - $A$ -group by  $\underbrace{AA \dots A}_{i-1} W \underbrace{AA \dots A}_{n-i}$ .

**Example 10.** If we consider  $W = \mathbb{Z}$  in Example 2, then  $W$  is an  $1$ - $\mathbb{Z}_{mn}$ -group. By taking  $i = 1$  in Definition 5, the conditions of the definition are satisfied,

$$k(h(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m), s_2^n) = h(k(r_1, s_2^n), k(r_2, s_2^n), \dots, k(r_m, s_2^n)) = h(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m),$$

$$k(k(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n), t_2^n) = k(s_1^l, k(s_{l+1}^n, t_2^{1+l}), t_{2+l}^n) = s_1.$$

In Definition 5, if  $k(r^{(i-1)}, g, r^{(n-i)}) = 0$  for all  $g \in W$  yields  $r = 0$ , then  $W$  is a faithful  $i$ - $A$ -group.

**Example 11.** In Example 2,  $\mathbb{Z}_{mn}$  operates faithfully on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Assume that  $(A, h, k)$  is an  $(m, n)$ -near ring. A subgroup  $H$  of an  $i$ - $A$ -group  $W$  is named an  $i$ - $A$ -subgroup (written as  $H \leq_A W$ ), if it is closed under the operation of  $A$  and  $k(r^{(i-1)}, h, r^{(n-i)}) \in H$  for all  $r \in A, h \in H$ . Suppose that  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are two  $A$ -groups,  $s : W_1 \rightarrow W_2$  is named  $i$ - $A$ -homomorphism, if for all  $l, l_1, \dots, l_n \in W_1$  and for all  $r \in A, s(h(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m)) = h(s(l_1), s(l_2), \dots, s(l_m))$  and  $s(k(r^{(i-1)}, l, r^{(n-i)})) = k(r^{(i-1)}, s(l), r^{(n-i)})$ . If  $H$  is the kernel of an  $i$ - $A$ -homomorphism, then it is named an  $i$ - $A$ -normal subgroup and we write  $H \trianglelefteq_A W$ .

**Example 12.** If  $h(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m) = d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_m, k(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n) = d_1 \cdot d_2 \cdot \dots \cdot d_n$ , then  $(\mathbb{R}, h, k)$  is an  $(m, n)$ -near ring and  $\mathbb{Q}$  (the set of rationales) is a  $i$ - $\mathbb{R}$ -subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Assume that  $W$  is an  $i$ - $A$ -group.  $W$  is named a unitary  $i$ - $A$ -group if  $A$  be a near ring with unity  $1$  so that  $k(1^{(i-1)}, x, 1^{(n-i)}) = x$  for all  $x \in W$ .

**Example 13.** If in Example 4,  $d_j = 1$  for  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, i - 1, i + 1, \dots, n\}$ , then  $k(1^{(i-1)}, x, 1^{(n-i)}) = \underbrace{1 \cdot 1 \cdot \dots \cdot 1}_{i-1} \cdot x \cdot \underbrace{1 \cdot 1 \cdot \dots \cdot 1}_{n-i} = x$ .

**Theorem 3.** In an  $\alpha_1$ - $(m, n)$ -near ring for every  $a \in A$  exist some  $s \in A$  if  $n = 2i + 1$ , then

- (1)  $k(s^{(i)}, a^{(i+1)}) = k(a^{(i+1)}, s^{(i)})$ ,
- (2)  $a = k(s^{(i)}, k(s^{(i)}, \dots, k(s^{(i)}, a, s^{(i)}), \dots, s^{(i)}), s^{(i)})$ .

*Proof.* (1) Suppose that  $A$  is an  $\alpha_1$ - $(m, n)$ -near ring and  $a \in A$ . So there exists  $s \in R$  such that  $a = k(s^{(i-1)}, a, s^{(n-i)})$ . This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} k(s^{(i)}, a^{(i+1)}) &= k(s^{(i)}, a, a^{(i)}) = k(s^{(i)}, a, k(s^{(i)}, a, s^{(i)}), a^{(i-1)}) \\ &= k(k(s^{(i)}, a, s^{(i)}), a, s^{(i)}, a^{(i-1)}) = k(a, a, s^{(i)}, a^{(i-1)}) \\ &= k(a, a, s^{(i)}, a, k(s^{(i)}, a, s^{(i)}, a^{(i-3)})) = k(a, a, k(s^{(i)}, a, s^{(i)}), a, s^{(i)}, a^{(i-3)}) \\ &= k(a, a, a, a, s^{(i)}, a^{(i-3)}) = \dots = k(a^{(i+1)}, s^{(i)}). \end{aligned}$$

(2) We have

$$\begin{aligned} &k(s^{(i)}, k(s^{(i)}, \dots, k(s^{(i)}, a, s^{(i)}), \dots, s^{(i)}), s^{(i)}) \\ &= k(s^{(i)}, k(s^{(i)}, a, s^{(i)}), s^{(i)}) = a. \end{aligned}$$

□

A subnear ring  $M$  of a  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $A$  is named an  $\alpha_2$ -subnear ring if for every  $a \in M$  exists an  $s \in M$  so that  $n = 2i + 1$ ,  $k(s^{(i)}, a, s^{(i)}) = s$ .

**Theorem 4.** Suppose that  $A$  is an  $\alpha_2$ - $(m, n)$ -near ring. In this case

- (1) Every invariant subgroup  $W$  of  $A$  is an  $\alpha_2$ -subnear ring.
- (2) Every ideal  $I$  of a zero symmetric  $\alpha_2$ -near ring  $A$  is an  $\alpha_2$ -subnear ring.

*Proof.* (1) Take  $a \in W - \{0\}$ . Since  $A$  is an  $\alpha_2$ -near ring there exists  $s \in A$  such that  $k(s^{(i)}, a, s^{(i)}) = s$ . Now  $W$  is an invariant subgroup of  $A$  implies that  $k(s^{(i)}, a, s^{(i)}) \in W$ . Then  $s \in W$ . Consequently  $W$  is an  $\alpha_2$ -subnear ring.

(2) Assume that  $I$  is an ideal of the zero symmetric  $\alpha_2$ -near ring  $A$ . Let  $a \in I - \{0\}$ . Since  $A$  is an  $\alpha_2$ -near ring, so there exists  $s \in A - \{0\}$  so that  $k(s^{(i)}, a, s^{(i)}) = s$ . Now, we have  $k(s^{(i)}, a, s^{(i)}) \in k((A - \{0\})^{(i)}, I - \{0\}, (A - \{0\})^{(i)}) \subseteq I - \{0\}$ . The desired result now follows. □

### 3. IDEALS AND HOMOMORPHISMS OF $(m, n)$ -NEAR RINGS

We define the notions of  $i$ -ideal, zero near ring, prime ideal, semi-symmetric,  $A(S)$ ,  $k$ -ideal,  $i$ - $N$ -primary and  $i$ - $P$ -primary in the  $(m, n)$ -near rings and assert a few related theorems.

Assume that  $I$  is a non-empty subgroup of an  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(A, h, k)$ . Then  $I$  is named a normal subgroup of  $A$  if for all  $a_i \in A$  and  $s_1^{i-1}, s_{i+1}^m \in A$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ , there is  $b_j \in I$  that  $h(s_1^{i-1}, a_i, s_{i+1}^m) = h(s_1^{j-1}, b_j, s_{j+1}^m)$ .

**Definition 6.** Suppose that  $I$  is a non-empty subset of an  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(A, h, k)$ . In this case  $I$  is named an ideal of  $A$  if

- (1)  $I$  is a normal subgroup of  $m$ -ary group  $(A, h)$ ,  $(I, h)$  is an  $m$ -ary group,
- (2) for every  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$ ,  $k(a_1^{i-1}, I, a_{i+1}^n) \subseteq I$ ,
- (3) for all  $r_1, \dots, r_{j-1}, r_{j+1}, \dots, r_m, s_1, \dots, s_{j-1}, s_{j+1}, \dots, s_n \in A$  and  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $d \in I$ , there exists  $l \in I$  that

$$\begin{aligned} & k(s_1^{j-1}, h(r_1^{k-1}, d, r_{k+1}^m), s_{j+1}^n) \\ &= h(k(s_1^{j-1}, r_1, s_{j+1}^n), k(s_1^{j-1}, r_2, s_{j+1}^n), \dots, k(s_1^{j-1}, r_{k-1}, s_{j+1}^n), l, \\ & \quad (s_1^{j-1}, r_{k+1}, s_{j+1}^n), \dots, k(s_1^{j-1}, r_n, s_{j+1}^n)). \end{aligned}$$

$I$  is named an  $i$ -ideal of  $A$  if it satisfies (1) and (2) and  $I$  is named a  $j$ -ideal of  $A$  for  $j \neq i$  if it satisfies (1) and (3).

If for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $I$  is an  $i$ -ideal, then  $I$  is named an ideal of  $A$ .

**Example 14.** Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  be the set of integers and the set of rational numbers, respectively. Consider two  $(m, n)$ -near rings  $(\mathbb{Z}, h, k)$  and  $(\mathbb{Q}, h, k)$ , where  $h(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m) = d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_m$  and  $k(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n) = d_1 \cdot d_2 \cdot \dots \cdot d_{n-1} \cdot d_n$ . Then  $\mathbb{Z}$  is an  $(m, n)$ -subnear ring of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , but  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not an ideal of the near ring  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Remark 1.** If  $J_1, J_2, \dots, J_n$  and  $I_1, I_2, I_2, \dots, I_m$  are ideals of a near ring  $A$ , then

- (1)  $h(I_1, I_2, \dots, I_m)$  is an ideal of  $A$ ,
- (2)  $J_1 \cap J_2 \cap \dots \cap J_n$  is an ideal of  $A$ ,
- (3)  $k(J_1, J_2, \dots, J_n)$  is an ideal of  $A$ .

Assume that  $(A, h, k)$  is an  $(m, n)$ -near ring and  $I$  is an ideal.  $(A, h)$  is a group and  $I$  is a normal subgroup. The quotient group  $(A/I, H, K)$  is defined. An  $m$ -ary operation  $h$  on the cosets is defined by the  $m$ -ary operation  $h$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & H(h(d_{11}, d_{12}, \dots, d_{1_{m-1}}, I), \dots, h(d_{m1}, d_{m2}, \dots, d_{m_{m-1}}, I)) \\ &= h(h(d_{11}, d_{12}, \dots, d_{1_{m-1}}, h(d_{21}, d_{22}, \dots, d_{2_{m-1}}, h(d_{31}, d_{32}, \dots, d_{3_{m-1}}, \dots, \\ & \quad h(d_{(m-1)1}, d_{(m-1)2}, \dots, d_{(m-1)_{m-1}} h(d_{m1}, d_{m2}, \dots, d_{m_{m-1}}, I) \dots)). \end{aligned}$$

An  $n$ -ary operation  $k$  on cosets is defined by the  $n$ -ary operation  $k$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & K(h(d_{11}, d_{12}, \dots, d_{1_{n-1}}, I), \dots, h(d_{n1}, d_{n2}, \dots, d_{n_{n-1}}, I)) \\ &= h(k(h(d_{11}, d_{12}, \dots, d_{1_{n-1}}, I), \dots, h(d_{(i-1)1}, d_{(i-1)2}, \dots, d_{(i-1)_{(n-1)}}, I), d_{i1}, \\ & \quad h(d_{(i+1)1}, d_{(i+1)2}, \dots, d_{(i+1)_{n-1}}, I) \dots, h(d_{n1}, d_{n2}, \dots, d_{n_{n-1}}, I)), \dots, \\ & \quad k(h(d_{11}, d_{12}, \dots, d_{1_{m-1}}, I), \dots, h(d_{(i-1)1}, d_{(i-1)2}, \dots, d_{(i-1)_{m-1}}, I), d_{im-1}, \\ & \quad h(d_{(i+1)1}, d_{(i+1)2}, \dots, d_{(i+1)_{m-1}}, I) \dots, h(d_{n1}, d_{n2}, \dots, d_{n_{m-1}}, I)), I). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 5.** If  $I$  is an ideal in an  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(A, h, k)$ , then  $(A/I, H, K)$ , where the operations  $H$  and  $K$  are defined as above, has the structure of an  $(m, n)$ -near ring.

*Proof.* We prove that  $H$  is well defined. Assume that

$$h(d_{i1}, d_{i2}, \dots, d_{i_{m-1}}, I) = h(e_{i1}, e_{i2}, \dots, e_{i_{m-1}}, I),$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Then



$$\begin{aligned}
 & H(h(d_{1_1}, d_{1_2}, \dots, d_{1_{m-1}}, I), \dots, h(d_{m_1}, d_{m_2}, \dots, d_{m_{m-1}}, I)) \\
 &= h(h(d_{1_1}, d_{1_2}, \dots, d_{1_{m-1}}, h(d_{2_1}, d_{2_2}, \dots, d_{2_{m-1}}, h(d_{3_1}, d_{3_2}, \dots, d_{3_{m-1}}, \dots), \\
 &\quad h(d_{(m-1)_1}, d_{(m-1)_2}, \dots, d_{(m-1)_{m-1}}, h(d_{m_1}, \dots, d_{m_{m-1}}, I) \dots)) \\
 &= h(d_{1_1}, d_{1_2}, \dots, d_{1_{m-1}}, h(d_{2_1}, d_{2_2}, \dots, d_{2_{m-1}}, h(d_{3_1}, d_{3_2}, \dots, d_{3_{m-1}}, \dots, \\
 &\quad h(d_{(m-1)_1}, d_{(m-1)_2}, \dots, d_{(m-1)_{m-1}}, h(e_{m_1}, e_{m_2}, \dots, e_{m_{m-1}}, I) \dots)) \\
 &= h(d_{1_1}, d_{2_2}, \dots, d_{1_{m-1}}, h(d_{2_1}, d_{2_2}, \dots, d_{2_{m-1}}, h(d_{3_1}, d_{3_2}, \dots, d_{3_{m-1}}, \dots, \\
 &\quad h(d_{(m-1)_1}, d_{(m-1)_2}, \dots, d_{(m-1)_{m-1}}, h(I, e_{m_1}, e_{m_2}, \dots, e_{m_{m-1}}) \dots)) \\
 &= h(d_{1_1}, d_{1_2}, \dots, h(d_{1_{(m-1)}}, h(d_{2_1}, d_{2_2}, \dots, d_{2_{m-1}}, h(d_{3_1}, d_{3_2}, \dots, d_{3_{m-1}}, \dots, \\
 &\quad h(h(d_{(m-1)_1}, d_{(m-1)_2}, \dots, d_{(m-1)_{m-1}}, I), h(e_{m_1}, e_{m_2}, \dots, e_{m_{m-1}}) \dots)) \\
 &= h(d_{1_1}, d_{1_2}, \dots, d_{1_{m-1}}, h(d_{2_1}, d_{2_2}, \dots, d_{2_{m-1}}, h(d_{3_1}, d_{3_2}, \dots, d_{3_{m-1}}, \dots, \\
 &\quad h(h(e_{(m-1)_1}, e_{(m-1)_2}, \dots, e_{(m-1)_{m-1}}, I), e_{m_1}, e_{m_2}, \dots, e_{m_{(m-1)}}) \dots)) \\
 &= \dots = h(d_{1_1}, d_{1_2}, \dots, d_{1_{m-1}}, h(h(d_{2_1}, d_{2_2}, \dots, d_{2_{m-1}}, I), e_{3_1}, e_{3_2}, \dots, e_{3_{m-1}}), \dots, \\
 &\quad h(e_{(m-1)_1}, e_{(m-1)_2}, \dots, e_{(m-1)_{m-1}}, h(e_{m_1}, e_{m_2}, \dots, e_{m_{m-1}}, I) \dots)) \\
 &= h(d_{1_1}, d_{1_2}, \dots, d_{1_{m-1}}, h(h(e_{2_1}, e_{2_2}, \dots, e_{2_{m-1}}, I), e_{3_1}, e_{3_2}, \dots, e_{3_{m-1}}), \dots, \\
 &\quad h(e_{(m-1)_1}, e_{(m-1)_2}, \dots, e_{(m-1)_{m-1}}, h(e_{m_1}, e_{m_2}, \dots, e_{m_{m-1}}, I) \dots)) \\
 &= h(d_{1_1}, d_{1_2}, \dots, d_{1_{m-1}}, h(h(I, e_{2_1}, e_{2_2}, \dots, e_{2_{m-1}}), e_{3_1}, \dots, e_{3_{m-1}}), \dots, \\
 &\quad h(e_{(m-1)_1}, e_{(m-1)_2}, \dots, e_{(m-1)_{m-1}}, h(e_{m_1}, e_{m_2}, \dots, e_{m_{m-1}}, I) \dots)) \\
 &= h(h(d_{1_1}, d_{1_2}, \dots, d_{1_{m-1}}, I), e_{2_1}, e_{2_2}, \dots, e_{2_{m-1}}), h(e_{3_1}, e_{3_2}, \dots, e_{3_{m-1}}, \dots, \\
 &\quad h(e_{(m-1)_1}, e_{(m-1)_2}, \dots, e_{(m-1)_{m-1}}, h(e_{m_1}, e_{m_2}, \dots, e_{m_{m-1}}, I) \dots)) \\
 &= h(e_{1_1}, e_{1_2}, \dots, e_{1_{m-1}}, h(e_{2_1}, e_{2_2}, \dots, e_{2_{m-1}}, h(e_{3_1}, e_{3_2}, \dots, e_{3_{m-1}}, \dots, \\
 &\quad h(e_{(m-1)_1}, e_{(m-1)_2}, \dots, e_{(m-1)_{m-1}}, h(e_{m_1}, e_{m_2}, \dots, e_{m_{m-1}}, I) \dots)) \\
 &= H(h(e_{1_1}, e_{1_2}, \dots, e_{1_{m-1}}, I), \dots, h(e_{m_1}, e_{m_2}, \dots, e_{m_{m-1}}, I)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $I$  is an ideal, then the operator  $k$  is well defined and since  $(A, h)$  is an  $m$ -ary group so  $(A/I, H)$  is an  $m$ -ary group. Furthermore, since  $(A, k)$  is an  $n$ -ary semigroup, it follows that  $(A/I, K)$  is an  $n$ -ary semigroup. The  $n$ -ary operation  $k$  is  $i$ -distributive with respect to the  $m$ -ary operation  $h$ . Thus, the  $n$ -ary operation  $k$  is  $i$ -distributive with respect to the  $m$ -ary operation  $H$ .  $\square$

An  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(A, h, k)$  is named simple if  $A$  does not have non-trivial ideals. A proper ideal  $I$  of  $(A, h, k)$  is named maximal if  $I \subseteq J \subseteq A$  and  $J$  is an ideal of  $A$  implies that either  $I = J$  or  $J = A$ . A proper ideal  $I$  of an  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(A, h, k)$  is named prime, if for every ideals  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  of  $A$ ,  $k(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n) \subseteq I$  implies  $A_1 \subseteq I$  or  $A_2 \subseteq I$  or ... or  $A_n \subseteq I$ . A proper ideal  $I$  of an  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(A, h, k)$  is named weakly prime, if for any ideals  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  of  $A$ ,  $\{0\} \neq k(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n) \subseteq I$  implies  $A_1 \subseteq I$  or  $A_2 \subseteq I$  or ... or  $A_n \subseteq I$ . Clearly, every prime ideal is weakly prime and  $(0)$  is always weakly prime ideal of  $(A, h, k)$ . An ideal  $I$  of an  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(A, h, k)$  is named semi-symmetric if  $k(\underbrace{z, z, \dots, z}_n) \in I$ , implies  $k(\underbrace{\langle z \rangle, \langle z \rangle, \dots, \langle z \rangle}_n) \subseteq I$ .

**Theorem 6.** For an ideal  $P$  of an  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(A, h, k)$ , the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $P$  is prime.

(2) If  $d_i \notin P$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then  $k(\langle d_1 \rangle, \langle d_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle d_n \rangle) \not\subseteq P$ .

*Proof.* To prove (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) assume  $P$  is a prime ideal and  $d_i \notin P$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then  $\langle d_i \rangle \not\subseteq P$ . If  $k(\langle d_1 \rangle, \langle d_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle d_n \rangle) \subseteq P$ ,  $P$  is a prime ideal, then  $\langle d_1 \rangle \subseteq P$  or  $\langle d_2 \rangle \subseteq P$  or ... or  $\langle d_n \rangle \subseteq P$ . This is a contradiction. Hence,  $k(\langle d_1 \rangle, \langle d_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle d_n \rangle) \not\subseteq P$ . So (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).

To prove (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1), suppose that  $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n$  are ideals of  $R$  such that  $k(I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n) \subseteq P$ . Assume that  $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n \not\subseteq P$ , Then by (2), we have  $k(I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n) \not\subseteq P$ , that is a contradiction. Hence,  $I_1 \subseteq P$  or  $I_2 \subseteq P$  or ... or  $I_n \subseteq P$ . So,  $P$  is a prime ideal. The proof of (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is completed.  $\square$

An  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(A, h, k)$  is named a zero near ring if  $k(\underbrace{A, A, \dots, A}_n) = 0$ .

Assume that  $A$  is an  $(m, n)$ -near ring. The intersection of all prime ideals of  $A$  is named the prime radical of  $A$  and is denoted by  $(A)$ . For any proper ideal  $I$  of  $A$ , the intersection of all prime ideals of  $A$  containing  $I$  is named the prime radical of  $I$  and is denoted by  $P(I)$ .

**Lemma 2.** Every integral  $(m, n)$ -near ring is prime.

*Proof.* Assume that  $(A, h, k)$  is an integral  $(m, n)$ -near ring. It is enough to show  $(0)$  is a prime ideal. Let  $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n$  be ideals of  $A$  such that  $k(I_1, \dots, I_n) \subset (0)$ . If either  $I_1 = (0)$  or  $I_2 = (0)$  or ... or  $I_n = (0)$ , then there is nothing to prove. If possible, suppose that  $I_1 \neq (0)$  or  $I_2 \neq (0)$  or ... or  $I_n \neq (0)$ , then we can choose  $0 \neq a_1 \in I_1, 0 \neq a_2 \in I_2, \dots, 0 \neq a_n \in I_n$  such that  $k(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = 0$ , which is in contrast to the fact that  $A$  is integral. Therefore, either  $I_1 = (0)$  or  $I_2 = (0)$  or ... or  $I_n = (0)$ . Thus, we proved that  $(0)$  is a prime ideal of  $A$ . Hence,  $A$  is a prime  $(m, n)$ -near ring.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.** If the  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(A, h, k)$  is simple, then either  $A$  is prime or  $A$  is a zero  $(m, n)$ -near ring.

*Proof.* Assume that  $A$  is not a zero  $(m, n)$ -near ring. Then  $k(A^{(n)}) \neq (0)$ . We prove that  $(0)$  is a prime ideal of  $A$ . Assume that  $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n$  are ideals of  $A$  such that  $k(I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n) \subseteq (0)$ . Since  $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n$  are ideal of  $A$  and  $A$  is simple, so  $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n \in \{(0), A\}$ . Then  $k(A^{(n)}) \subseteq k(I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n) \subseteq (0)$ . It is a contradiction. Hence,  $I_1 = (0)$  or  $I_2 = (0)$  or ... or  $I_n = (0)$ . Thus,  $(0)$  is a prime ideal of  $A$ . This yields that  $A$  is a prime  $(m, n)$ -near ring.  $\square$

**Theorem 8.** If  $I$  is a semi-symmetric ideal of an  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(A, h, k)$ , then  $P(I)$  is completely semiprime.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $k(a^{(n)}) \in P(I)$ . So,  $k(k(a^{(n)})^{(n)}) \in I$ . Because  $I$  is semi-symmetric,  $\langle k(k(a^{(n)})^{(n)}) \rangle \subseteq I \subseteq P(I)$ , thus  $a \in P(I)$ . This implies that  $P(I)$  is completely semiprime.  $\square$

If  $I$  is a semi-symmetric ideal of a  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(A, h, k)$ , then

$$P(I) = \{x \in A \mid k(x^{(n)}) \in I\}.$$

An  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $A$  is named semi-symmetric if  $\langle 0 \rangle$  is a semi-symmetric ideal of  $A$ .

For any subset  $S$  of an  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(A, h, k)$ ,

$$A(S) = \{x \in S \mid k(A^{(i-1)}, x, A^{(n-i)}) = \{0\}\}.$$

Clearly,  $A(S)$  is an  $i$ -ideal of  $A$ . An ideal  $I$  of an  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(A, h, k)$  is named subtractive or  $k$ -ideal, if  $h(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m) \in I$  for any elements  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{m-1} \in I$  and  $d_m \in A$ , then  $d_m \in I$ .

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $I$  be a  $k$ -ideal of an  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(S, h, k)$  with  $1 \neq 0$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $I$  is a weakly prime ideal.
- (2) If  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n$  are ideals of  $S$  such that  $\{0\} \neq k(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n) \subseteq I$ , then  $B_i \subseteq I$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

*Proof.* It is straightforward. □

**Theorem 10.** *Every ideal of  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(S, h, k)$  is weakly prime if and only if for any ideals  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n$  of  $S$ ,  $k(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n) = B_1$  or  $k(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n) = B_2$  or .... or  $k(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n) = B_n$  or  $k(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that every ideal of  $S$  is weakly prime. Let  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n$  be ideals of  $S$  and  $k(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n) \neq S$ , so  $k(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n)$  is weakly prime. If  $\{0\} \neq k(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n) \subseteq k(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n)$ , then we have  $B_1 \subseteq k(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n)$  or  $B_2 \subseteq k(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n)$  or ... or  $B_n \subseteq k(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n)$  (since  $k(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n)$  is weakly prime ideal of  $S$ ), that is,  $B_1 = k(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n)$  or  $B_2 = k(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n)$  or ... or  $B_n = k(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n)$ . If  $k(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n) = S$ , then  $B_1 = B_2 = \dots = B_n = S$  whence  $S^n = S$ .

Conversely, let  $I$  be any proper ideal of  $S$  and let  $\{0\} \neq k(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n) \subseteq I$  for ideals  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n$  of  $S$ . Then, either  $B_1 = k(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n) \subseteq I$  or  $B_2 = k(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n) \subseteq I$  or ... or  $B_n = k(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n) \subseteq I$ . □

**Lemma 3.** *If  $P$  be a subtractive ideal of  $i$ - $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(S, h, k)$  such that  $2 \leq i \leq n$ , then  $P$  is a weakly prime ideal but it is not a prime ideal of  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $S$ . Moreover,  $k(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n) = 0$  for some  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n \notin P$ , then we have  $k(d_{i-1}, P^{(n-1)}) = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $i = 2$ , assume that  $k(d_1, p_1^{n-1}) \neq 0$ , for some  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1} \in P$ . Then

$$0 \neq k(d_1, h(k(1, d_2, d_3, \dots, d_n), (k(1, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}))^{(m-1)}), 1^{(n-2)}) \in P.$$

Since  $P$  is a weakly prime ideal of  $S$ , it follows that  $d_1 \in P$  or

$$h(k(1, d_2, d_3, \dots, d_n), (k(1, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}))^{(m-1)}) \in P,$$

that is,  $d_1 \in P$  or  $d_2 \in P$  or ... or  $d_n \in P$ . It is a contradiction. Therefore  $k(d_1, P^{(n-1)}) = \{0\}$ . Similarly, we can show that  $k(P, d_2, P^{(n-2)}) = \{0\}$ .

If  $3 \leq i \leq n$ , suppose that  $k(d_{i-1}, c_1^{n-1}) \neq 0$ , for some  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1} \in P$ . Then, we have

$$0 \neq k(1^{i-2}, d_{i-1}, h((k(c_1^{i-2}, 1, c_i, \dots, c_{n-1}))^{i-2}, k(d_1^{i-2}, 1, d_i^n), (k(c_1^{i-2}, 1, c_i^{n-1}))^{(m-i+1)}, 1^{n-i}) \in P.$$

Since  $P$  is a weakly prime ideal of  $S$ , it follows that  $d_{i-1} \in P$  or

$$h((k(c_1^{i-2}, 1, c_i^{n-1}))^{(i-2)}, k(d_1^{i-2}, 1, d_i^n), (k(c_1^{i-2}, 1, c_i^{n-1}))^{(m-i+1)}) \in P,$$

that is,  $d_1 \in P$  or  $d_2 \in P$  or ... or  $d_n \in P$ . It is a contradiction. Therefore, we derive that  $k(d_{i-1}, P^{(n-1)}) = \{0\}$ . □

**Theorem 11.** *Suppose that  $P$  is a  $k$ -ideal in an  $i$ - $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(S, h, k)$ . If  $P$  is weakly prime ideal but not prime, then  $P^n = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $k(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) \neq 0$  for some  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n \in P$  and  $k(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n) = 0$  for some  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n \notin P$ , where  $P$  is not a prime ideal of  $S$ . Hence

$$0 \neq k(d_1^{i-2}, h(d_n, p_i^{m-1}), d_{i+1}^n) = h(k(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{i-1}, d_i, d_{i+1}, \dots, d_n), (k(d_1^{i-1}, p_i, d_{i+1}^n))^{(m-1)}) \in P.$$

Hence either  $d_1 \in P$  or ... or  $d_{i-1} \in P$  or  $d_{i+1} \in P$  or ... or  $d_n \in P$  or  $h(d_i, c_i^{m-1}) \in P$ , thus either  $d_1 \in P$  or  $d_2 \in P$  or ... or  $d_n \in P$ , that it is a contradiction. Hence  $P^n = \{0\}$ . □

**Corollary 1.** *Assume that  $P$  is a weakly prime ideal of  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(S, h, k)$ . If  $P$  is not a prime ideal of  $S$ , then  $P \subseteq Nil S$ , where  $Nil S$  denotes the set of all nilpotent element of  $S$ .*

*A  $k$ -ideal in a commutative  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(S, h, k)$  satisfying that  $P^n = \{0\}$ .*

**Lemma 4.** *Assume that  $l$  is a homomorphism of  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(S_1, h, k)$  onto  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(S_2, h', k')$ . Then each of the following statements is true:*

- (1) *If  $Y$  is an ideal ( $k$ -ideal) in  $S_1$ , then  $l(Y)$  is an ideal ( $k$ -ideal) in  $S_2$ .*
- (2) *If  $W$  is an ideal ( $k$ -ideal) in  $S_2$ , then  $l^{-1}(W)$  is an ideal ( $k$ -ideal) in  $S_1$ .*

*Proof.* It is straightforward. □

**Theorem 12.** *If  $l : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  is a homomorphism of  $(m, n)$ -near rings and  $P$  is a prime ideal in  $S_2$ , then  $l^{-1}(P)$  is a prime ideal in  $S_1$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4,  $l^{-1}(P)$  is an ideal of  $(S_1, h, k)$ . If  $k(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n) \in l^{-1}(P)$ , then  $l(k(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)) \in P$  implies  $k'(l(d_1), l(d_2), \dots, l(d_n)) \in P$ . Hence  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $S_2$  therefore it follows that either  $l(d_1) \in P$  or  $l(d_2) \in P$  or ... or  $l(d_n) \in P$  and thus either  $d_1 \in l^{-1}(P)$  or  $d_2 \in l^{-1}(P)$  or ... or  $d_n \in l^{-1}(P)$ . Thus  $l^{-1}(P)$  is a prime ideal of  $S_1$ . □

**Theorem 13.** *If  $(S, h, k)$  be an  $(m, n)$ -near ring such that  $S = \langle d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k \rangle$  for  $k = \max\{n, m\}$ , is a finitely generated ideal of  $S$ , Then each proper  $k$ -ideal  $A$  of  $S$  is included in a maximal  $k$ -ideal of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\beta$  is the set of all  $k$ -ideals  $B$  of  $S$  satisfying  $A \subseteq B \subset S$ , that is partially ordered by inclusion. Take a chain  $\{B_i \mid i \in I\}$  in  $\beta$ . Then  $B = \bigcup B_i$  is a  $k$ -ideal of  $S$ , because if  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{n-1}, h(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n) \in B$  then by the definition of  $B$ , there is  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-1}, j \in I$  such that  $d_1 \in B_{i_1}, d_2 \in B_{i_2}, \dots, d_{n-1} \in B_{i_{n-1}}, h(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n) \in B_j$ , as  $B_i$  partially ordered by inclusion, then  $B_j \subseteq B_{i_1}$  or  $B_{i_1} \subseteq B_j$ . Without reduce totality of problem assuming  $B_{i_1}, B_{i_2}, \dots, B_{i_{n-1}} \subseteq B_j$ . So  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{n-1}, h(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n) \in B_j$  because  $B_j$  is a  $k$ -ideal. Thus  $d_n \in B_j$  and  $B_j \subseteq B$  then  $d_n \in B$  so  $B$  is a  $k$ -ideal and  $S = \langle d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k \rangle$  implies  $B \neq S$  and hence  $B \in \beta$ . So by Zorn's lemma,  $\beta$  has a maximal element.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $(S, h, k)$  be an  $(m, n)$ -near ring with identity 1. Then each proper  $j$ -ideal of  $S$  is included in a maximal  $j$ -ideal of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is immediate by taking  $S = \langle 1 \rangle$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** *If  $C, D$  be two  $j$ -ideals of an  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(S, h, k)$ , then  $C \cap D$  is a  $j$ -ideal.*

*Proof.* Let  $C, D$  be two  $j$ -ideals of  $S$ , then by definition  $j$ -ideal,  $C$  and  $D$  are subgroups of  $m$ -ary group  $(S, h)$ . so  $C \cap D$  is a subgroup of  $m$ -ary group  $(S, h)$ . It is enough to prove for every  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n \in S$ ,  $k(d_1^{k-1}, C \cap D, d_{k+1}^n) \subseteq C \cap D$ . because  $C$  is a  $j$ -ideal,  $k(d_1^{k-1}, C \cap D, d_{k+1}^n) \subseteq k(d_1^{k-1}, C, d_{k+1}^n) \subseteq C$  and because  $D$  is a  $j$ -ideal,  $k(d_1^{k-1}, C \cap D, d_{k+1}^n) \subseteq k(d_1^{k-1}, D, d_{k+1}^n) \subseteq D$ . therefore  $k(d_1^{k-1}, C \cap D, d_{k+1}^n) \subseteq C \cap D$ .  $\square$

**Definition 7.** *An equivalence relation  $\rho$  on an  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(S, f, g)$  is called a congruence on  $S$  if for any  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \in S$  such that  $a_i \rho b_i$ , then for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $1 \leq j \leq m$ :*

- (1)  $f(a_1^{j-1}, a, a_{j+1}^m) \rho f(a_1^{j-1}, b, a_{j+1}^m)$ ;
- (2)  $g(b_1^{i-1}, a, b_{i+1}^n) \rho g(b_1^{i-1}, b, b_{i+1}^n)$ .

*Let  $\rho$  be a congruence on an  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(S, f, g)$ . Then, the congruence class of  $x$ ,  $S$  is denoted by  $x\rho$  and is defined by  $x\rho = \{y \in S \mid (x, y) \in \rho\}$ . The set of all congruence classes of  $S$  is denoted by  $S/\rho$ .*

**Theorem 14.** *Let  $(S, h, k)$  be an  $(m, n)$ -near ring, then  $(S/\rho, h, k)$  is an  $(m, n)$ -near ring under the operations*

$$\begin{aligned} h(d_1\rho, d_2\rho, \dots, d_m\rho) &= h(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m)\rho, \\ k(d_1\rho, d_2\rho, \dots, d_n\rho) &= k(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)\rho, \end{aligned}$$

*where  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m \in S$  is called quotient near ring.*

*Proof.* Let  $d_1\rho, d_2\rho, \dots, d_{2m-1}\rho, e_1\rho, e_2\rho, \dots, e_m\rho$  be elements of  $S/\rho$ . Then for each  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & h(d_1\rho, d_2\rho, \dots, d_{i-1}\rho, h(d_i\rho, d_{i+1}\rho, \dots, d_{m+i-1}\rho), d_{m+i}\rho, d_{m+i+1}\rho, d_{2m-1}\rho) \\ &= h(d_1\rho, d_2\rho, \dots, d_{j-1}\rho, h(d_j\rho, d_{j+1}\rho, \dots, d_{m+j-1}\rho), d_{m+j}\rho, d_{m+j+1}\rho, \dots, d_{2m-1}\rho). \end{aligned}$$

So, the addition is associative on  $S/\rho$ . Similarly, the multiplication is associative, too. Finally, in order to show that the right  $i$ -distributivity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & k(d_1\rho, d_2\rho, \dots, d_{i-1}\rho, h(e_1\rho, e_2\rho, \dots, e_m\rho), d_{i+1}\rho, d_{i+2}\rho, \dots, d_n\rho) \\ &= h(k(d_1\rho, d_2\rho, \dots, d_{i-1}\rho, e_1\rho, d_{i+1}\rho, \dots, d_n\rho), \\ & \quad k(d_1\rho, d_2\rho, \dots, d_{i-1}\rho, e_2\rho, d_{i+1}\rho, \dots, d_n\rho), \\ & \quad \dots, k(d_1\rho, d_2\rho, \dots, d_{i-1}\rho, e_m\rho, d_{i+1}\rho, \dots, d_n\rho)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we derive that  $S/\rho$  is an  $(m, n)$ -near ring. □

**Lemma 6.** *If  $(A, h, k)$  be an  $(m, n)$ -near ring with  $1 \neq 0$ . Then  $A$  has at least one  $j$ -maximal ideal.*

*Proof.* Since  $\{0\}$  is a proper  $j$ -ideal of  $A$ , the set  $\Delta$  of all proper  $j$ -ideals of  $A$  is not empty. Of course, the relation of inclusion,  $\subseteq$ , is a partial order on  $\Delta$ , and by using Zorn's lemma to this partially ordered set, a maximal  $j$ -ideal of  $A$  is just a maximal member of the partially ordered set  $(\Delta, \subseteq)$ . □

Now, we define the concept of a homomorphism between  $(m, n)$ -near rings and assert some theorems in this respect.

**Definition 8.** *A mapping  $\eta$  from the  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(A, h, k)$  into the  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(A', h', k')$  will be named a homomorphism if for each  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m \in R$*

- (1)  $(k(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n))\eta = k'((d_1)\eta, (d_2)\eta, \dots, (d_n)\eta),$
- (2)  $(h(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m))\eta = h'((d_1)\eta, (d_2)\eta, \dots, (d_m)\eta).$

A homomorphism  $\eta$  from the  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(A, h, k)$  onto the  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(A', h', k')$  is named maximal if for each  $d \in A'$  there exists  $c_d \in \eta^{-1}(\{d\})$  such that  $h(y, \ker(\eta)^{(m-1)}) \subset h(c_d, \ker(\eta)^{(m-1)})$  for each  $y \in \eta^{-1}(\{d\})$  and  $\ker(\eta) = \{y \in A \mid y\eta = 0\}$ .

**Lemma 7.** *Suppose that  $\eta$  is a homomorphism from the  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(A, h, k)$  onto the  $(m, n)$ -near ring  $(A', h', k')$ . If  $\eta$  be maximal, then  $\ker(\eta)$  is a  $Q$ -ideal, where  $Q = \{c_d\}_{d \in A'}$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\bigcup_{d \in A'} h(c_d, \ker(\eta)^{(m-1)}) = A$ . Let  $c_d$  and  $c_b$  be different elements in  $Q$  and  $d \neq b$ . Let  $h(c_d, \ker(\eta)^{(m-1)}) \cap h(c_b, \ker(\eta)^{(m-1)}) \neq \emptyset$ . Thus, there exist  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{m-1}, k'_1, k'_2, \dots, k'_{m-1} \in \ker(\eta)$  such that  $h(c_d, k_1^{m-1}) = h(c_b, k'_1{}^{m-1})$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} d &= h'(c_d\eta, k_1\eta, \dots, k_{m-1}\eta) = (h(c_d, k_1^{m-1}))\eta = (h(c_b, k'_1{}^{m-1}))\eta \\ &= h'(c_b\eta, k'_1\eta, \dots, k'_{m-1}\eta) = b. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. Hence, we derive that  $\ker(\eta)$  is a  $Q$ -ideal. □

**Lemma 8.** Let  $A, A', \eta$  and  $Q$  be stated in Lemma 7, and  $c_{d_1}, c_{d_2}, \dots, c_{d_m}, c_{d_{m+1}}$  be elements in  $Q$ .

- (1) If  $h(h(c_{d_1}, c_{d_2}, \dots, c_{d_m}), \ker(\eta)^{(m-1)}) \subset h(c_{d_{m+1}}, \ker(\eta)^{(m-1)})$ , then  $h'(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m) = d_{m+1}$ .
- (2) If  $h(k(c_{d_1}, c_{d_2}, \dots, c_{d_n}), \ker(\eta)^{(m-1)}) \subset h(c_{d_{n+1}}, \ker(\eta)^{(m-1)})$ , then  $k'(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n) = d_{n+1}$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since

$$\begin{aligned} h(c_{d_1}, c_{d_2}, \dots, c_{d_m}) &\in h(h(c_{d_1}, c_{d_2}, \dots, c_{d_m}), \ker(\eta)^{(m-1)}) \\ &\subset h(c_{d_{m+1}}, \ker(\eta)^{(m-1)}), \end{aligned}$$

it conforms that there are  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{m-1} \in \ker(\eta)$  such that  $h(c_{d_1}, c_{d_2}, \dots, c_{d_m}) = h(c_{d_{m+1}}, k_1^{m-1})$ . Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} h'(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m) &= h'(c_{d_1}\eta, c_{d_2}\eta, \dots, c_{d_m}\eta) = (h(c_{d_1}, c_{d_2}, \dots, c_{d_m}))\eta \\ &= (h(c_{d_{m+1}}, k_1^{m-1}))\eta = h'(c_{d_{m+1}}\eta, k_1\eta, \dots, k_{m-1}\eta) = d_{m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) We have

$k(c_{d_1}, c_{d_2}, \dots, c_{d_n}) \in h(k(c_{d_1}, c_{d_2}, \dots, c_{d_n}), \ker(\eta)^{(m-1)}) \subseteq h(c_{d_{n+1}}, \ker(\eta)^{(m-1)})$ , so there exist  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{m-1} \in \ker(\eta)$  such that  $k(c_{d_1}, c_{d_2}, \dots, c_{d_n}) = h(c_{d_{n+1}}, k_1^{m-1})$ . Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} k'(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n) &= k'(c_{d_1}\eta, c_{d_2}\eta, \dots, c_{d_n}\eta) = (k(c_{d_1}, c_{d_2}, \dots, c_{d_n}))\eta \\ &= (h(c_{d_{n+1}}, k_1^{m-1}))\eta = h'(c_{d_{n+1}}\eta, k_1\eta, \dots, k_{m-1}\eta) = d_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Author Contribution Statements** Conceptualization, Mohammadi and Davvaz; methodology, Mohammadi and Davvaz; formal analysis, Davvaz; investigation, Davvaz; resources, Mohammadi and Davvaz; writing-original draft preparation, Mohammadi; writing-review and editing, Mohammadi and Davvaz; supervision, Davvaz; All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Declaration of Competing Interests** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Alam, S., Rao, S., Davvaz, B.,  $(m, n)$ -Semirings and a generalized fault-tolerance algebra of systems, *J. Appl. Math.*, (2013), Art. ID 482391, 10 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/482391>
- [2] Balakrishnan, R., Chelvam, T.,  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$ -Near-rings, *International Journal of Algebra*, 4(2) (2010), 71–79.
- [3] Chaudhari, J. N., Nemade, H., Davvaz, B., On partitioning ideals of  $(m, n)$ -semirings, *Asian European Journal of Mathematics*, 15(8) (2022), 2250144 (13 pages). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793557122501443>
- [4] Clay, J., *Near-rings: Geneses and Applications*, Oxford, New York, 1992. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198533986.002.0001>

- [5] Crombez, G., On  $(m, n)$ -rings, *Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg.*, 37 (1972), 180–199. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02999695>
- [6] Crombez, G., Timm, J., On  $(n, m)$ -quotient rings, *Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg.*, 37 (1972), 200–203. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02999696>
- [7] Davvaz, B., Leoreanu-Fotea, V., Vougiouklis, T., A survey on the theory of  $n$ -hypergroups, *Mathematics*, 11 (2023), 551. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math11030551>
- [8] Davvaz, B., Mohammadi, F., Different types of ideals and homomorphisms of  $(m, n)$ -semirings, *TWMS J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 12(2) (2021), 209–222.
- [9] Dickson, L., Definitions of a group and a field by independent postulates, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 6 (1905), 198–204.
- [10] Dörnte, W., Untersuchungen über einen verallgemeinerten Gruppenbegriff, *Math. Z.*, 29 (1929), 1–19.
- [11] Dudek, W. A., Glazek, K., Around the Hosszu-Gluskin theorem for  $n$ -ary groups, *Discret. Math.*, 308 (2008), 4861–4876. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2007.09.005>
- [12] Post, E. L., Polyadic groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 48 (1940), 208–350.
- [13] Usan, J., Zizovic, M., Some remarks on  $(m, n)$ -rings, *Filomat*, 13 (1999), 53–57.
- [14] Vasantha Kandasamy, W. B., Smarandache near-rings, American Research Press Rehoboth, NM, 2002.