

## A fixed point approach to the stability of a nonlinear volterra integrodifferential equation with delay

Rahim Shah\* and Akbar Zada†

### Abstract

By using a fixed point method, we prove the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability and the Hyers–Ulam stability of a nonlinear Volterra integrodifferential equation with delay. Two examples are presented to support the usability of our results.

**Keywords:** Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability, Hyers-Ulam stability, Volterra integrodifferential equation with delay, fixed point approach.

*2000 AMS Classification:* 47H10, 45J05, 45M10.

*Received:* 05.01.2017 *Accepted:* 20.03.2017 *Doi:* 10.15672/HJMS.2017.467

### 1. Introduction

In 1940, Ulam posed the following problem related to the stability of functional equations: “Under what conditions does there exist an additive mapping near an approximately additive mapping?” see for more detail [15]. One year later, Hyers [8] gave an answer to the problem of Ulam for the case of functional equation for homomorphism between the Banach spaces. In 1978, Rassias [13] proved the existence of unique linear mapping near approximate additive mapping that provides generalization of the Hyers result. Jung [9] applied the fixed point method to the investigation of Volterra integral equation by using the idea of Cadariu and Radu in [2]. S. M. Jung proved that if a continuous function  $v: I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is such that

$$\left| v(t) - \int_c^t G(\xi, v(\xi)) d\xi \right| \leq \phi(t)$$

---

\*Department of Mathematics, University of Peshawar, Peshawar 25000, Pakistan  
Email : safeer\_rahim@yahoo.com

†Department of Mathematics, University of Peshawar, Peshawar 25000, Pakistan  
Email : zadababo@yahoo.com, akbarzada@uop.edu.pk

for all  $t \in I$ , then there exists a unique continuous function  $v_0: I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and a constant  $K > 0$  such that

$$v_0(t) = \int_c^t G(\xi, v_0(\xi))d\xi \quad \text{and} \quad d(v(t), v_0(t)) \leq L\phi(t),$$

for all  $t \in I$ , it is important to obtain a precise  $L$  because it is clear that  $L$  will lead us to the error between the actual solution  $v_0(t)$  and the approximate solution  $v(t)$ . In 2013, Jung *et al.* proved that if  $g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $G: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are sufficiently smooth functions and if a continuously differentiable function  $v: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the perturbed Volterra integrodifferential equation

$$\left| v'(t) + g(t)v(t) + h(t) + \int_c^t K(t, \eta)v(\eta)d\eta \right| \leq \phi(t),$$

for some  $t \in I$ , then there exists a unique solution  $v_0: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of the Volterra integrodifferential equation

$$v'(t) + g(t)v(t) + h(t) + \int_c^t K(t, \eta)v(\eta)d\eta = 0,$$

such that

$$d(v(t), v_0(t)) \leq \exp \left\{ - \int_c^t g(\eta)d\eta \right\} \int_t^b \phi(\varsigma) \exp \left\{ \int_c^\varsigma g(\eta)d\eta \right\} d\varsigma,$$

for all  $t \in I$ . If the reader wishes more details, we recommend [1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 12, 14, 16].

The main purpose of the paper is to investigate the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability and the Hyers-Ulam stability of following nonlinear Volterra integrodifferential equation with delay:

$$(1.1) \quad v'(t) = g(t, v(t), v(\alpha(t))) + \int_0^t k(t, s, v(s), v(\alpha(s)))ds,$$

for all  $t \in I = [0, T]$ , where the function  $g(t, v(t), v(\alpha(t)))$  is continuous function with respect to variables  $t$  and  $v$  on  $I \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k(t, s, v(t), v(\alpha(t)))$  is continuous with respect to variables  $t, s$  and  $v$  on  $I \times I \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\beta$  is any constant and  $\alpha: [0, T] \rightarrow [0, T]$  is a continuous delay function with  $\alpha(t) \leq t$ .

**1.1. Definition.** If for each continuously differentiable function  $v(t)$  satisfying

$$\left| v'(t) - g(t, v(t), v(\alpha(t))) - \int_0^t k(t, s, v(s), v(\alpha(s)))ds \right| \leq \phi(t),$$

for some  $\phi: [0, T] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ , there exists a solution  $v_0(t)$  of the Volterra integrodifferential equations with delay (1.1) and a constant  $K > 0$  (independent of  $v(t)$  and  $v_0(t)$ ) with

$$|v(t) - v_0(t)| \leq K\phi(t),$$

for all  $t \in I$ , then we can say that the equation (1.1) is Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stable on  $I$ . If  $\phi(t)$  is constant function then we say that the equation (1.1) has Hyers-Ulam stability on  $I$ .

For a nonempty set  $Y$ , the generalized metric on  $Y$  is defined as follow:

**1.2. Definition.** A function  $d: Y \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is called a generalized metric on  $Y$  if and only if for all  $u, v, w \in Y$   $d$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $d(u, v) = 0$  if and only if  $u = v$ .
- (2)  $d(u, v) = d(v, u)$ .
- (3)  $d(u, w) \leq d(u, v) + d(v, w)$ .

Now, we are going to introduce one of the most crucial result of fixed point theory that will play an important role in proving our main results.

**1.3. Theorem.** ([5]) Let  $(Y, d)$  be a generalized complete metric space. Assume that  $\Theta : Y \rightarrow Y$  is a strictly contractive operator with  $L < 1$  as Lipschitz constant. If there exists a nonnegative integer  $k$  such that  $d(\Theta^{k+1}u, \Theta^k u) < \infty$  for some  $u \in Y$ , then the following conditions are true:

- The sequence  $\Theta^n u$  converges to a fixed point  $u^*$  of  $\Theta$ ;
- $u^*$  is the unique fixed point of  $\Theta$  in

$$Y^* = \left\{ v \in Y \mid d(\Theta^k u, v) < \infty \right\};$$

- If  $v \in Y^*$ , then

$$d(v, u^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(\Theta v, v).$$

## 2. Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability

In this section, we prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the nonlinear Volterra integrodifferential equation with delay (1.1).

**2.1. Theorem.** Let  $I = [0, T]$  be a closed and bounded interval for a given  $T > 0$  and let  $N, L_g$  and  $L_k$  be nonnegative constants with  $0 < NL_g + N^2 L_k < 1$ . Assume that  $g : I \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function that satisfies a Lipschitz condition

$$(2.1) \quad |g(t, v_1, v_1(\alpha(t))) - g(t, v_2, v_2(\alpha(t)))| \leq L_g |v_1 - v_2|,$$

for all  $t \in I$  and  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $k : I \times I \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function which satisfies a Lipschitz condition

$$(2.2) \quad |k(t, s, v_1, v_1(\alpha(s))) - k(t, s, v_2, v_2(\alpha(s)))| \leq L_k |v_1 - v_2|,$$

for all  $t, s \in I, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $v : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a continuously differentiable function satisfies

$$(2.3) \quad \left| v'(t) - g(t, v(t), v(\alpha(t))) - \int_0^t k(t, s, v(s), v(\alpha(s))) ds \right| \leq \phi(t),$$

for all  $t \in I$ , where  $\phi : I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is a continuous function with

$$(2.4) \quad \int_0^t \phi(\zeta) d\zeta \leq N\phi(t)$$

for all  $t \in I$ , then there exists a unique continuous function  $v_0 : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(2.5) \quad v_0(t) = \int_0^t g(\zeta, v_0(\zeta), v_0(\alpha(\zeta))) d\zeta + \int_0^t \int_0^s k(t, \zeta, v_0(\zeta), v_0(\alpha(\zeta))) d\zeta ds$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad |v(t) - v_0(t)| \leq \frac{N}{1 - (NL_g + N^2 L_k)} \phi(t)$$

for all  $t \in I$ .

*Proof.* Let  $Y$  be the set of all real valued continuous functions on closed and bounded interval  $I$ . For all  $u, w \in Y$ , we set

$$(2.7) \quad d(u, w) = \inf \{ K \in [0, \infty] : |u(t) - w(t)| \leq K\phi(t), \text{ for all } t \in I \}.$$

The metric space  $(Y, d)$  is a complete generalized metric space, see [10]. Consider the operator  $\Theta : Y \rightarrow Y$  defined by

$$(2.8) \quad (\Theta u)(t) = v(0) + \int_0^t g(\zeta, u(\zeta), u(\alpha(\zeta))) d\zeta + \int_0^t \int_0^s k(t, \zeta, u(\zeta), u(\alpha(\zeta))) d\zeta ds$$

for all  $t \in I$ . We show that the operator  $\Theta$  is strictly contractive. Let  $K_{uw} \in [0, \infty]$  be a constant with  $d(u, w) \leq K_{uw}$  for  $u, w \in Y$ . By (2.7), we can write

$$(2.9) \quad |u(t) - w(t)| \leq K_{uw}\phi(t) \text{ for all } t \in I.$$

From inequalities (2.1), (2.2), (2.4), (2.8) and (2.9) it follows that for all  $t \in I$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Theta u)(t) - (\Theta w)(t)| &= \left| \int_0^t \left\{ g(\zeta, u(\zeta), u(\alpha(\zeta))) - g(\zeta, w(\zeta), w(\alpha(\zeta))) \right\} d\zeta \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t \int_0^s \left\{ k(t, \zeta, u(\zeta), u(\alpha(\zeta))) - k(t, \zeta, w(\zeta), w(\alpha(\zeta))) \right\} d\zeta ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^t \left| g(\zeta, u(\zeta), u(\alpha(\zeta))) - g(\zeta, w(\zeta), w(\alpha(\zeta))) \right| d\zeta \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^s \left| k(t, \zeta, u(\zeta), u(\alpha(\zeta))) - k(t, \zeta, w(\zeta), w(\alpha(\zeta))) \right| d\zeta ds \\ &\leq L_g \int_0^t |u(\zeta) - w(\zeta)| d\zeta + L_h \int_0^t \int_0^s |u(\zeta) - w(\zeta)| d\zeta ds \\ &\leq L_g K_{uw} \int_0^t \phi(\zeta) d\zeta + L_h K_{uw} \int_0^t \int_0^s \phi(\zeta) d\zeta ds \\ &\leq K_{uw}\phi(t) (NL_g + N^2L_h), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $d(\Theta u, \Theta w) \leq K_{uw}\phi(t)(NL_g + N^2L_h)$ . Hence, we may conclude that  $d(\Theta u, \Theta w) \leq (NL_g + N^2L_h)d(u, w)$  for any  $u, w \in Y$ , where  $0 < NL_g + N^2L_h < 1$ .

It follows from (2.8) that for any arbitrary  $w_0 \in Y$ , there exists a constant  $K \in [0, \infty]$  with

$$\begin{aligned} |\Theta w_0(t) - w_0(t)| &= \left| v(0) + \int_0^t g(\zeta, w_0(\zeta), w_0(\alpha(\zeta))) d\zeta \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t \int_0^s k(t, \zeta, u_0(\zeta), u_0(\alpha(\zeta))) d\zeta ds - w_0 \right| \\ &\leq K\phi(t), \text{ for all } t \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $g(\zeta, w_0(\zeta), w_0(\alpha(\zeta)))$ ,  $k(t, \zeta, u_0(\zeta), u_0(\alpha(\zeta)))$  and  $w_0$  are bounded and  $\min_{t \in I} \phi(t) > 0$ . Thus, (2.7) implies that

$$d(\Theta w_0, w_0) < \infty.$$

So, according to Theorem 1.3, there exists a continuous function  $v_0 : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  in a way that  $\Theta^n w_0 \rightarrow v_0$  in  $(Y, d)$  and  $\Theta v_0 = v_0$ , i.e.,  $v_0$  satisfies (2.5) for all  $t \in I$ . Since we know that  $w$  and  $w_0$  are bounded on closed interval  $I$  for any  $w \in Y$  and  $\min_{t \in I} \phi(t) > 0$ , then there exists a constant  $K_w \in [0, \infty]$  such that

$$d(w_0(t), w(t)) \leq K_w\phi(t)$$

for any  $t \in I$ . We have  $|w_0(t) - w(t)| < \infty$  for any  $w \in Y$ . Therefore, we get that  $\{w \in Y | d(w_0, w) < \infty\}$  is equal to  $Y$ . From Theorem 1.3, we conclude that  $v_0$ , given by (2.5), is the unique continuous function. Again from (2.3), we get

$$(2.10) \quad -\phi(t) \leq v'(t) - g(t, v(t), v(\alpha(t))) - \int_0^t k(t, s, v(s), v(\alpha(s))) ds \leq \phi(t)$$

for all  $t \in I$ . By integrating each term of inequality (2.10) from 0 to  $t$ , we get

$$\left| v(t) - v(0) - \int_0^t g(\zeta, v(\zeta), v(\alpha(\zeta))) d\zeta - \int_0^t \int_0^s k(t, \zeta, v(\zeta), v(\alpha(\zeta))) d\zeta ds \right|$$

$$\leq \int_0^t \phi(\zeta) d\zeta,$$

for all  $t \in I$ . From (2.4) and (2.8), we get

$$(2.11) \quad |v(t) - (\Theta v)(t)| \leq \int_0^t \phi(\zeta) d\zeta \leq N\phi(t)$$

for all  $t \in I$ , which implies that  $d(v, \Theta v) \leq N$ . Next by making the use of Theorem 1.3 and inequality (2.11), we conclude that

$$d(v, v_0) \leq \frac{1}{1 - (NL_g + N^2L_k)} d(\Theta v, v) \leq \frac{N}{1 - (NL_g + N^2L_k)}.$$

Consequently, this yields the inequality (2.6) for all  $t \in I$ .  $\square$

In the above Theorem 2.1 we examined the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of (1.1) on a closed and bounded interval. Now, we are going to show that Theorem 2.1 is also valid for the case of unbounded interval.

**2.2. Theorem.** Suppose that  $I$  denote either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $[0, \infty)$  or  $(-\infty, T]$  for a given nonnegative real number  $T$ . Let  $L_g, L_k$  and  $N$  be positive constants with  $0 < NL_g + N^2L_k < 1$  and  $\alpha: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous delay function such that  $\alpha(t) \leq t$  for all  $t \in I$ . Assume that  $g: I \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function satisfying condition (2.1) for all  $t \in I$ ,  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . If a continuously differentiable function  $v: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies inequality (2.3) for all  $t \in I$ , where  $\phi: I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is a continuous function satisfying condition (2.4) for all  $t \in I$ , then there exists a unique continuous function  $v_0: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which satisfies (2.5) and (2.6) for each  $t \in I$ .

*Proof.* First we assume that  $I = \mathbb{R}$  and we are going to show that  $v_0$  is a continuous function. For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define the interval  $I_n = [-n, n]$ . In accordance with Theorem 2.1, there exists a unique continuous function  $v_n: I_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  in such a way that

$$(2.12) \quad v_n(t) = v(0) + \int_0^t g(\zeta, v_n(\zeta), v_n(\alpha(\zeta)))d\zeta + \int_0^t \int_0^s k(t, \zeta, v_n(\zeta), v_n(\alpha(\zeta))) d\zeta ds$$

and

$$(2.13) \quad |v(t) - v_n(t)| \leq \frac{N}{1 - (NL_g + N^2L_k)} \phi(t) \text{ for all } t \in I.$$

The uniqueness of the function  $v_n$  implies that if  $t \in I_n$ , then

$$(2.14) \quad v_n(t) = v_{n+1}(t) = v_{n+2}(t) = \dots.$$

For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , define  $n(t) \in \mathbb{N}$  as

$$n(t) = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} | t \in I_n\}.$$

Next, we define a function  $v_0: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$(2.15) \quad v_0(t) = v_{n(t)}(t),$$

and claim that  $v_0$  is continuous. For any  $t_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  we take the integer  $n_1 = n(t_1)$ . Then,  $t_1$  belongs to the interior of the interval  $I_{n_1+1}$  and there exists positive  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $v_0(t) = v_{n_1+1}(t)$  for all  $t$  with  $t_1 - \varepsilon < t < t_1 + \varepsilon$ . Since  $v_{n_1+1}$  is continuous at  $t_1$ ,  $v_0$  is continuous at  $t_1$  for  $t_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Now, we prove that the continuous function  $v_0$  satisfies (2.5) and (2.7) for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that  $n(t)$  is an integer for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, by the use of (2.12) and (2.15), we

have  $t \in I_{n(t)}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} v_0(t) &= v_{n(t)}(t) = v(0) + \int_0^t g(\zeta, v_n(\zeta), v_n(\alpha(\zeta))) d\zeta \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^s k(t, \zeta, v_n(\zeta), v_n(\alpha(\zeta))) d\zeta ds \\ &= v(0) + \int_0^t g(\zeta, v_0(\zeta), v_0(\alpha(\zeta))) d\zeta \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^s k(t, \zeta, v_0(\zeta), v_0(\alpha(\zeta))) d\zeta ds. \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality holds true because  $n(\zeta) \leq n(t)$  for all  $\zeta \in I_{n(t)}$  and from equations (2.14) and (2.15) we get that

$$v_{n(t)}(\zeta) = v_{n(\zeta)}(\zeta) = v_0(\zeta).$$

Since  $t \in I_{n(t)}$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , so from (2.13) and (2.15), we have

$$|v(t) - v_0(t)| \leq |v(t) - v_{n(t)}(t)| \leq \frac{N}{1 - (NL_g + N^2L_k)} \phi(t),$$

for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Finally, we are going to prove that  $v_0$  is unique. To do this we consider another continuous function  $u_0: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which satisfies (2.5) and (2.7), with  $u_0$  instead of  $v_0$ , for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  be an arbitrary number. Since the restrictions  $v_0|_{I_{n(t)}}$  and  $u_0|_{I_{n(t)}}$  satisfies (2.5) and (2.7) for each  $t \in I_{n(t)}$ , the uniqueness of  $v_n(t) = v_0|_{I_{n(t)}}$  suggest that

$$v_0(t) = v_0|_{I_{n(t)}}(t) = u_0|_{I_{n(t)}}(t) = u_0(t).$$

We can prove similarly for the cases  $I = (-\infty, T]$  and  $I = [0, \infty)$ .  $\square$

### 3. Hyers-Ulam stability

In this section, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the nonlinear Volterra integrodifferential equation with delay (1.1).

**3.1. Theorem.** Let  $I = [0, T]$  be a non-degenerated interval,  $L_g$  and  $L_k$  be nonnegative constants such that  $0 < TL_g + \frac{T^2}{2}L_k < 1$ . Assume that  $g: I \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous function which satisfies the Lipschitz condition (2.1) and  $k: I \times I \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function which satisfies the Lipschitz condition (2.2). If for some  $\sigma \geq 0$  and a continuously differentiable function  $v: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$(3.1) \quad \left| v'(t) - g(t, v(t), v(\alpha(t))) - \int_0^t k(t, s, v(s), v(\alpha(s))) ds \right| \leq \sigma \text{ for all } t \in I,$$

then there exists a unique continuous function  $v_0: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the equation (2.5) and

$$(3.2) \quad |v(t) - v_0(t)| \leq \frac{T}{1 - (TL_g + \frac{T^2}{2}L_k)} \sigma, \text{ for all } t \in I.$$

*Proof.* Let  $Y$  be the set of all real valued continuous functions on closed and bounded interval  $I$ . For all  $u, w \in Y$ , we define a metric on  $Y$  by

$$(3.3) \quad d(u, w) = \inf \{K \in [0, \infty]: |u(t) - w(t)| \leq K \text{ for all } t \in I\}.$$

The metric space  $(Y, d)$  is a complete generalized metric space, see [10]. Consider the operator  $\Theta: Y \rightarrow Y$  defined by

$$(3.4) \quad (\Theta u)(t) = v(0) + \int_0^t g(\zeta, u(\zeta), u(\alpha(\zeta)))d\zeta + \int_0^t \int_0^s k(t, \zeta, u(\zeta), u(\alpha(\zeta))) d\zeta ds$$

for all  $t \in I$  and for all  $u \in Y$ . Next, we need to check that the operator  $\Theta$  is strictly contractive on the set  $Y$ . Suppose that  $K_{uw} \in [0, \infty]$  be a constant with  $d(u, w) \leq K_{uw}$  for any  $u, w \in Y$ . We have,

$$(3.5) \quad |u(t) - w(t)| \leq K_{uw}, \text{ for all } t \in I.$$

By making the use of (2.1), (2.2), (3.4) and (3.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Theta u)(t) - (\Theta w)(t)| &= \left| \int_0^t \left\{ g(\zeta, u(\zeta), u(\alpha(\zeta))) - g(\zeta, w(\zeta), w(\alpha(\zeta))) \right\} d\zeta \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t \int_0^s \left\{ k(t, \zeta, u(\zeta), u(\alpha(\zeta))) - k(t, \zeta, w(\zeta), w(\alpha(\zeta))) \right\} d\zeta ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^t \left| g(\zeta, u(\zeta), u(\alpha(\zeta))) - g(\zeta, w(\zeta), w(\alpha(\zeta))) \right| d\zeta \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^s \left| k(t, \zeta, u(\zeta), u(\alpha(\zeta))) - k(t, \zeta, w(\zeta), w(\alpha(\zeta))) \right| d\zeta ds \\ &\leq L_g \int_0^t |u(\zeta) - w(\zeta)| d\zeta + L_k \int_0^t \int_0^s |u(\zeta) - w(\zeta)| d\zeta ds \\ &\leq L_g K_{uw} T + L_k K_{uw} \frac{T^2}{2} \\ &\leq K_{uw} (TL_g + \frac{T^2}{2} L_k), \text{ for all } t \in I, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $d(\Theta u, \Theta w) \leq K_{uw} (TL_g + \frac{T^2}{2} L_k)$ . Hence, we may conclude that  $d(\Theta u, \Theta w) \leq (TL_g + \frac{T^2}{2} L_k) d(u, w)$  for any  $u, w \in Y$ , where  $0 < TL_g + \frac{T^2}{2} L_k < 1$ . Suppose  $w_0 \in Y$  be arbitrary, there exists a constant  $K \in [0, \infty]$  with

$$\begin{aligned} |\Theta w_0(t) - w_0(t)| &= \left| v(0) + \int_0^t g(\zeta, w_0(\zeta), w_0(\alpha(\zeta))) d\zeta \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t \int_0^s k(t, \zeta, u_0(\zeta), u_0(\alpha(\zeta))) d\zeta ds - w_0 \right| \\ &\leq K, \text{ for all } t \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $g(\zeta, w_0(\zeta), w_0(\alpha(\zeta)))$ ,  $k(t, \zeta, u_0(\zeta), u_0(\alpha(\zeta)))$  and  $w_0$  are bounded. Thus, equation (3.3) implies that

$$d(\Theta w_0, w_0) < \infty.$$

So, according to Theorem 1.3, there exists a continuous function  $v_0: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  in a way that  $\Theta^n w_0 \rightarrow v_0$  in  $(Y, d)$  and  $\Theta v_0 = v_0$ , i.e.,  $v_0$  satisfies (2.5) for all  $t \in I$ . As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, it can be verify easily that  $\{w \in Y | d(w_0, w) < \infty\}$  is equal to  $Y$ . From Theorem 1.3, we conclude that  $v_0$ , given by equation (2.5), is the unique continuous function. Again from equation (2.3), we get

$$(3.6) \quad -\sigma \leq v'(t) - g(t, v(t), v(\alpha(t))) - \int_0^t k(t, s, v(s), v(\alpha(s))) ds \leq \sigma,$$

for all  $t \in I$ . By integrating each term of inequality (3.6) from 0 to  $t$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| v(t) - v(0) - \int_0^t g(\zeta, v_0(\zeta), v_0(\alpha(\zeta))) d\zeta - \int_0^t \int_0^s k(t, \zeta, v_0(\zeta), v_0(\alpha(\zeta))) d\zeta ds \right| \\ \leq \sigma T \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in I$ . So, it satisfies that  $|v - \Theta v| \leq \sigma T$ . Finally, Theorem 1.3 together with (2.11) implies that

$$d(v, v_0) \leq \frac{T}{1 - (TL_g + \frac{T^2}{2}L_k)} d(\Theta v, v) \leq \frac{T}{1 - (TL_g + \frac{T^2}{2}L_k)} \sigma.$$

□

Now we present two examples which indicate how our theorems can be applied to concrete problems.

**3.2. Example.** Let  $a > 1$ ,  $q \in (0, \infty)$  and  $p$  are arbitrary but fixed constants. Consider the class of equations

$$(3.7) \quad u'(t) = g(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \lambda(t-s)^p (u(s) + u(\alpha(s) + f(s))) ds, t \in [0, T]$$

for  $\lambda < \frac{(q \ln a)^2}{T^p}$ , where  $f(t)$  and  $g(t)$  are any continuous functions. Here

$$k(t, s, u(s), u(\alpha(s))) = \frac{1}{2} \lambda(t-s)^p (u(s) + u(\alpha(s)) + f(s)).$$

Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} & |k(t, s, u_1(s), u_1(\alpha(s))) - k(t, s, u_2(s), u_2(\alpha(s)))| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda |(t-s)^p| (|u_1(s) - u_2(s)| + |u_1(\alpha(s)) - u_2(\alpha(s))|) \\ & \leq \lambda T^p \|u_1 - u_2\|. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $v : I \rightarrow R$  be such that

$$\left| v'(t) - g(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (\lambda(t-s)^p (v(s) + v(\alpha(s)) + f(s))) ds \right| \leq \sigma(t) = a^{qt}, t \in [0, T].$$

Clearly,

$$\left| \int_0^t \sigma(t) dt \right| = \left| \int_0^t a^{qt} dt \right| = \frac{1}{q \ln a} a^{qt} = \frac{1}{q \ln a} \sigma(t)$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . Theorem 2.1 ensures the existence of a unique continuous function  $v : I \rightarrow R$  that solves (3.7) and

$$|v(t) - v_0(t)| \leq \frac{q \ln a}{(q \ln a)^2 - T^p \lambda} a^{qt}, t \in [0, T].$$

**3.3. Example.** Consider the above class of problems for  $\lambda < \frac{2}{T^{p+2}}$ . Let for some  $\sigma > 0$  and  $v : I \rightarrow R$  we have

$$\left| v'(t) - g(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \lambda(t-s)^p (v(s) + v(\alpha(s)) + f(s)) ds \right| \leq \sigma, t \in [0, T].$$

In the light of Theorem 3.1, there exists a unique continuous function  $v : I \rightarrow R$  that solves (3.7) for  $\lambda < \frac{2}{T^{p+2}}$  and

$$|v(t) - v_0(t)| \leq \frac{2T}{2 - T^{p+2}\lambda} \sigma, t \in [0, T].$$

**Conclusion.** In this manuscript, we investigated the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability and Hyers–Ulam stability of a nonlinear Volterra integrodifferential equation with delay by using a fixed point method.



## References

- [1] M. Akkouchi, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of nonlinear Volterra integral equations via a fixed point approach*, Acta Univ. Apulensis Math. Inform., 26 (2011), 257–266.
- [2] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, *On the stability of the Cauchy functional equation: a fixed point approach*, Grazer Math. Ber., 346 (2004), 43–52.
- [3] L. P. Castro and A. Ramos, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability for a class of nonlinear Volterra integral equations*, Banach J. Math. Anal., 3 (2009), 36–43.
- [4] L. P. Castro, A. Ramos, *Hyers-Ulam and Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Volterra integral equations with delay*, Integral methods in science and engineering, Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1 (2010), 85–94.
- [5] J. B. Diaz and B. Margolis, *A fixed point theorem of the alternative, for contractions on a generalized complete metric space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 74 (1968), 305–309.
- [6] M. Gachpazan and O. Baghani, *Hyers-Ulam stability of Volterra integral equation*, J. Nonl. Anal. Appl., 1 (2010), 19–25.
- [7] M. Gachpazan and O. Baghani, *Hyers-Ulam stability of nonlinear integral equation*, Fix. P. Theo. Appl., 2010 (2010), 6 pages.
- [8] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A, 27 (1941), 222–224.
- [9] S. M. Jung, *A fixed point approach to the stability of a Volterra integral equation*. Fix. P. Theo. Appl., 2007 (2007), 9 pages.
- [10] S. M. Jung, *A fixed point approach to the stability of differential equations  $y' = F(x, y)$* , Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., 33 (2010), 47–56.
- [11] S. M. Jung, S. Sevgin and H. Sevli, *On the perturbation of Volterra integro-differential equations*, Appl. Math. Lett., 26 (2013), 665–669.
- [12] J. R. Morales and E. M. Rojas, *Hyers-Ulam and Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of nonlinear integral equations with delay*, Int. J. Nonl. Anal. Appl., 2 (2011), 1–6.
- [13] T. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 72 (1978), 297–300.
- [14] J. M. Rassias and M. Eslamian, *Fixed points and stability of nonic functional equation in quasi- $\beta$ -normed spaces*, Cont. Anal. Appl. Math., 3 (2015), 293–309.
- [15] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Science Editions John Wiley & Sons, New York, (1960).
- [16] A. Zada, R. Shah and T. Li, *Integral type contraction and coupled coincidence fixed point theorems for two pairs in G-metric spaces*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat., 45 (2016), 1475–1484.