



## FLAT STRONG $\delta$ -COVERS OF MODULES

YILMAZ MEHMET DEMIRCI

**ABSTRACT.** We say that a ring  $R$  is right generalized  $\delta$ -semiperfect if every simple right  $R$ -module is an epimorphic image of a flat right  $R$ -module with  $\delta$ -small kernel. This definition gives a generalization of both right  $\delta$ -semiperfect rings and right generalized semiperfect rings. We provide examples involving such rings along with some of their properties. We introduce flat strong  $\delta$ -cover of a module as a flat cover which is also a flat  $\delta$ -cover and use flat strong  $\delta$ -covers in characterizing right  $A$ -perfect rings, right  $B$ -perfect rings and right perfect rings.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Flat cover of a module  $M$  is introduced by E. Enochs (see [10]). It is a homomorphism  $\alpha : F \rightarrow M$  with the following properties.

- (i)  $F$  is a flat module.
- (ii) for any homomorphism  $\beta : F' \rightarrow M$  with  $F'$  a flat module, there is a homomorphism  $\gamma : F' \rightarrow F$  such that  $\alpha \circ \gamma = \beta$ .
- (iii) if  $\theta$  is an endomorphism of  $F$  satisfying  $\alpha \circ \theta = \alpha$ , then  $\theta$  is an automorphism.

In [1] the term flat cover is used for another concept. A flat cover of a module  $M$  is defined as an epimorphism  $f : F \rightarrow M$  from a flat module  $F$  with a small kernel. In [9], such covers of modules are called flat  $B$ -covers to distinguish between these two definitions, since this definition is derived from the definition of a projective cover in the sense of H. Bass (see [6]). We stick to the notation used in [9] concerning flat covers.

As a generalization of right perfect rings, right generalized perfect rings are introduced in [1] as rings whose modules have flat  $B$ -covers. In [9], right generalized semiperfect (shortly  $G$ -semiperfect) rings are defined with the same condition restricted to the class of all simple modules. Some properties and examples of such

---

Received by the editors: April 02, 2017; Accepted: September 22, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16D40, 16L30.

*Key words and phrases.* Flat cover, flat  $\delta$ -cover, flat strong  $\delta$ -cover,  $G$ - $\delta$ -semiperfect ring, semiperfect ring, perfect ring.

©2018 Ankara University.  
Communications Faculty of Sciences University of Ankara-Series A1 Mathematics and Statistics.  
Communications de la Faculté des Sciences de l'Université d'Ankara-Séries A1 Mathématiques et Statistiques.

rings can be found in [1] and [9]. In [9, §3], a flat cover of a module which is also a flat  $B$ -cover is called a flat strong cover.

Right  $A$ -perfect rings and right  $B$ -perfect rings are defined using the projectivity of flat covers of certain modules (see [2] and [7]). One of the equivalent conditions for a ring  $R$  to be right  $A$ -perfect (right  $B$ -perfect resp.) is that flat covers of cyclic (simple resp.) modules are projective. It is shown in [9] that certain modules having flat strong covers are related to the ring being right  $A$ -perfect or right  $B$ -perfect.

Y. Zhou introduced  $\delta$ -small submodules and defined  $\delta$ -covers as epimorphisms with  $\delta$ -small kernel (see [15]). Rings whose (simple resp.) modules have projective  $\delta$ -covers are defined as right  $\delta$ -perfect (right  $\delta$ -semiperfect resp.) rings in the same work. In [5], flat  $\delta$ -covers are introduced as a generalization of both projective  $\delta$ -covers and flat  $B$ -covers. Rings over which every module has a flat  $\delta$ -cover are called right generalized  $\delta$ -perfect and properties and examples illustrating relation between such rings, perfect rings and  $\delta$ -perfect rings are given in [5].

In the first part of this work, we follow the ideas used in [5] and define right generalized  $\delta$ -semiperfect rings as a generalization of both  $\delta$ -semiperfect rings and generalized  $\delta$ -perfect rings by restricting the property of “having flat  $\delta$ -covers” to simple modules. For this reason, most of the results given in section 2 depend on and/or uses the ones given in [5] for generalized  $\delta$ -perfect rings. In this section, we give some properties of right generalized  $\delta$ -semiperfect rings and provide some examples. Such rings are closed under quotients and finite direct products. We show that a commutative domain is right generalized  $\delta$ -semiperfect if and only if it is local which generalizes [9, Proposition 2.10]. We also give a direct proof to the fact that a ring is right perfect if and only if it is semilocal and every semisimple module has a flat  $\delta$ -cover so that semilocal right generalized  $\delta$ -perfect rings are right perfect.

Generalizing the notion of flat strong covers, we also define flat strong  $\delta$ -covers of modules as flat covers which are also flat  $\delta$ -covers. We show that flat cover of a module  $M$  is projective if and only if  $M$  has a projective cover and a flat strong  $\delta$ -cover. Using this result we characterize right  $A$ -perfect rings, right  $B$ -perfect rings and right perfect rings as semilocal rings over which every cyclic, simple and semisimple module has a flat strong  $\delta$ -cover, respectively.

For a ring  $R$ ,  $J$  denotes the Jacobson radical of the ring  $R$  and by saying a regular ring we mean a von Neumann regular ring. Let  $M$  be a module and  $N$  be a submodule of  $M$ .  $N$  is said to be  $\delta$ -small in  $M$  if  $N + K \neq M$  for every proper submodule  $K$  of  $M$  with  $M/K$  singular (see [15]). It generalizes the notion of small submodules in which the condition  $M/K$  being singular in the definition is omitted. The submodule  $\delta(M)$  is the sum of all  $\delta$ -small submodules of  $M$ . If  $M$  is projective, then by [15, Lemma 1.9],  $\delta(M)$  is the intersection of all essential maximal submodules of  $M$ . We use  $\delta_r$  instead of  $\delta(R_R)$ .  $\text{Rad}(M)$  denotes the Jacobson radical of  $M$  and the notations  $\leq$ ,  $\ll$  and  $\ll_\delta$  are used to indicate submodule,

small submodule and  $\delta$ -small submodule, respectively. The following results are used in the sequel.

**Lemma 1.** [15, Lemma 1.2] *Let  $N$  be a submodule of a module  $M$ . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $N \ll_{\delta} M$ .
- (ii) *If  $X + N = M$ , then  $M = X \oplus Y$  for a projective semisimple submodule  $Y$  with  $Y \subseteq N$ .*

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $M$  be a module. If  $\text{Rad}(M) \ll_{\delta} M$ , then  $\text{Rad}(M) \ll M$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\text{Rad}(M) + N = M$  for some  $N \not\subseteq M$ . By Lemma 1,  $M = N \oplus (\bigoplus_{i \in I} S_i)$  for some index set  $I$ , where  $S_i$  is simple for every  $i \in I$ . Since  $N \neq M$ ,  $I$  is nonempty. For  $i_0 \in I$ ,  $K = N \oplus (\bigoplus_{\substack{i \in I \\ i \neq i_0}} S_i)$  is a maximal submodule of  $M$  and we have  $M = \text{Rad}(M) + N \leq K$  which is a contradiction.  $\square$

## 2. GENERALIZED $\delta$ -SEMI PERFECT RINGS

Flat  $\delta$ -covers of modules are introduced in [5]. Rings over which every module has a flat  $\delta$ -cover are defined as right generalized  $\delta$ -perfect (briefly right  $G$ - $\delta$ -perfect) rings in the same work. Most of the results given in this section depend on and/or uses the ones given in [5] for generalized  $\delta$ -perfect rings. Related results from this work are cited wherever they are used. We restrict the property of “having a flat  $\delta$ -cover” to simple modules and give the following definition.

**Definition 1.** *We call a ring  $R$  right generalized  $\delta$ -semiperfect (right  $G$ - $\delta$ -semiperfect, for short) if every simple right  $R$ -module has a flat  $\delta$ -cover. Left  $G$ - $\delta$ -semiperfect rings are defined similarly. If  $R$  is both right and left  $G$ - $\delta$ -semiperfect, we call  $R$  a  $G$ - $\delta$ -semiperfect ring.*

We now give some examples of right  $G$ - $\delta$ -semiperfect rings to see their relation to those already studied. Let us recall that a ring  $R$  is called a right  $V$ -ring if every simple module is flat.

**Example 1.**

- (a) *Every right perfect ring is right  $G$ - $\delta$ -perfect and every semiperfect ring is  $G$ - $\delta$ -semiperfect.*
- (b) *Every flat module is a flat  $\delta$ -cover of itself, therefore every right  $V$ -ring is right  $G$ - $\delta$ -semiperfect.*
- (c) *Every right  $G$ -semiperfect ring is right  $G$ - $\delta$ -semiperfect.*
- (d) *Following the proof for [5, Example 3.4], we can show that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not a  $G$ - $\delta$ -semiperfect ring. Let  $p$  be a prime number and  $f : F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  be a flat  $\delta$ -cover of  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . By the use of [5, Lemma 2.5],  $F \cong \mathbb{Z}/K$  for some submodule  $K$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , since projective semisimple abelian groups are zero. Then  $\mathbb{Z}/K$*

is a cyclic flat abelian group. Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is noetherian, it is projective so that  $K = 0$  and  $F \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Then for the isomorphism  $g : F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $g(\text{Ker } f) \ll_{\delta} \mathbb{Z}$  by [15, Lemma 1.3(2)], since  $\text{Ker } f \ll_{\delta} \mathbb{Z}$ .  $g$  is an isomorphism and  $\delta(\mathbb{Z}) = 0$  imply that  $\text{Ker } f = 0$  and so  $\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  which is a contradiction. Therefore  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  does not have a flat  $\delta$ -cover.

**Example 2.** For a regular ring  $K$ , Let  $R = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i$  with  $K_i = K$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

It is shown in [11, §10.4] that  $R$  is a regular ring which is not semisimple. Then  $R$  is a regular ring which is not semiperfect. Hence  $R$  is a right  $G$ - $\delta$ -semiperfect ring which is not semiperfect.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $R$  and  $S$  be right  $G$ - $\delta$ -semiperfect rings. Then the following hold.

- (i) A ring Morita equivalent to  $R$  is right  $G$ - $\delta$ -semiperfect.
- (ii) Every factor ring of  $R$  is right  $G$ - $\delta$ -semiperfect.
- (iii)  $R \times S$  is right  $G$ - $\delta$ -semiperfect.

*Proof.* The proof for (i) is almost the same as the one for right  $G$ - $\delta$ -perfect rings in [5, Proposition 3.7]. Its proof is given in details, so we omit it to avoid repetition. A proof similar to that for [9, Proposition 2.8] implies (ii) and (iii).  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Over a right noetherian ring, finitely generated modules are finitely presented and so a flat  $\delta$ -cover of a finitely generated module  $M$  is also a projective  $\delta$ -cover of  $M$  by [5, Lemma 2.6]. It follows from [8, Remark 4.4] that a right noetherian ring is semiperfect if and only if it is right  $G$ - $\delta$ -semiperfect.

The following result is a consequence of [5, Theorem 4.3] and [8, Corollary 4.3]. We include it for future references.

**Theorem 1.** The following are equivalent for a ring  $R$ .

- (i)  $R$  is semiperfect.
- (ii)  $R$  is semilocal and every simple module has a flat  $B$ -cover.
- (iii)  $R$  is semilocal and every simple module has a flat  $\delta$ -cover.

**Example 3.** (Remark in [13]) Let  $R = S^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$  with  $S = \mathbb{Z} \setminus (p\mathbb{Z} \cup q\mathbb{Z})$  for prime numbers  $p \neq q$ . Then  $R$  is semilocal but not  $G$ - $\delta$ -semiperfect.

**Proposition 2.** Let  $R$  be a right  $G$ - $\delta$ -semiperfect ring and  $J$  be nil. Then  $R$  is right noetherian if and only if  $R$  is right artinian.

*Proof.* It is a consequence of [9, Proposition 2.15] and Remark 1.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.**  $R[x]$  is not a  $G$ - $\delta$ -semiperfect ring for every commutative noetherian ring  $R$ .

**Proposition 3.** Let  $R$  be a commutative domain. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (i)  $R$  is local.
- (ii)  $R$  is semiperfect.
- (iii)  $R$  is  $G$ -semiperfect.
- (iv)  $R$  is  $G$ - $\delta$ -semiperfect.

*Proof.* Only (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) needs to be proved. We follow the proof for [5, Lemma 2.6] to show that every simple module has a flat  $\delta$ -cover which is cyclic. Let  $f : F \rightarrow S$  be a flat  $\delta$ -cover of a simple module  $S$  and  $g : R \rightarrow S$  be the canonical epimorphism. Since  $R$  is projective, there is a homomorphism  $h : R \rightarrow F$  satisfying  $fh = g$ . Since  $\text{Ker } f + \text{Im } h = F$  and  $\text{Ker } f \ll_{\delta} F$ , we have that  $\text{Im } h$  is a direct summand of  $F$  by Lemma 1 and  $\text{Ker } f \cap \text{Im } h \ll_{\delta}$  by [5, Lemma 2.4]. Then  $f|_{\text{Im } h} : \text{Im } h \rightarrow S$  is a flat  $\delta$ -cover of  $S$ . Moreover,  $\text{Im } h$  is cyclic as a factor module of  $R$  and so  $\text{Im } h \cong R$ , since  $\text{Im } h$  is torsion-free. By using [15, Lemma 1.3(2)] there is a maximal ideal  $M$  of  $R$  with  $M \ll_{\delta} R$ . Hence  $R$  is local.  $\square$

Note that Proposition 3 gives another way to show that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not a  $G$ - $\delta$ -semiperfect ring.

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $S$  be a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$  such that every maximal ideal of the ring  $S^{-1}R$  is of the form  $S^{-1}M$  for some maximal ideal  $M$  of  $R$ . If  $R$  is  $G$ - $\delta$ -semiperfect then so is  $S^{-1}R$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be a maximal ideal of  $S^{-1}R$  with  $U = S^{-1}M$  for some maximal ideal  $M$  of  $R$ . Since  $R$  is  $G$ - $\delta$ -semiperfect, by [5, Lemma 2.6] there is a cyclic flat  $\delta$ -cover  $R/I$  of  $R/M$ . Since  $R/I$  is a flat  $R$ -module,  $S^{-1}R/S^{-1}I \cong S^{-1}(R/I)$  is a flat  $S^{-1}R$ -module. Let  $S^{-1}N/S^{-1}I$  be a maximal ideal of  $S^{-1}R/S^{-1}I$  other than  $S^{-1}M/S^{-1}I$ . Then  $S^{-1}M/S^{-1}I + S^{-1}N/S^{-1}I = S^{-1}R/S^{-1}I$  and so  $M + N = R$ . Since  $M/I \ll_{\delta} R/I$ ,  $(N + I)/I$  is a direct summand in  $R$  so that  $S^{-1}N/S^{-1}I$  is a direct summand in  $S^{-1}R/S^{-1}I$ .

Now we have that either  $S^{-1}M/S^{-1}I$  is a direct summand in  $S^{-1}R/S^{-1}I$  or  $S^{-1}M/S^{-1}I$  is essential in  $S^{-1}R/S^{-1}I$ . Then either  $S^{-1}R/S^{-1}I$  is semisimple or  $S^{-1}M/S^{-1}I \ll_{\delta} S^{-1}R/S^{-1}I$  by [15, Lemma 1.9]. Hence  $S^{-1}R/S^{-1}I$  is a flat  $\delta$ -cover of  $S^{-1}R/S^{-1}M$ .  $\square$

The following result is a consequence of Theorem 1 and Proposition 4.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative  $G$ - $\delta$ -semiperfect ring. Then for every finite number of maximal ideals  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n$  and  $S = R \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n M_i$ ,  $S^{-1}R$  is semiperfect.*

The following result can be given as a consequence of [5, Remark 3.21] and [5, Theorem 4.8]. Here we give a direct proof of this fact.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $R$  be a semilocal ring. Then  $R$  is right perfect if and only if every semisimple  $R$ -module has a flat  $\delta$ -cover.*

*Proof.* Necessity part is clear, since flat modules are projective over a right perfect ring and a flat cover is also a flat  $B$ -cover, hence a flat  $\delta$ -cover by [14, Theorem 1.2.12] in this case. For sufficiency let  $F$  be a free right  $R$ -module. Since  $R/J$  is semisimple,  $F/FJ$  is a semisimple right  $R$ -module. By assumption  $F/FJ$  has a flat  $\delta$ -cover  $\alpha : P \rightarrow F/FJ$  for some flat right  $R$ -module  $P$ . Since  $F$  is projective, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F & \\ & \swarrow \beta & \downarrow \pi \\ P & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & F/FJ \end{array}$$

where  $\pi : F \rightarrow F/FJ$  is the canonical epimorphism. Since  $\pi$  is an epimorphism, we have  $\text{Ker } \alpha + \text{Im } \beta = P$ . Since  $\text{Ker } \alpha \ll_{\delta} P$ ,  $\text{Im } \beta$  is a direct summand of  $P$  by Lemma 1 and so  $\text{Im } \beta$  is flat. Then  $\bar{\alpha} : \text{Im } \beta \rightarrow F/FJ$  induced by  $\alpha$  is a flat  $\delta$ -cover of  $F/FJ$ , since  $\text{Ker } \alpha \cap \text{Im } \beta \ll_{\delta} \text{Im } \beta$  by [5, Lemma 2.4]. Since  $F$  is projective,  $\text{Im } \beta$  is flat and  $\text{Ker } \beta \leq \text{Ker } \pi = FJ = \text{Rad } F$ , we have  $\text{Ker } \beta = 0$  by [12, Exercise 4.20], so  $\tilde{\beta} : F \rightarrow \text{Im } \beta$  induced by  $\beta$  is an isomorphism.  $\text{Rad } F = FJ = \tilde{\beta}^{-1}(\text{Ker } \alpha \cap \text{Im } \beta) \ll_{\delta} F$  by [15, Lemma 1.3]. Lemma 2 implies that  $\text{Rad } F \ll F$ . By [3, Lemma 28.3],  $J$  is right T-nilpotent. Hence  $R$  is right perfect.  $\square$

The following result is a consequence of Theorem 2 and [15, Theorem 3.8].

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $R$  be a semilocal ring. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $R$  is right perfect.
- (ii)  $R$  is right  $\delta$ -perfect.
- (iii)  $R$  is right  $G$ - $\delta$ -perfect.

**Example 4.** *Let  $R$  be a semiperfect ring which is not right perfect. Then by Corollary 3,  $R$  is a right  $G$ - $\delta$ -semiperfect ring which is not right  $G$ - $\delta$ -perfect.*

### 3. FLAT STRONG $\delta$ -COVERS

Flat strong covers of modules are introduced in [9] as flat covers which are also flat  $B$ -covers. They are used in uniqueness (up to isomorphism) of flat  $B$ -covers under some conditions in the same work. Here we define flat strong  $\delta$ -covers of modules as a generalization.

**Definition 2.** *A right  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to have a flat strong  $\delta$ -cover if a flat cover  $f : F \rightarrow M$  of  $M$  is also a flat  $\delta$ -cover. In this case, we also say that  $F$  is a flat strong  $\delta$ -cover of  $M$ .*

Flat  $\delta$ -cover of a module need not be unique, in general, as [1, Example 3.1] shows. As a consequence of the example mentioned, one can deduce the following result.

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $R$  be a regular ring and flat  $\delta$ -covers of modules be unique (up to isomorphism), then  $R$  is a right  $V$ -ring.*

The property having flat strong  $\delta$ -covers is not inherited by submodules, in general. The following result demonstrates a special case. Note that a homomorphism  $\alpha : F \rightarrow M$  satisfying the first two conditions in the definition of a flat cover is called a flat precover of  $M$ .

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $R$  be a ring such that  $\delta(M) = M\delta_r \ll_\delta M$  for every module  $M$ . Let  $K \leq L$  and  $L/K$  be flat. If  $L$  has a flat strong  $\delta$ -cover, then so does  $K$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f : F \rightarrow L$  be a flat strong  $\delta$ -cover of  $L$ . Following the proof for [14, Lemma 3.1.3] with  $P = f^{-1}(K)$ ,  $f' : P \rightarrow K$  induced by  $f$  is a flat precover of  $K$ . By [14, Theorem 1.2.7],  $P = X \oplus Y$  for submodules  $X$  and  $Y$  such that  $f'|_X : X \rightarrow K$  is a flat cover of  $K$  and  $Y \leq \text{Ker } f' = \text{Ker } f$ .

Since  $\text{Ker } f \ll_\delta F$ ,  $F/P \cong L/K$  is flat and  $\delta_r$  is two sided, by [3, Lemma 19.18] we have

$$\text{Ker } f \leq F\delta_r \cap P = P\delta_r \ll_\delta P.$$

Let  $W + \text{Ker } f'|_X = W + (\text{Ker } f \cap X) = X$  for some submodule  $W$  of  $X$ . Then  $\text{Ker } f = \text{Ker } f \cap P = \text{Ker } f \cap (X + Y) = (\text{Ker } f \cap X) + Y$  and  $P = X + Y = W + (\text{Ker } f \cap X) + Y = W + \text{Ker } f$ . Since  $\text{Ker } f \ll_\delta P$ ,  $P = W \oplus U$  for some projective semisimple submodule  $U$  of  $\text{Ker } f$  by Lemma 1. Then  $X = X \cap P = X \cap (W \oplus U) = W \oplus (X \cap U)$  with  $X \cap U$  is projective semisimple and contained in  $\text{Ker } f'|_X$ . The use of Lemma 1 once again implies that  $\text{Ker } f'|_X \ll_\delta X$ . Hence  $f'|_X : X \rightarrow K$  is a flat strong  $\delta$ -cover of  $K$ .  $\square$

Rings over which flat covers of cyclic modules are projective are introduced in [2] as right  $A$ -perfect rings. Right  $B$ -perfect rings are defined with the same condition restricted to simple modules in [7].

**Proposition 7.** *If flat cover of a module  $M$  is projective, then flat  $\delta$ -covers of  $M$  are projective.*

*Proof.* Let  $f : F \rightarrow M$  be a flat cover of  $M$  and  $g : P \rightarrow M$  be a flat  $\delta$ -cover of  $M$ . Since  $F$  is projective, there is a homomorphism  $h : F \rightarrow P$  such that  $gh = f$ . Since  $\text{Ker } g + \text{Im } h = P$  and  $\text{Ker } g \ll_\delta P$ , we have by Lemma 1 that  $P = \text{Im } h \oplus Y$  for some projective semisimple module  $Y$ . Then  $F/\text{Ker } h \cong \text{Im } h$  is flat and  $\text{Ker } h \leq \text{Ker } f \ll F$  which implies that  $\text{Ker } h = 0$  and  $\text{Im } h \cong F$  is projective by [12, Exercise 4.20]. Therefore,  $P = \text{Im } h \oplus Y$  is projective.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** *Over a right  $A$ -perfect (right  $B$ -perfect resp.) ring, flat  $\delta$ -covers of cyclic (simple resp.) modules are projective.*

Flat strong covers are used in characterizing right  $A$ -perfect rings, right  $B$ -perfect rings and right perfect rings in [9]. It turns out that flat strong  $\delta$ -covers are also related to such rings. We need the following result, which is a generalization of [9, Lemma 3.6], before proceeding.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then flat cover of  $M$  is projective if and only if  $M$  has a projective cover and a flat strong  $\delta$ -cover.*

*Proof.* Necessity part is clear by [14, Theorem 1.2.12]. For sufficiency let  $f : F \rightarrow M$  be a flat strong  $\delta$ -cover of a right  $R$ -module  $M$  and  $g : P \rightarrow M$  be a projective cover of  $M$ . Since  $P$  is projective, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & P & \\ & \swarrow h & \downarrow g \\ F & \xrightarrow{f} & M \end{array}$$

with  $\text{Ker } f \ll_{\delta} F$ . Since  $\text{Im } h + \text{Ker } f = F$ , it follows from Lemma 1 that  $F = \text{Im } h \oplus K$  for some projective semisimple submodule  $K$  of  $F$ . Since  $P$  is projective,  $\text{Ker } h \leq \text{Ker } g \ll P$  and  $P/\text{Ker } h \cong \text{Im } h$  is flat as a direct summand of  $F$ , we have  $\text{Ker } h = 0$  by [12, Exercise 4.20] and so  $\text{Im } h$  is projective. Hence  $F = \text{Im } h \oplus K$  is projective.  $\square$

Over a right noetherian ring, a flat  $\delta$ -cover of a cyclic module is also a projective  $\delta$ -cover by Remark 1. If we assume that  $R$  is right noetherian and  $M$  is cyclic in the proof of Lemma 3, then projectivity of  $\text{Im } h$  follows from [5, Proposition 2.15] in this case. Using these facts, we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $R$  be a right noetherian ring and  $M$  be a cyclic module. Then flat cover of  $M$  is projective if and only if  $M$  has a flat strong  $\delta$ -cover.*

Now we can give characterizations for right  $A$ -perfect rings, right  $B$ -perfect rings and right perfect rings using flat strong  $\delta$ -covers, respectively.

**Theorem 3.** *The following statements are equivalent for a ring  $R$ .*

- (i) *Flat covers of cyclic modules are projective.*
- (ii)  *$R$  is semilocal and every cyclic module has a flat strong  $\delta$ -cover.*

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii):  $R$  is semilocal by [2, Theorem 3.7]. If  $C$  is a cyclic module and  $f : F \rightarrow C$  is flat cover of  $C$  with  $F$  projective, then  $\text{Ker } f \ll F$  by [14, Theorem 1.2.12]. Then  $f : F \rightarrow C$  is a flat strong cover and hence a flat strong  $\delta$ -cover of  $C$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Let  $C$  be a cyclic module.  $R$  is semiperfect by Theorem 1. Therefore  $C$  has a projective cover. Then  $C$  has a projective cover and a flat strong  $\delta$ -cover. By Lemma 3, flat cover of  $C$  is projective.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *The following statements are equivalent for a ring  $R$ .*

- (i) *Flat covers of simple modules are projective.*
- (ii)  *$R$  is semilocal and every simple module has a flat strong  $\delta$ -cover.*



*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii):  $R$  is semilocal by [7, Theorem 2.4]. If  $S$  is a simple module and  $f : F \rightarrow S$  is flat cover of  $S$  with  $F$  projective, then  $\text{Ker } f \ll F$  by [14, Theorem 1.2.12]. Then  $f : F \rightarrow S$  is a flat strong cover and hence a flat strong  $\delta$ -cover of  $S$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Just let  $C$  be simple in the proof for Theorem 3 ii) $\Rightarrow$ i).  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** *The following statements are equivalent for a ring  $R$ .*

- (i)  $R$  is right perfect.
- (ii) Flat covers of semisimple modules are projective.
- (iii)  $R$  is semilocal and every semisimple module has a flat strong  $\delta$ -cover.
- (iv) Every semisimple module has a flat  $\delta$ -cover and flat covers of simple modules are projective.

*Proof.* Proofs for (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) and (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) are given in [9, Theorem 3.9].

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) is a consequence of Theorem 4.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i):  $R$  is semilocal by Theorem 4. Theorem 2 completes the proof.  $\square$

Note that when  $R$  is right noetherian, then using Corollary 5, the condition for  $R$  being semilocal can be dropped in Theorem 3, Theorem 4 and Theorem 5 so that such rings can be characterized as rings whose certain modules have flat strong  $\delta$ -covers.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank the referees for careful reading of the paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Amini, A., Amini, B., Ershad, M. and Sharif, H., On generalized perfect rings, *Comm. Algebra* (2007), 35(3), 953–963.
- [2] Amini, A., Ershad, M. and Sharif, H., Rings over which flat covers of finitely generated modules are projective, *Comm. Algebra* (2008), 36(8), 2862–2871.
- [3] Anderson, F. W. and Fuller, K. R., *Rings and Categories of Modules*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.
- [4] Atiyah, M. F. and Macdonald, I. G., *Introduction to commutative algebra*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., London, 1969.
- [5] Aydođdu, P., Rings over which every module has a flat  $\delta$ -cover, *Turkish J. Math.* (2013), 37(1), 182–194.
- [6] Bass, H., Finitistic dimension and a homological generalization of semi-primary rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* (1960), 95, 466–488.
- [7] Büyükaşık, E., Rings over which flat covers of simple modules are projective, *J. Algebra Appl.* (2012), 11(3), 1250046.
- [8] Büyükaşık, E. and Lomp, C., When  $\delta$ -semiperfect rings are semiperfect, *Turkish J. Math.* (2010), 34(3):317–324.
- [9] Demirci, Y. M., On generalizations of semiperfect and perfect rings, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.* (2016), 42(6), 1441–1450.
- [10] Enochs, E. E., Injective and flat covers, envelopes and resolvents, *Israel J. Math.* (1981), 39(3), 189–209.

- [11] Kasch, F., *Modules and rings*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], London-New York, 1982. Translated from German with a preface by D. A. R. Wallace.
- [12] Lam, T. Y., *Lectures on Modules and Rings*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 1999.
- [13] Lomp, C., On semilocal modules and rings, *Comm. Algebra* (1999), 27(4), 1921–1935.
- [14] Xu, J., *Flat covers of modules*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [15] Zhou, Y., Generalizations of perfect, semiperfect, and semiregular rings, *Algebra Colloq.* (2000), 7(3), 305–318.

*Current address:* Yılmaz Mehmet Demirci: Sinop University, Department of Mathematics, 57000, Sinop, TURKEY

*E-mail address:* [ymdemirci@sinop.edu.tr](mailto:ymdemirci@sinop.edu.tr)

ORCID Address: <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3802-4211>