

Some Decompositions of UP-ideals and Proper UP-filters

Daniel A. Romano ^{*1}

¹International Mathematical Virtual Institute; 6, Kordunaška Street, 78000 Banja Luka; Bosnia and Herzegovina

Keywords

UP-algebra, IP-ideal, proper UP-filter, decomposition of UP-ideals and proper UP-filter

Abstract: In this article we show some decompositions of UP-ideals and proper UP-filters of UP-algebras.

1. Introduction

The idea of the algebraic structure of 'UP-algebra' was introduced and analyzed by A. Iampan in his article [1]. The author has introduced and analyzed the concepts of UP-subalgebra and UP-ideal and their mutual connections. In the article [7] were introduced the concept of UP-filter in UP algebras by J. Somjanta, N. Thuekaew, P. Kumpeangkeaw and A. Iampan. Also, the article [3], written by P. Mosrijai, A. Satirad and A. Iampan, refers to some properties of UP-ideals in UP-algebras as well as the versions of the isomorphism theorems for this type of algebras. In forthcoming article [2], written by Y. B. Jun and A. Iampan, a decomposition of UP-filters was described through some special sub-sets in UP-algebras.

This author introduced in [4] the concept of proper UP-filter in UP-algebras on something different way then it is common in the available literature. In addition, in [4] he established the connection between UP-ideals and proper UP-filters. This author, in his articles [5, 6], also dealt with the properties of the UP-Ideal and the proper UP-filters in UP-algebras.

In this article, the author further develops the idea of a proper UP-filter by identifying some of the fundamental features of this concept. In this article, he develops and expands Jun and Iampan's idea of a decomposition of a UP-filters in UP-algebras to decomposition of UP-ideals and proper UP-filters of UP-algebras.

2. Preliminaries

Let us recall the definition of UP-algebra.

Definition 2.1 ([1], Definition 1.3). An algebra $A = (A, \cdot, 0)$ of type $(2, 0)$ is called a *UP-algebra* if it satisfies the following axioms:

(UP - 1): $(\forall x, y, z \in A)((y \cdot z) \cdot ((x \cdot y) \cdot (x \cdot z)) = 0)$,

(UP - 2): $(\forall x \in A)(0 \cdot x = x)$,

(UP - 3): $(\forall x \in A)(x \cdot 0 = 0)$,

(UP - 4): $(\forall x, y \in A)((x \cdot y = 0 \wedge y \cdot x = 0) \implies x = y)$.

In this algebraic structure, the order relation is determined in the following way

$$(\forall x, y \in A)(x \leq y \iff x \cdot y = 0).$$

The following definition gives the concept of UP-ideals in a UP-algebra.

Definition 2.2 ([1], Definition 2.1). Let A be a UP-algebra. A subset B of A is called a *UP-ideal* of A if it satisfies the following properties:

(1) $0 \in B$, and

(2) $(\forall x, y, z \in A)(x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in B \wedge y \in B \implies x \cdot z \in B)$.

*the corresponding author: bato49@hotmail.com

Some of fundamental properties of UP-ideals is given in the following Proposition

Proposition 2.3 ([1], Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.4). *Let A be a UP-algebra and B a UP-ideal of A . Then*

- (3) $(\forall x, y \in A)((x \cdot y \in B) \wedge x \in B) \implies y \in B$;
- (4) $(\forall x, y \in A)(y \in B \implies x \cdot y \in B)$;
- (5) $(\forall x, y \in A)((x \leq y \wedge x \in B) \implies y \in B)$.

The property (3) in previous Proposition of UP-ideals B in a UP-algebra A can be viewed as a kind of consistency with respect to the operation \cdot in the following sense $(\forall y \in A)(By \subseteq B \implies y \in B)$. On the other hand, on the property (4) in the previous Proposition, it can be viewed as a classical property of the right ideal in an algebraic structure in the following sense $AB \subseteq B$. The property (5) in the previous Proposition suggests that the UP-ideals of UP-algebra can be viewed as some kind of upper subsets in UP-algebra A .

In the article [6] it has been shown that the concept of UP-ideals is completely determined by the conditions (3) and (4) in the previous Proposition.

The commitment and intention of the author in his short article [4] was to construct a substructure G in UP-algebras that will have the following property

$$(\forall x, y \in A)((y \in G \wedge x \leq y) \implies x \in G)$$

and has a standard attitude toward the UP-ideal. This was done by introducing the concept of a proper UP-filter by the following way.

Definition 2.4 ([4], Definition 3.1). *Let A be a UP-algebra. A subset G of A is called a *proper UP-filter* of A if it satisfies the following properties:*

- (6) $\neg(0 \in G)$, and
- (7) $(\forall x, y, z \in A)((\neg(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) \in G) \wedge x \cdot z \in G) \implies y \in G$.

In the mentioned article it was shown

Proposition 2.5 ([4], Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.5). *Let A be a UP-algebra and G a proper UP-filter of A . Then*

- (8) $(\forall x, y \in A)((\neg(x \cdot y) \in G) \wedge y \in G) \implies x \in G$.
- (9) $(\forall x, y \in A)(x \cdot y \in G \implies y \in G)$.
- (10) $(\forall x, y \in A)((x \leq y \wedge y \in G) \implies x \in G)$.

The property (8) in the previous Proposition claims that a proper UP filter G is not a UP-subalgebra in a UP-algebra A . The property (9) can be viewed as right consistency of a proper filter G of an UP-algebra A . Finally, the property (10) suggests that proper UP-filters in a UP-algebra can be viewed as some sort of down subsets in that UP-algebra.

In the article [5] it has been shown that a proper UP-filter G is completely determined by the conditions (8) and (9) in the previous Proposition.

The notations and notions appearing in this text are not predefined, the reader can find in the articles [1, 3, 4].

3. The main results

Let A be a UP-algebra and let a, b be elements of A . In what follows, we will use the notations

$$\langle a \rangle = \{x \in A : x \leq a\}, \quad [a] = \{x \in A : a \leq x\} \text{ and} \\ X_{ab} = \{z \in A : b(az) = 0\}, \quad Y_{ab} = \{y \in A : a(yb) = 0\}.$$

Note that $\langle 0 \rangle = A$ and $[0] = \{0\}$

The idea of decomposition of a substructure in a UP-algebra first time is presented in the article [2]. In this text, we develop and expand this idea on any substructure of a UP-algebra.

Our first result relates to a decomposition of UP-ideals.

Theorem 3.1. *Let B be a UP-ideals of UP-algebra A . Then*

$$B = \bigcup_{a \in B} [a].$$

Proof. Let B be a UP-idea of A . Then $a \in [a]$ because $a \leq a$ is valid. Thus, $B \subseteq \bigcup_{a \in B} [a]$.

Suppose that $y \in \bigcup_{a \in B} [a]$. Then there exists an element $x \in B$ such that $y \in [x]$. Thus $x \leq y$. Then $y \in B$ by (5). So, $\bigcup_{a \in B} [a] \subseteq B$. Therefore, $B = \bigcup_{a \in B} [a]$. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Each UP-ideal B of an UP-algebra A can be decomposed in the following way*

$$G = \bigcup_{x,y \in B} X_{xy}.$$

Proof. Let B be a UP-ideal of A and let $b \in B$. Since $X_{0y} = [y]$ for any $y \in A$ we have $b \in [b] = X_{0b} \subseteq \bigcup_{x,y \in B} X_{xy}$ by Theorem 3.1.

Opposite, let $z \in \bigcup_{x,y \in B} X_{xy}$. Then there exist elements $x, y \in B$ such that $z \in X_{xy}$. This means $y \cdot (x \cdot z) = 0$, i.e. $y \leq xz$. From $y \in B$ and $y \leq xz$ follows $xz \in B$ by (5). From this by (3) we got $z \in B$. Then $\bigcup_{x,y \in B} X_{xy} \subseteq G$. \square

Our second result in this article relates to a decomposition of the proper UP-filter.

Theorem 3.3. *Let G be a proper UP-filter in a UP-algebra A . Then*

$$G = \bigcup_{a \in G} \langle a \rangle.$$

Proof. Let G be a proper UP-filter of a UP-algebra A and $a \in G$. Then $a \in \langle a \rangle$ because $a \leq a$. Thus, $G \subseteq \bigcup_{a \in G} \langle a \rangle$. If $x \in \bigcup_{a \in G} \langle a \rangle$, then there exists $y \in G$ such that $x \in \langle y \rangle$. This means $x \leq y$. From this and from $y \in G$ by (10) follows $x \in G$. Therefore, $G = \bigcup_{a \in G} \langle a \rangle$. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Each proper UP-filter G of an UP-algebra A can be decomposed in the following way*

$$G = \bigcup_{x,z \in A} \{Y_{xz} : xz \in G\}.$$

Proof. Let G be a proper UP-filter of the UP-algebra A . Since $Y_{0z} = \langle z \rangle$ for any $z \in A$, we have $G = \bigcup_{z \in G} \langle z \rangle = \bigcup_{z \in G} Y_{0z} \subseteq \bigcup_{x,z \in A} \{Y_{xz} : xz \in G\}$ by Theorem 3.3.

Conversely, let $y \in \bigcup_{x,z \in A} \{Y_{xz} : xz \in G\}$. Then there exist elements $x, z \in A$ such that $xz \in G$ and $y \in Y_{xz}$. Then $x(yz) = 0$. Thus $\neg(x(yz) \in G)$ by (6). From this and from $xz \in G$ follows $y \in G$ by (7). Therefore, $\bigcup_{x,z \in A} \{Y_{xz} : xz \in G\} \subseteq G$. This and prior inclusion give $G = \bigcup_{x,z \in A} \{Y_{xz} : xz \in G\}$, which was to be proven. \square

We emphasize that family members of $\mathfrak{G}_G = \{Y_{ab} : a, b \in A\}$ have the following characteristics:

- (a) $Y_{ab} = \{y \in A : a \leq yb\}$.
- (b) $Y_{0b} = \langle b \rangle$.
- (c) $Y_{a0} = A$. From the conditions $ab \in G$, it follows that $\neg(A \in \mathfrak{G}_G)$.
- (d) For any $a, b \in A$ such that $ab \in G$ the following $Y_{ab} \subseteq G$ holds.

We also have the elements of family $\mathfrak{B}_B = \{X_{ab} : a \in B \wedge b \in B\}$ having the following characteristics

- (e) $X_{ab} = \{z \in A : b \leq az\}$;
- (f) $X_{0b} = [b]$;
- (g) $X_{a0} = \{0\}$;
- (h) $(\forall a, b \in B)(X_{ab} \subseteq B)$.

References

- [1] A. Iampan, *A new branch the logical algebra: UP-Algebras*, The Journal of Algebra and Related Topic, 2017(5): 35-54.
- [2] Y. B. Jun and A. Iampan, *Shift UP-filters and decomposition of UP-filters in UP-algebras*, Missouri Journal of Mathematical Sciences, (To appear)
- [3] P. Mosrijai, A. Satirad and A. Iampan, *The new UP-isomorphism theorems for UP-algebras in the meaning of the congruence determined by a UP-homomorphism*, Fundamental Journal of Mathematics and Applications, 2018 (1): 12-17.
- [4] D. A. Romano, *Proper UP-filters in UP-algebra*. Universal Journal of Mathematics and Applications, 2018 (1): 98-100.
- [5] D. A. Romano, *Some properties of proper UP-filters of UP-algebras*. Fundamental Journal of Mathematics and Applications, 2018 (1):109-111.
- [6] D. A. Romano, *Notes on UP-ideals in UP-algebras*. Communications in Advanced Mathematical Sciences, 2018 (1): 35-38.
- [7] J. Somjanta, N. Thuekaew, P. Kumpeangkeaw and A. Iampan, *Fuzzy sets in UP-algebras*, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 2016 (12): 739-756.