

INTERVAL OSCILLATION CRITERIA FOR SECOND-ORDER DELAY AND ADVANCED DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

A. FEZA GÜVENİLİR

ABSTRACT. Interval oscillation criteria are established for second-order difference equations in the form

$$\Delta(k(n)\Delta x(n)) + p(n)x(g(n)) + q(n)|x(g(n))|^{\gamma-1}x(g(n)) = e(n), \quad (E_\gamma)$$

where $n \geq n_0$, $n_0 \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$, $\gamma > 1$; k , p , q , e and g are sequences of real numbers; $k(n) > 0$ is nondecreasing; $g(n)$ is nondecreasing, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(n) = \infty$. Several oscillation criteria are given for equation (E_γ) considered as to separate delay and advanced difference equations when $g(n) < n$ and $g(n) > n$ respectively. Illustrative examples are included.

1. Introduction

We consider second-order difference equations of the form,

$$\Delta(k(n)\Delta x(n)) + p(n)x(g(n)) + q(n)|x(g(n))|^{\gamma-1}x(g(n)) = e(n) \quad (E_\gamma)$$

where $n \geq n_0$, $n_0 \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$, $\gamma > 1$; k , p , q , e and g are sequences of real numbers; $k(n) > 0$ is nondecreasing; $g(n)$ is nondecreasing, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(n) = \infty$. Δ is the forward difference operator defined by $\Delta x(n) = x(n+1) - x(n)$. As is customary, we assume that solutions of (E_γ) exist on some set $\{n_0, n_0 + 1, \dots\}$. For the theory of existence of solutions of such equations, we refer [1]. A nontrivial solution $\{x(n)\}$ of (E_γ) is called oscillatory if for any given $\tilde{n}_0 \geq n_0$ there exists an integer $n_1 \geq \tilde{n}_0$ such that $x(n_1)x(n_1 + 1) \leq 0$, otherwise it is called nonoscillatory. The equation will be called oscillatory if every solution is oscillatory. Taking $g(n)$ as $\tau(n)$ with $\tau(n) < n$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(n) = \infty$, $\gamma = \alpha$, equation (E_γ) is considered as a delay difference equation

$$\Delta(k(n)\Delta x(n)) + p_1(n)x(\tau(n)) + q_1(n)|x(\tau(n))|^{\alpha-1}x(\tau(n)) = e(n) \quad (E_D)$$

Received by the editors April 16, 2009, Accepted: June. 16, 2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34K11, 34C10.

Key words and phrases. Interval oscillation, Second-order, Delay argument, Advanced argument, Oscillatory.

or taking $g(n)$ as $\sigma(n)$ with $\sigma(n) > n$ and $\gamma = \beta$, equation (E_γ) is considered as an advanced difference equation

$$\Delta(k(n)\Delta x(n)) + p_2(n)x(\sigma(n)) + q_2(n)|x(\sigma(n))|^{\beta-1}x(\sigma(n)) = e(n). \quad (E_A)$$

In literature, there isn't enough work dealing with the oscillation of difference equations (E_D) and (E_A) . Equation (E_γ) , when $k(n) \equiv 1$, $p(n) \equiv 0$ or $q(n) \equiv 0$ and $g(n) = n, n+1, n-\tau$ has been studied by many authors, see [6, 7, 12, 13, 15] and the references cited therein.

Using Riccati technique, Saker[9] obtained some oscillation criteria for forced Emden-Fowler superlinear difference equation of the form

$$\Delta^2 x(n) + q(n)x^\gamma(n+1) = e(n)$$

when $q(n)$ and $e(n)$ are sequences of positive real numbers.

Zhang and Chen [14] established some oscillation criteria

$$\Delta^2 x(n) + q(n)f(x(n+1)) = 0$$

when f is nondecreasing and $uf(u) > 0$ for $u \neq 0$.

The first result concerning the interval oscillation of (E_γ) when $g(n) = n+1$, $q(n) \equiv 0$, $e(n) \equiv 0$ has been studied by Kong and Zettl [7]. They have applied the telescoping principle for equation of the form

$$\Delta(k(n)\Delta x(n)) + p(n)x(n+1) = 0.$$

Recently, Güvenilir and Zafer [4] has presented some sufficient conditions about oscillation of second-order differential equation

$$(k(t)x'(t))' + p(t)|x(\tau(t))|^{\alpha-1}x(\tau(t)) + q(t)|x(\sigma(t))|^{\beta-1}x(\sigma(t)) = e(t). \quad (1.1)$$

where $n \geq 0$. Later, in [2] Anderson generalized the results of Güvenilir and Zafer [4] to the dynamic equation

$$(kx^\Delta)^\Delta(t) + p(t)|x(\tau(t))|^{\alpha-1}x(\tau(t)) + q(t)|x(\sigma(t))|^{\beta-1}x(\sigma(t)) = e(t) \quad (1.2)$$

where $n \geq 0$ for arbitrary time scales.

In this work, our purpose is to derive interval oscillation criteria as discrete analogues of the ones contained [3]. The difference between (E_γ) and (1.2) is the appearance of both linear and nonlinear terms. Therefore, the results in [2] fails to apply for (E_γ) .

For our purpose, we denote

$$D(a_k, b_k) = \{u : u(a_k) = u(b_k) = 0, k = 1, 2, u(n) \neq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}(a_k, b_k)\},$$

where $\mathbb{N}(a_k, b_k) = \{a_k, a_k + 1, \dots, b_k\}$. As in [4], we define

$$P_*(n) = (* - 1)^{1/*-1} q(n)^{1/*} |e(n)|^{1-1/*}. \quad (1.3)$$

2. DELAY DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

Suppose that for any given $N \geq 0$ there exist $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \geq N$ such that $a_1 < b_1$, $a_2 < b_2$ and

$$p_1(n) \geq 0, \quad q_1(n) \geq 0 \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}(\tau(a_1), b_1) \cup \mathbb{N}(\tau(a_2), b_2). \quad (2.1)$$

Let $e(n)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} e(n) &\leq 0, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}(\tau(a_1), b_1) \\ e(n) &\geq 0, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}(\tau(a_2), b_2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that (2.1) and (2.2) hold. If there exist an $H_1 \in D(a_i, b_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, such that*

$$\sum_{n=a_i}^{b_i-1} \left[H_1^2(n+1) (p_1(n) + P_\alpha(n)) \frac{\tau(n) - \tau(a_i)}{n+1 - \tau(a_i)} - (\Delta H_1(n))^2 k(n) \right] \geq 0, \quad (2.3)$$

for $i = 1, 2$, then (E_D) is oscillatory.

Proof. To get a contradiction, let us suppose that $x(n)$ is a nonoscillatory solution of equation (E_D) . First, assume $x(n) > 0$, $x(\tau(n)) > 0$ for all $n \geq n_1$ for some $n_1 > 0$.

We may say

$$F(x) = Ax^\mu - \mu(\mu-1)^{1/\mu-1} A^{1/\mu} B^{1-1/\mu} x + B \geq 0 \text{ for } x \in [0, \infty) \quad (2.4)$$

where A, B are nonnegative constants and $\mu > 1$, [10].

If we choose $A = q_1(t)$, $B = -e(n)$ and $\mu = \alpha$ in (2.4), we have

$$q_1(n) x^\alpha(\tau(n)) - e(n) \geq \alpha(\alpha-1)^{1/\alpha-1} q_1(n)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} |e(n)|^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} x(\tau(n)). \quad (2.5)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}(\tau(a_1), b_1)$

See also [8, 10].

Define

$$w(n) = -\frac{k(n) \Delta x(n)}{x(n)}, \quad n \geq n_1, \quad n_1 > 0. \quad (2.6)$$

In view of (E_D) , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w(n) &= \frac{x(n)}{k(n)x(n+1)} w^2(n) + p_1(n) \frac{x(\tau(n))}{x(n+1)} \\ &\quad + [q_1(n) x^\alpha(\tau(n)) - e(n)] \frac{1}{x(n+1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Using (2.1) and (2.5), we see from (2.7) that

$$\Delta w(n) \geq \frac{x(n)}{k(n)x(n+1)} w^2(n) + [p_1(n) + P_\alpha(n)] \frac{x(\tau(n))}{x(n+1)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}(\tau(a_1), b_1).$$

Moreover

$$x(n+1) = x(n) + \Delta x(n),$$

$$\frac{x(n+1)}{x(n)} = 1 + \frac{\Delta x(n)}{x(n)}$$

and then

$$\frac{x(n)}{k(n)x(n+1)} = \frac{1}{k(n) - w(n)}.$$

Therefore

$$\Delta w(n) \geq \frac{1}{k(n) - w(n)} w^2(n) + [p_1(n) + P_\alpha(n)] \frac{x(\tau(n))}{x(n+1)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}(\tau(a_1), b_1). \quad (2.8)$$

Now by the Mean Value Theorem in [1]

$$x(n) - x(\tau(a_1)) \geq \frac{k(\xi) \Delta x(\xi)}{k(\xi)} (n - \tau(a_1))$$

for some $\xi \in \mathbb{N}(\tau(a_1), n)$. From which, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}(a_1, b_1)$, we have

$$x(n) \geq \Delta x(n) (n - \tau(a_1)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}(a_1, b_1)$$

and hence,

$$\frac{\Delta x(n)}{x(n)} \leq \frac{1}{n - \tau(a_1)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}(a_1, b_1).$$

Moreover, following the arguments in [2], since

$$x(m) - \Delta x(m) (m - \tau(a_1)) \geq 0, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}(\tau(n), n+1), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}(a_1, b_1)$$

we have

$$\frac{x(m) - \Delta x(m) (m - \tau(a_1))}{x(m)x(m+1)} \geq 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\Delta\left(\frac{m - \tau(a_1)}{x(m)}\right) \geq 0.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{m=\tau(n)}^n \Delta\left(\frac{m - \tau(a_1)}{x(m)}\right) = \frac{n+1 - \tau(a_1)}{x(n+1)} - \frac{\tau(n) - \tau(a_1)}{x(\tau(n))},$$

in other words

$$\frac{x(\tau(n))}{x(n+1)} \geq \frac{\tau(n) - \tau(a_1)}{n+1 - \tau(a_1)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}(a_1, b_1). \quad (2.9)$$

In view of (2.9), it follows from (2.8) that

$$\Delta w(n) \geq \frac{1}{k(n) - w(n)} w^2(n) + [p_1(n) + P_\alpha(n)] \frac{\tau(n) - \tau(a_1)}{n+1 - \tau(a_1)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}(\tau(a_1), b_1). \quad (2.10)$$

Let $H_1 \in D(a_1, b_1)$ be given as in the hypothesis. Multiplying $H_1^2(n+1)$ through (2.10) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w(n) H_1^2(n+1) &\geq \frac{1}{k(n) - w(n)} w^2(n) H_1^2(n+1) \\ &\quad + [p_1(n) + P_\alpha(n)] \frac{\tau(n) - \tau(a_1)}{n+1 - \tau(a_1)} H_1^2(n+1) \end{aligned}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}(\tau(a_1), b_1)$. Since

$$\Delta(H_1^2(n) w(n)) = H_1^2(n+1) \Delta w(n) + w(n) \Delta H_1^2(n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(H_1^2(n)) &= \Delta(H_1(n) H_1(n)) \\ &= H_1(n+1) \Delta H_1(n) + H_1(n) \Delta H_1(n) \\ &= \Delta H_1(n) (H_1(n+1) + H_1(n)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Delta(H_1^2(n)) = \Delta H_1(n) [2H_1(n+1) - \Delta H_1(n)]$$

then taking the sum from a_1 to $(b_1 - 1)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=a_1}^{b_1-1} \left\{ [p_1(n) + P_\alpha(n)] \frac{\tau(n) - \tau(a_1)}{n+1 - \tau(a_1)} H_1^2(n+1) - k(n) (\Delta H_1(n))^2 \right\} \\ &\leq -\Delta H_1^2 w(a_1) - \sum_{n=a_1}^{b_1-1} \left[\frac{w(n) H_1(n+1)}{\sqrt{k(n) - w(n)}} + \sqrt{k(n) - w(n)} \Delta H_1(n) \right]^2. \\ &\sum_{n=a_1}^{b_1-1} \left\{ [p_1(n) + P_\alpha(n)] \frac{\tau(n) - \tau(a_1)}{n+1 - \tau(a_1)} H_1^2(n+1) - k(n) (\Delta H_1(n))^2 \right\} \\ &\leq - \sum_{n=a_1}^{b_1-1} \left[\frac{w(n) H_1(n+1)}{\sqrt{k(n) - w(n)}} + \sqrt{k(n) - w(n)} \Delta H_1(n) \right]^2 < 0. \quad (2.11) \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{n=a_1}^{b_1-1} \left[\frac{w(n) H_1(n+1)}{\sqrt{k(n) - w(n)}} + \sqrt{k(n) - w(n)} \Delta H_1(n) \right]^2 = 0$$

is possible only if

$$\frac{w(n)H_1(n+1)}{\sqrt{k(n)-w(n)}} + \sqrt{k(n)-w(n)}\Delta H_1(n) = 0.$$

Therefore

$$-\frac{w(n)H_1(n+1)}{\sqrt{k(n)-w(n)}} = \sqrt{k(n)-w(n)}\Delta H_1(n)$$

$$-w(n)H_1(n+1) = (k(n)-w(n))\Delta H_1(n)$$

and then

$$\frac{k(n)\Delta x(n)}{x(n)}H_1(n+1) = \frac{k(n)x(n+1)}{x(n)}\Delta H_1(n)$$

$$\Delta x(n)H_1(n+1) = x(n+1)\Delta H_1(n).$$

Hence

$$\Delta\left(\frac{H_1(n)}{x(n)}\right) = 0$$

which implies

$$H_1(n) = cx(n),$$

where c is a constant. This, however, contradicts the positivity of $x(n)$. Now (2.11) contradicts (2.3). Thus, the proof is complete, when $x(n)$ is eventually positive. The proof can be accomplished similarly by working with $\mathbb{N}(a_2, b_2)$ instead of $\mathbb{N}(a_1, b_1)$ when $x(n)$ is eventually negative.

Example 2.1. Consider the forced delay difference equation,

$$\Delta^2 x(n) + m_1 \sin\left(\frac{\pi n}{60}\right)x(n-2) + m_2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi n}{60}\right)x^3(n-2) = \cos\left(\frac{\pi n}{10}\right) \quad (2.12)$$

where $m_1, m_2 > 0$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= 8 + 120k, & b_1 &= 11 + 120k, \\ a_2 &= 17 + 120k, & b_2 &= 20 + 120k \end{aligned}$$

for any nonnegative integer k and let $H_1(n) = \sin\left(\pi\frac{(n+1)}{3}\right)$. It is easy to check that (2.1) is satisfied, namely

$$p_1(n) = m_1 \sin\left(\frac{\pi n}{60}\right) \geq 0, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}(6 + 120k, 11 + 120k) \cup (15 + 120k, 20 + 120k).$$

$$q_1(n) = m_2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi n}{60}\right) \geq 0, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}(6 + 120k, 11 + 120k) \cup (15 + 120k, 20 + 120k).$$

and

$$e(n) = \cos\left(\frac{\pi n}{10}\right) \leq 0, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}(6 + 120k, 11 + 120k)$$

$$e(n) = \cos\left(\frac{\pi n}{10}\right) \geq 0, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}(15 + 120k, 20 + 120k)$$

where $\tau(n) = n - 2$.

By Theorem 2.1, the equation (2.12) is oscillatory when $m_1 = 1$, $m_2 > 79$; when $m_2 = 1$, $m_1 > 14$.

3. ADVANCED DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

Consider

$$\Delta(k(n)\Delta x(n)) + p_2(n)x(\sigma(n)) + q_2(n)|x(\sigma(n))|^{\beta-1}x(\sigma(n)) = e(n). \quad (E_A)$$

where $n \geq n_0$, $n_0 \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$, $\beta > 1$, k , p_2 , q_2 , e and σ are sequences of real numbers, $k(n) > 0$ is nondecreasing; $\sigma(n) > n$, σ is nondecreasing. Suppose that for any given $N \geq 0$ there exist $c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2 \geq N$ such that $c_1 < d_1$, $c_2 < d_2$ and

$$p_2(n) \geq 0, \quad q_2(n) \geq 0, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}(c_1, \sigma(d_1)) \cup \mathbb{N}(c_2, \sigma(d_2)). \quad (3.1)$$

Let $e(n)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} e(n) &\leq 0, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}(c_1, \sigma(d_1)) \\ e(n) &\geq 0, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}(c_2, \sigma(d_2)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Now, we can give the following .

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that (3.1) and (3.2) hold. If there exist an $H_2 \in D(c_i, d_i)$ such that*

$$\sum_{n=c_i}^{d_i-1} \left[H_2^2(n+1)(p_2(n) + P_\beta(n)) \frac{\sigma(d_i) - \sigma(n)}{\sigma(d_i) - (n+1)} - (\Delta H_2(n))^2 k(n) \right] \geq 0 \quad (3.3)$$

for $i = 1, 2$, then (E_A) is oscillatory.

Proof. To arrive at a contradiction, let us suppose that $x(n)$ is a nonoscillatory solution of equation (E_A) . First, assume $x(n)$, $x(\sigma(n))$ are positive for all $n \geq n_1$ for some $n_1 > 0$.

Considering (2.6), in view of (E_A) , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w(n) &= \frac{x(n)}{k(n)x(n+1)} w^2(n) + p_2(n) \frac{x(\sigma(n))}{x(n+1)} \\ &\quad + [q_2(n)x^\beta(\sigma(n)) - e(n)] \frac{1}{x(n+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

In (2.5) instead of $\tau(n)$, α and q_1 we take $\sigma(n)$, β and q_2 respectively, we get

$$\Delta w(n) \geq \frac{x(n)}{k(n)w(n+1)}w^2(n) + [p_2(n) + P_\beta(n)] \frac{x(\sigma(n))}{x(n+1)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}(c_1, \sigma(d_1)).$$

By the same steps in Theorem 2.1, we obtain

$$\Delta w(n) \geq \frac{1}{k(n) - w(n)}w^2(n) + [p_2(n) + P_\beta(n)] \frac{x(\sigma(n))}{x(n+1)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}(c_1, \sigma(d_1)). \quad (3.4)$$

Note that $\Delta(k(n)\Delta x(n)) \leq 0$ on $[c_1, \sigma(d_1)]$. In a similar manner as in the proof of Theorem (2.1) we get

$$\frac{x(\sigma(n))}{x(n+1)} \geq \frac{\sigma(d_1) - \sigma(n)}{\sigma(d_1) - (n+1)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}(c_1, \sigma(d_1)). \quad (3.5)$$

Applying inequality (3.5) to (3.4), we obtain

$$\Delta w(n) \geq \frac{1}{k(n) - w(n)}w^2(n) + [p_2(n) + P_\beta(n)] \frac{\sigma(d_1) - \sigma(n)}{\sigma(d_1) - (n+1)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}(c_1, \sigma(d_1)).$$

Using the same steps in the proof of Theorem (2.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=c_1}^{d_1-1} \left\{ [p_2(n) + P_\beta(n)] \frac{\sigma(d_1) - \sigma(n)}{\sigma(d_1) - (n+1)} H_2^2(n+1) - k(n) (\Delta H_2(n))^2 \right\} \\ & \leq - \sum_{n=c_1}^{d_1-1} \left[\frac{w(n)H_2(n+1)}{\sqrt{k(n) - w(n)}} + \sqrt{k(n) - w(n)} \Delta H_2(n) \right]^2 < 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

(3.6) contradicts (3.3). Thus the proof is complete, when $x(n)$ is eventually positive. The proof can be accomplished similarly by working with $\mathbb{N}(c_2, d_2)$ instead of $\mathbb{N}(c_1, d_1)$ when $x(n)$ is eventually negative.

Example 3.1. Consider the advanced difference equation,

$$\Delta^2 x(n) + m_1 \sin\left(\frac{\pi n}{60}\right) x(n+2) + m_2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi n}{60}\right) x^3(n+2) = \cos\left(\frac{\pi n}{10}\right) \quad (3.7)$$

where $m_1, m_2 \geq 0$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= 6 + 120k, \quad d_1 = 9 + 120k, \\ c_2 &= 15 + 120k, \quad d_2 = 18 + 120k \end{aligned}$$

for any nonnegative integer k and let $H_2(n) = \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{3}\right)$. It is easy to check that (3.1) is satisfied, namely

$$p_2(n) = m_1 \sin\left(\frac{\pi n}{60}\right) \geq 0, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}(6 + 120k, 11 + 120k) \cup (15 + 120k, 20 + 120k).$$

$$q_2(n) = m_2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi n}{60}\right) \geq 0, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}(6 + 120k, 11 + 120k) \cup (15 + 120k, 20 + 120k).$$

and

$$e(n) = \cos\left(\frac{\pi n}{10}\right) \leq 0, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}(6 + 120k, 11 + 120k)$$

$$e(n) = \cos\left(\frac{\pi n}{10}\right) \geq 0, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}(15 + 120k, 20 + 120k)$$

where $\sigma(n) = n + 2$.

By Theorem 3.1, the equation (3.7) is oscillatory when $m_1 = 1$, $m_2 > 10$; when $m_2 = 1$, $m_1 > 1$.

4. DELAY AND ADVANCED DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

We obtain the delay and advanced difference equations as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(k(n) \Delta x(n)) + p_1(n) x(\tau(n)) + q_1(n) |x(\tau(n))|^{\alpha-1} x(\tau(n)) \\ + p_2(n) x(\sigma(n)) + q_2(n) |x(\sigma(n))|^{\beta-1} x(\sigma(n)) = e(n), \end{aligned} \quad (E_{A,D})$$

where $n \geq n_0$, $n_0 \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$, $\beta > 1$, k , p_1 , p_2 , q_1 , q_2 , e , τ and σ are sequences of real numbers, $k(n) > 0$ is nondecreasing; $\tau(n) < n$, $\sigma(n) > n$, τ and σ are nondecreasing and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t) = \infty$.

Suppose that for any given $N \geq 0$ there exist $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2 \geq N$ such that $a_1 < b_1$, $a_2 < b_2$ and $c_1 < d_1$, $c_2 < d_2$.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that (2.1), (2.2) and (3.1), (3.2) hold. If there exists an $H_1 \in D(a_i, b_i)$ and $H_2 \in D(c_i, d_i)$ such that either

$$\sum_{n=a_i}^{b_i-1} \left[H_1^2(n+1) (p_1(n) + P_\alpha(n)) \frac{\tau(n) - \tau(a_i)}{n+1 - \tau(a_i)} - (\Delta H_1(n))^2 k(n) \right] \geq 0,$$

or

$$\sum_{n=c_i}^{d_i-1} \left[H_2^2(n+1) (p_2(n) + P_\beta(n)) \frac{\sigma(d_i) - \sigma(n)}{\sigma(d_i) - (n+1)} - (\Delta H_2(n))^2 k(n) \right] \geq 0$$

for $i = 1, 2$, then $(E_{A,D})$ is oscillatory.