



## ON COFINITELY WEAK RAD-SUPPLEMENTED MODULES

FIGEN ERYILMAZ AND ŞENOL EREN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, necessary and sufficient conditions for a quotient module are found to be a cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented module under which circumstances. Nevertheless, some relations are investigated between cofinitely Rad-supplemented modules and cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented modules. Lastly, we show that an arbitrary ring  $R$  is a left Noetherian  $V$ -ring if and only if every weak Rad-supplemented  $R$ -module is injective.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper,  $R$  will be an associative ring with identity,  $M$  will be an  $R$ -module and all modules will be unital left  $R$ -modules unless otherwise specified. By  $N \leq M$ , we mean that  $N$  is a submodule of  $M$ . Recall that a submodule  $L$  of  $M$  is *small* in  $M$  and denoted by  $L \ll M$ , if  $M \neq L + K$  for every proper submodule  $K$  of  $M$ . A submodule  $S$  of  $M$  is said to be *essential* in  $M$  and denoted by  $S \leq_e M$ , if  $S \cap N \neq 0$  for every nonzero submodule  $N \leq M$ . We write  $Rad(M)$  for the Jacobson radical of a module  $M$ . An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called *supplemented*, if every submodule  $N$  of  $M$  has a *supplement* in  $M$ , i.e. a submodule  $K$  is minimal with respect to  $M = N + K$ .  $K$  is supplement of  $N$  in  $M$  if and only if  $M = N + K$  and  $N \cap K \ll K$  [16].

If  $M = N + K$  and  $N \cap K \ll M$ , then  $K$  and  $N$  are called *weak supplements* of each other. Also  $M$  is called a *weakly supplemented* module if every submodule of  $M$  has a weak supplement in  $M$  [13, 18]. By using this definition, Büyükaşık and Lomp showed in [6] that a ring  $R$  is left perfect if and only if every left  $R$ -module is weakly supplemented if and only if  $R$  is semilocal and the radical of the countably infinite free left  $R$ -module has a weak supplement. Furthermore Alizade and Büyükaşık showed that a ring  $R$  is semilocal if and only if every direct product of simple modules is weakly supplemented [3].

In [17], Xue introduced Rad-supplemented modules. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module,  $N$  and  $K$  be any submodules of  $M$  with  $M = N + K$ . If  $N \cap K \leq Rad(K)$

---

Received by the editors: Received: Jan. 28, 2016 Accepted: Aug. 11, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 16D10, 16L30; Secondary 16D99.

*Key words and phrases*. Cofinite Submodule, Cofinitely Weak Rad-Supplemented Module, Totally Cofinitely Weak Rad-Supplemented Module, Noetherian  $V$ -ring.

( $N \cap K \leq \text{Rad}(M)$ ), then  $K$  is called a (*weak*) *Rad-supplement* of  $N$  in  $M$ . Besides  $M$  is called (*weakly*) *Rad-supplemented* module provided that each submodule has a (weak) Rad-supplement in  $M$ . For characterizations of Rad-supplemented and weak Rad-supplemented modules, we refer to [15] and [17]. Since the Jacobson radical of a module is the sum of all small submodules, every supplement is a Rad-supplement.

Certain modules whose maximal submodules have supplements are studied in [1]. Also in the same paper, cofinitely supplemented modules are introduced. A submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is said to be *cofinite* if  $\frac{M}{N}$  is finitely generated.  $M$  is called *cofinitely (weak) supplemented* if every cofinite submodule has a (weak) supplement in  $M$  [1, 2]. Nevertheless, it is known by [1, Theorem 2.8] and [2, Theorem 2.11] that an  $R$ -module  $M$  is cofinitely (weak) supplemented if and only if every maximal submodule of  $M$  has a (weak) supplement in  $M$ . Clearly, supplemented modules are cofinitely supplemented and weakly supplemented modules are cofinitely weak supplemented ones.

$M$  is called *cofinitely Rad-supplemented* if every cofinite submodule of  $M$  has a Rad-supplement [5]. Since every submodule of a finitely generated module is cofinite, a finitely generated module is Rad-supplemented if and only if it is cofinitely Rad-supplemented. According to [12], if every cofinite submodule of  $M$  has a Rad-supplement that is a direct summand of  $M$ , then  $M$  is called a  $\oplus$ -*cofinitely Rad-supplemented module*.

In a present paper [10], a module is called *cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented* if every cofinite submodule has a weak Rad-supplement and *totally cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented* if every submodule is *cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented*. Also it is proved in [10] that any arbitrary sum of cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented modules is a cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented module. Clearly this implies that any finite direct sum of cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented modules is also cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented. We will show that an infinite direct sum of totally cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented modules is totally cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented under certain conditions. Also we will prove that every torsion module over a Dedekind domain is a cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented module and find some conditions to show when any module over a Dedekind domain is cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

Following [5], a module  $M$  is called *w-local* if it has a unique maximal submodule.

**Theorem 1.** *Every w-local module is cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented.*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a module and  $U$  be a cofinite submodule of  $M$ . Since  $\frac{M}{U}$  is finitely generated, it has a maximal submodule such as  $\frac{P}{U}$ . Therefore  $P$  is a maximal

submodule of  $M$ . Then we have  $U + M = M$  and  $U \cap M = U \subseteq P = \text{Rad}(M)$ . Hence  $M$  is cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented.  $\square$

Recall that a module  $M$  is called *refinable* (or *suitable*), if for any submodules  $U, V \leq M$  with  $U + V = M$ , there exists a direct summand  $U_1$  of  $M$  with  $U_1 \leq U$  and  $U_1 + V = M$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $M$  be a refinable  $R$ -module. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $M$  is  $\oplus$ -cofinitely Rad-supplemented,
- (ii)  $M$  is cofinitely Rad-supplemented,
- (iii)  $M$  is cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented.

*Proof.* The implications (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) are obvious.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Let  $M$  be a cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented module and  $N$  be a cofinite submodule of  $M$ . Then, we have  $M = N + K$  and  $N \cap K \leq \text{Rad}(M)$  where  $K$  is a submodule of  $M$ . Since  $M$  is a refinable module, it has a direct summand  $L$  such that  $L \leq K$  and  $M = L + N$ . Following this,  $N \cap L \leq N \cap K \leq \text{Rad}(M)$  implies that  $L$  is weak Rad-supplement of  $N$ . By using [14, Proposition 4], we get that  $L$  is Rad-supplement of  $N$ . Therefore,  $M$  is  $\oplus$ -cofinitely Rad-supplemented.  $\square$

A ring  $R$  is called a *left  $V$ -ring* if every simple left  $R$ -module is injective.

**Theorem 3.** *For an arbitrary ring  $R$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (i) Every weakly Rad-supplemented  $R$ -module is injective,
- (ii)  $R$  is a left Noetherian  $V$ -ring.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Assume that  $M$  is a  $\oplus$ -supplemented  $R$ -module. Since  $M$  is weak Rad-supplemented, it is an injective module. By Proposition 5.3 in [11] we get that  $R$  is a left Noetherian  $V$ -ring.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Let  $M$  be a weakly Rad-supplemented module. Since  $R$  is a left Noetherian  $V$ -ring, we get  $\text{Rad}(M) = 0$  by Villamayor theorem in [7]. Then,  $M$  is semisimple and so  $\oplus$ -supplemented. Again using Proposition 5.3 in [11], we obtain  $M$  is an injective module.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Then, every weakly Rad-supplemented  $R$ -module is injective if and only if  $R$  is semisimple.*

*Proof.* Suppose that every weakly Rad-supplemented module is injective. By using the preceding theorem, we can say that  $R$  is a left Noetherian  $V$ -ring. Thus,  $R$  is semisimple by Proposition 1 and first corollary of [7]. The other side of the proof is obvious by [16, 20.3].  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $M$  be a module and  $N$  be a submodule of  $M$ . If every cofinite submodule containing  $N$  of  $M$  has a weak Rad-supplement in  $M$ , then  $\frac{M}{N}$  is cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented.*

*Proof.* Let  $\frac{U}{N}$  be a cofinite submodule of  $\frac{M}{N}$ . Since  $\left(\frac{\frac{M}{N}}{\frac{U}{N}}\right) \cong \frac{M}{U}$ , we get that  $U$  is a cofinite submodule of  $M$  containing  $N$ . Hence, we can find a submodule  $V$  of  $M$  such that  $M = U + V$  and  $U \cap V \leq \text{Rad}(M)$ . By using Proposition 3.2 of [15], we can deduce that  $\frac{(V+N)}{N}$  is a weak Rad-supplement of  $\frac{U}{N}$  in  $\frac{M}{N}$ . Therefore,  $\frac{M}{N}$  is a cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented module.  $\square$

**Remark.** While a quotient module of a module is a cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented module, it may not be a cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented module. For example,  ${}_Z\mathbb{Z}$  isn't cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented but  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented for any prime number  $p$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $M$  be a cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented  $R$ -module. Then every Rad-supplement in  $M$  is cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented.*

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be a Rad-supplement of  $U$  in  $M$ . That means  $M = U + V$  and  $U \cap V \leq \text{Rad}(M)$ . Since  $\frac{M}{U} = \frac{(U+V)}{U} \cong \frac{V}{U \cap V}$ , we get that  $\frac{V}{U \cap V}$  is a cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented module by [10, Proposition 6]. Theorem 4 in the same paper implies that  $V$  is cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain and  $M$  be a torsion  $R$ -module. Then  $M$  is cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented.*

*Proof.* By [3, Corollary 2.7], we have  $\frac{M}{\text{Rad}(M)}$  is semisimple and so cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain,  $\frac{M}{\text{Rad}(M)}$  be finitely generated and  $\text{Rad}(M) \trianglelefteq M$ . If  $\text{Rad}(M)$  is cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented, then  $M$  is cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\frac{M}{\text{Rad}(M)}$  is generated by  $m_1 + \text{Rad}(M), m_2 + \text{Rad}(M), \dots, m_n + \text{Rad}(M)$ . Then, for finitely generated submodule  $K = Rm_1 + Rm_2 + \dots + Rm_n$ , we have  $M = \text{Rad}(M) + K$  and  $K \cap \text{Rad}(M)$  is finitely generated as  $K$  is finitely generated. So  $K \cap \text{Rad}(M) \ll M$  by Lemma 2.3 in [3]. That is to say,  $K$  is a weak supplement of  $\text{Rad}(M)$  of  $M$ . Since  $\text{Rad}(M) \trianglelefteq M$ , we get  $\frac{M}{\text{Rad}(M)}$  is torsion. Besides this, Proposition 9.15 of [4] implies that  $\text{Rad}\left(\frac{M}{\text{Rad}(M)}\right) = 0$ . Hence  $\frac{M}{\text{Rad}(M)}$  is semisimple by Corollary 2.7 in [3]. If we consider  $0 \rightarrow \text{Rad}(M) \rightarrow M \rightarrow \frac{M}{\text{Rad}(M)} \rightarrow 0$ , then  $M$  is cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented by Theorem 7 in [10].  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $R$  be a non-semilocal commutative domain. If  $M$  is totally cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented, then  $M$  is torsion.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\text{Ann}(m) = 0_R$  for some  $m \in M$ . Then we have  $Rm \cong {}_R R$ . Since  $Rm$  is cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented,  ${}_R R$  is also (cofinitely) weak Rad-supplemented. Then by 17.2 of [8],  $R$  is a semilocal ring which gives a contradiction. Thus,  $M$  is a torsion module.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $R$  be an arbitrary ring and  $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  such that  $M_i$  is totally cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented for all  $i \in I$ . If  $U = \bigoplus_{i \in I} (U \cap M_i)$  for every submodule  $U$  of  $M$ , then  $M$  is totally cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $U$  is a submodule of  $M$  and  $V$  is a cofinite submodule of  $U$  where  $U = \bigoplus_{i \in I} (U \cap M_i)$ . Since  $V = \bigoplus_{i \in I} (V \cap M_i)$  and  $\frac{U}{V} \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} \left[ \frac{(U \cap M_i)}{(V \cap M_i)} \right]$ , we get that  $V \cap M_i$  is a cofinite submodule of  $U \cap M_i$  for all  $i \in I$ . We know that  $U \cap M_i$  is cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented. Therefore  $V \cap M_i$  has a weak Rad-supplement  $K_i$  in  $U \cap M_i$  for all  $i \in I$ . Let  $K = \bigoplus_{i \in I} K_i$ . Then we obtain  $U = V + K$  and  $V \cap K \leq \text{Rad}(U)$ . As a result,  $U$  is cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented and so  $M$  is totally cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented.  $\square$

Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain and  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. By  $\Omega$ , we denote the set of all maximal ideals of  $R$ . The submodule  $T_P(M) = \{m \in M \mid P^n m = 0 \text{ for some } n \geq 1\}$  is called the  $P$ -primary part of  $M$ .

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $R$  be a non-semilocal Dedekind domain. Then,  $M$  is a totally cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented module if and only if  $M$  is torsion and  $T_P(M)$  is totally cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented for every  $P \in \Omega$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $M$  is a totally cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented module. Then  $M$  is torsion by Proposition 2. On the other hand  $T_P(M)$  is totally cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented for every  $P \in \Omega$ . Because every submodule of a totally cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented module is a totally cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented module.

Conversely, we can write  $M = \bigoplus_{P \in \Omega} T_P(M)$  by Proposition 6.9 in [9]. Let  $N$  be a submodule of  $M$ . Since  $M$  is torsion,  $N$  is also a torsion module. By using the same proposition, we can write that  $N = \bigoplus_{P \in \Omega} T_P(N)$ . Therefore,  $\bigoplus_{P \in \Omega} T_P(N) = \bigoplus_{P \in \Omega} (N \cap T_P(M))$  and  $T_P(M)$  is totally cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented for every  $P \in \Omega$ . As a result,  $M$  is totally cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented by the preceding theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.** *Any torsion module over a Dedekind domain is totally cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented.*

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain,  $M$  be a torsion  $R$ -module and  $N$  be a submodule of  $M$ . Due to Corollary 2.7 of [3],  $\frac{N}{\text{Rad}(N)}$  is semisimple and so it is cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented. Therefore  $N$  is cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented by Theorem 4 of [10].  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Alizade, R., Bilhan G. and Smith, P.F., Modules whose maximal submodules have supplements, *Comm. Algebra*, (2001), 29(6), 2389-2405.

- [2] Alizade, R. and Büyükaşık, E., Cofinitely weak supplemented modules, *Comm. Algebra*, (2003), 31(11), 5377-5390.
- [3] Alizade, R. and Büyükaşık, E., Extensions of weakly supplemented modules, *Math. Scand.*, (2008), 103(2), 161-168.
- [4] Anderson, F.W. and Fuller, K.R., Rings and Categories of Modules, New York, Springer-Verlag, 1974.
- [5] Büyükaşık, E. and Lomp, C., On a recent generalization of semiperfect rings, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, (2008), 78(2), 317-325.
- [6] Büyükaşık, E. and Lomp, C., Rings whose modules are weakly supplemented are perfect. Applications to certain ring extensions., *Math. Scand.*, (2009), 105(1), 25-30.
- [7] Byrd, K. A., Rings whose quasi-injective modules are injective, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, (1972), 33, 235-240.
- [8] Clark, J., Lomp, C., Vajana, N., Wisbauer, R., Lifting modules, 1st. ed., Birkhauser Verlag Basel, Boston-Berlin, 2006.
- [9] Cohn, P.M., Algebra, Vol.2, Wiley&Sons, 1989.
- [10] Eryılmaz, F.Y. and Eren, Ş., Totally cofinitely weak Rad-supplemented modules, *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, (2012), 80(5), 683-692.
- [11] Idelhadj, A. and Tribak, R., On some properties of  $\oplus$ -supplemented modules, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, (2003), 69, 4373-4387.
- [12] Koşan, M.T., Generalized cofinitely semiperfect modules, *Int. Electron J. Algebra*, (2009), 5, 58-69.
- [13] Lomp, C., On semilocal modules and rings, *Comm. Algebra*, (1999), 27(4), 1921-1935.
- [14] Türkmen, E. and Pancar, A., Some properties of Rad-supplemented modules, *Int. J. of Phy. Sci.*, (2011), 6(35), 7904-7909.
- [15] Wang, Y. and Ding, N., Generalized supplemented modules, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, (2006), 10(6), 1589-1601.
- [16] Wisbauer, R., Foundations of Module and Ring Theory, Gordon and Breach, Philadelphia, 1991.
- [17] Xue, W., Characterizations of semiperfect and perfect rings, *Publ. Math.*, (1996), 40(1), 115-125.
- [18] Zöschinger, H., Invarianten wesentlicher Überdeckungen, *Math. Ann.*, (1978), 237(3), 193-202.

*Current address:* Figen ERYILMAZ: Ondokuz Mayıs University, Faculty of Education, Department of Mathematics Education, 55139 Kurupelit, Samsun-TURKEY.

*E-mail address:* [fyuzbasi@omu.edu.tr](mailto:fyuzbasi@omu.edu.tr)

*Current address:* Şenol EREN: Ondokuz Mayıs University, Faculty of Sciences and Arts, Department of Mathematics, 55139 Kurupelit, Samsun-TURKEY.

*E-mail address:* [seren@omu.edu.tr](mailto:seren@omu.edu.tr)