

SOME RESULTS ON A RIEMANNIAN SUBMERSION

H. Mete TAŞTAN

Abstract

In this paper, we develop some well-known results given by O'Neill [6], Gray [3] and Escobales [1] and obtain a few new results by using them.

1. Introduction

Let M and B be smooth Riemannian manifolds. A Riemannian submersion $\pi: M \rightarrow B$ is a mapping of M onto B satisfying the following axioms;

S1. π has maximal rank;

that is, each derivative map π_* of π is onto. Hence, for each $q \in B$, $\pi^{-1}(q)$ is a submanifold of M of dimension $\dim M - \dim B$ where the submanifolds $\pi^{-1}(q)$ are called *fibers* of M . A vector field on M is called *vertical* if it is tangent to a fiber and *horizontal* if orthogonal to fiber.

S2. π_* preserves lengths of horizontal vectors.

Given a Riemannian submersion $\pi: M \rightarrow B$ we denote by ν the vector subbundle of TM defined by the foliation of M by the fibers of π . \hat{h} denote the complementary distribution of ν in TM determined by the metric on M .

Recall that if $p \in M$ where M is any manifold, then $T_p M$ denotes the tangent space of M at p . Following O'Neill [6] we define the tensor T of type (1,2) for arbitrary vector fields E and F by

$$T_E F = \hat{h} \nabla_{\nu E} \nu F + \nu \nabla_{\nu E} \hat{h} F$$

where $\nu E, \hbar E$, etc. denote the vertical and horizontal projections of the vector field E . O'Neill has described the following three properties of the tensor T :

- (1) T_E is a skew-symmetric linear operator on a tangent space of M and reversing horizontal and vertical subspaces.
- (2) $T_E = T_{\nu E}$, that is; T is vertical.
- (3) For vertical vector fields V and W , T is symmetric, i.e., $T_\nu W = T_W V$.

In fact, along a fiber, T is the second fundamental form of the fiber provided we restrict ourselves to vertical vector fields.

Now, we simply dualize the definition of T by reversing ν and \hbar define the integrability tensor A as follows. For arbitrary vector fields E and F ,

$$A_E F = \hbar \nabla_{\hbar E} \nu F + \nu \nabla_{\nu E} \hbar F$$

- (1') A_E is a skew-symmetric operator on TM reversing the horizontal and vertical subspaces.
- (2') $A_E = A_{\hbar E}$, that is; A is horizontal.
- (3') For X, Y horizontal A is alternating, i.e., $A_X Y = -A_Y X$.

2. The properties of vertical and horizontal distributions

Lemma 2.1 The vertical distribution $\nu : TM \rightarrow \nu(TM)$ is involutive.

Proof. Let $V, W \in \nu(TM)$, we must show that $[V, W] \in \nu(TM)$ that is,

$$\hbar[V, W] = 0.$$

$\hbar[V, W] = \hbar\nabla_V W - \hbar\nabla_W V$ where ∇ is the Riemannian connection on M . By the definition of T , $\hbar\nabla_V W = T_V W$ and $\hbar\nabla_W V = T_W V$. Hence $\hbar[V, W] = T_V W - T_W V = 0$.

Definition. A basic vector field is a horizontal vector field X which is π -related to a vector field X_* on B , i.e., $\pi_* X_p = X_{*\pi(p)}$ for all $p \in M$.

Lemma 2.2 If X and Y are basic vector fields on M , then

1. $\langle X, Y \rangle = \langle X_*, Y_* \rangle \circ \pi$
2. $\hbar[X, Y]$ is basic and is π -related $[X_*, Y_*]$
3. $\hbar\nabla_X Y$ is basic and is π -related $\nabla_{X_*}^* Y_*$

where ∇^* is the Riemannian connection on B . The proofs of these results are found in O'Neill [6].

Lemma 2.3 Let Z_i be a basic vector field on M corresponding Z_{i*} on B . Suppose for a horizontal vector field X , $\langle X, Z_i \rangle_p = \langle X, Z_{i*} \rangle_{p'}$ for all such Z_i and for any $p, p' \in \pi^{-1}(q)$ where $q \in B$. Then $\pi_* X$ is a well-defined vector field on B . In particular X is basic.
See R.H. Escobales [1].

Lemma 2.4 Let X and Y be horizontal vector fields, V and W be vertical vector fields. Then each of the following holds:

$$(1) A_X Y = \frac{1}{2} \nu[X, Y]$$

(2) $\nabla_\nu W = T_\nu W + \hat{\nabla}_\nu W$, where $\hat{\nabla}$ denotes the Riemannian connection along a fiber with respect to the induced metric.

(3) a) $\nabla_\nu X = \hbar \nabla_\nu X + T_\nu X$

b) If X is basic, $\hbar \nabla_\nu X = A_X V$

(4) $\nabla_X V = A_X V + \nu \nabla_X V$

(5) $\nabla_X Y = \hbar \nabla_X Y + A_X Y$

The proofs of these results are found in O'Neill[6] and R.H. Escobales[1].

Corollary 2.1 If X and Y are basic vector fields and V is vertical, then

$$V\langle X, Y \rangle = 0.$$

Proof: $V\langle X, Y \rangle = \langle \nabla_\nu X, Y \rangle + \langle X, \nabla_\nu Y \rangle = \langle \hbar \nabla_\nu X, Y \rangle + \langle X, \hbar \nabla_\nu Y \rangle$

Since X and Y are basic. From Lemma 4 3b) we have

$$\langle \hbar \nabla_\nu X, Y \rangle + \langle X, \hbar \nabla_\nu Y \rangle = \langle A_X V, Y \rangle + \langle A_Y X, X \rangle$$

Now, if we use (1') we have

$$= -\langle A_X Y, V \rangle - \langle A_Y X, V \rangle, \quad \text{by the property (3') of } A, \\ -A_X Y - A_Y X = 0 \text{ and it follows.}$$

Corollary 2.2 Horizontal distribution is involutive if and only if $A \equiv 0$.

Proof. \Rightarrow Suppose horizontal distribution is involutive, that is; for any horizontal X and Y , $[X, Y]$ is horizontal. We must show that $A_X E = 0$, for any vector field E .

From Lemma 4 -1) $A_X Y = \frac{1}{2} \nu [X, Y] = 0$. Thus, $A_X \hbar E = 0$.

On the other hand, for any horizontal Z ;

$$\langle A_X \nu E, Z \rangle = -\langle A_X Z, \nu E \rangle = 0 \text{ implies } A_X \nu E = 0, \text{ since it is horizontal.}$$

Finally $A_X E = A_X (\hbar E + \nu E) = A_X \hbar E + A_X \nu E = 0 \text{ implies } A \equiv 0$.

\Leftarrow Now, if A is identically zero, for any horizontal X and Y

$0 = A_X Y = \frac{1}{2} \nu[X, Y]$ implies $[X, Y]$ is horizontal, that is; horizontal distribution is involutive.

3. Covariant derivatives of T and A

Lemma 3.1 If X and Y are horizontal and V and W are vertical, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad (\nabla_V A)_W &= -A_{T_V W} & \text{(b)} \quad (\nabla_X A)_W &= -A_{A_X W} \\ \text{(c)} \quad (\nabla_X T)_Y &= -T_{A_X Y} & \text{(d)} \quad (\nabla_V T)_Y &= -T_{T_V Y} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We will only prove (c) since the proofs of others are similar. Let E be an arbitrary vector field on M . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X T)_Y E &= \nabla_X (T_Y E) - T_{\nabla_X Y} (E) - T_Y (\nabla_X E) \quad \text{since } T \text{ is vertical,} \\ T_Y E &= 0, T_Y (\nabla_X E) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{from Lemma 2.4-(5)} \\ T_{\nabla_X Y} (E) &= T_{\nu(\nabla_X Y)} (E) = T_{A_X Y} (E), \quad \text{hence } (\nabla_X T)_Y E = -T_{A_X Y} (E) \end{aligned}$$

and it follows.

Corollary 3.1 a) If A is parallel, then A is identically zero, i.e., for all $E \in TM$, $(\nabla_E A) = 0$ implies $A \equiv 0$.

b) If T is parallel, then T is identically zero, i.e., $(\nabla_E T) = 0$ implies $T \equiv 0$.

The proofs of these results can be found in R.H. Escobales [1]

Lemma 3.2 If X, Y, Z, H are horizontal vector fields and U, V, W, F are vertical, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad \langle (\nabla_U A)_X V, W \rangle &= \langle T_U V, A_X W \rangle - \langle T_U W, A_X V \rangle \\ \text{(b)} \quad \langle (\nabla_X A)_Y V, W \rangle &= \langle A_X V, A_Y W \rangle - \langle A_X W, A_Y V \rangle \\ \text{(c)} \quad \langle (\nabla_X A)_Y Z, H \rangle &= \langle A_X Z, A_Y H \rangle - \langle A_X H, A_Y Z \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$(d) \langle (\nabla_U \mathbf{A})_V W, F \rangle = 0$$

Proof. We will only prove b) since the proofs of others are similar.

$$(\nabla_X \mathbf{A})_Y V = \nabla_X (\mathbf{A}_Y V) - \mathbf{A}_{\nabla_Y X} (Y) - \mathbf{A}_Y (\nabla_X V)$$

Hence

$$\langle (\nabla_X \mathbf{A})_Y V, W \rangle = \langle \nabla_X (\mathbf{A}_Y V), W \rangle - \langle \mathbf{A}_{\nabla_Y X} (V), W \rangle - \langle \mathbf{A}_Y (\nabla_X V), W \rangle$$

$$= \nabla_X \langle \mathbf{A}_Y V, W \rangle - \langle \mathbf{A}_Y V, \nabla_X W \rangle - \langle \mathbf{A}_{\nabla_Y X} (V), W \rangle - \langle \mathbf{A}_Y (\mathbf{A}_X V), W \rangle$$

where, $\langle \mathbf{A}_Y V, W \rangle = 0$, $\langle \mathbf{A}_{\nabla_Y X} (V), W \rangle = 0$ since \mathbf{A} reverses the horizontal and vertical subspaces and $\langle \mathbf{A}_Y V, \nabla_X W \rangle = \langle \mathbf{A}_Y V, \mathbf{A}_X W \rangle$ since $\mathbf{A}_Y V$ is horizontal and $\nabla_X W = \mathbf{A}_X W$, on the other hand $-\langle \mathbf{A}_Y (\mathbf{A}_X V), W \rangle = \langle \mathbf{A}_X V, \mathbf{A}_Y W \rangle$ since \mathbf{A} is skew-symmetric, thus the result follows.

Lemma 3.3 If X, Y, Z, H are horizontal vector fields and U, V, W, F are vertical, then

$$(a) \langle (\nabla_X \mathbf{T})_V Y, Z \rangle = \langle \mathbf{A}_X Y, \mathbf{T}_V Z \rangle - \langle \mathbf{A}_X Z, \mathbf{T}_V Y \rangle$$

$$(b) \langle (\nabla_U \mathbf{T})_V X, Y \rangle = \langle \mathbf{T}_U X, \mathbf{T}_V Y \rangle - \langle \mathbf{T}_V X, \mathbf{T}_U Y \rangle$$

$$(c) \langle (\nabla_U \mathbf{T})_V W, F \rangle = \langle \mathbf{T}_U W, \mathbf{T}_V F \rangle - \langle \mathbf{T}_U F, \mathbf{T}_V W \rangle$$

$$(d) \langle (\nabla_X \mathbf{T})_Y Z, H \rangle = 0$$

Proof. We will only prove a) since the proofs of others are similar.

$$(\nabla_X \mathbf{T})_V Y = \nabla_X (\mathbf{T}_V Y) - \mathbf{T}_{\nabla_X V} (Y) - \mathbf{T}_V (\nabla_X Y)$$

Hence

$$\langle (\nabla_X \mathbf{T})_V Y, Z \rangle = \langle \nabla_X (\mathbf{T}_V Y), Z \rangle - \langle \mathbf{T}_{\nabla_X V} (Y), Z \rangle - \langle \mathbf{T}_V (\nabla_X Y), Z \rangle$$

$$= \nabla_X \langle \mathbf{T}_V Y, Z \rangle - \langle \mathbf{T}_V Y, \nabla_X Z \rangle - \langle \mathbf{T}_{\nabla_X V} (Y), Z \rangle - \langle \mathbf{T}_V (\mathbf{A}_X Y), Z \rangle$$

where $\langle T_\nu Y, Z \rangle = 0, \langle T_{\nu_X \nu} Y, Z \rangle = 0$ since \mathbf{T} reverses the vertical and horizontal subspaces and $\langle T_\nu Y, \nabla_X Z \rangle = \langle T_\nu Y, A_X Z \rangle$ since $T_\nu Y$ is vertical and $\nu(\nabla_X Z) = A_X Z$ from Lemma 2.4-(5). On the other hand $-\langle T_\nu (A_X Y), Z \rangle = \langle T_\nu Z, A_X Y \rangle$ since \mathbf{T} is skew-symmetric, thus it follows.

Lemma 3.4 If X and Y are horizontal, and V and W are vertical, then;

- (a) $\langle (\nabla_E A)_X Y, V \rangle$ is alternate in X and Y .
- (b) $\langle (\nabla_E T)_\nu W, X \rangle$ is symmetric in V and W .

Proof. Expand the covariant derivatives and use the properties of \mathbf{T} and \mathbf{A} .

Lemma 3.5 If V is vertical and Ω denotes the cyclic sum of over the horizontal vector fields X, Y, Z , then

$$\Omega \langle (\nabla_Z A)_X Y, V \rangle = \Omega \langle A_X Y, T_\nu Z \rangle$$

Proof. See O'Neill [6].

Corollary 3.2 If U, V and W are vertical and Ω denotes the cyclic sum of over U, V and W , then

$$\Omega \left(\nu(\nabla_U T)_\nu W \right) = 0$$

Proof. For any vertical vector field F , we compute that

$\langle (\nabla_U T)_\nu W + (\nabla_W T)_U V + (\nabla_V T)_W U, F \rangle$ and applying Lemma 3.3-(c) the result follows.

Corollary 3.3 If Ω denotes the cyclic sum of over the horizontal vector fields X, Y, Z and H horizontal, then

$$\Omega\langle(\nabla_X A)_Y Z, H\rangle = 2.\Omega\langle A_X Z, A_Y H\rangle$$

Proof. The result easily follows from the from Lemma 3.2 (c).

4. Fundamental Equations

Let \mathfrak{R} denote the curvature tensor of M , and \mathfrak{R}^* the curvature tensor of B . Since there is no danger of ambiguity, we will denote the horizontal lift of \mathfrak{R}^* by \mathfrak{R}^* as well. Following O'Neill [6] we set

$$\langle \mathfrak{R}^*_{h_1 h_2} h_3, h_4 \rangle = \langle \mathfrak{R}^*_{h_1^* h_2^*} h_3^*, h_4^* \rangle$$

where h_i are horizontal vectors such that $\pi_*(h_i) = h_i^*$.

Theorem 4.1 If U, V, W, F are vertical vector fields and X is horizontal, then

$$(a) \langle \mathfrak{R}_{UV} W, F \rangle = \langle \hat{\mathfrak{R}}_{UV} W, F \rangle - \langle T_U W, T_V F \rangle + \langle T_V W, T_U F \rangle$$

$$(b) \langle \mathfrak{R}_{UV} W, X \rangle = \langle (\nabla_V T)_U W, X \rangle - \langle (\nabla_U T)_V W, X \rangle$$

where $\hat{\mathfrak{R}}$ is the curvature tensor of the fiber $\pi^{-1}(\pi(p))$ at p .

Proof. (These equations relate the geometry of M to those of the fibers $\pi^{-1}(q)$; they are clearly the Gauss and Codazzi equations of the fibers.)

The proof is the same as that of a single submanifold.

Theorem 4.2 If X, Y, Z, H are horizontal vector fields and V is vertical, then

(a)

$$\langle \mathfrak{R}_{XY}Z, H \rangle = \langle \mathfrak{R}^*_{XY}Z, H \rangle - 2\langle A_X Y, A_Z H \rangle + \langle A_Y Z, A_X H \rangle + \langle A_Z X, A_Y H \rangle$$

(b)

$$\langle \mathfrak{R}_{XY}Z, V \rangle = \langle (\nabla_Z A)_X Y, V \rangle + \langle A_X Y, T_V Z \rangle - \langle A_Y Z, T_V X \rangle - \langle A_Z X, T_V Y \rangle$$

Proof. See O'Neill [6].

Theorem 4.3 If X and Y are horizontal vector fields, and V and W are vertical, then

$$(a) \quad \langle \mathfrak{R}_{XV}Y, W \rangle = \langle (\nabla_X T)_V W, Y \rangle + \langle (\nabla_V A)_X Y, W \rangle \\ - \langle T_V X, T_W Y \rangle + \langle A_X V, A_Y W \rangle$$

$$(b) \quad \langle \mathfrak{R}_{VW}X, Y \rangle = \langle (\nabla_V A)_X Y, W \rangle - \langle (\nabla_W A)_X Y, V \rangle + \langle A_X V, A_Y W \rangle \\ - \langle A_X W, A_Y V \rangle - \langle T_V X, T_W Y \rangle + \langle T_W X, T_V Y \rangle$$

Proof. See O'Neill [6].

In the case of sectional curvature, the proofs of these theorems become trivial. For the tangent vectors a and b (assumed to be linearly independent), we will denote by P_{ab} the tangent plane which is spanned by them.

Corollary 4.1 Let $\pi: M \rightarrow B$ be a submersion and let κ, κ_* and $\hat{\kappa}$ denote the sectional curvatures of M , B and the fibers respectively. If x and y are horizontal vectors at a point of M and v and w are vertical, then;

$$(1) \quad \kappa(P_{vw}) = \hat{\kappa}(P_{vw}) - \frac{\langle T_v v, T_w w \rangle - \langle T_v w, T_v w \rangle}{\langle v \wedge w, v \wedge w \rangle}$$

$$(2) \quad \kappa(P_{xy}) = \frac{\langle (\nabla_x T)_v v, x \rangle + \langle A_x v, A_x v \rangle - \langle T_v x, T_v x \rangle}{\langle x, x \rangle \langle v, v \rangle}$$

$$(3) \quad \kappa(P_{xy}) = \kappa_*(P_{x_* y_*}) - \frac{3 \langle A_x y, A_x y \rangle}{\langle x \wedge y, x \wedge y \rangle}, \text{ where } x_* = \pi_*(x).$$

Proof. (The first equation above is the formulation of Gauss equation for the fibers.) All of them follow from the following well-known equation;

$$\kappa(P_{vw}) = \frac{\langle \mathfrak{R}_{vw} v, w \rangle}{\langle v \wedge w, v \wedge w \rangle}$$

We have obtained in Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 the covariant derivatives of the fundamental tensors **T** and **A**; that is, we have expressed the tensors $(\nabla_E T)_F$ and $(\nabla_E A)_F$ in **T** and **A**.

Now, we consider $\langle (\nabla_E T)_F G, L \rangle$.

Since it can be written two different types of vector fields, horizontal and vertical, instead of each vector fields E, F, G , and L , it follows that we can state $\langle (\nabla_E T)_F G, L \rangle$ in exactly sixteen different types; i.e., we can say the covariant derivatives ∇T of **T** in sixteen different type. The eight of them can be expressed by using Lemma 3.1, and the three one of others can be expressed by using Lemma 3.3 in **T** and **A**. But, the other five types may not be possible to write in **T** and **A** by using the Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3. For instance, we can not state $\langle (\nabla_x T)_v W, Y \rangle$ in **T** and **A**. Similar claims are also valid for the fundamental tensor **A**. In other ways, we can not state $\langle (\nabla_v A)_x Y, W \rangle$ in **T** and **A**. However, we find a relation between $\langle (\nabla_x T)_v W, Y \rangle$ and $\langle (\nabla_v A)_x Y, W \rangle$, and state it in Theorem 4.4 below.

Theorem 4.4 If V and W vertical vector fields and X and Y are horizontal, then

$$\langle (\nabla_X T)_V W, Y \rangle - \langle (\nabla_Y T)_V W, X \rangle = -\langle (\nabla_V A)_X Y, W \rangle - \langle (\nabla_W A)_Y X, V \rangle$$

Proof. From the *first Bianchi Identity* we have that

$$\langle \mathfrak{R}_{XV} Y + \mathfrak{R}_{VY} X + \mathfrak{R}_{YX} V, W \rangle = 0$$

Hence we can write

$$\langle \mathfrak{R}_{XV} Y, W \rangle + \langle \mathfrak{R}_{VY} X, W \rangle + \langle \mathfrak{R}_{YX} V, W \rangle = 0 \dots (1)$$

where, we use the Theorem 4.3-(a). Now we have that

$$\langle \mathfrak{R}_{XV} Y, W \rangle = \langle (\nabla_X T)_V W, Y \rangle + \langle (\nabla_V A)_X Y, W \rangle - \langle T_V X, T_W Y \rangle + \langle A_X V, A_Y W \rangle \dots (2),$$

and by the symmetries of curvature tensor \mathfrak{R} , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathfrak{R}_{VY} X, W \rangle = -\langle \mathfrak{R}_{YV} X, W \rangle = -\langle (\nabla_Y T)_V W, X \rangle - \langle (\nabla_V A)_Y X, W \rangle \\ + \langle T_V Y, T_W X \rangle - \langle A_Y V, A_X W \rangle \dots (3) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, again, by using the symmetries of curvature tensor \mathfrak{R} , we have that

$$\langle \mathfrak{R}_{YX} V, W \rangle = -\langle \mathfrak{R}_{XY} V, W \rangle = -\langle \mathfrak{R}_{VW} X, Y \rangle \text{ and use the Theorem 4.3-(b)}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathfrak{R}_{YX} V, W \rangle = -\langle (\nabla_V A)_X Y, W \rangle + \langle (\nabla_W A)_X Y, V \rangle - \langle A_X V, A_Y W \rangle \\ + \langle A_X W, A_Y V \rangle + \langle T_V X, T_W Y \rangle - \langle T_W X, T_V Y \rangle \dots (4) \end{aligned}$$

Putting (2),(3) and (4) in (1) the result follows.

References

- [1] R.H. Escobales, Jr., *Riemannian submersions with totally geodesic fibers*, J. Differential Geometry **10** (1975) 253-276.
- [2] K.L. Duggal and A. Bejancu, *Lightlike submanifolds of Semi-Riemannian manifolds and applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996.
- [3] A. Gray, *Pseudo-Riemannian almost product manifolds and submersions*, J. Math. Mech. **16** (1967), 715-737.
- [4] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, Vol 1, Interscience, Newyork (1963).
- [5] B. O'Neill, *Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Relativity*, Academic Press, 1983.
- [6] B. O'Neill, *The Fundamental Equations of a Submersion*, Michigan Math. J. **13** (1966), 459-469.

H. Mete TAŞTAN
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Istanbul University
Vezeneciler 34459, Istanbul
TURKEY
E-Mail: hakmete@istanbul.edu.tr