

An Extended Family of Slant Curves in S -manifolds

Şaban Güvenç

Abstract

In this paper, we define an extended family of slant curves (i.e. θ_α -slant curves) in S -manifolds. We give two examples of such curves in $\mathbb{R}^{2n+s}(-3s)$, where we choose $n = 1$, $s = 2$. Finally, we study biharmonicity of these curves in S -space forms.

Keywords: θ_α -slant curve; S -manifold; biharmonic curve.

AMS Subject Classification (2020): Primary: 53C25; Secondary: 53C40; 53A04.

1. Introduction

In [6], J. Eells and L. Maire studied selected topics in harmonic maps. In this paper, they suggested k -harmonic maps. G. Y. Jiang dealt with the case $k = 2$ in [11]. He derived the first and second variational formulas for 2-harmonic maps. On the other hand, in [4], B. Y. Chen published a survey article, which is divided into 25 sections. In one of these sections, he considered a biharmonic submanifold of Euclidean space as $\Delta H = 0$, where Δ denotes the Laplace operator and H denotes the mean curvature vector field. If the ambient space is considered as Euclidean, then Jiang's and Chen's results match.

In [5], J. T. Cho, J. Inoguchi and J. E. Lee defined and studied slant curves in Sasakian manifolds. They proved a theorem, which is similar to the classical theorem of Lancret for curves in Euclidean 3-space. They showed that a non-geodesic curve in a Sasakian 3-manifold is a slant curve if and only if the ratio of $(\tau \pm 1)$ and κ is constant, where κ and τ denotes the geodesic curvature and torsion of the curve, respectively. They gave some interesting examples. Notably, in the Heisenberg group with an appropriate metric, they exhibited slant helices and a slant curve which is not a helix.

In [8], D. Fetcu and C. Oniciuc obtained a method of producing biharmonic submanifolds in a Sasakian space form using the flow of characteristic vector field ξ . They showed that under the flow action of ξ a biharmonic integral submanifold is carried to a biharmonic anti-invariant submanifold. Following their idea, the present author and C. Özgür considered biharmonic slant curves in S -space forms [9].

It is a natural motivation to generalize the results of slant curves to θ_α -slant curves in S -manifolds. In Section 2, we give the fundamental definitions and theorems of S -space forms, biharmonic maps and Frenet curves. In Section 3, we define an extended family of slant curves, namely θ_α -slant curves, in S -manifolds and give two examples. In Section 4, we obtain the necessary and sufficient conditions for θ_α -slant curves in S -space forms to be proper biharmonic.

2. Preliminaries

Let (M, g) be a $(2n + s)$ -dimensional Riemann manifold. M is called a *framed metric manifold* with a *framed metric structure* $(f, \xi_\alpha, \eta^\alpha, g)$, $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, if it satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} f^2 X &= -X + \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \eta^\alpha(X) \xi_\alpha, \quad \eta^\alpha(f(X)) = 0, \quad \eta^\alpha(\xi_\beta) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad f(\xi_\alpha) = 0, \\ g(X, Y) &= g(fX, fY) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \eta^\alpha(X) \eta^\alpha(Y), \\ \eta^\alpha(X) &= g(X, \xi), \quad d\eta^\alpha(X, Y) = -d\eta^\alpha(Y, X) = g(X, fY), \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where f is a $(1, 1)$ -type tensor field of rank $2n$; ξ_1, \dots, ξ_s are vector fields; η^1, \dots, η^s are 1-forms and g is a Riemannian metric on M ; $X, Y \in TM$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ (see [13], [15]). $(f, \xi_\alpha, \eta^\alpha, g)$ is said to be an S -structure, if the Nijenhuis tensor of f is equal to $-2d\eta^\alpha \otimes \xi_\alpha$, for all $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ [1].

If $s = 1$, a framed metric structure is the same as an almost contact metric structure and an S -structure is the same as a Sasakian structure. For an S -structure, we have the following equations [1]:

$$(\nabla_X f)Y = \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \{g(fX, fY) \xi_\alpha + \eta^\alpha(Y) f^2 X\}, \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\nabla \xi_\alpha = -f, \quad (2.3)$$

for all $\alpha = 1, \dots, s$. In case of $s = 1$, (2.3) can be calculated from (2.2).

Let $X \in T_p M$ be orthogonal to ξ_1, \dots, ξ_s . The plane section spanned by $\{X, fX\}$ is called an f -section in $T_p M$ and its sectional curvature is called an f -sectional curvature. Let $(M, f, \xi_\alpha, \eta^\alpha, g)$ be an S -manifold. If M has constant f -sectional curvature, its curvature tensor R is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \{ \eta^\alpha(X) \eta^\beta(Z) f^2 Y - \eta^\alpha(Y) \eta^\beta(Z) f^2 X \\ &\quad - g(fX, fZ) \eta^\alpha(Y) \xi_\beta + g(fY, fZ) \eta^\alpha(X) \xi_\beta \} \\ &\quad + \frac{c+3s}{4} \{ -g(fY, fZ) f^2 X + g(fX, fZ) f^2 Y \} \\ &\quad + \frac{c-s}{4} \{ g(X, fZ) fY - g(Y, fZ) fX + 2g(X, fY) fZ \}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

for $X, Y, Z \in TM$ [3]. In this case, M is called an S -space form and it is denoted by $M(c)$. In case of $s = 1$, an S -space form is the same as a Sasakian space form [2].

Let (M, g) and (N, h) be Riemannian manifolds and $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ a differentiable map. A *harmonic map* is a critical point of the energy functional of φ , which is defined as

$$E(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |d\varphi|^2 v_g,$$

(see [7]). Furthermore, a *biharmonic map* is a critical point of the bienergy functional

$$E_2(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\tau(\varphi)|^2 v_g,$$

where $\tau(\varphi) = \text{trace} \nabla d\varphi$ and it is called *the first tension field* of φ . Jiang derived the biharmonic map equation [11]

$$\tau_2(\varphi) = -J^\varphi(\tau(\varphi)) = -\Delta \tau(\varphi) - \text{trace} R^N(d\varphi, \tau(\varphi)) d\varphi = 0,$$

where J^φ denotes the Jacobi operator of φ . It is obvious that harmonic maps are biharmonic. So, non-harmonic biharmonic maps are called *proper biharmonic*.

Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$ be a unit-speed curve in an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) . The curve γ is called a *Frenet curve of osculating order* r ($1 \leq r \leq n$), if there exists orthonormal vector fields T, E_2, \dots, E_r along the curve

validating the Frenet equations

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \gamma', \\ \nabla_T T &= \kappa_1 E_2, \\ \nabla_T E_2 &= -\kappa_1 T + \kappa_2 E_3, \\ &\dots \\ \nabla_T E_r &= -\kappa_{r-1} E_{r-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{r-1}$ are positive functions called the curvatures of γ . If $\kappa_1 = 0$, then γ is called a *geodesic*. If κ_1 is a non-zero positive constant and $r = 2$, γ is called a *circle*. If $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{r-1}$ are non-zero positive constants, then γ is called a *helix of order r* ($r \geq 3$). If $r = 3$, it is shortly called a *helix*.

A submanifold of an S -manifold is said to be an *integral submanifold* if $\eta^\alpha(X) = 0$, $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, where X is tangent to the submanifold [12]. A *Legendre curve* is a 1-dimensional integral submanifold of an S -manifold $(M^{2n+s}, f, \xi_\alpha, \eta^\alpha, g)$. More precisely, a unit-speed curve $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$ is a Legendre curve if T is g -orthogonal to all ξ_α ($\alpha = 1, \dots, s$), where $T = \gamma'$ [14].

3. θ_α -Slant Curves in S -manifolds

In this section, we define an extension of slant curves in S -manifolds. Firstly, we give the following definition:

Definition 3.1. Let $M = (M^{2n+s}, f, \xi_\alpha, \eta^\alpha, g)$ be an S -manifold and $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$ a unit-speed curve. γ is called a θ_α -slant curve, if there exist constant angles θ_α ($\alpha = 1, \dots, s$) such that $\eta^\alpha(T) = \cos \theta_\alpha$. Here, we call θ_α the *contact angles* of γ .

One can easily see that Definition 3.1 extends the family of slant curves to θ_α -slant curves. In particular, a θ_α -slant curve is called *slant* if its all contact angles are equal (see [9]).

For a θ_α -slant curve, if we differentiate $\eta^\alpha(T) = \cos \theta_\alpha$ along the curve γ , we obtain

$$\eta^\alpha(E_2) = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

for all $\alpha = 1, \dots, s$. From now on, we use the following notations:

$$A = \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \cos^2 \theta_\alpha, \quad B = \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \cos \theta_\alpha, \quad V = \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \cos \theta_\alpha \xi_\alpha.$$

The following corollary is directly obtained:

Corollary 3.1. *If γ is slant, then*

$$A = s \cos^2 \theta, \quad B = s \cos \theta, \quad V = \cos \theta \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \xi_\alpha,$$

where θ denotes the equal contact angles of γ .

Let γ be a non-geodesic unit-speed θ_α -slant curve. Using equation 2.1, we find

$$g(fT, fT) = 1 - A \geq 0.$$

If $A = 1$, then we have $fT = 0$, that is, $T = V$. Hence, we get

$$\nabla_T T = \nabla_V V = 0,$$

which means γ is a geodesic. As a result, we can give the following proposition:

Proposition 3.1. *For a non-geodesic unit-speed θ_α -slant curve in an S -manifold,*

$$A = \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \cos^2 \theta_\alpha < 1.$$

Note that, if γ is slant, we obtain Proposition 3.1 in [9].

From equations 2.1 and 2.5, we obtain the following statement:

Proposition 3.2. For a non-geodesic unit-speed θ_α -slant curve in an S -manifold $(M, f, \xi_\alpha, \eta^\alpha, g)$, we have

$$\nabla_T fT = (1 - A) \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \xi_\alpha + B(-T + V) + \kappa_1 fE_2. \quad (3.2)$$

Now we give the following examples of non-trivial θ_α -slant curves in $\mathbb{R}^{2n+s}(-3s)$, choosing $n = 1, s = 2$. For detailed information on $\mathbb{R}^{2n+s}(-3s)$, see [10].

Example 3.1. $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4(-6)$, $\gamma(t) = (t, 0, t, \sqrt{2}t)$ is a θ_α -slant curve with the contact angles $\theta_1 = \frac{\pi}{3}, \theta_2 = \frac{\pi}{4}$. In fact, γ is a θ_α -slant circle with $\kappa_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2}+1}{2}$.

Example 3.2. Let c_i be arbitrary constants ($i = 1, \dots, 4$), $t_0 \in I$, θ_1 and θ_2 constants such that $A = \cos^2 \theta_1 + \cos^2 \theta_2 < 1$. Let us consider a smooth function $u : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and define $\gamma_i : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, \dots, 4$) as

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1(t) &= c_1 + 2\sqrt{1-A} \int_{t_0}^t \cos(u(p)) dp, \\ \gamma_2(t) &= c_2 + 2\sqrt{1-A} \int_{t_0}^t \sin(u(p)) dp, \\ \gamma_3(t) &= c_3 + 2t \cos \theta_1 \\ &\quad + 2\sqrt{1-A} \int_{t_0}^t \cos(u(q)) \left(c_2 + 2\sqrt{1-A} \int_{t_0}^q \sin(u(p)) dp \right) dq, \\ \gamma_4(t) &= c_4 + 2t \cos \theta_2 \\ &\quad + 2\sqrt{1-A} \int_{t_0}^t \cos(u(q)) \left(c_2 + 2\sqrt{1-A} \int_{t_0}^q \sin(u(p)) dp \right) dq. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4(-6)$, $\gamma(t) = (\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t), \gamma_3(t), \gamma_4(t))$ is a θ_α -slant curve with the contact angles θ_1 and θ_2 .

4. Biharmonic θ_α -Slant Curves in S -Space Forms

In this section, we consider proper biharmonic θ_α -slant curves in S -space forms. Let γ be a unit-speed θ_α -slant curve in an S -space form $(M, f, \xi_\alpha, \eta^\alpha, g)$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(T, \nabla_T T)T &= -\kappa_1 \left[B^2 + \frac{c+3s}{4}(1-A) \right] E_2 - 3\kappa_1 \frac{c-s}{4} g(fT, E_2) fT, \\ \tau_2(\gamma) &= \nabla_T \nabla_T \nabla_T T - R(T, \nabla_T T)T \\ &= -3\kappa_1 \kappa_1' T \\ &\quad + \left(\kappa_1'' - \kappa_1^3 - \kappa_1 \kappa_2^2 + \kappa_1 \left[B^2 + \frac{c+3s}{4}(1-A) \right] \right) E_2 \\ &\quad + (2\kappa_1' \kappa_2 + \kappa_1 \kappa_2') E_3 + \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 E_4 \\ &\quad + 3\kappa_1 \frac{c-s}{4} g(fT, E_2) fT. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

As a result, we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. γ is a proper-biharmonic θ_α -slant curve in an S -space form $(M, f, \xi_\alpha, \eta^\alpha, g)$ if and only if $\kappa_1 = \text{constant} > 0$ and

$$3 \frac{c-s}{4} g(fT, E_2) fT = \left[\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 - B^2 - \frac{c+3s}{4} (1-A) \right] E_2 - \kappa_2' E_3 - \kappa_2 \kappa_3 E_4. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Let γ be a proper-biharmonic θ_α -slant curve. Then $\kappa_1 > 0$ and $\tau_2(\gamma) = 0$. If we take the inner-product of both sides with T , we find $\kappa_1 = \text{constant} > 0$. Hence, from equation (4.1), we obtain equation (4.2). Conversely, if $\kappa_1 = \text{constant} > 0$ and equation (4.2) is satisfied, we find $\tau_2(\gamma) = 0$, which completes the proof. \square

We will consider equation (4.2) from all points of view. Our discussions are based on the question: "When do the coefficients of fT vanish?". First discussion is for the absence of the term with fT in equation (4.2). Second discussion is for the non-vanishing coefficients.

First Discussion: The term with fT vanishes.

i) $c = s$.

In this case, equation (4.2) becomes

$$0 = \left[\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 - B^2 - s(1-A) \right] E_2 - \kappa_2' E_3 - \kappa_2 \kappa_3 E_4. \tag{4.3}$$

As a result, we give the following Theorem:

Theorem 4.2. Under the assumption $c = s$; γ is a proper-biharmonic θ_α -slant curve in $(M, f, \xi_\alpha, \eta^\alpha, g)$ if and only if either γ is a circle with $\kappa_1 = \sqrt{B^2 + s(1-A)}$ or a helix with $\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 = B^2 + s(1-A)$.

Proof. From equation (4.3), since $\{E_2, E_3, E_4\}$ is g -orthonormal, the proof is clear. \square

ii) $c \neq s$ and $fT \perp E_2$.

Under these assumptions, equation (4.2) gives us

$$0 = \left[\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 - B^2 - \frac{c+3s}{4} (1-A) \right] E_2 - \kappa_2' E_3 - \kappa_2 \kappa_3 E_4. \tag{4.4}$$

Firstly, we need to prove the following Lemma:

Lemma 4.1. Let γ be a θ_α -slant curve of order $r = 3$ in an S -space form $(M, f, \xi_\alpha, \eta^\alpha, g)$ and $fT \perp E_2$. Then, $\{T, E_2, E_3, fT, \nabla_T fT, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_s\}$ is linearly independent.

Proof. Let $r = 3$ and $fT \perp E_2$. Let us denote $S_1 = \{T, E_2, E_3, fT, \nabla_T fT, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_s\}$. In view of equations (2.5), (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(E_2, T) &= g(E_2, E_3) = g(E_2, fT) = g(E_2, \nabla_T fT) \\ &= g(E_2, \xi_\alpha) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\alpha = 1, \dots, s$. Thus, S_1 is linearly independent if and only if $S_2 = \{T, E_3, fT, \nabla_T fT, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_s\}$ is linearly independent. From the assumption, we have $fT \perp E_2$. If we differentiate $g(fT, E_2) = 0$, we find $g(fT, E_3) = 0$. Since $g(fT, fT) = 1 - A > 0$ is a constant, we obtain $g(fT, \nabla_T fT) = 0$. f is skew-symmetric, so $g(fT, T) = 0$. From equation (2.1), we also have $g(fT, \xi_\alpha) = 0$, for all $\alpha = 1, \dots, s$. Then, omitting fT , we get that S_2 is linearly independent if and only if $S_3 = \{T, E_3, \nabla_T fT, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_s\}$ is linearly independent. Now, let us investigate whether T is linearly dependent with other vector fields in S_3 . From Frenet equations, $g(T, E_3) = 0$. Equation (3.2) gives us $g(T, \nabla_T fT) = 0$. Assume that $T \in sp\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_s\}$. If we differentiate

$$T = \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \cos \theta_\alpha \xi_\alpha,$$

along the curve γ , we get $\kappa_1 = 0$, which is a contradiction. As a result, $T \notin sp\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_s\}$. Hence, S_3 is linearly independent if and only if $S_4 = \{E_3, \nabla_T fT, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_s\}$ is linearly independent. If we differentiate $g(fT, E_3) = 0$, we find $g(\nabla_T fT, E_3) = 0$. Now, let us assume $E_3 \in sp\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_s\}$. If we differentiate

$$E_3 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \eta^\alpha(E_3) \xi_\alpha,$$

we obtain

$$-\kappa_2 E_2 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \{ \nabla_T [\eta^\alpha(E_3)] \cdot \xi_\alpha - \eta^\alpha(E_3) fT \}.$$

If we take the inner-product of both sides with E_2 , we find $\kappa_2 = 0$, which contradicts $r = 3$. Then, $E_3 \notin sp\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_s\}$. So, S_4 is linearly independent if and only if $S_5 = \{\nabla_T fT, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_s\}$ is linearly independent. Equation (3.2) can be rewritten as

$$\nabla_T fT = \sum_{\alpha=1}^s [(1-A) + B \cos \theta_\alpha] \xi_\alpha - BT + \kappa_1 fE_2.$$

Since $fT \perp E_2$ and f is skew-symmetric, we have $fE_2 \perp T$. As a result, the term $(-BT + \kappa_1 fE_2)$ does not vanish, that is, $\nabla_T fT \notin sp\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_s\}$. Consequently, S_5 is linearly independent and the proof is complete. \square

In view of Lemma 4.1, we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 4.3. *Under the assumptions $c \neq s$ and $fT \perp E_2$; γ is a proper-biharmonic θ_α -slant curve in $(M, f, \xi_\alpha, \eta^\alpha, g)$ if and only if either*

a) *$\dim(M) \geq 4 + s$ and γ is a circle with $\kappa_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{4B^2 + (c+3s)(1-A)}$, where $\{T, E_2, fT, \nabla_T fT, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_s\}$ is linearly independent; or*

b) *$\dim(M) \geq 5 + s$ and γ is a helix with $\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 = B^2 + \frac{c+3s}{4}(1-A)$, where $\{T, E_2, E_3, fT, \nabla_T fT, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_s\}$ is linearly independent.*

Proof. If we consider Lemma 4.1 and equation (4.4) together, the proof is directly obtained. \square

Second Discussion: The term with fT does not vanish.

i) $c \neq s$ and $fT \parallel E_2$.

In this case, since $g(fT, fT) = 1 - A$ and $fT \parallel E_2$, we can write

$$fT = \varepsilon \sqrt{1 - A} E_2, \quad (4.5)$$

where $\varepsilon = \text{sgn}(g(fT, E_2))$. Then, equation (4.2) becomes

$$3 \frac{c-s}{4} (1-A) E_2 = \left[\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 - B^2 - \frac{c+3s}{4} (1-A) \right] E_2 - \kappa_2' E_3 - \kappa_2 \kappa_3 E_4. \quad (4.6)$$

Firstly, we can state the following Lemma:

Lemma 4.2. *Let γ be a non-geodesic θ_α -slant curve in an S -space form $(M, f, \xi_\alpha, \eta^\alpha, g)$ and $fT \parallel E_2$. If κ_1 is a constant, then γ is either a circle or a helix.*

Proof. Let $\kappa_1 = \text{constant} > 0$. From equations (2.5), (3.2) and (4.5), after some calculations, we get

$$\kappa_2 \varepsilon \sqrt{1 - A} E_3 = (1-A) \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \xi_\alpha - (B + \varepsilon AD) T + (B + \varepsilon D) V, \quad (4.7)$$

where we denote $D = \kappa_1 / \sqrt{1 - A}$. Note that

$$g(T, T) = 1, \quad g(T, \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \xi_\alpha) = B, \quad g(T, V) = A,$$

$$g\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^s \xi_\alpha, \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \xi_\alpha\right) = s,$$

$$g\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^s \xi_\alpha, V\right) = B, \quad g(V, V) = A.$$

As a result, if we denote the norm of the right-hand side of equation (4.7) by C , we have

$$C = \sqrt{1 - A} \sqrt{AD^2 - As + B^2 + 2\varepsilon BD + s},$$

which gives us

$$\kappa_2 = \sqrt{AD^2 - As + B^2 + 2\varepsilon BD + s}.$$

So, κ_2 is a constant. If $\kappa_2 = 0$, then γ is a circle. If $\kappa_2 \neq 0$, equation (4.7) gives us

$$E_3 = a_0T + a_1\xi_1 + \dots + a_s\xi_s,$$

for some constants a_0, \dots, a_s . If we differentiate this last equation, we obtain

$$-\kappa_2E_2 + \kappa_3E_4 = a_0\kappa_1E_2 - a_1fT - \dots - a_sfT. \tag{4.8}$$

If we take the inner-product of equation (4.8) with E_4 , considering the fact that $fT \parallel E_2$, we find $\kappa_3 = 0$. In this case, γ is a helix. □

In view of Lemma 4.2, we have the following result:

Theorem 4.4. *Under the assumptions $c \neq s$ and $fT \parallel E_2$; γ is a proper-biharmonic θ_α -slant curve in $(M, f, \xi_\alpha, \eta^\alpha, g)$ if and only if either*

a) *it is a circle with $\kappa_1 = \sqrt{B^2 + c(1 - A)}$ with the Frenet frame field*

$$\left\{ T, \frac{\varepsilon fT}{\sqrt{1 - A}} \right\},$$

where $B^2 + c(1 - A) > 0$; or

b) *it is a helix with $\kappa_1 = \sqrt{1 - AD}$, $\kappa_2 = \sqrt{AD^2 - As + B^2 + 2\varepsilon BD + s}$ with the Frenet frame field*

$$\left\{ T, \frac{\varepsilon fT}{\sqrt{1 - A}}, \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{1 - A}\sqrt{AD^2 - As + B^2 + 2\varepsilon BD + s}}W \right\},$$

where $AD^2 - As + B^2 + 2\varepsilon BD + s > 0$, $D > 0$ is a constant satisfying

$$D(2\varepsilon B + D) = (1 - A)(c - s) \tag{4.9}$$

and W denotes

$$W = (1 - A) \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \xi_\alpha - (B + \varepsilon AD)T + (B + \varepsilon D)V.$$

Proof. Let γ be proper-biharmonic. Then, $\kappa_1 = \text{constant} > 0$ and equation (4.6) must be satisfied. If we take the inner-product of equation (4.6) with E_2, E_3 and E_4 , we get

$$\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 = B^2 + c(1 - A), \tag{4.10}$$

$$\kappa_2 = \text{constant}, \kappa_3 = 0,$$

respectively. From the proof of Lemma 4.2, using equation (4.10), we obtain the curvatures and the Frenet frame field of γ . Furthermore, if γ is a helix, if we replace κ_1 and κ_2 in equation (4.10), we find equation (4.9).

Conversely, let γ be a one of the curves given in a) or b). Then, one can easily show that equation (4.4) is verified. So, γ is proper-biharmonic. □

ii) $c \neq s$ and $g(fT, E_2) \neq 0, 1, -1$.

Since the equality cases are previously investigated, we complete our discussions under the assumptions $c \neq s$ and $g(fT, E_2) \neq 0, 1, -1$. Let us consider a smooth function $m(t)$ such that

$$g(fT, E_2) = \sqrt{1 - A} \cos m(t). \tag{4.11}$$

Differentiating this equation, we have

$$\kappa_2 g(fT, E_3) = -\sqrt{1 - A} m'(t) \sin m(t). \tag{4.12}$$

If we take the inner-product of equation (4.2) with E_2, E_3 and E_4 , we find

$$\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 = B^2 + \frac{c + 3s}{4}(1 - A) + \frac{3(c - s)}{4}g(fT, E_2)^2, \tag{4.13}$$

$$\kappa_2' + \frac{3(c-s)}{4}g(fT, E_2)g(fT, E_3) = 0, \quad (4.14)$$

$$\kappa_2\kappa_3 + \frac{3(c-s)}{4}g(fT, E_2)g(fT, E_4) = 0, \quad (4.15)$$

respectively. If we multiply equation (4.14) with $2\kappa_2$, equations (4.11) and (4.12), we have

$$2\kappa_2\kappa_2' + (1-A)\frac{3(c-s)}{4}[-2m'(t)\sin m(t)\cos m(t)] = 0.$$

If we integrate the last equation, we get

$$\kappa_2^2 = -(1-A)\frac{3(c-s)}{4}\cos^2 m(t) + h_0, \quad (4.16)$$

where h_0 is an arbitrary constant. If we write equation (4.16) in (4.13), we obtain $m(t)$ is constant. As a result, we can write

$$fT = \sqrt{1-A}(\cos mE_2 + \sin mE_4),$$

where $m \in (0, 2\pi) - \{\frac{\pi}{2}, 0, \frac{3\pi}{2}\}$. Now, we can give the following theorem:

Theorem 4.5. *Under the assumptions $c \neq s$ and $g(fT, E_2) \neq 0, 1, -1$; γ is a proper-biharmonic θ_α -slant curve in $(M, f, \xi_\alpha, \eta^\alpha, g)$ if and only if κ_1, κ_2 and κ_3 are constants such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 &= B^2 + \frac{c+3s}{4}(1-A) + \frac{3(c-s)}{4}\cos^2 m, \\ \kappa_2\kappa_3 + \frac{3(c-s)}{8}(1-A)\sin 2m &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $fT = \sqrt{1-A}(\cos mE_2 + \sin mE_4)$ and $m \in (0, 2\pi) - \{\frac{\pi}{2}, 0, \frac{3\pi}{2}\}$.

References

- [1] Blair, D. E.: *Geometry of manifolds with structural group $U(n) \times O(s)$* . J. Differential Geometry. **4**, 155-167 (1970).
- [2] Baikoussis, C., Blair, D. E.: *On Legendre curves in contact 3-manifolds*. Geom. Dedicata. **49**, 135-142 (1994).
- [3] Cabrerizo, J. L., Fernandez, L. M., Fernandez M.: *The curvature of submanifolds of an S-space form*. Acta Math. Hungar. **62**, 373-383 (1993).
- [4] Chen, B.Y.: *A report on submanifolds of finite type*. Soochow J. Math. **22**, 117-337 (1996).
- [5] Cho, J. T., Inoguchi, J., Lee, J. E.: *On slant curves in Sasakian 3-manifolds*. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **74**, 359-367 (2006).
- [6] Eells, Jr. J., Lemaire, L.: *Selected topics in harmonic maps*. Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, R.I. (1983).
- [7] Eells, Jr. J., Sampson, J. H.: *Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds*. Amer. J. Math. **86**, 109-160 (1964).
- [8] Fetcu, D., Oniciuc, C.: *Explicit formulas for biharmonic submanifolds in Sasakian space forms*. Pacific J. Math. **240**, 85-107 (2009).
- [9] Güvenç, Ş., Özgür, C.: *On slant curves in S-manifolds*. Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **33** (1), 293-303 (2018).
- [10] Hasegawa, I., Okuyama, Y., Abe, T.: *On p-th Sasakian manifolds*. J. Hokkaido Univ. Ed. Sect. II A. **37** (1), 1-16 (1986).
- [11] Jiang, G. Y.: *2-harmonic maps and their first and second variational formulas*. Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A. **7**, 389-402 (1986).
- [12] Kim, J. S., Dwivedi, M. K., Tripathi, M. M.: *Ricci curvature of integral submanifolds of an S-space form*. Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **44**, 395-406 (2007).
- [13] Nakagawa, H.: *On framed f-manifolds*. Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. **18**, 293-306 (1966).
- [14] Özgür, C., Güvenç, Ş.: *On biharmonic Legendre curves in S-space forms*. Turkish J. Math. **38** (3), 454-461 (2014).
- [15] Yano, K., Kon, M.: *Structures on Manifolds*. Series in Pure Mathematics, **3**. World Scientific Publishing Co. Singapore (1984).

Affiliations

ŞABAN GÜVENÇ

ADDRESS: Balıkesir University, Dept. of Mathematics, 10145, Balıkesir-Turkey.

E-MAIL: sguven@balikesir.edu.tr

ORCID ID: 0000-0001-6254-4693