

On Idempotency of Linear Combinations of Two 2×2 Idempotent Matrices

Özge ÖZTEKİN^{1*}, Alisar ALHAMAD²

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep, Turkey

¹<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7421-5600>

²<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3443-9516>

*Sorumlu yazar: ozgedzoqr@gmail.com

Research Article

Article History:

Received: 8 November 2020

Accepted: 28 January 2021

Published online: 1 June 2021

Keywords:

Idempotent matrix
Linear combination
Singular matrix

ABSTRACT

Let A and B be 2×2 non-zero complex matrices. Let P be a linear combination of A and B in the form of $P = c_1A + c_2B$ where c_1, c_2 are nonzero scalar numbers. An idempotent matrix is a matrix which, when multiplied by itself, yields itself. In this study, we established the entries of idempotent matrix B according to a given A idempotent matrix such that P is also be an idempotent matrix. In addition, the result was obtained that this determined P matrix is a singular matrix.

İki 2×2 İdempotent Matrisin Lineer Kombinasyonunun İdempotentiği Üzerine

Araştırma Makalesi

Makale Tarihiçesi:

Geliş tarihi: 8 Kasım 2020

Kabul tarihi: 28 Ocak 2021

Online Yayınlanma: 1 Haziran 2021

Anahtar Kelimeler:

İdempotent matris
Lineer kombinasyon
Singüler matris

ÖZET

A ve B , 2×2 tipinde sıfır olmayan kompleks matrisler olsun. c_1, c_2 sıfırdan farklı skaler sayılar olmak üzere P , A ile B nin $P = c_1A + c_2B$ formunda olan bir lineer kombinasyonu olsun. Bir idempotent matris, kendisiyle çarpıldığında kendisini veren bir matristir. Bu çalışmada, verilen A idempotent matrisine göre, B idempotent matrisinin bileşenleri, P matrisi de idempotent olacak şekilde belirlenmiştir. Ayrıca belirlenen bu P matrisinin singüler matris olduğu sonucu elde edilmiştir.

To Cite: Öztekin Ö., Alhamad A. On Idempotency of Linear Combinations of Two 2×2 Idempotent Matrices. Osmaniye Korkut Ata Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü Dergisi 2021; 4(2): 121-123.

1. Introduction

It is assumed throughout that $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ are nonzero complex numbers and A, B are two nonzero idempotent complex matrices. Let P be their linear combination of the form

$$P = c_1A + c_2B$$

For nonzero idempotent matrices a complete solution to the problem of characterizing all situations, where the linear combination of A and B preserves the idempotency property established by Baksalary and Baksalary [1]. They proved that if $AB = BA$ then there is no idempotent P except

for the classical cases characterized by Halmos [2] and also they proved that if $AB \neq BA$ then P is idempotent if and only if $c_1 \in \mathcal{F}/\{0,1\}$, $c_2 = 1 - c_1$, $(A - B)^2 = 0$ (where \mathcal{F} is a field). P_1, P_2 and P_3 being any three different nonzero mutually commutative idempotent matrices, and c_1, c_2 and c_3 being nonzero scalars, the problem of characterizing some situations, where a linear combination of the form $P = c_1P_1 + c_2P_2$ or $P = c_1P_1 + c_2P_2 + c_3P_3$, is also an idempotent matrix considered by Özdemir and Özban [3] They established a complete solution to the problem of characterizing all situations, where the operation of combining linearly idempotent matrices preserves the idempotency property.

Differently from these studies in this paper we construct the entries of idempotent matrix B according to a given idempotent matrix A such that a linear combination of A and B of the form

$$P = c_1A + c_2B$$

is also be an idempotent matrix (where $A, B \in \mathbb{C}_{2 \times 2}$ and $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ are nonzero complex numbers), and we obtained the result that B is the singular matrix

2. Results

By the Theorem 2.1.(a) [1], the problem considered in this paper becomes trivial when A is identity matrix or P is identity matrix. Consequently the case where A is identity and the case where P is identity excluded from the calculations in this note.

Theorem. For two $A = [a_{ij}], B = [b_{ij}] \in \mathbb{C}_{2 \times 2}$ nonzero idempotent matrices, let P be their linear combinations of the form

$$P = c_1A + c_2B \quad (1.1)$$

with nonzero scalars c_1 and c_2 .

- i) If $a_{11} = 1$ and $a_{12} = 0$ then P is idempotent if and only if
 $c_2 = 1 - c_1$, $b_{11} = 1 - a_{21}b_{12}$,
 $b_{12}b_{21} = b_{12}a_{21}b_{11}$ and $b_{22} = a_{21}b_{12}$.
- ii) If $a_{11} = 1$ and $a_{21} = 0$ then P is idempotent if and only if
 $c_2 = 1 - c_1$, $b_{11} = 1 - a_{12}b_{21}$,
 $b_{21} = -a_{12}b_{11}$ and $b_{22} = a_{12}b_{21}$.
- iii) If $a_{11} = 0$ and $a_{12} = 0$ then P is idempotent if and only if
 $c_2 = 1 - c_1$, $b_{11} = a_{21}b_{12}$,
 $b_{21} = a_{21}(1 - b_{12}a_{21})$ and
 $b_{22} = 1 - a_{21}b_{12}$.
- iv) If $a_{11} = 0$ and $a_{21} = 0$ then P is idempotent if and only if
 $c_2 = 1 - c_1$, $b_{11} = a_{12}b_{21}$,
 $b_{21} = a_{21}b_{11}$ and $b_{22} = 1 - a_{12}b_{21}$.
- v) If $a_{11} = \frac{1}{2}$ then P is idempotent if and only if $c_2 = 1 - c_1$, $b_{11} - b_{11}^2 = 2a_{12}b_{21}(1 - 2a_{12}b_{21})$,
 $b_{12} = 2a_{12}(1 - 2a_{12}b_{21})$ and
 $b_{22} = 1 - b_{11}$.
- vi) If $a_{11} \notin \left\{0, 1, \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ then P is idempotent if and only if $c_2 = 1 - c_1$,

$$b_{11} = \frac{a_{12}b_{21} + b_{12}a_{21} - a_{11}}{1 - 2a_{11}}, b_{12}b_{21} = b_{11} - b_{11}^2 \text{ and } b_{22} = 1 - b_{11}.$$

Proof. Since $A = [a_{ij}], B = [b_{ij}] \in \mathbb{C}_{2 \times 2}$ idempotent matrices, A satisfies

$$a_{11}^2 + a_{12}a_{21} = a_{11}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$a_{22} = 1 - a_{11}. \quad (1.3)$$

and B satisfies

$$b_{11}^2 + b_{12}b_{21} = b_{11}, \quad (1.4)$$

$$b_{22} = 1 - b_{11}. \quad (1.5)$$

Direct calculations show that P of form (1.1) is idempotent if and only if

$$(c_1a_{11} + c_2b_{11})^2 + (c_1a_{21} + c_2b_{21})(c_1a_{12} + c_2b_{12}) = (c_1a_{11} + c_2b_{11}) \quad (1.6)$$

and

$$1 - (c_1a_{22} + c_2b_{22}) = c_1a_{11} + c_2b_{11}. \quad (1.7)$$

The equality (1.7) simplify to

$$c_2 = 1 - c_1 \quad (1.8)$$

when substituting (1.3) and (1.5).

And the equality (1.6) simplifies to

$$a_{11} - 2a_{11}b_{11} + b_{11} = a_{12}b_{21} + b_{12}a_{21} \quad (1.9)$$

when substituting (1.2), (1.4) and (1.8).

Therefore;

- i) If $a_{11} = 1$ and $a_{12} = 0$ then from (1.9) we get $b_{11} = 1 - a_{21}b_{12}$. From Equation (1.4) and (1.5), it follows that $b_{12}b_{21} = b_{12}a_{21}b_{11}$ and $b_{22} = a_{21}b_{12}$.

So B must be one of the forms below to ensure P 's idempotency,

$$a) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ b_{21} & 0 \end{bmatrix} (\text{for } b_{12} = 0),$$

$$b) \begin{bmatrix} 1 - a_{21}b_{12} & b_{12} \\ a_{21}(1 - a_{21}b_{12}) & a_{21}b_{12} \end{bmatrix} (\text{for } b_{12} \neq 0).$$

- ii) If $a_{11} = 1$ and $a_{21} = 0$ then from (1.9) we get $b_{11} = 1 - a_{12}b_{21}$. From (1.4) and (1.5), it follows that $b_{12}b_{21} = a_{12}b_{11}b_{21}$ and $b_{22} = a_{12}b_{21}$.

So B must be one of the forms below to ensure P 's idempotency,

$$a) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b_{12} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} (\text{for } b_{21} = 0),$$

$$b) \begin{bmatrix} 1 - a_{12}b_{21} & a_{12}(1 - a_{12}b_{21}) \\ b_{21} & a_{12}b_{21} \end{bmatrix} (\text{for } b_{21} \neq 0).$$

- iii) If $a_{11} = 0$ and $a_{12} = 0$ then from (1.9) we get $b_{11} = a_{21}b_{12}$. From (1.4) and (1.5), it follows that $b_{12}b_{21} = b_{12}a_{21}(1 - b_{12}a_{21})$ and $b_{22} = 1 - a_{21}b_{12}$.

So B must be one of the forms below to ensure P 's idempotency,

a) $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ with $b_{21}b_{12} = 0$ (for $b_{11} = 0$),

b) $\begin{bmatrix} a_{21}b_{12} & b_{12} \\ a_{21}(1 - b_{12}a_{21}) & 1 - a_{21}b_{12} \end{bmatrix}$
(for $b_{11} \neq 0$).

iv) If $a_{11} = 0$ and $a_{21} = 0$ then from (1.9) we get $b_{11} = a_{12}b_{21}$, from (1.4) and (1.5), it follows that $b_{12}b_{21} = b_{21}a_{12}(1 - b_{21}a_{12})$ and $b_{22} = 1 - a_{12}b_{21}$.

So B must be one of the forms below to ensure P 's idempotency,

a) $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ with $b_{21}b_{12} = 0$ (for $b_{11} = 0$),

b) $\begin{bmatrix} a_{12}b_{21} & a_{12}(1 - b_{21}a_{12}) \\ b_{21} & 1 - a_{12}b_{21} \end{bmatrix}$ (for $b_{11} \neq 0$).

v) If $a_{11} = \frac{1}{2}$ then from (1.2) we get $a_{12}a_{21} = \frac{1}{4}$. From (1.6) and (1.8), it

follows that

$$\left(\frac{c_1}{2} + (1 - c_1)b_{11}\right)^2 + (c_1a_{12} + (1 - c_1)b_{12}) \cdot (c_1a_{21} + (1 - c_1)b_{21}) = \frac{c_1}{2} + (1 - c_1)b_{11}.$$

Substituting (1.4) to this equation simplifies the latter to the equality

$$a_{12}b_{21} + a_{21}b_{12} = \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$a_{12}b_{21} + \frac{b_{12}}{4a_{12}} = \frac{1}{2}$$

Therefore $b_{12} = 2a_{12}(1 - 2a_{12}b_{21})$. This leads the equations (1.4) and (1.5) to

$$b_{11} - b_{11}^2 = 2a_{12}b_{21}(1 - 2a_{12}b_{21}) \text{ and } b_{22} = 1 - b_{11}.$$

So B is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 - 2a_{12}b_{21} & 2a_{12}(1 - 2b_{21}a_{12}) \\ b_{21} & 2a_{12}b_{21} \end{bmatrix}.$$

vi) If $a_{11} \notin \left\{0, 1, \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ then from (1.6) we get

$$b_{11} = \frac{a_{12}b_{21} + b_{12}a_{21} - a_{11}}{1 - 2a_{11}}$$

Therefore, B must be one of the forms below to ensure P 's idempotency.

a) If $b_{11} = 0$ then B is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_{11} \\ 0 & a_{21} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

b) If $b_{11} \neq 0$ then B is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{a_{12}b_{21} + b_{12}a_{21} - a_{11}}{1 - 2a_{11}} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & 1 - \frac{a_{12}b_{21} + b_{12}a_{21} - a_{11}}{1 - 2a_{11}} \end{bmatrix}$$

with $b_{12}b_{21} = b_{11} - b_{11}^2$.

Corollary. The matrix P is a singular matrix.

Statement of Conflict of Interest

Authors have declared no conflict of interest.

Author's Contributions

The contribution of the authors is equal.

References

- [1] Baksalary JK, Baksalary OM. Idempotency of linear combinations of two idempotent matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl* 2000; 321: 3-7.
- [2] Halmos PR. *Finite-dimensional vector spaces*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1958.
- [3] Özdemir H., Özban AY. On idempotency of linear combinations of idempotent matrices, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 2004; 159: 439-448.