

Factors for Generalized Matrix Summability

Bağdagül Kartal *1

*1 Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Erciyes University, KAYSERİ

(Alınış / Received: 02.02.2021, Kabul / Accepted: 14.11.2021, Online Yayınlanma / Published Online: 30.12.2021)

Keywords

Summability factors,
Absolute matrix summability
Infinite series,
Hölder's inequality,
Minkowski's inequality

Abstract: In [1], Sulaiman has proved a theorem dealing with $|A|_k$ summability of the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n X_n$. In the present paper, generalized absolute matrix summability has been studied. The known theorem on $|A|_k$ summability has been generalized to the $\varphi - |A; \delta|_k$ summability method under some suitable conditions.

Genelleştirilmiş Matris Toplanabilme için Çarpanlar

Anahtar Kelimeler

Toplanabilme çarpanları,
Mutlak matris toplanabilme,
Sonsuz seriler,
Hölder eşitsizliği,
Minkowski eşitsizliği

Öz: Sulaiman [1], $\sum a_n \lambda_n X_n$ serisinin $|A|_k$ toplanabilmesi ile ilgili bir teorem ispatlamıştır. Bu makalede genelleştirilmiş mutlak matris toplanabilme çalışılmıştır. $|A|_k$ toplanabilme üzerine bilinen teorem uygun bazı şartlar altında $\varphi - |A; \delta|_k$ toplanabilme metoduna genelleştirilmiştir.

*İlgili Yazar, e-mail: bagdagulkartal@erciyes.edu.tr

1. Introduction

Let $\sum a_n$ be an infinite series with its partial sums (s_n) . Let $A = (a_{nv})$ be a normal matrix, i.e., a lower triangular matrix of non-zero diagonal entries. Then A defines the sequence-to-sequence transformation, mapping the sequence $s = (s_n)$ to $As = (A_n(s))$, where

$$A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^n a_{nv} s_v, \quad n=0,1,\dots$$

Let (φ_n) be any sequence of positive real numbers. The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $\varphi - |A; \delta|_k$, $k \geq 1$ and $\delta \geq 0$, if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n^{\delta k + k - 1} |A_n(s) - A_{n-1}(s)|^k < \infty \quad (\text{see [2]}).$$

If we take $\delta = 0$ and $\varphi_n = n$, then $\varphi - |A; \delta|_k$ summability reduces to $|A|_k$ summability [3].

Given any normal matrix $A = (a_{nv})$, two lower semimatrices $\bar{A} = (\bar{a}_{nv})$ and $\hat{A} = (\hat{a}_{nv})$ are defined as follows:

$$\bar{a}_{nv} = \sum_{i=v}^n a_{ni}, \quad n, v = 0, 1, \dots \quad (1)$$

$$\hat{a}_{00} = \bar{a}_{00} = a_{00}, \hat{a}_{nv} = \bar{a}_{nv} - \bar{a}_{n-1,v}, n=1,2,\dots \tag{2}$$

$$A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^n a_{nv} s^v = \sum_{v=0}^n \bar{a}_{nv} a_v \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\Delta} A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^n \hat{a}_{nv} a_v. \tag{3}$$

2. Material and Method

Absolute summability of an infinite series is an attractive topic in the field of summability. Many different studies on this topic have been done by researchers, see ([1, 4-29]). In 2013, Sulaiman [1] proved the following lemmas and theorem.

Lemma 1: If $\sum n^{-1} \lambda_n$ is convergent, then (λ_n) is non-negative and decreasing, $\lambda_n \log_n = O(1)$, and $n \Delta \lambda_n = O(1/(\log n)^2)$.

Lemma 2: If $\sum n^{-1} \lambda_n X_n$ is convergent, and the conditions

$$n \Delta \lambda_n = O(\lambda_n) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{4}$$

$$\sum_{v=1}^n \lambda_v = O(n \lambda_n) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{5}$$

are satisfied, then

$$n \lambda_n \Delta X_n = O(1), \tag{6}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \lambda_n \Delta X_n = O(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \tag{7}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^m n \lambda_n \Delta^2 X_n = O(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \tag{8}$$

Theorem 1: Let $(\lambda_n), (X_n)$ be two sequences such that $\sum n^{-1} \lambda_n X_n$ is convergent, and the conditions (4) and (5) are satisfied. Let $A = (a_{nv})$ be a normal matrix with non-negative entries satisfying

$$\bar{a}_{n0} = 1, n=0,1,\dots, \tag{9}$$

$$a_{n-1,v} \geq a_{nv} \text{ for } n \geq v+1, \tag{10}$$

$$n a_{nn} = O(1), 1 = O(n a_{nn}), \tag{11}$$

$$\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} a_{vv} \hat{a}_{nv} = O(a_{nn}). \tag{12}$$

If $t_v^k = O(1) (C, 1)$, where $t_v = \frac{1}{v+1} \sum_{r=1}^v r a_r$, then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n X_n$ is summable $|A|_k$, $k \geq 1$.

3. Results

The aim of this paper is to generalize Theorem 1 for $\varphi - |A; \delta|_k$ summability method under some suitable conditions.

Theorem 2: Let $(\lambda_n), (X_n)$ be two sequences such that $\sum n^{-1} \lambda_n X_n$ is convergent, and the conditions (4), (5), (9)-(12) are satisfied. Let (φ_n) be any sequence such that

$$\varphi_n a_{nn} = O(1), 1 = O(\varphi_n a_{nn}), \tag{13}$$

$$\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| = O(\varphi_v^{\delta k-1}) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \tag{14}$$

$$\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} = O(\varphi_v^{\delta k}) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \tag{15}$$

$$\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} a_{vv} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} = O(a_{nn}). \tag{16}$$

If $\varphi_v^{\delta k} t_v^k = O(1) (C, 1)$, i.e. $\sum_{v=1}^n \varphi_v^{\delta k} t_v^k = O(n)$, where (t_v) as in Theorem 1, then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n X_n$ is summable $\varphi - |A; \delta|_k$, $k \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \delta < 1/k$.

3.1. Proof of Theorem 2

Let $\theta_n = \lambda_n X_n$ and (M_n) be the A -transform of the series $\sum a_n \theta_n$. By (3), we get $\bar{\Delta} M_n = \sum_{v=1}^n \frac{\hat{a}_{nv} \theta_v}{v} v a_v$.

Here, by Abel's transformation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Delta} M_n &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta_v \left(\frac{\hat{a}_{nv} \theta_v}{v} \right) \sum_{r=1}^v r a_r + \frac{\hat{a}_{nn} \theta_n}{n} \sum_{v=1}^n v a_v \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\Delta_v (\hat{a}_{nv}) \theta_v}{v+1} + \frac{\hat{a}_{n,v+1} \Delta \theta_v}{v+1} + \frac{\hat{a}_{nv} \theta_v}{v(v+1)} \right) (v+1) t_v + \frac{n+1}{n} a_{nn} \theta_n t_n \\ &= \frac{n+1}{n} a_{nn} \theta_n t_n + \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta_v (\hat{a}_{nv}) \theta_v t_v + \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \Delta \theta_v t_v + \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{\hat{a}_{nv} \theta_v t_v}{v} = M_{n,1} + M_{n,2} + M_{n,3} + M_{n,4}. \end{aligned}$$

First, by using the facts that $\varphi_n a_{nn} = O(1)$ and $n a_{nn} = O(1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^m \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} |M_{n,1}|^k &= \sum_{n=1}^m \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} \left| \frac{n+1}{n} a_{nn} \theta_n t_n \right|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} a_{nn}^k \theta_n^k t_n^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \varphi_n^{\delta k} (\varphi_n a_{nn})^{k-1} a_{nn} \theta_n^k t_n^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \varphi_n^{\delta k} a_{nn} \theta_n^k t_n^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\varphi_n^{\delta k} \theta_n^{k-1} t_n^k}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\theta_n^{k-1} = O(1)$, then we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} |M_{n,1}|^k = O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\varphi_n^{\delta k} \theta_n^k t_n^k}{n}.$$

Applying Abel's transformation, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} |M_{n,1}|^k = O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{r=1}^n \varphi_r^{\delta k} t_r^k \right) \Delta \left(\frac{\theta_n}{n} \right) + O(1) \left(\sum_{n=1}^m \varphi_n^{\delta k} t_n^k \right) \frac{\theta_m}{m}.$$

Here

$$\Delta \left(\frac{\theta_n}{n} \right) = \frac{\theta_n}{n} - \frac{\theta_{n+1}}{n+1} = \frac{n \Delta \theta_n + \theta_n}{n(n+1)} < \frac{\Delta \theta_n}{n+1} + \frac{\theta_n}{n^2}.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^m \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} |M_{n,1}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} n \left(\frac{\Delta \theta_n}{n+1} + \frac{\theta_n}{n^2} \right) + O(1) \theta_m \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \Delta \theta_n + O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \frac{\lambda_n X_n}{n} + O(1) \lambda_m X_m = O(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

by using the hypotheses of Theorem 2 and Lemma 2.

Now, using Hölder's inequality with indices k and k' , where $k > 1$ and $\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k'} = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} |M_{n,2}|^k &= \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} \left| \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \Delta_\nu(\hat{a}_{n\nu}) \theta_\nu t_\nu \right|^k \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_\nu(\hat{a}_{n\nu})| \theta_\nu^k t_\nu^k \left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_\nu(\hat{a}_{n\nu})| \right\}^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By using (2), (1), (10) and (9), it follows that

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_\nu(\hat{a}_{n\nu})| = \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} (a_{n-1,\nu} - a_{n\nu}) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} a_{n-1,\nu} - a_{n-1,0} - \sum_{\nu=0}^n a_{n\nu} + a_{n0} + a_{nn} = \bar{a}_{n-1,0} - a_{n-1,0} - \bar{a}_{n0} + a_{n0} + a_{nn} \leq a_{nn}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} |M_{n,2}|^k &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k} (\varphi_n a_{nn})^{k-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_\nu(\hat{a}_{n\nu})| \theta_\nu^k t_\nu^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m \theta_\nu^k t_\nu^k \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k} |\Delta_\nu(\hat{a}_{n\nu})| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m \varphi_\nu^{\delta k} a_{\nu\nu} \theta_\nu^k t_\nu^k = O(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

as in $M_{n,1}$.

Again using Hölder's inequality, and using the conditions (16), (13), (15) and (11), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} |M_{n,3}|^k &= \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} \left| \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,\nu+1} \Delta \theta_\nu t_\nu \right|^k \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,\nu+1} (\Delta \theta_\nu)^k t_\nu^k a_{\nu\nu}^{1-k} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} a_{\nu\nu} \hat{a}_{n,\nu+1} \right\}^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k} (\varphi_n a_{nn})^{k-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,\nu+1} (\Delta \theta_\nu)^k t_\nu^k a_{\nu\nu}^{1-k} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m (\Delta \theta_\nu)^k t_\nu^k a_{\nu\nu}^{1-k} \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k} \hat{a}_{n,\nu+1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m \varphi_\nu^{\delta k} (\Delta \theta_\nu)^k t_\nu^k \nu^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\nu \Delta \theta_\nu)^{k-1} = O(1)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} |M_{n,3}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m \varphi_\nu^{\delta k} (\Delta \theta_\nu)^k t_\nu^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m \varphi_\nu^{\delta k} t_\nu^k (\Delta \lambda_\nu X_\nu + \lambda_{\nu+1} \Delta X_\nu) \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m \varphi_\nu^{\delta k} t_\nu^k \Delta \lambda_\nu X_\nu + O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m \varphi_\nu^{\delta k} t_\nu^k \lambda_{\nu+1} \Delta X_\nu. \end{aligned}$$

For the first part, using the condition (4), and using Abel's transformation, it follows that

$$\sum_{v=1}^m \varphi_v^{\delta k} t_v^k \Delta \lambda_v X_v = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{r=1}^v \varphi_r^{\delta k} t_r^k \right) \Delta \left(\frac{\lambda_v X_v}{v} \right) + O(1) \left(\sum_{v=1}^m \varphi_v^{\delta k} t_v^k \right) \frac{\lambda_m X_m}{m}.$$

Then, by using the hypotheses of Theorem 2 and Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v=1}^m \varphi_v^{\delta k} t_v^k \Delta \lambda_v X_v &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v \left(\frac{\lambda_v X_v}{v^2} + \frac{\Delta \lambda_v X_v}{v} + \frac{\lambda_{v+1} \Delta X_v}{v} \right) + O(1) \lambda_m X_m \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \frac{\lambda_v X_v}{v} + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta \lambda_v X_v + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \lambda_{v+1} \Delta X_v + O(1) \lambda_m X_m \\ &= O(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Also, for the second part, again using Abel's transformation, and the conditions (4), (7), (8), (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v=1}^m \varphi_v^{\delta k} t_v^k \lambda_v \Delta X_v &= \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{r=1}^v \varphi_r^{\delta k} t_r^k \right) \Delta (\lambda_v \Delta X_v) + \left(\sum_{v=1}^m \varphi_v^{\delta k} t_v^k \right) \lambda_m \Delta X_m \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v (\Delta \lambda_v \Delta X_v + \lambda_{v+1} \Delta^2 X_v) + O(1) m \lambda_m \Delta X_m \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \lambda_v \Delta X_v + \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v \lambda_{v+1} \Delta^2 X_v + O(1) m \lambda_m \Delta X_m \\ &= O(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get $\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} |M_{n,3}|^k = O(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty$.

Finally, using (12), (11), (13) and (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} |M_{n,4}|^k &= \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} \left| \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{\hat{a}_{nv} \theta_v t_v}{v} \right|^k \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{v} \right)^k \hat{a}_{nv} \theta_v^k t_v^k a_{vv}^{1-k} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} a_{vv} \hat{a}_{nv} \right\}^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k} (\varphi_n a_{nn})^{k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{nv} \theta_v^k t_v^k a_{vv} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \theta_v^k t_v^k a_{vv} \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k} \hat{a}_{nv} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \varphi_v^{\delta k} a_{vv} \theta_v^k t_v^k. \end{aligned}$$

Then, as in $M_{n,1}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} |M_{n,4}|^k = O(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, we obtain $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} |M_{n,r}|^k < \infty$ for $r = 1, 2, 3$ and $r = 4$, which complete the proof of Theorem 2.

4. Discussion and Conclusion

In this paper, a general theorem on $\varphi - |A; \delta|_k$ summability factors of an infinite series has been obtained and it reduces to Theorem 1 in case of $\delta = 0$ and $\varphi_n = n$. Therefore, the conditions (13)-(16) are automatically satisfied.

Acknowledgment

The author wish to thank Prof. Dr. Hikmet Özarıslan for her valuable support, comments, suggestions and corrections.

References

- [1] Sulaiman, W. T. 2013. Some new factor theorem for absolute summability. *Demonstratio Math.*, 46 (1), 149-156.
- [2] Özarıslan, H. S. 2018. A new study on generalised absolute matrix summability methods. *Maejo Int. J. Sci. Technol.*, 12 (3), 199-205
- [3] Tanović-Miller, N. 1979. On strong summability. *Glasnik Mat. Ser. III*, 14 (34), 87-97.
- [4] Bor, H. 1993. On absolute summability factors. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 118 (1), 71-75.
- [5] Bor, H. 1996. On $\left| \overline{N}, p_n \right|_k$ summability factors. *Kuwait J. Sci. Eng.*, 23 (1), 1-5.
- [6] Mazhar, S. M. 1997. A note on absolute summability factors. *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica*, 25 (3), 233-242.
- [7] Mazhar, S. M. 1999. Absolute summability factors of infinite series. *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 39 (1), 67-73.
- [8] Bor, H. 2000. An application of almost increasing and δ -quasi-monotone sequences. *JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, 1 (2) Article 18, 6pp.
- [9] Bor, H. 2001. On absolute Riesz summability factors. *Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. (Pusan)*, 3 (2), 23-29.
- [10] Bor, H. 2007. A note on absolute Riesz summability factors. *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 10 (3), 619-625.
- [11] Özarıslan, H. S., Öğdük, H. N. 2007. On absolute matrix summability methods. *Math. Commun.*, 12 (2), 213-220.
- [12] Özarıslan, H. S. 2010. A note on $|A, p_n|_k$ summability factors. *Antarct. J. Math.*, 7, 23-30.
- [13] Özarıslan, H. S. 2013. A new application of almost increasing sequences. *Miskolc Math. Notes*, 14 (1), 201-208.
- [14] Özarıslan, H. S., Keten, A. 2013. On a new application of almost increasing sequence. *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 13, 1-7.
- [15] Özarıslan, H. S., Yavuz, E. 2013. A new note on absolute matrix summability. *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 474, 1-7.
- [16] Özarıslan, H. S. 2014. A note on generalized absolute Riesz summability. *An. Ştiinţ. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iaşi. Mat. (N.S.)*, 60 (1), 51-56.
- [17] Özarıslan, H. S., Yavuz, E. 2014. New theorems for absolute matrix summability factors. *Gen. Math. Notes*, 23(2), 63-70.
- [18] Özarıslan, H. S. 2015. A new application of absolute matrix summability. *C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.*, 68 (8), 967-972.

- [19] Özarslan, H. S., Şakar, M. Ö. 2015. A new application of absolute matrix summability. *Math. Sci. Appl. E-Notes*, 3 (1), 36-43.
- [20] Özarslan, H. S. 2016. On generalized absolute matrix summability methods. *Int. J. Anal. Appl.*, 12 (1) , 66-70.
- [21] Kartal, B. 2017. On generalized absolute Riesz summability method. *Commun. Math. Appl.*, 8 (3), 359-364.
- [22] Özarslan, H. S., Karakaş, A. 2017. A new result on the almost increasing sequences. *J. Comp. Anal. Appl.*, 22 (6), 989-998.
- [23] Özarslan, H. S., Kartal, B. 2017. A generalization of a theorem of Bor. *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 179, 1-8.
- [24] Karakaş, A. 2018. On absolute matrix summability factors of infinite series. *J. Class. Anal.*, 13 (2), 133-139.
- [25] Karakaş, A. 2018. N note on absolute summability method involving almost increasing and δ -quasi-monotone sequences. *Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci.*, 13 (1), 73-81.
- [26] Kartal, B. 2019. New results for almost increasing sequences. *Ann. Univ. Paedagog. Crac. Stud. Math.*, 18, 85-91.
- [27] Özarslan, H. S. 2019. A new factor theorem for absolute matrix summability. *Quaest. Math.*, 42 (6), 803-809.
- [28] Özarslan, H. S. 2019. An application of absolute matrix summability using almost increasing and δ -quasi-monotone sequences. *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 59 (2), 233-240.
- [29] Özarslan, H. S., Kartal, B. 2020. Absolute matrix summability via almost increasing sequence. *Quaest. Math.*, 43 (10), 1477-1485.