

## A NEW VIEW ON FIXED POINT

H. TASBOZAN

0000-0002-6850-8658

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we examine a view on fixed point with near soft mapping. First, we study the relationship between. soft mapping and almost smooth mapping. Also, the notion of near soft point, near soft mappings, a different approach to the study of near soft topological spaces. Shows how a near soft fixed point is derived from near soft topological spaces. Finally, many cases such as conservation of near soft compact topological spaces under near soft continuous mapping have been obtained.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Near sets is a concept given by Peters [4] who deals with the proximity of objects. Here it causes the sample objects to be divided by the feature selection. The nearness of sets foundation on object definitions can be seen by introducing the near approximation space and finding nearby sets there.

The soft set concept, another concept proposed by Molodtsov [5], has been studied by many scientists [2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. The soft sets and soft topological spaces and some of their related concepts have studied by Shabir and Hussain in [1, 2]. Wardowski [14], studied on a fixed points of soft mapping. The notion of near soft set emerges by considering the soft sets approximation and the near set theory as a common concept. Tasbozan [3] introduce the soft topology and sets based on a nearness approximation space. And many studies have been conducted on this subject [13, 11, 12]. The aim of this article is to create different concepts on nearness approximation space. In this study, we create the near soft point notion of near soft set and near soft mapping. These new concepts are explained with examples. The notions of near soft point, near soft topological space are described and their basic properties are explored with the help of examples. New definitions and theorems about near soft continuous mapping and near soft compactness have been obtained. Also, discuss the contrasting image and properties of an image in near soft mapping, based on the presented near soft element concept. In the last part

---

*Date:* **Received:** 2021-12-09; **Accepted:** 2022-03-01.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54H25, 97E60 ; 54A05.

*Key words and phrases.* Near soft set, Fixed point, Near soft mapping, Near soft continuous mapping, Near soft fixed points.

of this study, a near soft compact Hausdorff topological space, near soft mapping, and a new fixed point result were created.

## 2. NEAR SOFT SETS AND NEAR SOFT TOPOLOGY

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{F}, \sim_{Br}, N_r, \nu_{N_r})$  be a nearness approximation space(*NAS*) and  $\sigma = (F, B)$  be a soft set(*SS*) over  $\mathcal{O}$ .

$N_{r*}((F, B)) = (N_{r*}(F(\phi) = \cup\{x \in \mathcal{O} : [x]_{Br} \subseteq F(\phi)\}, B))$  and  $N_r^*((F, B)) = (N_r^*(F(\phi) = \cup\{x \in \mathcal{O} : [x]_{Br} \cap F(\phi) \neq \emptyset\}, B))$  are lower and upper near approximation operators. The *SS*  $N_r((F, B))$  with  $Bnd_{N_r(B)}((F, B)) \geq 0$  called a near soft set(*NSS*) [3].

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an initial universe set,  $E$  be the universe set of parameters and  $A, B \subseteq E$

- (1)  $(F, A)$  is called a relative null *NSS* if  $F(\phi) = \emptyset, \forall \phi \in A$ .
- (2)  $(G, B)$  is called a relative whole *NSS* if  $G(\phi) = \mathcal{O}, \forall \phi \in B$ . [3]

**Definition 2.3.**  $(F, A)^c = (F^c, A)$  *NSS* is a complement of  $(F, A)$  if  $F^c(\phi) = \mathcal{O} - F(\phi) \forall \phi \in A$  [3].

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $(F, B)$  be a *NSS* over  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\tau$  be the collection of near soft subsets *NSs* of  $\mathcal{O}$ , if if the following are provided

- i):**  $(\emptyset, B), (\mathcal{O}, B) \in \tau$
- ii):**  $(F_1, B), (F_2, B) \in \tau$  then  $(F_1, B) \cap (F_2, B) \in \tau$
- iii):**  $(F_i, B), \forall \phi \in B$  then  $\cup_i (F_i, B) \in \tau$

Then  $(\mathcal{O}, \tau, B)$  is a near soft topological space(*NSTS*) [3].

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $(\mathcal{O}, \tau, B)$  be a *NSTS* over  $\mathcal{O}$ , then the members of  $\tau$  are said to be near soft open sets (*NSOS*) in  $\mathcal{O}$ . If its complement is open and a member of  $\tau$  then a *NSs* of  $(\mathcal{O}, \tau, B)$  is called near soft closed(*NSC*).

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $(F, B)$  be a *NSS* over  $\mathcal{O}$ . If for the element  $\phi \in B, F(\phi) = \{x\}$  and  $F(\phi') = \emptyset, \forall \phi' \in B - \{\phi\}$  then *NSS*  $(F, B)$  is a near soft point (*NSP*), denoted by  $(x, \phi)$ .

**Proposition 1.** Let  $(\mathcal{O}, \tau, B)$  be a *NSTS* over  $\mathcal{O}$ , then the collection  $\tau_\phi = \{F(\phi) : (F, B) \in \tau\}$  for each  $\phi \in B$ , defines a topology on  $\mathcal{O}$ .

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $(\mathcal{O}, \tau, B)$  be a *NSTS* over  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $(F, B)$  be a *NSS* over  $\mathcal{O}$ . Then the near soft closure  $(F, B)^c$  is the intersection of all *NSC* super sets of  $(F, B)$ .

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $(\mathcal{O}, \tau, B)$  be a *NSTS* over  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $(F, B)$  be a *NSS* over  $\mathcal{O}$ . Then the near soft interior  $(F, B)^\circ$  is the collection of all *NSOS* of  $(F, B)$ .

**Example 2.9.**  $\mathcal{O} = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}, B = \{\phi_1, \phi_2\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  be denote a set of objects and a set of parameters respectively. Let  $(F, B)$  be a *SS* defined by  $(F, B) = \{(\phi_2, x_2)\}$ . Then  $\sigma = (F, B)$  is a *NSS* with  $r = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1]_{\phi_1} &= \{x_1, x_2\}, [x_2]_{\phi_2} = \{x_2\} \\ [x_3]_{\phi_1} &= \{x_3\}, [x_1]_{\phi_2} = \{x_1, x_3\} \end{aligned}$$

Then  $N_*(\sigma) = N_*(F(\phi), B) = (F_*(\phi), B) = \{(\phi_2, \{x_2\})\}, N^*(\sigma) = N^*(F(\phi), B) = (F, B)$  and  $Bnd_N(\sigma) \geq 0$ . Thus  $(F, B)$  is a *NSS*.

Then  $\sigma = (F, B)$  is a *NSS* with  $r = 2$ .

$$[x_1]_{\phi_1, \phi_2} = \{x_1\}, [x_2]_{\phi_1, \phi_2} = \{x_2\}, [x_3]_{\phi_1, \phi_2} = \{x_3\}$$

$N^*(\sigma) = N_*(\sigma) = (F, B)$ . Thus  $(F, B)$  is a *NSS*. Also  $\phi_2 \in B, F(\phi_2) = \{x_2\}$  and  $\phi'_2 \in B - \{\phi_2\}, F(\phi'_2) = \emptyset$ . Thus  $(F, B)$  is a *NSP* and denote  $(x_2, \phi_2)$  or  $(x_2)_{\phi_2}$ .

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $(\mathcal{O}, \tau, B)$  be a *NSTS* over  $\mathcal{O}$ . If there exists a *NSOS*  $(G, B)$  such that  $(x_\phi, B) \in (G, B) \subset (F, B)$  then a *NSS*  $(F, B)$  in  $(\mathcal{O}, \tau, B)$  is a near soft neighbourhood of the *NSP*  $(x_\phi, B) \in (F, B)$ .

### 3. NEAR SOFT COMPACTNESS, NEAR SOFT MAPPING AND ITS FIXED POINTS

In this section, we will give some definitions using *NSP*.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(\mathcal{O}, \tau, B)$  be a *NSTS* and  $x, y \in \mathcal{O}$  such that  $x \neq y$ .  $(\mathcal{O}, \tau, B)$  is a near soft Hausdorff space (*NSHS*) if for each *NSOS*  $(F, D), (G, C) \in (\mathcal{O}, B)$  such that  $x \in (F, D), y \in (G, C)$  and  $(F, D) \cap (G, C) = \emptyset$ . Similarly for each *NSP*  $(x_\phi, B), (y_{\phi'}, B) \in (\mathcal{O}, B)$  such that  $(x_\phi, B) \neq (y_{\phi'}, B)$  there are *NSOS*  $(F_1, B), (F_2, B) \subset (\mathcal{O}, B)$  so that  $(x_\phi, B) \in (F_1, B), (y_{\phi'}, B) \in (F_2, B)$  and  $(F_1, B) \cap (F_2, B) = (\emptyset, B)$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Two *NSS*  $(F, B)$  and  $(G, B)$  in  $(\mathcal{O}, B)$  are near soft disjoint denoted by  $(F, B) \cap (G, B) = (\emptyset, B)$ , if  $F(\phi) \cap G(\phi) = \emptyset, \forall \phi \in B$ .

**Definition 3.3.** Two *NSP*  $(x_\phi, B)$  and  $(y_{\phi'}, B)$  over a common universe  $\mathcal{O}$  are distinct, written  $(x, \phi) \neq (y, \phi')$  if their corresponding *NSS*  $(F, B)$  and  $(G, B)$  are disjoint.

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $(\mathcal{O}, \tau, B)$  be a *NSTS* and a *NSS*  $(V, B) \subseteq (\mathcal{O}, B)$  is near soft open  $\Leftrightarrow$  for each a *NSS*  $(W, B) \in \tau$  which  $\alpha \in (W, B) \subseteq (V, B)$ .

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $(\mathcal{O}, \tau, B)$  be a *NSTS* and  $G \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ . The near soft topology (*NST*) on  $(G, B)$  incited by the *NST*  $\tau$  is the family  $\tau_G$  of the *NSs* of  $G$  of the shape  $\tau_G = \{V \cap G : V \in \tau\}$ . Thus  $(G, \tau_G, B)$  is a near soft topological subspace of  $(\mathcal{O}, \tau, B)$ .

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $(\mathcal{O}, \tau, B)$  be a *NSTS* and  $C \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ . If  $(C, B) \subseteq \cup_{i \in I} (V_i, B)$  then  $\{V_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq \tau$  is a *NSO* cover of  $(C, B)$ .

**Definition 3.7.** If for each *NSO* cover  $\{V_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $(C, B)$  there exists  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in I, k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(C, B) \subseteq \cup_{n=1}^k (V_{i_n}, B)$  then  $(C, \tau, B)$  is a near soft compact space (*NSCoS*).

**Definition 3.8.** Let  $(\mathcal{O}, \tau, B)$  be a *NSTS* and  $C \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ . If the  $(G, \tau_G, B)$  is *NSCoS* then the  $(G, B)$  *NSS* is compact in  $(\mathcal{O}, \tau, B)$ .

**Definition 3.9.** Let  $(\mathcal{O}, \tau, B)$  be a *NSHS*. Then every *NSCo* set in  $(\mathcal{O}, \tau, B)$  is *NSC* in  $(\mathcal{O}, \tau, B)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(C, B)$  be a *NSCo* set in  $(\mathcal{O}, \tau, B)$  and  $x \in C'$ . For every  $y \in C$  let  $x, y \in \mathcal{O}$  and  $x \in (F, D), y \in (G, P), (F, D), (G, P) \in (\mathcal{O}, B)$  such that  $(F, D) \cap (G, P) = \emptyset$ . From the near soft compactness of  $(C, B)$  there exists  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k \in (C, B)$  such that  $(C, B) \subseteq (G_1, P) \cup \dots \cup (G_k, C)$ . Denote  $(F, D) = (F_1, D) \cup \dots \cup (F_k, D)$  and  $(G_1, P) \cup \dots \cup (G_k, C) = (G, P)$  then  $F \cap G = \emptyset$  and thus  $F \cap C = \emptyset$ , which  $x \in F \subseteq C'$  thus  $(C, B)$  is *NSC*.  $\square$

**Definition 3.10.**  $NS(\mathcal{O}, B)$  denotes the family of all  $NSS$  over  $(\mathcal{O}, B)$ . Let  $(F, A), (G, C) \in NS(\mathcal{O}, B)$ ,  $A, C \subseteq B$ . The near soft cartesian product  $(F, A) \times (G, C)$  is a  $NSS$  on  $(\mathcal{O}, B) \times (\mathcal{O}, B)$  such that  $(F, A) \times (G, C) = \{((\phi_1, \phi_2), F(\phi_1) \times G(\phi_2)) : \phi_1, \phi_2 \in B\}$

**Definition 3.11.** A near soft relation ( $NSR$ ) from  $(F, A)$  to  $(G, C)$  is a  $NSS (R, B)$ ,  $R \subseteq (F, A) \times (G, C)$  with

$$(R, B) = \{((\phi_1, \phi_2), \mathcal{O}(\phi_1) \times \mathcal{O}(\phi_2)) : \phi_1, \phi_2 \in B, \mathcal{O}(\phi_1) \subseteq F(\phi_1), \mathcal{O}(\phi_2) \subseteq G(\phi_1)\}$$

If  $((\phi_1, \phi_2), \mathcal{O}(\phi_1) \times \mathcal{O}(\phi_2)) \in (R, B)$  then  $(\phi_1, \mathcal{O}(\phi_1)R(\phi_2, \mathcal{O}(\phi_2)))$ .

**Definition 3.12.** Let  $(F, A), (G, C) \in NS(\mathcal{O}, B)$ . If the following conditions satisfied then a  $NSR f \subseteq (F, A) \times (G, C)$  is a  $NSM$  denoted by  $f : (F, A) \rightarrow (G, C)$ ;

- (1) For each  $NSP \alpha = (x_e, A) \in (F, A)$  there exists only one  $NSP \beta = (f(x)_e, A) \in (G, C)$  such that  $f(\alpha) = \beta$  or  $\alpha f \beta$ .
- (2) For each empty  $NSP \alpha \in (F, A)$ ,  $f(\alpha)$  is an empty  $NSP$  of  $(G, C)$ .

**Definition 3.13.** Let  $(F, A), (G, C) \in NS(\mathcal{O}, B)$  and  $f : (F, A) \rightarrow (G, C)$  be a  $NSM$ .

- (1) The view of  $X \subseteq F$  under ( $NSM$ )  $f$  is the  $NSS$  of  $(f(X), C) = (\cup_{\alpha \in X} f(\alpha), C)$  and for each  $NSM (f(\emptyset), B) = (\emptyset, B)$ .
- (2) The inverse of  $Y \subseteq G$  under  $NSM f$  is the  $NSS$  of  $(f^{-1}(Y), A) = (\cup\{\{\alpha\} : \alpha \in (F, A), f(\alpha) \in (Y, C)\}, B)$ .

**Definition 3.14.** Let  $(F, B), (G, B) \in NS(\mathcal{O}, B)$ .  $(W, B), (W_1, B), (W_2, B) \subseteq (F, B)$ ,  $(Z, B), (Z_1, B), (Z_2, B) \subseteq (G, B)$  and let  $f : (F, B) \rightarrow (G, B)$  be a ( $NSM$ ). Then the following hold:

- (1)  $W_1 \subseteq W_2 \Rightarrow f(W_1) \subseteq f(W_2)$
- (2)  $Z_1 \subseteq Z_2 \Rightarrow f^{-1}(Z_1) \subseteq f^{-1}(Z_2)$
- (3)  $W \subseteq f^{-1}(f(W))$
- (4)  $f(f^{-1}(Z)) \subseteq Z$
- (5)  $f(W_1 \cup W_2) = f(W_1) \cup f(W_2)$
- (6)  $f(W_1 \cap W_2) \subseteq f(W_1) \cap f(W_2)$
- (7)  $f^{-1}(Z_1 \cup Z_2) = f^{-1}(Z_1) \cup f^{-1}(Z_2)$
- (8)  $f^{-1}(Z_1 \cap Z_2) = f^{-1}(Z_1) \cap f^{-1}(Z_2)$

**Definition 3.15.** Let  $(F, \tau, B), (G, \nu, B)$  be a  $NSTS$  and  $f : (F, B) \rightarrow (G, B)$  be a  $NSM$ . If  $\forall V \in \nu, f^{-1}(V) \in \tau$  then  $f$  is a near soft continuous mapping and denoted by  $NSCM$ .

**Definition 3.16.** Let  $(g, h) : (F, B) \rightarrow (G, B')$  be a  $NSM$ . A  $NSM (g, h)$  is an injective, surjective and bijective if  $g, h$  are both injective, surjective and bijective, respectively.

**Definition 3.17.** Let  $(\mathcal{O}_k, \tau, B)$  and  $(\mathcal{O}_l, \tau, B)$  be two  $NSTS$ .  $f : (\mathcal{O}_k, \tau, B) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_l, \tau, B)$  be a mapping. For each near soft neighbourhood  $(H, B)$  of  $(f(x)_\phi, B)$ , if there exists a near soft neighbourhood  $f((F, B)) \subset (H, B)$  then  $f$  is a  $NSCM (x_\phi, B)$ . If  $f$  is  $NSCM$  for all  $(x_\phi, B)$ , then  $f$  is called  $NSCM$ .

**Definition 3.18.** Let  $(\mathcal{O}_1, \tau, B)$  and  $(\mathcal{O}_2, \tau, B)$  be two  $NSTS$ .  $f : \mathcal{O}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_2$  be a mapping.  $\mathcal{O}_1$  is near soft homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_2$  if  $f$  is a bijection,  $NSC$  and  $f^{-1}$  is a near soft homeomorphism.

**Example 3.19.**  $\mathcal{O} = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}, B = \{\phi_1, \phi_2\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . Let  $(F, B)$  be a *NSS* defined by  $(F, B) = \{(\phi_2, \{x_1, x_2\}), (\phi_2, \{x_2, x_3\})\}$ . Then  $\sigma = (F, B)$  is a *NSS* with

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1]_{\phi_1} &= \{x_1, x_2\}, [x_2]_{\phi_2} = \{x_2\} \\ [x_3]_{\phi_1} &= \{x_3\}, [x_1]_{\phi_2} = \{x_1, x_3\} \end{aligned}$$

. And think  $\tau$  of *NSSs* of  $(F, B)$ ;

$$\tau = \{\emptyset, (\phi_2, \{x_2\}), (F, B), \{(\phi_1, \{x_1, x_2\}), (\phi_2, \{x_2\})\}\}$$

Then  $(F, \tau)$  is a *NSTS*. Now taking a *NSM*  $f : (F, B) \rightarrow (F, B)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f(\phi_1, \emptyset) &= (\phi_2, \emptyset), f(\phi_2, \{x_2\}) = (\phi_2, \{x_2\}) \\ f(\phi_2, \emptyset) &= (\phi_1, \emptyset), f(\phi_1, \{x_1, x_2\}) = (\phi_1, \{x_1, x_2\}) \\ f(\phi_3, \emptyset) &= (\phi_3, \emptyset), f(F, B) = (F, B) \end{aligned}$$

Then  $f^{-1}(v) \in \tau, \forall v \in \tau$  then  $f$  is a *NSCM*.

**Proposition 2.** Let  $(C, \tau, B)$  be a *NSCoTS* and let  $f : (C, B) \rightarrow (C, B)$  (*NSCM*). Then  $f(C)$  is a (*NSCo*) in  $(C, \tau, B)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{V_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq \tau$  which  $f(C) \subseteq \cup_{i \in I} V_i$ . From the near soft continuity of  $f, \{f^{-1}(V_i)\}_{i \in I}$  is a family of (*NSOS*). Then  $C \subseteq f^{-1}(f(C)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\cup_{i \in I} V_i) = \cup_{i \in I} f^{-1}(V_i)$  and from *NSCo* of  $C$  there exists  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in I, k \in \mathbb{N}$  which

$$\begin{aligned} C &\subseteq f^{-1}(V_{i_1}) \cup f^{-1}(V_{i_2}) \cup \dots \cup f^{-1}(V_{i_k}) \\ f(C) &\subseteq (V_{i_1}) \cup (V_{i_2}) \cup \dots \cup (V_{i_k}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f(C)$  is a *NSCo*. □

**Definition 3.20.** Let  $(F, B)$  be a *NSS* and  $f : (F, B) \rightarrow (F, B)$  be a *NSM*. If  $f(\alpha) = \alpha$  then a *NSP*  $\alpha \in (F, B)$  is a fixed point of  $f$ .

**Theorem 3.21.** Let  $(C, \tau)$  be a *NSCoHTS* and let  $f : (C, B) \rightarrow (C, B)$  be a *NSCM* such that:

- (1) for each nonempty *NSP*  $\alpha \in (C, B)$ ,  $f(\alpha)$  is a nonempty *NSP* of  $(C, B)$ ,
- (2) If  $f(X, B) = (X, B)$  then only one nonempty *NSP*  $\alpha \in (C, B)$  which  $f(\alpha) = \alpha$ , for each *NSC* set  $(X, B) \subseteq (C, B)$ .

**Example 3.22.** Let  $f : (F, B) \rightarrow (F, B)$  be a *NSM* defined in example 35. Then the *NSP*  $(\phi_2, \{x_2\}), (\phi_3, \emptyset), (\phi_1, \{x_1, x_2\})$  are fixed points of  $f$ .

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we describe the notion of *NSM* and its fixed point. In the near soft topological space, we tried to create a fixed point structure with near soft mapping, which we created based on the concept of near soft point. Expressions explaining these concepts and showing the necessity of some assumptions are presented. With a different approach to the near soft cluster, it will facilitate the solution of many problems and will help new studies.

## 5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the reviewers and editors of Journal of Universal Mathematics.

**Funding**

The author(s) declared that has no received any financial support for the research, authorship or publication of this study.

**The Declaration of Conflict of Interest/ Common Interest**

The author(s) declared that no conflict of interest or common interest

**The Declaration of Ethics Committee Approval**

This study does not be necessary ethical committee permission or any special permission.

**The Declaration of Research and Publication Ethics**

The author(s) declared that they comply with the scientific, ethical, and citation rules of Journal of Universal Mathematics in all processes of the study and that they do not make any falsification on the data collected. Besides, the author(s) declared that Journal of Universal Mathematics and its editorial board have no responsibility for any ethical violations that may be encountered and this study has not been evaluated in any academic publication environment other than Journal of Universal Mathematics.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Hussain, B. Ahmad. Soft Separation Axioms In Soft Topological Spaces. Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics. Vol.44, pp.559-568, (2015).
- [2] M. Shabir, M. Naz. On Soft Topological Spaces, Computers and Mathematics with Applications. Vol.61, pp.1786-1799, (2011).
- [3] H. Tasbozan, I. Icen, N. Bagirmaz, A.F. Ozcan. Soft Sets and Soft Topology on Nearness Approximation Spaces. Filomat. Vol.31, pp.4117-4125, (2017).
- [4] J. F. Peters. Near sets. General Theory About Nearness Of Objects. Applied Mathematical Sciences. Vol.1, pp.2609-2629, (2007).
- [5] D. Molodtsov. Soft Set Theory-First Results. Computers and Mathematics with Applications. Vol.37, pp.19-31, (1999).
- [6] N. Cagman, S. Karakas, S. Enginoglu. Soft Topology. Computers and Mathematics with Applications. Vol.62, pp.351-358, (2011).
- [7] T.H. Ozturk, A. Yolcu. On Soft Uniform Spaces. Eastern Anatolian Journal Sciences. pp. 7-13, (2016).
- [8] T. Simsekler, S. Yuksel. Fuzzy Soft Topological Spaces. Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics. Vol.5, pp.87-96, (2013).
- [9] H. Aktas, N. Cagman. Soft Sets And Soft Groups. Information Sciences. Vol.177, pp.2726-2735, (2007).
- [10] P.K. Maji, R. Biswas, A.R. Roy. Soft Set Theory. Computers and Mathematics with Applications. Vol.45, pp.555-562, (2003).
- [11] H. Tasbozan. Near Soft Groupoid. Ikonion Journal of Mathematics. Vol.2 No.2, pp.35-39, (2020).
- [12] H. Tasbozan. Near Soft Connectedness. Afyon Kocatepe University Journal of Science and Engineering. Vol.20 No.5, pp.815-818, (2020).
- [13] N. Bagirmaz, A.F. Ozcan, H. Tasbozan, I. Icen. Topologies And Approximation Operators Induced By Binary Relations. J. Math. Comput. Sci. Vol.7 No.4, pp.642-657, (2017).

- [14] D. Wardowski. On A Soft Mapping And Its Fixed Points. Fixed Point Theory and Applications. Vol.1, pp.1-11, (2013).
- [15] I. Demir, O.B. Ozbakir, I. Yildiz. A Contribution To The Study Of Soft Proximity Spaces. Filomat. Vol.31 No.7, pp.2023-2034, (2017).

(Hatice Tasbozan) HATAY MUSTAFA KEMAL UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 31000,  
HATAY, TÜRKİYE

*Email address:* `htasbozan@mku.edu.tr`