

ON CENTRAL AUTOMORPHISMS OF FREE METABELIAN LIE ALGEBRAS

BAŞAK ERGİNKARA AND ŞEHMUS FINDIK

0000-0002-3996-2122 and 0000-0001-5717-4413

ABSTRACT. Let F_m be the free metabelian Lie algebra of rank m over a field K of characteristic 0. An automorphism φ of F_m is called central if φ commutes with every inner automorphism of F_m . Such automorphisms form the centralizer $C(\text{Inn}(F_m))$ of inner automorphism group $\text{Inn}(F_m)$ of F_m in $\text{Aut}(F_m)$. We provide an elementary proof to show that $C(\text{Inn}(F_m)) = \text{Inn}(F_m)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let F_m be the free metabelian Lie algebra of rank $m \geq 2$ over a field K of characteristic 0 with free generators x_1, \dots, x_m . This is the relatively free algebra of rank m in the variety of Lie algebras \mathfrak{A}^2 , where \mathfrak{A}^2 is the metabelian (solvable of class 2) variety of Lie algebras.

An automorphism σ of a group G is called central if σ commutes with every automorphism in the group of inner automorphisms $\text{Inn}(G)$. For an abelian group G , $\text{Inn}(G)$ is trivial so that the group of central automorphisms $C(\text{Inn}(G))$ of G is equal to $\text{Aut}(G)$. Thus it is natural to work on non-abelian groups with the extreme situations $C(\text{Inn}(G)) = \text{Inn}(G)$ and $C(\text{Inn}(G)) = \text{Aut}(G)$. There has been a lot of work on these problems. For instance G. A. Miller [4] constructed a non-abelian group G of order 64 such that $\text{Aut}(G)$ is abelian and therefore $C(\text{Inn}(G)) = \text{Aut}(G)$. Another work in this direction was settled by Curran and McChaugan [3] as following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a non-abelian p -group. Then $C(\text{Inn}(G)) = \text{Inn}(G)$ if and only if $Z(G) = [G, G]$ and $Z(G)$ is cyclic.*

The goal of our paper is to describe the group of central automorphisms $C(\text{Inn}(F_m))$ of the Lie algebra F_m and following the result of Curran and McChaugan [3], to show that $C(\text{Inn}(F_m)) = \text{Inn}(F_m)$.

Date: **Received:** 2022-07-07; **Accepted:** 2022-07-23.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 17B01, 17B30, 17B40.
Key words and phrases. Automorphism, Inner, Lie algebras.

A result of Shmel'kin [5] states that the free metabelian Lie algebra F_m can be embedded into the abelian wreath product $A_m \text{wr} B_m$, where A_m and B_m are m -dimensional abelian Lie algebras with bases $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ and $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$, respectively. The elements of $A_m \text{wr} B_m$ are of the form $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i f_i(t_1, \dots, t_m) + \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i b_i$, where f_i are polynomials in $K[t_1, \dots, t_m]$ and $\beta_i \in K$. This allows to make calculations in F'_m with values in $K[t_1, \dots, t_m]$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let F_m be the free metabelian Lie algebra of rank $m \geq 2$ over a field K of characteristic 0 with free generators x_1, \dots, x_m . We use the commutator notation for the Lie multiplication. Our commutators are left normed:

$$[u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, u_n] = [[u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}], u_n], \quad n = 3, 4, \dots$$

In particular,

$$F_m^k = \underbrace{[F_m, \dots, F_m]}_{k \text{ times}}.$$

It is well known, see e.g. [1], that

$$[x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, x_{i_{\sigma(3)}}, \dots, x_{i_{\sigma(k)}}] = [x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, x_{i_3}, \dots, x_{i_k}],$$

where σ is an arbitrary permutation of $3, \dots, k$ and that F'_m has a basis consisting of all

$$[x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, x_{i_3}, \dots, x_{i_k}], \quad 1 \leq i_j \leq m, \quad i_1 > i_2 \leq i_3 \leq \dots \leq i_k.$$

For each $v \in F'_m$, the linear operator $\text{adv} : F_m \rightarrow F_m$ defined by

$$u(\text{adv}) = [u, v], \quad u \in F_m,$$

is a derivation of F_m which is nilpotent because $\text{ad}^2 v = 0$. Hence the linear operator

$$\exp(\text{adv}) = 1 + \frac{\text{adv}}{1!} + \frac{\text{ad}^2 v}{2!} + \dots = 1 + \text{adv}$$

is well defined and is an automorphism of F_m . The set of all such automorphisms forms a normal subgroup of the group of all automorphisms $\text{Aut}(F_m)$ of F_m . This group is called the inner automorphism group of F_m and is denoted by $\text{Inn}(F_m)$.

An automorphism of F_m is called an IA-automorphism if it induces the identity map modulo the commutator ideal of F_m . The set of all such automorphisms forms the normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F_m)$ which is denoted by $\text{IA}(F_m)$. The automorphism group $\text{Aut}(L_{m,c})$ is a semidirect product of the normal subgroup $\text{IA}(L_{m,c})$ and the general linear group $\text{GL}_m(K)$. It is clear that $\text{Inn}(L_{m,c}) \subset \text{IA}(F_m)$.

An automorphism of F_m is called central if it commutes with every automorphism in $\text{Inn}(F_m)$. The set of all such automorphisms forms the centralizer of the group $\text{Inn}(F_m)$ in the group $\text{Aut}(F_m)$. We denote this group by $\text{C}(\text{Inn}(F_m))$. Since

$$\exp(\text{adu}) \exp(\text{adv}) = \exp(\text{ad}(u+v)), \quad u, v \in F'_m,$$

then $\text{Inn}(F_m)$ is abelian and thus $\text{Inn}(L_{m,c}) \subset \text{C}(\text{Inn}(F_m))$. Let $\varphi \in \text{C}(\text{Inn}(F_m))$. Then $\varphi \exp(\text{adu}) = \exp(\text{adu}) \varphi$ for all $u \in F'_m$. Since $\exp(\text{adu})$ fixes every element in F'_m we get that

$$\exp(\text{ad}\varphi(u)) = \exp(\text{adu}).$$

The adjoint representation ad is faithful so we have that $\varphi(u) = u$ for every $u \in F'_m$. Conversely one can easily check that if $\varphi(u) = u$ for every $u \in F'_m$ then $\varphi \in \text{C}(\text{Inn}(F_m))$. Here, we strongly remind that such automorphisms become inner

via Proposition 4 as a result of Proposition 3 in [5]. We provide a relatively easy and direct proof of this fact in the section of main results.

Now let us give the necessary information about wreath product. For details and references see e.g. [2]. Let $K[t_1, \dots, t_m]$ be the (commutative) polynomial algebra over K freely generated by the variables t_1, \dots, t_m and let A_m and B_m be the abelian Lie algebras with bases $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ and $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$, respectively. Let C_m be the free right $K[t_1, \dots, t_m]$ -module with free generators a_1, \dots, a_m . We give it the structure of a Lie algebra with trivial multiplication. The abelian wreath product $A_m \text{wr} B_m$ is equal to the semidirect sum $C_m \rtimes B_m$. The elements of $A_m \text{wr} B_m$ are of the form $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i f_i(t_1, \dots, t_m) + \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i b_i$, where f_i are polynomials in $K[t_1, \dots, t_m]$ and $\beta_i \in K$. The multiplication in $A_m \text{wr} B_m$ is defined by

$$[C_m, C_m] = [B_m, B_m] = 0,$$

$$[a_i f_i(t_1, \dots, t_m), b_j] = a_i f_i(t_1, \dots, t_m) t_j, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Hence $A_m \text{wr} B_m$ is a metabelian Lie algebra and every mapping $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \rightarrow A_m \text{wr} B_m$ can be extended to a homomorphism $F_m \rightarrow A_m \text{wr} B_m$. As a special case of the embedding theorem of Shmel'kin [5], the homomorphism $\varepsilon : F_m \rightarrow A_m \text{wr} B_m$ defined by $\varepsilon(x_i) = a_i + b_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, is a monomorphism. If

$$f = \sum [x_i, x_j] f_{ij}(\text{ad} x_1, \dots, \text{ad} x_m), \quad f_{ij}(t_1, \dots, t_m) \in K[t_1, \dots, t_m],$$

then

$$\varepsilon(f) = \sum (a_i t_j - a_j t_i) f_{ij}(t_1, \dots, t_m).$$

Let us define $T_j = \{t_j, \dots, t_m\}$ for each $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $t_i \mid (t_j f_j(T_j) + \dots + t_m f_m(T_m))$ for $i < j$, where $f_j(T_j) \in K[T_j]$, $1 < j \leq m$. Then $f_k(T_k) = 0$ for every $j \leq k \leq m$.*

Proof. Let us define $P_k = t_k f_k(T_k) + \dots + t_m f_m(T_m)$ for $j \leq k \leq m$. Now let $t_i \mid P_j$. Assume that $P_j \neq 0$. Then t_i is a factor of $P_j \in K[T_j]$ which is a contradiction. Thus $P_j = 0$ which implies that $t_j \mid P_{j+1}$. Similarly we get that $P_{j+1} = 0$. Repeating this process we get that

$$P_j = \dots = P_m = 0$$

and thus $f_j(T_j) = f_m(T_m) = 0$. \square

3. MAIN RESULTS

Lemma 3.1. $C(\text{Inn}(F_m)) \subset \text{IA}(F_m)$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C(\text{Inn}(F_m))$ posses the form

$$\varphi : x_i \rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^m c_{ik} x_k + v_i, \quad v_i \in F'_m, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Since φ is a central automorphism then $\varphi([x_1, x_2]) = [x_1, x_2]$ and $\varphi([x_1, x_2, x_j]) = [x_1, x_2, x_j]$ for every $j = 1, \dots, m$. Then we have for each $j = 1, \dots, m$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi([x_1, x_2, x_j]) &= [\varphi([x_1, x_2]), \varphi(x_j)] \\ [x_1, x_2, x_j] &= [x_1, x_2, c_{j1} x_1 + \dots + c_{jm} x_m + v_j] \\ [x_1, x_2, x_j](1 - c_{jj}) &= \sum_{k \neq j} [x_1, x_2, x_k] c_{jk}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the embedding F_m into the wreath product $A_m \text{wr} B_m$ we get that

$$(a_1 t_2 - a_2 t_1) t_j (1 - c_{jj}) - (a_1 t_2 - a_2 t_1) \sum_{k \neq j} t_k c_{jk} = 0$$

Since the generators a_1 and a_2 are free generators, we obtain the equality

$$t_j (1 - c_{jj}) - \sum_{k \neq j} t_k c_{jk} = 0$$

which means that $c_{jj} = 1$ and $c_{jk} = 0$ for every $k \neq j$. \square

Now we know that

$$C(\text{Inn}(F_m)) = \{\varphi \in \text{IA}(F_m) \mid \varphi(u) = u, u \in F'_m\}.$$

Lemma 3.2. $C(\text{Inn}(F_2)) = \text{Inn}(F_2)$.

Proof. Let φ be a central automorphism of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : x_1 &\rightarrow x_1 + [x_2, x_1] f(\text{adx}_1, \text{adx}_2) \\ x_2 &\rightarrow x_2 + [x_2, x_1] g(\text{adx}_1, \text{adx}_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $f = f(t_1, t_2), g = g(t_1, t_2) \in K[t_1, t_2]$. Since φ is central then $\varphi([x_1, x_2]) = [x_1, x_2]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi([x_1, x_2]) &= [x_1 + [x_2, x_1] f, x_2 + [x_2, x_1] g] \\ [x_1, x_2] &= [x_1, x_2] + [x_2, x_1] (\text{adx}_2 f - \text{adx}_1 g). \end{aligned}$$

Using the embedding F_2 into the wreath product $A_2 \text{wr} B_2$ we get that

$$(a_2 t_1 - a_1 t_2) (t_2 f - t_1 g) = 0$$

Hence we obtain the equality

$$t_2 f = t_1 g$$

which means that $f(t_1, t_2) = t_1 p(t_1, t_2)$ and $g(t_1, t_2) = t_2 p(t_1, t_2)$ for a polynomial $p(t_1, t_2)$ in $K[t_1, t_2]$. Thus we get the following

$$\varphi = \exp(\text{adu}), \quad u = -[x_2, x_1] p(\text{adx}_1, \text{adx}_2)$$

which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let φ be a central automorphism of the form*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : x_1 &\rightarrow x_1 + \sum_{p=2}^m [x_p, x_1] f_{p1}^{(1)}(\text{adx}_2, \dots, \text{adx}_m) \\ x_j &\rightarrow x_j + \sum_{p>q>1} [x_p, x_q] f_{pq}^{(j)}(\text{adx}_q, \dots, \text{adx}_m), \quad 2 \leq j \leq m, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{p1}^{(1)}(t_2, \dots, t_m) \in K[T_2]$ and $f_{pq}^{(j)}(t_q, \dots, t_m) \in K[T_q]$ for $2 \leq j \leq m$. Then $\varphi = 1$ is the identity map.

Proof. We make induction on the rank $m \geq 2$ of F_m . Let $m = 2$ and φ be of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : x_1 &\rightarrow x_1 + [x_2, x_1] f_{21}^{(1)}(\text{adx}_2) \\ x_2 &\rightarrow x_2 \end{aligned}$$

Following Lemma 3.2 we get easily that $f_{21}^{(1)}(\text{ad}x_2) = 0$ and so $\varphi = 1$. Now let $m > 2$ and consider a central automorphism φ of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi : x_1 &\rightarrow x_1 + \sum_{p=2}^m [x_p, x_1] f_{p1}^{(1)}(\text{ad}x_2, \dots, \text{ad}x_m) \\ x_j &\rightarrow x_j + \sum_{p>q>1} [x_p, x_q] f_{pq}^{(j)}(\text{ad}x_q, \dots, \text{ad}x_m), \quad 2 \leq j \leq m,\end{aligned}$$

where $f_{p1}^{(1)}(t_2, \dots, t_m) \in K[T_2]$ and $f_{pq}^{(j)}(t_q, \dots, t_m) \in K[T_q]$ for every $2 \leq j \leq m$. Let (x_1) denote the ideal of the free metabelian Lie algebra F_m by the free generator x_1 . Because of the fact that $\varphi((x_1)) \subset (x_1)$ it induces a central automorphism $\widehat{\varphi}$ on $F_m/(x_1) \cong F_{m-1} = K \langle \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_m \rangle$ such that

$$\widehat{\varphi} : \bar{x}_j \rightarrow \bar{x}_j + \sum_{p>q>1} [\bar{x}_p, \bar{x}_q] f_{pq}^{(j)}(\text{ad}\bar{x}_q, \dots, \text{ad}\bar{x}_m), \quad 2 \leq j \leq m.$$

By the assumption of induction we get that $f_{pq}^{(j)}(t_q, \dots, t_m) = 0$ for every $j = 2, \dots, m$ and $p > q > 1$. Let us rewrite the central automorphism φ :

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi : x_1 &\rightarrow x_1 + \sum_{p=2}^m [x_p, x_1] f_{p1}^{(1)}(\text{ad}x_2, \dots, \text{ad}x_m) \\ x_j &\rightarrow x_j, \quad j = 2, \dots, m,\end{aligned}$$

where $f_{p1}^{(1)}(t_2, \dots, t_m) \in K[T_2]$. Since φ is central then $\varphi([x_1, x_j]) = [x_1, x_j]$ for every $j = 2, \dots, m$. Using the similar steps in the proof of Lemma 3.2 we get easily that $\varphi = 1$. \square

Our next theorem gives the main result.

Theorem 3.4. $C(\text{Inn}(F_m)) = \text{Inn}(F_m)$.

Proof. It suffices to show that $C(\text{Inn}(F_m)) \subset \text{Inn}(F_m)$. We know from Lemma 3.2 that $C(\text{Inn}(F_2)) = \text{Inn}(F_2)$. Now let $m > 2$ and let a central automorphism φ be of the form

$$\varphi : x_j \rightarrow x_j + \sum_{p>q} [x_p, x_q] h_{pq}^{(j)}(\text{ad}x_q, \dots, \text{ad}x_m), \quad 1 \leq j \leq m,$$

where $h_{pq}^{(j)}(t_q, \dots, t_m) \in K[T_q]$ for every $1 \leq j \leq m$. Let us express $h_{p1}^{(1)}(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ in the following way:

$$h_{p1}^{(1)}(t_1, \dots, t_m) = t_1 g_{p1}^{(1)}(t_1, \dots, t_m) + f_{p1}^{(1)}(t_2, \dots, t_m), \quad p = 2, \dots, m,$$

and let us define

$$\psi = \exp(\text{adu}), \quad u = \sum_{p=2}^m [x_p, x_1] g_{p1}^{(1)}(\text{ad}x_1, \dots, \text{ad}x_m).$$

Then the composition $\phi = \psi\varphi$ is of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\phi : x_1 &\rightarrow x_1 + \sum_{p=2}^m [x_p, x_1] f_{p1}^{(1)}(\text{ad}x_2, \dots, \text{ad}x_m) + \sum_{p>q>1} [x_p, x_q] f_{pq}^{(1)}(\text{ad}x_q, \dots, \text{ad}x_m) \\ x_j &\rightarrow x_j + \sum_{p>q} [x_p, x_q] f_{pq}^{(j)}(\text{ad}x_q, \dots, \text{ad}x_m), \quad 2 \leq j \leq m.\end{aligned}$$

Since ϕ is a central automorphism then $\phi([x_1, x_2]) = [x_1, x_2]$. Combining this equality with the embedding of F_m into the wreath product $A_m \text{wr} B_m$ we have the following equation system:

$$\begin{aligned} t_2(t_{m-1}f_{m(m-1)}^{(1)} + \cdots + t_2f_{m2}^{(1)} + t_1f_{m1}^{(1)}) &= t_1(t_{m-1}f_{m(m-1)}^{(2)} + \cdots + t_2f_{m2}^{(2)} + t_1f_{m1}^{(2)}) \\ t_2 \left(-t_m f_{m(m-1)}^{(1)} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} t_k f_{(m-1)k}^{(1)} \right) &= t_1 \left(-t_m f_{m(m-1)}^{(2)} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} t_k f_{(m-1)k}^{(2)} \right) \\ &\vdots \\ t_2 \left(-\sum_{k=4}^m t_k f_{k3}^{(1)} + t_2 f_{32}^{(1)} + t_1 f_{31}^{(1)} \right) &= t_1 \left(-\sum_{k=4}^m t_k f_{k3}^{(2)} + t_2 f_{32}^{(2)} + t_1 f_{31}^{(2)} \right) \\ t_2 \left(-\sum_{k=3}^m t_k f_{k3}^{(1)} + t_1 f_{21}^{(1)} \right) &= t_1 \left(-\sum_{k=3}^m t_k f_{k2}^{(1)} + t_1 f_{21}^{(1)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{k1}^{(1)} \in K[T_2]$, $f_{pq}^{(1)} \in K[T_q]$ for every $k = 2, \dots, m$ and $m \geq p > q > 1$ and $f_{ts}^{(2)} \in K[T_q]$ for every $m \geq t > s \geq 1$. Let us consider the first equality. It is clear that

$$t_1 \mid (t_{m-1}f_{m(m-1)}^{(1)} + \cdots + t_2f_{m2}^{(1)}).$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 we have that

$$f_{m(m-1)}^{(1)} = \cdots = f_{m2}^{(1)} = 0.$$

Then the equality is transformed into

$$t_2f_{m1}^{(1)} = t_{m-1}f_{m(m-1)}^{(2)} + \cdots + t_2f_{m2}^{(2)} + t_1f_{m1}^{(2)},$$

which means that

$$t_1 \mid (t_2f_{m1}^{(1)} - t_{m-1}f_{m(m-1)}^{(2)} - \cdots - t_2f_{m2}^{(2)}) \in K[T_2].$$

Hence $t_2f_{m1}^{(1)} - t_{m-1}f_{m(m-1)}^{(2)} - \cdots - t_2f_{m2}^{(2)} = 0$ and $f_{m1}^{(2)} = 0$. Similarly using the other equalities one can get the result of the fact that

$$f_{pq}^{(1)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_{p1}^{(2)} = 0,$$

for every $m - 1 \geq p > q > 1$.

Finally using the action of the central automorphism ϕ on the commutators $[x_1, x_j]$ for $j = 3, \dots, m$ we get that

$$f_{p1}^{(3)} = \cdots = f_{p1}^{(m)} = 0.$$

Now the central automorphism ϕ satisfies the condition in the Theorem 3.3. Thus $\phi = 1$ and

$$\varphi = \exp(\text{ad}(-u)), \quad u = \sum_{p=2}^m [x_p, x_1] g_{p1}^{(1)}(\text{ad}x_1, \dots, \text{ad}x_m).$$

□

4. CONCLUSION

In this study an elementary proof of the fact that the group of inner automorphisms of free metabelian Lie algebras coincide with its centralizer. The situation is not the same for free nilpotent Lie algebras, since any inner automorphism of a nilpotent Lie algebra is determined by an arbitrary (including linear sums) element. Inner automorphisms of nilpotent Lie algebras are not commutative in general, hence the group of inner automorphisms is not included in its centralizer.

5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the reviewers and editors of Journal of Universal Mathematics.

Funding

The author(s) declared that has no received any financial support for the research, authorship or publication of this study.

The Declaration of Conflict of Interest/ Common Interest

The author(s) declared that no conflict of interest or common interest

The Declaration of Ethics Committee Approval

This study does not be necessary ethical committee permission or any special permission.

The Declaration of Research and Publication Ethics

The author(s) declared that they comply with the scientific, ethical, and citation rules of Journal of Universal Mathematics in all processes of the study and that they do not make any falsification on the data collected. Besides, the author(s) declared that Journal of Universal Mathematics and its editorial board have no responsibility for any ethical violations that may be encountered and this study has not been evaluated in any academic publication environment other than Journal of Universal Mathematics.

REFERENCES

- [1] Yu.A. Bahturin, Identical Relations in Lie Algebras (Russian), Nauka, Moscow, (1985). Translation: VNU Science Press, Utrecht, (1987).
- [2] R.M. Bryant, V. Drensky, Dense subgroups of the automorphism groups of free algebras, *Canad. J. Math.* 45, pp. 1135-1154 (1993).
- [3] M. J. Curran, D. J. McCaughan, Central automorphisms that are almost inner, *Commun. Alg.* 29(5), pp. 2081-2087 (2001).
- [4] G. A. Miller, Dense subgroups of the automorphism groups of free algebras, *Mess. of Math.* 43, pp. 124 (1913-1914).
- [5] A.L. Shmel'kin, Wreath products of Lie algebras and their application in the theory of groups (Russian), *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch.* 29, pp. 247-260 (1973). Translation: *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.* 29, pp. 239-252 (1973).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ÇUKUROVA UNIVERSITY, 01330 BALCALI, ADANA, TURKEY
Email address: basakerginkara@gmail.com, sfindik@cu.edu.tr