

Konuralp Journal of Mathematics

Research Paper Journal Homepage: www.dergipark.gov.tr/konuralpjournalmath e-ISSN: 2147-625X

Some Spectrum Estimates of the αq -Cesaro Matrices with $0 < \alpha, q < 1$ on c_0

Merve Esra Türkay¹

¹*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Sivas Cumhuriyet University, Sivas, Turkey*

Abstract

The main purpose of the this paper is to investigate the spectrum, the fine spectrum, the approximate point spectrum, the defect spectrum, and the compression spectrum of the genaralized αq -Cesaro matrix C_q^{α} with $\alpha, q \in (0,1)$ on the sequence space c_0 .

Keywords: q-Hausdorff matrices; Lower bound problem;, q-Cesaro matrices; spectrum; fine spectrum. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 40H05, 40C99; Secondary 46A35, 47A10.

1. Introduction

The history of *q*-mathematics, which has many applications in mathematics and engineering, is so old that it goes back to the time of Euler. With $q \neq 1$, the *q*-analogue of the integer *n* is given by the following expression;

$$
[n]_q = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q}.
$$

If $\lim_{\alpha \to 1^{-}} a_{nk}^{\alpha}(q) = a_{nk}(q)$, a matrix $A^{\alpha}(q) = (a_{nk}^{\alpha}(q))$ is called an α -generalization of the matrix $A(q) = (a_{nk}(q))$. Also, if $\lim_{q \to 1^{-}} a_{nk}(q) = a_{nk}$. a matrix $A^{\alpha}(q) = (a_{nk}^{\alpha}(q))$ is called an *q*-generalization of the matrix $A = (a_{nk})$. For $0 < \alpha, q < 1$, the genaralized αq -Cesàro matrix C_q^{α} is defines, as follows;

$$
c_{nk}^{\alpha}(q) = \begin{cases} \frac{(\alpha q)^{n-k}}{1+q+\cdots+q^n} & , 0 \le k \le n \\ 0 & , n < k. \end{cases}
$$
 (1.1)

In this case, $\alpha \rightarrow 1^-$ in this matrix,

$$
c_{nk}(q) = \begin{cases} \frac{q^{n-k}}{1+q+\cdots+q^n} & ,\ 0 \leq k \leq n \\ 0 & ,\ n < k. \end{cases}
$$

q-Cesaro matrix is obtained. ` If $q \to 1^-$ is written in the *q*-Cesaro matrix,

$$
c_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n+1} & 0 \le k \le n \\ 0 & n < k. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}
$$

the well-known (1.2) Cesaro matrix of order one, C_1 is obtained. The spectrum and spectral decomposition of this matrix over various spaces are discussed in $[24]$, $[29]$ and $[51]$ studies. More information about the *q*-Cesaro matrix can be found in $[29, 48]$ $[29, 48]$ $[29, 48]$ $[29, 48]$. Spectra and spectral decompositions of Cesaro operators over various spaces have been discussed by many authors with different techniques (See [[2\]](#page-11-0)-[\[6\]](#page-11-1), [\[13\]](#page-11-2)-[\[16\]](#page-11-3), [\[40\]](#page-12-4)-[\[44\]](#page-12-5), [\[49\]](#page-12-6), [\[50\]](#page-12-7)).

This paper is about the spectrum and spectral decompositions of the αq -Cesaro operator on Banach space c_0 . Here c_0 is the space of complex sequences converging to zero, which is considered with the supremum norm.

We will make a brief reminder that the reader has a basic knowledge of the spectrum of a bounded linear operator *T* on an infinite dimensional Banach space *X*.

Email address: mesra@cumhuriyet.edu.tr (MErve Esra Turkay) ¨

Let $\mathbb{N}_0 := \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ and $\mathbb C$ denote the set of complex numbers.

If there is an inverse operator $(T - \lambda I)^{-1}$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then $R(\lambda;T) := T_{\lambda}^{-1} := (T - \lambda I)^{-1}$ is called the resolvent operator of *T* and thus the spectrum and the usual discrete spectrum of the operator *T* can be summarized with the following Table:

			\mathfrak{D}	3
		$R(\lambda;T)$ exists and is bounded	$R(\lambda;T)$ exists and is unbounded	$R(\lambda;T)$ does not exists
	$R(T - \lambda I) = X$	$\lambda \in \rho(T,X)$		$\lambda \in \sigma_p(T,X)$
H	$\overline{R(T-\lambda I)}=X$	$\lambda \in \rho(T,X)$	$\lambda \in \sigma_c(T,X)$	$\lambda \in \sigma_p(T,X)$
Ш	$\overline{R(T-\lambda I)} \neq X$	$\lambda \in \sigma_r(T,X)$	$\lambda \in \sigma_r(T,X)$	$\lambda \in \sigma_p(T,X)$

Table 1. Goldberg's and fine decomposition of the spectrum

If $R(\lambda;T)$ exists, $R(\lambda;T)$ is bounded and $R(\lambda;T)$ is defined on a dense set in *X*, we write $\lambda \in \rho(T,X)$ and $\rho(T,X)$ is called the resolvent set of *T*. It corresponds to $I_1 \cup I_1$ in this Table. From the Closed Graph Theorem it is always $I_2 = \emptyset$. $\sigma(T,X) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(T,X)$ is the spectrum of T and from the Table the set $\sigma(T,X)$ consists of the union of sets I_3 , II_2 , II_3 , III_1 , III_2 , III_3 . The set $I_3 \cup II_3 \cup III_3$ is called the point spectrum of *T* and is denoted by $\sigma_p(T,X)$. Essentially, $\sigma_p(T,X)$ is the set of eigenvalues of *T*. The set *III*₁ ∪*III*₂ is called the residual spectrum of *T* and is denoted by $\sigma_r(T)$. As read from the Table 1, $\sigma_r(T,X)$ is the set of λ 's such that " $R(\lambda,T)$ exists as bounded or unbounded, $R(\lambda;T)$ is not defined on a dense in X". The set II_2 is called the continouous spectrum of *T* and is denoted by $\sigma_c(T)$. The set $\sigma_c(T)$ can also be interpreted from the Table 1. The spectrum is a union of point spectrum, continuous spectrum, and residual spectrum, and the sets that make up the union are disjoint two-by-two. If *X* is a Banach space then $\sigma(T^*, X^*) = \sigma(T, X)$. (A more detailed review for these can be found in [\[37\]](#page-12-8).) A fine separation of the spectrum is also done. This is called the Goldberg classification of the spectrum. Since I_3 , II_2 , II_3 , III_1 , III_2 , III_3 are subsets of the spectrum, these are replaced by the notations $I_3\sigma(T,X)$, $II_3\sigma(T,X)$, $III_3\sigma(T,X)$, $II_2\sigma(T,X)$, $III_1 \sigma(T, X)$, $III_2 \sigma(T, X)$. Of course, since their union forms the spectrum, the point spectrum is finer than the residual spectrum and continuous spectrum decomposition. Therefore, *I*3, *II*2, *II*3, *III*1, *III*2, *III*³ are called fine decomposition of the spectrum. (A more detailed review for these can be found in [\[32\]](#page-12-9).)

The fine spectrum of some bounded linear operators over various spaces has been specified by many authors (See, [\[1\]](#page-11-4), [\[19\]](#page-11-5), [\[25\]](#page-12-10), [\[27\]](#page-12-11), [\[28\]](#page-12-12), [\[30\]](#page-12-13), [\[31\]](#page-12-14), [\[33\]](#page-12-15)-[\[36\]](#page-12-16), [\[39\]](#page-12-17), [\[45\]](#page-12-18), [\[46\]](#page-12-19), [\[47\]](#page-12-20), [\[52\]](#page-12-21)-[\[56\]](#page-12-22)).

Let *X* be Banach space on a field K and $T \in B(X)$. If $(x_n) \subset X$ is a sequence such that $||Tx_n|| \to 0$ while $n \to \infty$ and $||x|| = 1$, then (x_n) is called a Weyl sequence for *T*. The set

 $\sigma_{ap}(T,X) := \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{K} : \text{ there is a Weyl sequence for } \lambda I - T \}$

is called the approximate point spectrum of *T*. The set

 $\sigma_{\delta}(T, X) := \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{K} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not onto} \},$

which is a subset of the spectrum, is called the defect spectrum of *T*. The set

$$
\sigma_{co}\left(T,X\right):=\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{K}: \overline{R\left(\lambda I-T\right)}\neq X\right\}
$$

is called the compression spectrum of *T*. These three sets make up the non-discrete spectrum of the spectrum. In order to obtain this non-discrete decomposition for a finite linear operator *T*, the following Table created in the articles [\[9\]](#page-11-6)-[\[11\]](#page-11-7), [\[17\]](#page-11-8) and [\[18\]](#page-11-9) is used, considering the proposition and Theorems in [\[8\]](#page-11-10).

Table 2. Non-discrete decomposition of the spectrum

Using Table 2, the non-discrete spectrum of some bounded linear operators on various spaces is determined (See, [\[7\]](#page-11-11), [\[12\]](#page-11-12), [\[20\]](#page-11-13)-[\[23\]](#page-11-14)).

2. Boundedness and Spectra of C_q^{α}

Our purpose in this section is to first show that C_q^{α} is a bounded linear operator on c_0 , and then determines its spectrum. The following Lemma gives the constraint condition on the sequence space c_0 of an operator given by an infinite matrix.

Lemma 2.1. [38, p.163]
$$
A = (a_{nk}) \in B(c_0)
$$
 if and only if $||A|| = \sup_n \sum_k |a_{nk}| < \infty$ and for each k, $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_{nk} = 0$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $0 < q < 1$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ *. Then* $C_q^{\alpha} \in B(c_0)$ *and* $||C_q^{\alpha}|| = 1$ *.*

Proof. For each *k*,

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}c_{nk}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{(\alpha q)^{n-k}}{1+q+\cdots+q^n}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{(\alpha q)^{n-k}}{\frac{1-q^{n+1}}{1-q}}=0.
$$

Since $\alpha < 1$,

$$
\|C_q^{\alpha}\| = \sup_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |c_{nk}| = \sup_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha q)^{n-k}}{1+q+\dots+q^n} \le 1
$$
\n(2.1)

So, it is obtained that $C_q^{\alpha} \in B(c_0)$. Also, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left\|C_q^{\alpha}\right\| &= \sup_{x \neq \theta} \frac{\left\|C_q^{\alpha}(x)\right\|_{c_0}}{\|x\|_{c_0}} \\
&= \sup_{x \neq \theta} \frac{\left\|\left(x_0, \frac{\alpha q}{1+q}x_0 + \frac{1}{1+q}x_1, \cdots\right)\right\|_{c_0}}{\|x\|_{c_0}} \\
&\ge \left\|\left(1, \frac{\alpha q}{1+q}, \frac{\alpha^2 q^2}{1+q+q^2}, \cdots\right)\right\|_{c_0} \\
&= \sup\left(1, \frac{\alpha q}{1+q}, \frac{\alpha^2 q^2}{1+q+q^2}, \cdots\right) = 1\n\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}
$$

From [2.1](#page-2-2) and [2.2,](#page-2-1) we get $||C_q^{\alpha}|| = 1$. Thus, the conditions of Lemma 2.1 are met, that is, we obtain $C_q^{\alpha} \in B(c_0)$.

If $0 < q < 1$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, there is always $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\alpha < q^m$. It should be noted that $\alpha < q^0 = 1$. Now let's determine the point spectrum. For brevity, we will take $A_m := \sum_{k=0}^m q^k$, $C_m := \frac{1}{A_m}$ for $m = 0, 1, 2, ...$ and

$$
E_m := \{C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_m : \alpha < q^m\}.
$$

Theorem 2.3. *Let* $0 < q < 1$ *and* $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ *. Then, if* $\alpha < q^m$ *then*

 $\sigma_p(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0) = E_m$

and if
$$
\alpha = 1
$$
 then $\sigma_p(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0) = \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $C_q^{\alpha} x = \lambda x$. Thus, the following equations can be written;

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n x_0 & = & \lambda x_0 \\
 \frac{1}{\sum\limits_{k=0}^{1} q^k} \left(\alpha q x_0 + x_1 \right) & = & \lambda x_1 \\
 \frac{2}{\sum\limits_{k=0}^{1} q^k} \left(\left(\alpha q \right)^2 x_0 + \alpha q x_1 + x_2 \right) & = & \lambda x_2 \\
 \frac{1}{\sum\limits_{k=0}^{1} q^k} \left(\left(\alpha q \right)^n x_0 + \left(\alpha q \right)^{n-1} x_1 + \dots + \alpha q x_{n-1} + x_n \right) & = & \lambda x_n \\
 & & \vdots\n \end{array}\n \tag{2.3}
$$

If $x_0 \neq 0$, then since $(1 - \lambda)x_0 = 0$ in the 1st row of equation [\(2.3\)](#page-2-3), we get $\lambda = 1$. Again, we find $x_1 = \alpha x_0$, $x_2 = \alpha^2 x_0$, ..., $x_n = \alpha^n x_0$ from the 2nd row, the 3rd row and the (n+1)th row of the equation [\(2.3\)](#page-2-3), respectively. Hence, $x_n = \alpha^n x_0$ is obtained for every *n*. Thus, since $0 < \alpha < 1$, the eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda = 1$ is $x = (\alpha^n) \in c_0$.

Similarly, let x_m be the first nonzero term of the sequence (x_n) . Thus, from the mth row of the equation [\(2.3\)](#page-2-3)

$$
\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{\sum\limits_{k=0}^{m} q^k}\right) x_m = 0
$$

is found. Hence, we have

$$
\lambda = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=0}^{m} q^k}
$$

since $x_m \neq 0$. Since $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = \cdots = x_{m-1} = 0$, from the (m+1)th, (m+2)th and (m+n)th rows of the equation [\(2.4\)](#page-2-4) with this λ ,

$$
x_{m+1} = \frac{\alpha q}{q^{m+1}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m} q^k \right) x_m = \frac{\alpha q}{q^{m+1}} A_m x_m
$$

\n
$$
x_{m+2} = \frac{\alpha^2 q^2}{(q^{m+1})^2 (1+q)} A_m A_{m+1} x_m
$$

\n
$$
x_{m+3} = \frac{\alpha^3 q^3}{(q^{m+1})^3 (1+q)(1+q+q^2)} A_m A_{m+1} A_{m+2} x_m
$$

\n
$$
\vdots
$$

\n
$$
x_{m+n} = \frac{\alpha^n q^n A_m A_{m+1} \cdots A_{m+n-1}}{(q^{m+1})^n A_1 \cdots A_{n-1}} x_m
$$

\n
$$
\vdots
$$

are obtained. Hence, we have

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{x_{m+n}}{x_{m+n-1}}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\alpha}{q^m}\left[1+q^n\frac{1-q^m}{1-q^n}\right]=\frac{\alpha}{q^m}.
$$

If $\alpha < q^m$, then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |x_{m+n}|$ series converges from the ratio test. So, for $\alpha < q^m$, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_{m+n} = 0$, that is, the eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda = C_m$ for $\alpha < q^m$ is $x = (0, 0, \dots, 0, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+n}, \dots) \in c_0$. Continuing in this way, the proof of the theorem is completed.

Remark 2.4. *If* $0 < q < 1$ *and* $0 < \alpha < 1$ *, then since* $\alpha < q^0 = 1$ *,* $C_0 = 1 \in \sigma_p (C_q^{\alpha}, c_0)$ *.*

Remark 2.5. *The eigenvector corresponding to* $\lambda = 1$ *in Theorem [2.3](#page-2-5) is* $x = (\alpha^n) \in c_0$ *provided that* $0 < \alpha < 1$ *. Obviously, if* $\alpha = 1$ *then this vector is not an eigenvector. Therefore, if* $\alpha = 1$ *is taken, it does not contradict* [\[51,](#page-12-2) *Theorem 2.3].* If $\alpha = 1$ *is taken,* [51, *Theorem 2.3] is still valid.*

Remark 2.6. [\[57,](#page-12-24) p.266] If $T: c_0 \to c_0$ is a bounded linear operator with matrix A, then it is known that the adjoint operator $T^*: \ell_1 \to \ell_1$ is defined by the transpose of the matrix A. It is well-known that the dual space c_0^* of the space c_0 is isomorphic to the space ℓ_1 .

Let's define $\beta := \frac{1-q}{1-\alpha^2q^2}$ and $D := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \beta| < \beta \alpha q\}$ for simplicity.

Theorem 2.7. *Let* $0 < q < 1$ *and* $0 < \alpha < 1$ *. Then*

$$
\sigma_p\left(\left[C_q^{\alpha}\right]^*,\left(c_0\right)^*\simeq\ell_1\right)=D\cup E_m.
$$

Proof. Let $x \neq 0$ and $C_1^*(q) x = \lambda x$. Then, we conclude that;

$$
x_0 + \frac{(\alpha q)}{\sum\limits_{k=0}^{1} q^k} x_1 + \frac{(\alpha q)^2}{\sum\limits_{k=0}^{2} q^k} x_2 + \frac{(\alpha q)^3}{\sum\limits_{k=0}^{3} q^k} x_3 + \cdots = \lambda x_0
$$

$$
\frac{1}{\sum\limits_{k=0}^{1} q^k} x_1 + \frac{(\alpha q)}{\sum\limits_{k=0}^{2} q^k} x_2 + \frac{(\alpha q)^2}{\sum\limits_{k=0}^{3} q^k} x_3 + \cdots = \lambda x_1
$$

$$
\frac{1}{\sum\limits_{k=0}^{2} q^k} x_2 + \frac{(\alpha q)}{\sum\limits_{k=0}^{3} q^k} x_3 + \cdots = \lambda x_2
$$

$$
\vdots
$$

Thus,

$$
x_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha q \lambda} (\lambda - 1) x_0
$$

\n
$$
x_2 = \frac{1}{(\alpha q \lambda)^2} (\lambda - 1) \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{\sum_{k=0}^{1} q^k} \right) x_0
$$

\n
$$
x_3 = \frac{1}{q^2} (\lambda - 1) \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{1+q} \right) \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{\sum_{k=0}^{2} q^k} \right) x_0
$$

\n
$$
\vdots
$$

where $x_0 \neq 0$ and so

$$
x_n = \frac{x_0}{\left(\alpha q \lambda\right)^n} \prod_{k=1}^n \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{\sum_{\substack{k=1 \ \nu=0}}^{k-1} q^{\nu}}\right), x_0 \neq 0, n = 1, 2,
$$

Using the assumption that one obtains $\lambda \in$ $\sqrt{ }$ J \mathcal{L}

 $1, \frac{1}{\sum\limits_{k=0}^{1} q^k}, \frac{1}{\sum\limits_{k=0}^{2} q^k}, \ldots, \frac{1}{\sum\limits_{k=0}^{n} q^k}, \ldots$ J If $\lambda = 1 = C_0$, then $C_1^*(q)x = x$ for $x = (x_0, 0, 0, ...) \neq \theta$. That is, we have obtained that $C_0 = 1$ is at $\sigma_p(C_1^*(q), \ell_1)$. In this case, if $\lambda = \frac{1}{1+q} = C_1$, then $C_1^*(q)x = \frac{1}{1+q}x$ for $x = (x_0, -\frac{x_0}{\alpha}, 0, 0, ...) \neq \theta$. So we have obtained that $C_1 \in \sigma_p(C_1^*(q), \ell_1)$. If we choose $\lambda = \frac{1}{1+q+q^2} = C_2$, then $C_1^*(q)x = \frac{1}{1+q+q^2}x$ for $x = \left(x_0, -\frac{(1+q)x}{\alpha}\right)$ $\frac{+q}{\alpha}x_0, \frac{q}{\alpha^2}x_0, 0, 0, \ldots$ $\neq \theta$. So we get $C_2 \in \sigma_p(C_1^*(q), \ell_1)$.

 λ \mathcal{L}

.

Using a similar technique, it can be easily seen for other λ values;

$$
\{C_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \sigma_p \left(C_1^*(q), (c_0)^* \simeq \ell_1\right).
$$

\nLet $\lambda \notin \left\{1, \frac{1}{1+q}, \frac{1}{1+q+q^2}, \dots\right\}$. If we apply the ratio test to the series $\sum x_n$;
\n
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} \right| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{1}{\alpha q \lambda} \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\alpha q} q} \right) \right|
$$
\n
$$
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{1}{\alpha q \lambda} \left(\lambda - \frac{1-q}{\frac{1-q+1}{\alpha q+1}} \right) \right|
$$
\n
$$
= \left| \frac{1}{\alpha q \lambda} \left(\lambda - \frac{1-q}{\alpha q} \right) \right|
$$
\nprocedure which implies
\n
$$
\left| \frac{1}{\alpha q \lambda} \left(\lambda - (1-q) \right) \right| < 1 \Leftrightarrow \left| 1 - \frac{1-q}{\lambda} \right| < \alpha q
$$
\n
$$
\lambda = \mu + i\nu \left| 1 - \frac{1-q}{u^2 + v^2} u + \frac{1-q}{u^2 + v^2} v \right| < \alpha q
$$
\n
$$
\Leftrightarrow \left(1 - \frac{(1-q)}{(1-u^2+v^2)} \right) \left| \frac{1-q}{\lambda} \right| \left| \frac{1-q}{(u^2+v^2)} \right| \times \frac{(1-q)q}{2}
$$
\n
$$
\Leftrightarrow \left| \lambda - \frac{(1-q)}{(1-\alpha^2q^2)} \right| < \frac{(1-q)q}{1+q}.
$$
\n(2.6) shows us, if $\left| \lambda - \frac{1}{1+q} \right| < \frac{q}{1+q}$, then $(x_n) \in \ell_1$. Thus, $\sigma_p \left(\left[C_q^{\alpha} \right]^*, \ell_1 \right)$ is as follows;
\n
$$
\sigma_p \left(\left[C_q^{\alpha} \right]^*, \ell_1 \right) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \lambda - \frac{1-q}{1-\
$$

Since C_{m+1} , C_{m+2} , ... \in *D* for $\alpha > q^m$, $m = 0, 1, 2, ...$,

$$
\sigma_p\left(\left[C_q^{\alpha}\right]^*,\ell_1\right)=D\cup E_m
$$

is obtained.

Since c_0 is a Banach space, $\sigma([C_q^{\alpha}]^*, \ell_1) = \sigma(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0)$ and $\sigma_p(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0) \subset \sigma(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0)$. Let's determine the spectrum of C_q^{α} using these. It was also shown in [\[29\]](#page-12-1) that the lower triangular double band matrix $\triangle_{a,b}$ can be the inverse of the $C_1(q)$ *q*-Cesaro matrix. Using the spectrum of the inverse matrix, he determined the spectrum of $C_1(q)$ on the sequence space ℓ_p with the help of the spectral transformation theorem. We will use this idea in the following Theorem. First, let's define the lower triangular double band matrix. An infinite matrix defined as

$$
\triangle_{a,b} = \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} a_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ b_0 & a_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & b_1 & a_2 & 0 & \ddots \\ 0 & 0 & b_2 & a_3 & \ddots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \end{array} \right)
$$

is called a lower triangular double band matrix with a variable sequence, where (a_k) and (b_k) are two nonzero sequences of real numbers with

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = a \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = b \neq 0. \tag{2.7}
$$

This matrix defines a $\triangle_{a,b}$: $c_0 \rightarrow c_0$ operator with

$$
\triangle_{a,b} x = \triangle_{a,b} (x_k) = (a_k x_k + b_{k-1} x_{k-1})_{k=0}^{\infty} \text{ with } x_{-1} = b_{-1} = 0
$$
\n(2.8)

It has been shown by El-Shabrawy in [\[27\]](#page-12-11) that this operator is a bounded linear operator on c_0 and its spectrum is determined. The generalized αq -Cesàro matrix C_q^{α} for $0 < \alpha, q < 1$ is also given by

$$
C_q^{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \frac{\alpha q}{1+q} & \frac{1}{1+q} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \frac{\alpha^2 q^2}{1+q+q^2} & \frac{\alpha q}{1+q+q^2} & \frac{1}{1+q+q^2} & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \end{pmatrix} .
$$
 (2.9)

The matrix C_q^{α} : $c_0 \rightarrow c_0$ has an inverse $\left[C_q^{\alpha}\right]^{-1}$ and this inverse matrix is given by

,

$$
\left[C_{q}^{\alpha}\right]^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} A_{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ B_{0} & A_{1} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & B_{1} & A_{2} & 0 & \ddots \\ 0 & 0 & A_{2} & A_{3} & \ddots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
A_n = 1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^n \text{ and } B_n = -\alpha q a_n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_0.
$$
\n
$$
(2.10)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\lim_{\substack{n \to \infty \\ n \to \infty}} A_n = \lim_{\substack{n \to \infty \\ n \to \infty}} \left(1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^n \right) = \lim_{\substack{n \to \infty \\ n \to \infty}} \frac{1 - q^{n+1}}{1 - q} = \frac{1}{1 - q} = A,
$$
\n
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} B_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} -\alpha q \left(1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^n \right) = -\frac{\alpha q}{1 - q} = B
$$
\n(2.11)

is obtained from [\(2.10\)](#page-5-0). It is clearly seen that the operators $\left[C_q^{\alpha}\right]^{-1}$ and C_q^{α} are bijective. It has also been shown in [\[27\]](#page-12-11) that $\triangle_{a,b} = \left[C_q^{\alpha}\right]^{-1}$ is bounded on the sequence space c_0 and $0 \notin \sigma(\Delta_{a,b}, c_0)$.

Theorem 2.8. *Let* $0 < q < 1$ *and* $0 < \alpha < 1$ *. Then*

$$
\sigma\left(C_q^{\alpha},c_0\right)=\overline{D}\cup E_m.
$$

Proof. From Theorem [2.7,](#page-3-0) we get

$$
D \cup \left\{1, \frac{1}{1+q}, \frac{1}{1+q+q^2}, \ldots\right\} \subset \sigma\left(\left[C_q^{\alpha}\right]^*, \ell^1\right) = \sigma\left(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0\right).
$$

Since

$$
\overline{D} \cup \left\{1, \frac{1}{1+q}, \frac{1}{1+q+q^2}, \ldots\right\} = \left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \beta| \leq \beta \alpha q\right\}
$$

$$
\cup \left\{1, \frac{1}{1+q}, \frac{1}{1+q+q^2}, \ldots\right\} \cup \left\{1-q\right\}
$$

$$
= \left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \beta| \leq \beta \alpha q\right\} \cup \left\{C_0, C_1, C_2, \ldots\right\} \cup \left\{1-q\right\},\right\}
$$

$$
\{C_{m+1}, C_{m+2}, \ldots\} \in \overline{D} \text{ and } 1 - q \in \overline{D}, \text{ we have}
$$

$$
\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \beta| \leq \beta \alpha q\} \cup E_m \subset \sigma(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0).
$$

Also, since

$$
|C_m - \beta| \leq \beta \alpha q; \ \alpha = q^m \quad \Leftrightarrow \left| \frac{1-q}{1-q^{m+1}} - \frac{1-q}{1-\alpha^2 q^2} \right| \leq \frac{(1-q)\alpha q}{1-\alpha^2 q^2} \newline \Leftrightarrow \frac{\alpha = q^m}{(1-q)} \left| \frac{1}{1-\alpha q} - \frac{1}{1-\alpha^2 q^2} \right| \leq \frac{(1-q)\alpha q}{1-\alpha^2 q^2} \newline \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{1-\alpha^2 q^2} - \frac{1}{1-\alpha^2 q^2} \leq \frac{\alpha q}{1-\alpha^2 q^2},
$$

if $\alpha = q^m$ for a $m \in \mathbb{N}$, it should be noted that $\lambda = C_m$ is the point at the right end of the circle and on the *x*-axis. From the explanation the above theorem $\left[C_q^\alpha\right]^{-1}$ is invertible and bounded on c_0 . In [\[27\]](#page-12-11), It is known that $\sigma\left(\triangle_{a,b}, c_0\right) = \sigma\left(\left[C_q^\alpha\right]^{-1}, c_0\right) = \sigma\left(\left[C_q^\alpha\right]^{-1}\right)$ $F \cup G$, where $F = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - a| \le |b| \}$ and $G = \{ a_m : k \in \mathbb{N}, |a_m - a| > |b| \}$. Since $a = \frac{1}{1-q}$ and $b = -\frac{\alpha q}{1-q}$ from [\(2.11\)](#page-5-1), we have

$$
|\lambda - a| \le |b| \Leftrightarrow \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{1 - q} \right| \le \frac{\alpha q}{1 - q}
$$

and

$$
|a_m - a| > |b| \quad \Leftrightarrow \left| \frac{1 - q^{m+1}}{1 - q} - \frac{1}{1 - q} \right| > \frac{\alpha q}{1 - q}
$$
\n
$$
\Leftrightarrow \frac{q^{m+1}}{1 - q} > \frac{\alpha q}{1 - q} \Leftrightarrow q^{m+1} > \alpha q
$$
\n
$$
\Leftrightarrow \alpha < q^m.
$$

From the spectral maping Theorem, it must be as follows;

$$
\sigma(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0) = \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \in \sigma \left([C_q^{\alpha}]^{-1}, c_0 \right) \right\} \n= \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - a| \le |b| \right\} \cup \left\{ \frac{1}{a_m} : m \in \mathbb{N}, |a_m - a| > |b| \right\} \n= \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{1 - q} \right| \le \frac{\alpha q}{1 - q} \right\} \cup \left\{ \frac{1}{a_m} : m \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha < q^m \right\} \n= \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{1 - q} \right| \le \frac{\alpha q}{1 - q} \right\} \cup E_m.
$$

Let $\mu = \frac{1}{\lambda}$. Since

$$
\mu = \frac{1}{\lambda} = x + iy \Leftrightarrow \lambda = \frac{1}{\mu} = \frac{1}{x + iy} = \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} - i\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2} = u + iv,
$$

we have

$$
\left|\lambda - \frac{1}{1-q}\right| \leq \frac{\alpha q}{1-q} \quad \Leftrightarrow \left|\frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{1-q}\right| \leq \frac{\alpha q}{1-q}
$$
\n
$$
\Leftrightarrow \left|\frac{1-q-\mu}{\mu(1-q)}\right| \leq \frac{\alpha q}{1-q} \Leftrightarrow |\mu - (1-q)| \leq \alpha q |\mu|
$$
\n
$$
\Leftrightarrow (u - (1-q))^2 + v^2 \leq (\alpha q)^2 (u^2 + v^2)
$$
\n
$$
\Leftrightarrow u^2 + v^2 - 2(1-q)u \leq (\alpha q)^2 (u^2 + v^2)
$$
\n
$$
\Leftrightarrow \left|\mu - \frac{1-q}{1-(\alpha q)^2}\right| \leq \alpha q \frac{(1-q)}{1-(\alpha q)^2}
$$
\n
$$
\Leftrightarrow |\mu - \beta| \leq \alpha q \beta.
$$

As a result, we get

$$
\sigma\left(C_q^{\alpha},c_0\right) = \left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left|\lambda - \frac{1-q}{1-(\alpha q)^2}\right| \leq \frac{1-q}{1-(\alpha q)^2} \alpha q\right\} \cup E_m.
$$

Remark 2.9. In Theorem [2.8,](#page-5-2) since $E_m \subset \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{1+q} \right| \leq \frac{q}{1+q} \right\}$ as $\alpha \to 1^-$, we get

$$
\sigma(C_1(q),c_0) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \lambda - \frac{1-q}{1-q^2} \right| \leq \frac{1-q}{1-\alpha q^2}q \right\} \cup E_m
$$

=
$$
\left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{1+q} \right| \leq \frac{q}{1+q} \right\}.
$$

This shows that [\[51,](#page-12-2) Theorem 2.6] is still valid when as $\alpha \rightarrow 1^-$.

3. Various Spectral Decompositions

First of all, let's decompose the spectrum into the continuous spectrum, point spectrum and residual spectrum.

3.1. Classical decomposition of the spectrum

From Theorem [2.3,](#page-2-5) if $\alpha < q^m$ then we know that

$$
\sigma_p(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0) = \{1, C_1, \dots, C_m\}
$$

for $0 < q < 1$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$ where $C_m = \frac{1}{A_m} = \frac{1}{1 + q + \dots + q^m}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $0 < q < 1$ *and* $0 < \alpha < 1$ *. Then*

$$
\sigma_r(C_q^{\alpha},c_0)=\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}:|\lambda-\beta|<\beta\alpha q\}
$$

where $\beta = \frac{1-q}{1-\alpha^2q^2}$.

Proof. Since $\sigma_r(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0) = \sigma_p(C_1^*(q), \ell_1) \setminus \sigma_p(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0)$, it is clear that

$$
\sigma_r(C_q^{\alpha},c_0)=\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}:|\lambda-\beta|<\beta\alpha q\}
$$

from Theorem [2.3](#page-2-5) and Theorem [2.7.](#page-3-0)

Theorem 3.2. *Let* $0 < q < 1$ *and* $0 < \alpha < 1$ *. If* $\alpha = q^m$ *, then*

$$
\sigma_{c}\left(C_{q}^{\alpha}, c_{0}\right) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \beta| = \beta \alpha q\} \setminus \{C_{m}\}\
$$

 $and if \alpha \neq q^m$ *, then*

$$
\sigma_c(C_q^{\alpha},c_0)=\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}:|\lambda-\beta|=\beta\alpha q\}
$$

where
$$
\beta = \frac{1-q}{1-\alpha^2 q^2}
$$
.

Proof. Since

$$
\sigma_{c}\left(C_{q}^{\alpha}, c_{0}\right) = \sigma\left(C_{1}\left(q\right), c_{0}\right) \setminus \left\{\sigma_{p}\left(C_{1}\left(q\right), c_{0}\right) \cup \sigma_{r}\left(C_{q}^{\alpha}, c_{0}\right)\right\},\
$$

the result is clear from Theorem [2.3,](#page-2-5) Theorem [2.8,](#page-5-2) Theorem [3.1](#page-6-0) and Table 1.

 \Box

3.2. Goldberg's Classification of Spectrum

Now let's give the Goldberg classification of the spectrum for the C_q^{α} operator. Let us give the following Lemma to be used in calculation of $\mathscr{I}\mathscr{I}\mathscr{I}_2\sigma(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0)$.

Lemma 3.3. *[\[32,](#page-12-9) p.60]A linear operator T has a bounded inverse if and only if T*[∗] *is onto.*

Theorem 3.4. *Let* $0 < q < 1$ *and* $0 < \alpha < 1$ *. Then*

$$
\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}_2\sigma(C_q^{\alpha},c_0) = \{C_{m+1},C_{m+2},\ldots\}
$$

where $C_n = \frac{1}{A_n} = \frac{1}{1+q+\cdots+q^n}$ and $\alpha < q^m$.

Proof. Since $C_{m+1}, C_{m+2}, \ldots \in {\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \beta| < \beta \alpha q}$ for $\alpha < q^m$, $\{C_{m+1}, C_{m+2}, \ldots\} \subseteq \sigma_r(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0)$ is obtained from Theorem [3.1.](#page-6-0) Hence, $\{C_{m+1}, C_{m+2}, ...\} \in \mathscr{III}$ $\sigma(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0) \cup \mathscr{III} \mathscr{I}$ $\sigma(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0)$ is valid. Let us now show that $\{C_{m+1}, C_{m+2}, ...\} \in \mathscr{III} \mathscr{I}$ $\sigma(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0)$. For this we will use Lemma [3.3.](#page-7-0)

For every $y \in \ell_1$, is there $x \in \ell_1$ such that $(C_q^{\alpha} - \lambda I)^* x = y$? Let $(C_q^{\alpha} - \lambda I)^* x = y$. In this case, the equations

$$
(1 - \lambda)x_0 = y_0
$$

\n
$$
(1 - \lambda)x_1 + \frac{\alpha q}{1 + q}x_2 + \frac{(\alpha q)^2}{1 + q + q^2}x_3 + \frac{(\alpha q)^3}{1 + q + q^2 + q^3}x_4 + \cdots = y_1
$$

\n
$$
\left(\frac{1}{1 + q} - \lambda\right)x_2 + \frac{(\alpha q)}{1 + q + q^2}x_3 + \frac{(\alpha q)^2}{1 + q + q^2 + q^3}x_4 + \cdots = y_2
$$

\n
$$
\vdots
$$

are valid. Let's consider these equations sequentially, two by two. If we subtract multiple α ^{*q*} of the lower equation from the upper equation and subtract x_n , then we get

$$
x_n = \frac{x_0}{(\alpha q \lambda)^{n-1}} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (\lambda - C_i) + \frac{y_0}{(\lambda q \alpha)^{n-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda - C_i)
$$

+
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\lambda A_i} \frac{y_i}{(q \alpha)^{n-i}} \prod_{v=i+1}^{n-1} (\lambda - C_v) - \frac{1}{\lambda} y_{n+1}.
$$
 (3.1)

where $A_i = \sum_{k=0}^{i} q^k$ and $C_v = \frac{1}{A_v}$. If $\lambda \in \{C_{m+1}, C_{m+2}, \ldots, C_n\}$, then $x_n = -\frac{1}{\lambda}y_n$ with $x \in \ell_1$. Hence, we get $(y_n) \in \ell^1$ because $(y_n) \in \ell^1$. As a result, the operator $(C_q^{\alpha} - \lambda I)^*$ is surjective. So, from Lemma [3.3,](#page-7-0) the operator $C_q^{\alpha} - \lambda I$ for $\lambda \in \{C_{m+1}, C_{m+2}, \ldots\}$ has bounded inverse and , so we have

$$
\{C_{m+1}, C_{m+2}, \ldots\} \subset \mathscr{I} \mathscr{I} \mathscr{I}_2 \sigma \left(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0 \right)
$$

 ${C_{m+1}, C_{m+2}, \ldots}$ inclusion.

Now let $\lambda \notin \{C_{m+1}, C_{m+2}, \ldots\}$. In Table 1, since $C_0, C_1, \ldots, C_m \notin \mathscr{I}\mathscr{I}\mathscr{I}$, we can assume that $\lambda \neq C_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case, considering that *y* $\in \ell_1$ from [\(3.1\)](#page-7-1), sequence of (x_n) is convergent if and only if the product

$$
\prod_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{\sum\limits_{k=0}^{\nu} q^k} \right)
$$

 $\overline{1}$

is convergent. If the infinity product is convergent, the limit of the general term is 1, so it must be

$$
\lim_{\nu \to \infty} \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{\sum_{k=0}^{\nu} q^k} \right) = \lim_{\nu \to \infty} \lambda - \frac{1 - q}{1 - q^{\nu+1}} = \lambda - (1 - q) = 1,
$$

that is, $\lambda = 2 - q$. If $\lambda \neq 2 - q$, then the infinite product becomes divergent. Hence, if $\lambda \neq 2 - q$, then $x \notin \ell_1$. That is, if

$$
\lambda \notin \{C_{m+1}, C_{m+2}, \ldots\} \cup \{2-q\},\,
$$

 $\overline{ }$

the operator $(C_q^{\alpha} - \alpha I)^*$ is not onto. So from Lemma [3.3,](#page-7-0) $C_q^{\alpha} - \alpha I$ has not bounded inverse for $\lambda \notin \{C_{m+1}, C_{m+2}, \ldots\} \cup \{2-q\}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\{C_{m+1},C_{m+2},\ldots\}\subseteq\mathscr{I}\mathscr{I}\mathscr{I}_2\sigma(C_q^{\alpha},c_0)\subseteq\{C_{m+1},C_{m+2},\ldots\}\cup\{2-q\}.
$$

Let's assume that $\lambda = 2 - q$. From [\(3.1\)](#page-7-1), the first component of sequence (x_n) which is the first component

$$
\frac{x_0}{(\alpha q(2-q))^n}\prod_{v=0}^{n-1}(\lambda-C_v)
$$

go to zero even if the infinite product bounded, $\frac{1}{(\alpha q(2-q))^n} \to \infty$ is necessary. However, we know that $0 < \alpha, q < 1$, so $0 < \alpha q(2-q) < 1$ is valid. From here, since $(\alpha q (2-q))^n \to 0$ for $\lambda = 2-q$, $x \notin c_0$ and thus $x \notin \ell^1$ are obtained. This means that the operator $(C_q^{\alpha}-\lambda I)^*$ is not surjective for $\lambda = 2$. Hence, with Lemma [3.3,](#page-7-0) the operator $C_q^{\alpha} - \lambda I$ has no bounded inverse for $\lambda = 2 - q$. Thus

$$
\mathscr{I}\mathscr{I}\mathscr{I}_2\sigma(C_q^{\alpha},c_0)=\{C_{m+1},C_{m+2},\ldots\}
$$

is obtained.

Corollary 3.5. *Let* $0 < q < 1$ *and* $0 < \alpha < 1$ *. Then*

$$
\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}_1\sigma(C_q^{\alpha},c_0) = D \setminus \{C_{m+1}, C_{m+2}, \dots\}
$$

where $C_n = \frac{1}{A_n} = \frac{1}{1+q+\dots+q^n}$ and $\alpha < q^m$

Proof. We know from Table 1 that $\sigma_r(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0) = \mathscr{I} \mathscr{I} \mathscr{I}_1 \sigma(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0) \cup \mathscr{I} \mathscr{I} \mathscr{I}_2 \sigma(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0)$. Now, if we consider Theorem [3.1](#page-6-0) and Theorem [3.4,](#page-7-2) it is seen that $\mathscr{I}\mathscr{I}\mathscr{I}_1 \sigma(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0) = D \setminus \{C_{m+1}, C_{m+2}, \ldots\}.$ \Box

Corollary 3.6. Let
$$
0 < q < 1
$$
 and $0 < \alpha < 1$. If $\alpha = q^m$, then
\n $\mathscr{I} \mathscr{I}_2 \sigma (C_q^{\alpha}, c_0) = {\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \beta| = \beta \alpha q} \setminus {C_m}$
\nand if $\alpha \neq q^m$, then
\n $\mathscr{I} \mathscr{I}_2 \sigma (C_q^{\alpha}, c_0) = {\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \beta| = \beta \alpha q}$
\nwhere $\beta = \frac{1-q}{1-\alpha^2 q^2}$.

Proof. The proof is clear from Theorem [3.2.](#page-6-1)

Let us give the following Lemma to be used in calculation of $\mathscr{I}\mathscr{I}\mathscr{I}_2\sigma(C_q^{\alpha},c_0)$.

Lemma 3.7. *[\[32,](#page-12-9) Theorem II 3.7]A linear operator T has a dense range if and only if the adjoint operator T*[∗] *is one-to-one.*

Theorem 3.8. Let
$$
0 < q < 1
$$
 and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then
\n
$$
\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \sigma \left(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0 \right) = \{ C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m \}
$$
\nwhere $C_n = \frac{1}{A_n} = \frac{1}{1 + q + \dots + q^n}$ and $\alpha < q^m$.

Proof. We know from Table 1 and Theorem [2.3](#page-2-5) that

$$
\sigma_p(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0) = \mathcal{I}_3 \sigma(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0) \cup \mathcal{I}_3 \sigma(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0) \cup \mathcal{I}_3 \sigma(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0) = \{C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_m\}
$$

for $\alpha < q^m$. Let $(C_q^{\alpha} - I)^* x = \theta$ and $x_0 = 1$. Thus, we get

$$
x_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda \alpha q} (\lambda - 1)
$$

\n
$$
x_2 = \frac{1}{(\lambda \alpha q)^2} (\lambda - 1) (\lambda - \frac{1}{1+q})
$$

\n
$$
\vdots
$$

\n
$$
x_m = \frac{1}{(\lambda \alpha q)^m} \prod_{k=0}^m (\lambda - C_k).
$$

From the expressions

$$
\lambda = C_1 = \frac{1}{1+q} \qquad \Rightarrow \quad (C_q^{\alpha} - I)^* x^1 = 0
$$
\n
$$
\text{where } x^1 = \left(1, \frac{1}{\alpha q C_1} (C_1 - 1), 0, \dots\right) \neq \theta
$$
\n
$$
\lambda = C_2 = \frac{1}{1+q+q^2} \qquad \Rightarrow \quad (C_q^{\alpha} - I)^* x^2 = 0
$$

where

$$
x^{2} = \left(1, \frac{1}{\alpha q C_{2}} (C_{2} - 1), \frac{1}{(C_{2} \alpha q)^{2}} (C_{2} - 1) \left(C_{2} - \frac{1}{1+q}\right), 0, \ldots\right) \neq \theta
$$

:

$$
\lambda = C_m = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=0}^m q^k} \Rightarrow (C_q^{\alpha} - I)^* x^m = 0
$$

where

$$
x^m = (1, \frac{1}{C_m \alpha q} (C_m - 1), \frac{1}{(C_m \alpha q)^2} (C_m - 1) (C_m - \frac{1}{1+q}), \dots, \frac{1}{(C_m \alpha q)^2} \prod_{k=0}^m (C_m - C_k), 0, \dots) \neq \theta,
$$

there is $\theta \neq x \in \ell^1$ such that $(C_q^{\alpha} - \lambda I)^* x = 0$ for $\lambda \in \{C_1, C_2, ..., C_m\}$. Thus $(C_q^{\alpha} - \lambda I)^*$ is not 1:1 for $\lambda \in \{C_1, C_2, ..., C_m\}$. From Lemma 1, the operator $C_q^{\alpha} - \lambda I$ does not have a dense image for $\lambda \in \{C_1, C_2, ..., C_m\}$. Consequently, we have $\mathscr{IIS}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{C}_q^{\alpha}, c_0) =$ \Box ${C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_m}.$

Corollary 3.9. Let $0 < q < 1$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. $\mathscr{I}_3 \sigma (C_q^{\alpha}, c_0) = \mathscr{I} \mathscr{I}_3 \sigma (C_q^{\alpha}, c_0) = \emptyset$.

Proof. Since $\sigma_p(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0) = \mathscr{I}_3 \sigma(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0) \cup \mathscr{I} \mathscr{I}_3 \sigma(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0) \cup \mathscr{I} \mathscr{I}_3 \sigma(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0)$ from Table 1, the required result is obtained from Theorems [2.3](#page-2-5) and [3.8.](#page-8-0) \Box

 \Box

3.3. Non-discrete Spectrum of the spectrum (defect spectrum, approximate point spectrum, compression spectrum)

Now, let's determine the defect spectrum, the approximate point spectrum, the compression spectrum of the operator C_q^{α} using Table 2.

Theorem 3.10. Let
$$
0 < q < 1
$$
 and $0 < \alpha < 1$. The following expressions yield;
\n(a) $\sigma_{ap}(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \lambda - \frac{1-q}{1-\alpha^2 q^2} \right| = \frac{(1-q)\alpha q}{1-\alpha^2 q^2} \right\} \cup \left\{ C_{m+1}, C_{m+2}, \ldots \right\}$, if $\alpha < q^m$,
\n(b) $\sigma_{\delta}(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \lambda - \frac{1-q}{1-\alpha^2 q^2} \right| \leq \frac{(1-q)\alpha q}{1-\alpha^2 q^2} \right\} \cup \left\{ C_0, C_1, \ldots, C_m \right\}$ if $\alpha < q^m$,
\n(c) $\sigma_{co}(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \lambda - \frac{1-q}{1-\alpha^2 q^2} \right| < \frac{(1-q)\alpha q}{1-\alpha^2 q^2} \right\} \cup \left\{ C_0, C_1, \ldots, C_m \right\}$ if $\alpha < q^m$.

Proof. (a) We know that the following expression exists from Table 2;

$$
\sigma_{ap}\left(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0\right) = \sigma\left(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0\right) \setminus \mathscr{I} \mathscr{I}_{1} \sigma\left(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0\right). \tag{3.2}
$$

The desired result is obtained by using the above expression (3.2) , Theorem [2.8](#page-5-2) and Corollary [3.5.](#page-8-1) (b) We know that the following expression exists, from Theorem [3.4](#page-7-2) and Table 2;

$$
\sigma_{\delta}\left(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0\right) = \sigma\left(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0\right) \setminus \mathcal{I}_1 \sigma\left(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0\right). \tag{3.3}
$$

The desired result is obtained by using the above expression [\(3.3\)](#page-9-1), Theorem [2.8](#page-5-2) and Corollary [3.9.](#page-8-2) (c) We know that the following expression exists from Table 2;

$$
\sigma_{co}\left(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0\right) = \sigma_r\left(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0\right) \cup \mathscr{I} \mathscr{I} \mathscr{I} \mathscr{I} \sigma\left(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0\right) \tag{3.4}
$$

The desired result is obtained by using the above expression [\(3.4\)](#page-9-2), Theorem [3.1](#page-6-0) and Theorem [3.8.](#page-8-0)

Corollary 3.11. *Let* $0 < q < 1$ *and* $0 < \alpha < 1$ *.* $(a) \sigma_{ap} ([C_q^{\alpha}]^*, \ell_1) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \lambda - \frac{1-q}{1-\alpha^2 q^2} \right| \leq \frac{(1-q)\alpha q}{1-\alpha^2 q^2} \right\} \cup \{C_0, C_1, \ldots, C_m\} \text{ if } \alpha < q^m,$ *(b)*

$$
\sigma_{\delta}\left(C_{1}^{\alpha*}\left(q\right),\ell_{1}\right) = \left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left|\lambda - \frac{1-q}{1-\alpha^{2}q^{2}}\right| = \frac{(1-q)\alpha q}{1-\alpha^{2}q^{2}}\right\} \\ \cup \left\{C_{m+1}, C_{m+2}, \ldots\right\}, \text{ if } \alpha < q^{m}.
$$

Proof. We know from [\[8\]](#page-11-10) that

$$
\sigma_{ap}([C_q^{\alpha}]^*, \ell_1) = \sigma_{\delta}(C_q^{\alpha}, c_0)
$$

and

$$
\sigma_{\delta}(\left[C_{q}^{\alpha}\right]^{*}, \ell_{1}) = \sigma_{ap}(C_{q}^{\alpha}, c_{0}).
$$

By using Theorem [3.10,](#page-9-3) we conclude the proof.

4. Applications

In the examples below, we will assign values for $0 < q < 1$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. We will try to show the spectrum and spectral decompositions of C_q^{α} corresponding to these values with the figure.

Example 4.1. $\sigma_p\left(C_{0,01}^{0,99}, c_0\right) = \{1\}, \sigma\left(C_{0,01}^{0,99}, c_0\right) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - 0,99900097| \leq 0,000989\} \cup \{1\},$

 \Box

Example 4.2.
$$
\sigma_p\left(C_{0,5}^{0,5}, c_0\right) = \{1, 0.66\}, \sigma\left(C_{0,5}^{0,5}, c_0\right) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - 0, 99900097| \le 0, 000989\} \cup \{1\},\
$$

5. Discussion and Conclusion

2. $\sigma_p(C_q, c_0) = \emptyset$.

The spectra of Cesàro operators and generalizations of this operator with different techniques have been discussed by various authors. Their properties, characterization and examination of several sequence spaces has an extensive literature (See [\[2\]](#page-11-0)-[\[6\]](#page-11-1), [\[13\]](#page-11-2)-[\[16\]](#page-11-3),[\[40\]](#page-12-4)-[\[44\]](#page-12-5), [\[49\]](#page-12-6), $[50]$.

For example, in the following theorems, spectral decompositions of the generalized *q*−Cesaro operator in various sequence spaces are ` investigated.

Theorem 5.1.
$$
[24] \text{Let } 0 < q < 1 \text{ and } 0 < \alpha < 1.
$$
 Then $C_q : c \to c$ is a bounded operator with $||C_q||_c = 1$ and $I. \sigma(C_q, c) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{1+q} \right| \leq \frac{q}{1+q} \right\}.$ \n\n2. $\sigma_p(C_q, c) = \{1\}.$ \n\n3. $\sigma_r(C_q, c) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{1+q} \right| < \frac{q}{1+q} \right\} \cup \{1\}.$ \n\n4. $\sigma_c(C_q, c) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{1+q} \right| = \frac{q}{1+q} \right\} \setminus \{1\}.$ \n\n**Theorem 5.2.** $[51] \text{Let } 0 < q < 1 \text{ and } 0 < \alpha < 1.$ Then $C_q : c \to c$ is a bounded operator with $||C_q||_{c_0} = 1$ and $I. \sigma(C_q, c_0) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{1+q} \right| \leq \frac{q}{1+q} \right\}.$

3. $\sigma_r(C_q, c_0) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{1+q} \right| < \frac{q}{1+q} \right\}.$ $\mathcal{A}.\ \sigma_c(C_q, c_0) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{1+q} \right| = \frac{q}{1+q} \right\} \setminus \{1\}.$ **Theorem 5.3.** *[\[29\]](#page-12-1)Let* $0 < q < 1$ *and* $0 < \alpha < 1$ *. Then* $C_q : \ell_p \to \ell_p$ *is a bounded operator and* $I. \; \sigma\left(C_q, \ell_p\right) = \left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left|\lambda - \frac{1}{1+q}\right| \leq \frac{q}{1+q}\right\}.$ 2. $\sigma_p(C_q, \ell_p) = \emptyset$. 3. $\sigma_r(C_q, \ell_p) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{1+q} \right| < \frac{q}{1+q} \right\} \cup \{1\}.$ $\mathcal{A}.\ \sigma_c\left(C_q, \ell_p\right) = \left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left|\lambda - \frac{1}{1+q}\right| = \frac{q}{1+q}\right\} \setminus \{1\}.$

The theorems mentioned above have been a source of motivation for this study. The purpose of this article is to examine various spectral decompositions of $C_q^{\alpha} = (c_{nk}^{\alpha}(q))$ such as the spectrum, the fine spectrum, the approximate point spectrum, the defect spectrum, and the compression spectrum on the sequence space *c*0. The results are original and may inspire various studies on this subject.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to express their sincere thanks to the editor and the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions.

Funding

There is no funding for this work.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Competing interests

There are no competing interests.

Author's contributions

The author contributed to the writing of this paper. The author read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- [1] A.M. Akhmedov and S.R. El-Shabrawy, Spectra and fine spectra of lower triangular double-band matrices as operators on ℓ_p (1 $\leq p \lt \infty$), Math.
- Slovaca, 65 (5) (2015), 1137–1152.
[2] A.M. Akhmedov and F. Başar, On the fine spectrum of the Cesaro operator in c_0 , Math. J. Ibaraki Univ., 36 (2004), 25-32.
- [3] A.M. Akhmedov and F. Bas¸ar, On the fine spectra of the difference operator ∆ over the sequence space *lp*, (1 ≤ *p* < ∞), Demonstratio Math., 39 (3) (2006), 585-595.
- [4] A.M. Akhmedov and F. Başar, The fine spectra of the Cesaro operator C_1 over the sequence space bv_p , $(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$), Math. J. Okayama Univ., 50 (2008), 135-147.
- [5] A.M. Akhmedov and F. Bas¸ar, The fine spectra of the difference operator ∆ over the sequence space *bvp*, (1 ≤ *p* < ∞)), Acta Math. Sin. Eng. Ser., 23 (10) (2007), 1757-1768.
- [6] B. Altay and F. Başar, The fine spectrum and the matrix domain of the difference operator on the sequence space l_p , $(0 < p < 1)$, Commun. Math. Anal., 2 (2) (2007), 1-11.
- [7] RKh.Amirov, N. Durna and M. Yildirim, Subdivisions of the spectra for Cesàro, Rhaly and Weighted mean operator on ℓ_p , *c* and ℓ_p , IJST, A3 (2011), 175-183.
- [8] J. Appell, E. De Pascale and A. Vignoli, Nonlinear Spectral Theory. Berlin, New York, Walter de Gruyter, 2004. [9] F. Bas¸ar, N. Durna and M. Yildirim, Subdivisions of the Spectra for Generalized Difference Operator ∆*^v* on the Sequence Space `1, ICMS., 1309 (2010), 254-260.
- [10] F. Başar, N. Durna and M. Yildirim, Subdivisions of the spectra for the triple band matrix over certain sequence spaces, Gen. Math. Notes, 4 (1) (2011), 35-48.
- [11] F. Başar, N. Durna and M. Yildirim, Subdivisions of the spectra for genarilized difference operator over certain sequence spaces, Thai J. Math., 9 (1) (2011), 285-295.
- [12] F. Başar, N. Durna and M. Yildirim, Subdivision of the spectra for difference operator over certain sequence space, Malays. J. Math. Sci., 6 (2012), 151-165.
- [13] F. Başar, Summability Theory and its Applications, 2nd ed., CRC Press/Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton London New York, (in press) 2022.
- [14] A. Brown, P.R. Halmos and A.L. Shields, Cesaro operators. Acta Sci. Math., (Szeged). 26 (1965), 125–137. `
- [15] D.W. Boyd, The spectrum of the Cesaro operator, Acta Sci. Math., (Szeged). 29 (1968), 31–34. `
- [16] G.P. Curbera and W.J. Ricker, Spectrum of the Cesaro operator in ℓ_p , Arch. Math., 100 (2013), 267–271.
- [17] N. Durna and M.cYildirim, Subdivision of the spectra for factorable matrices on *c* and `*p*, Math. Commun., 16 (2) (2011), 519-530.
- [18] N. Durna and M. Yildirim, Subdivision of the Spectra for Factorable Matrices on c_0 , Gazi Univ. J. Sci., 24 (1) (2011), 45-49.
- [19] N. Durna, M. Yildirim and Ç. Ünal, On The Fine Spectrum of Generalized Lower Triangular Double Band Matrices $\Delta_{\mu\nu}$ Over The Sequence Space *c*₀, Cumhuriyet Sci. J., 37 (3) (2016), 281-291.
- [20] N. Durna, Subdivision of the spectra for the generalized upper triangular double-band matrices ∆ *uv* over the sequence spaces *c*⁰ and *c*, ADYUSCI, 6 (1) (2016), 31-43.
- [21] N. Durna, Subdivision of the spectra for the generalized difference operator $\Delta_{a,b}$ on the sequence space ℓ_p (1 < *p* < ∞), CBU J. Sci., 13 (2) (2017), 359–364.
- [22] N. Durna, M. Yildirim and R. Kılıç, Partition of the spectra for the generalized difference operator $B(r, s)$ on the sequence space *cs*, Cumhuriyet Sci. J., 39 (1) (2018), 7–15.
- [23] N. Durna, Subdivision of spectra for some lower triangular doule-band matrices as operators on *c*0, Ukr. Mat. Zh., 70 (7) (2018), 913–922.
- [24] N. Durna and M.E. Türkay, The spectrum of q -Cesaro matrices on c and Its various spectral decomposition for $0 < q < 1$, Oper. Matrices, 15 (3) (2020), 795-813.
- [25] E. Dundar and F. Başar, On the fine spectrum of the upper triangle double band matrix Δ^+ on the sequence space c_0 , Math. Commun., 18 (2) (2013), 337–348.
- [26] S.R. El-Shabrawy, On the fine spectrum of the generalized difference operator $\Delta_{a,b}$ over the sequence space ℓ_p , (1 < *p* < ∞), Appl. Math. Inf. Sci., 6 (1S) (2012), 111–118.
- [27] S.R. El-Shabrawy, Spectra and fine spectra of certain lower triangular double-band matrices as operators on *c*0, J. Inequal. Appl., 2014 (1) (2014), 1-9.
- [28] S.R. El-Shabrawy and S.H. Abu-Janah, Spectra of the generalized difference operator on the sequence spaces bv_0 and h , Linear and Multilinear Algebra 66 (8) (2018), 1691–1708. [29] S.R. El-Shabrawy, On *q*-Cesaro Operators:Boundness, Compactness and Spectra, Numer.Funct.Anal.Optim., 41 (2) (2021), 1019-1037. `
-
- [30] J. Fathi and L. Rahmatollah, On the fine spectra of the generalized difference operator ∆*uv* over the sequence space `*p*, JMMRC, 1 (1) (2012), 1-12. [31] H. Furkan, H. Bilgic and F. Başar, On the fine spectrum of the operator $B(r, s, t)$ over the sequence spaces ℓ_p and $b v_p$, $(1 < p < \infty)$, Comput. Math. Appl., 60 (7) (2010), 2141–2152.
- [32] S. Goldberg, Unbounded Linear Operators, McGraw Hill, New York, 1966.
- [33] M. Gonzalez, The fine spectrum of Cesaro operator in ℓ_p (1 < $p < \infty$), Arch. Math., 44 (1985), 355-358.
- [34] V. Karakaya and M. Altun, Fine spectra of upper triangular double-band matrices, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 234 (2010), 1387–1394.
- [35] V. Karakaya, M.D. Manafov and N. Şimşek, On the fine spectrum of the second order difference operator over the sequence spaces ℓ_p and bvp, (1 < *p* < ∞). Math. Comput. Modelling, 55 (3-4) (2012), 426–431.
- [36] V. Karakaya, M.D. Manafov and N. Şimşek, On fine spectra and subspectrum (approximate point, defect and compression) of operator with periodic coefficients, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., 18 (4) (2017), 709–717.
- [37] E. Kreyszing, Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York Chichester Brisbane Toronto, 1978.
- [38] I. J. Maddox, Elements of Functional Analysis, Cambridge University Press, 1970.
- [39] M. Mursaleen, M. Yildirim and N. Durna, On the spectrum and Hilbert Schimidt properties of generalized Rhaly matrices. Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Series A1, 68 (1) (2019),712–723.
- [40] M. Mursaleen and F. Başar, Sequence Spaces: Topics in Modern Summability Theory, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, Series: Mathematics and Its Applications, Boca Raton London New York, 2020.
- [41] J.I. Okutoyi, On the spectrum of Cesaro operator, P.h.D. Thesis Birmingham University, 1986. `
- [42] J.I. Okutoyi, On the spectrum of *C*¹ as an operator on *bv*0, J. Aust. Math. Soc., A 48 (1) (1990), 79–86.
- [43] J.T. Okutoyi, On the spectrum of *C*¹ as an operator on *bv*, Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Series A1, 41 (1992), 197–207.
- $[44]$ J.B. Reade, On the spectrum of the Cesaro operator, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc., 17 (3) (1985), 263–267.
- [45] B.E. Rhoades and M. Yildirim, Spectra and fine spectra for factorable matrices, Integral Equations Operator Theory, 53 (1) (2005), 127–144.
- [46] B.E. Rhoades, The fine spectra for weighted mean operators in $B(\ell_p)$, Integral Equations Operator Theory, 12 (1) (1989), 82–98.
- [47] B.C. Tripathy and R. Das, Fine spectrum of the upper triangular matrix $U(r, 0, 0, s)$ over the sequence spaces c_0 and c , Proyecciones, 37 (1) (2018), 85–101
- 85–101.
[48] T. Yaying, B. Hazarika and M. Mursaleen, On sequence space derived by the domain of q Cesàro matrix in ℓ_p space and the associated operator ideal, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 493 (1) (2021), 124453.
- [49] M. Yeşilkayagil and F. Başar, On the ne spectrum of the operator defined by a lambda matrix over the sequence spaces of null and convergent sequences, Abstr. Appl. Anal., 2013, Article ID 687393 (2013), 13 pages.
- [50] M. Yeşilkayagil and F. Başar, A survey for the spectrum of triangles over sequence spaces, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim., 40 (16) (2019), 1898-1917.
- [51] M.E. Yildirim, The spectrum and fine spectrum of *q*−Cesaro matrices with 0 ` < *q* < 1 on *c*0, Numer. Func.Anal. Optim., 41 (3) (2020), 361–377.
- [52] M. Yildirim, The spectrum and fine spectrum of the compact Rhaly operator, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 27 (8) (1996), 779-784.
- [53] M. Yildirim, The spectrum of Rhaly operator on ℓ_p , Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 32 (2) (2001), 191-198.
- [54] M. Yildirim and N. Durna, The spectrum and some subdivisions of the spectrum of discrete generalized Cesaro operators on ℓ_p , $(1 < p < \infty)$, J. Inequal. Appl. 193 (2017), 1–13.
- [55] M. Yildirim, M. Mursaleen and C. Doğan, The Spectrum and fine spectrum of generalized Rhaly-Cesaro matrices on c_0 and c , Oper. Matrices, 12 (4) (2018) , 955–975.
- [56] R.B. Wenger, The fine spectra of the Hölder summability operator, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 6 (6) (1975), 695–712.
- [57] A. Wilansky, Summability Through Functional Analysis, North Holland, 1984.