Afyon Kocatepe Üniversitesi Fen ve Mühendislik Bilimleri Dergisi

AKÜ FEMÜBİD **23** (2023) 061304 (1428-1433) AKU J. Sci. Eng. **23** (2023) 061304 (1428-1433) **DOI: 10.35414/akufemubid.1323548**

Araştırma Makalesi / Research Article

A Novel Numerical Approach for Solving the Newell-Whitehead Equation

Derya YILDIRIM SUCU1*, Seydi Battal Gazi KARAKOÇ¹

¹*Nevşehir Hacı Bektaş Veli University, Faculty of Science and Art*, *Department of Mathematics, 50300, Nevşehir, Türkiye.*

Corresponding author e-mail: dryldrmsucu@gmail.com ORCID ID:<http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8396-8081> sbgkarakoc@nevsehir.edu.tr ORCID ID:<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2348-4170>*

Geliş Tarihi: 6 Temmuz 2023 ; Kabul Tarihi: 15 Kasım 2023

Keywords Fitzhugh-Nagumo equation; Newell-Whitehead equation; collocation; finite element; septic Bspline.

Abstract

Numerical solutions of Newell-Whitehead equation are investigated by collocation method in this study. Since higher order functions produce better approximations, septic B-spline basis functions is used for analysis and approximation. Error norms are calculated for the adequacy and effectiveness of the current method. Unconditional stability is proved using Von-Neumann theory. The numerical results are obtained and the comparisons are presented in the tables. Additionally, simulations of all numerical results are plotted to show the numerical behavior of the solution. Numerical results make the method more convenient and systematically handle the nonlinear solution process. The numerical solutions found make the method attractive and reliable for the solution of Fitzhugh-Nagumo type equations.

Newell-Whitehead Denkleminin Çözümü için Yeni Bir Sayısal Yaklaşım

Anahtar kelimeler Fitzhugh-Nagumo denklemi; Newell-Whitehead denklemi; kollokasyon; sonlu elemanlar yöntemi; septik B-spline.

Öz

Bu çalışmada Newell-Whitehead denkleminin sayısal çözümleri kollokasyon yöntemi ile elde edilmiştir. Daha yüksek dereceli fonksiyonlar daha iyi yaklaşımlar ürettiğinden, analiz ve yaklaşım için septik Bspline baz fonksiyonları kullanılmıştır. Mevcut yöntemin yeterliliği ve etkinliği için hata normları hesaplanmıştır. Koşulsuz kararlılık, Von-Neumann teorisi kullanılarak kanıtlanmıştır. Sayısal sonuçlar elde edilmiş ve yapılan karşılaştırmalar tablolar halinde sunulmuştur. Ek olarak, çözümün sayısal davranışını göstermek için tüm sayısal sonuçların grafikleri çizilmiştir. Sayısal sonuçlar, yöntemi daha uygun hale getirir ve doğrusal olmayan çözüm sürecini sistematik olarak ele alır. Bulunan sayısal çözümler, kollokasyon yöntemini Fitzhugh-Nagumo tipi denklemlerin çözümü için oldukça ilgi çekici ve güvenilir kılmaktadır.

© Afyon Kocatepe Üniversitesi

1. Introduction

The study of nonlinear evolution equations (NLEEs) is of great importance in many areas of mathematical physics and fluid dynamics. Recently, many scientists have paid great attention to the nonlinear parabolic partial differential equation (NPPDE), namely Fitzhugh-Nagumo (F-N) because of its importance in mathematical physics. In 1952, this nonlinear mathematical model was first proposed by Huxley and Hodgkin (Hodgkin and Huxley 1952). This model was an important model of biological systems to explain phenomena such as

transmission of nerve impulses, flame propagation, population genetics, branching Brownian motion process, ionic current flows for axonal membranes, nuclear reactor theory (Abbasbandy 2008, Nagumo *et al.* 1962, Li and Guo 2006, Shih *et al.* 2005). But it was very difficult to analyze this model analytically. Later, in 1961, Fitzhugh and Nagumo developed a simplified version of this model, which they called F-N equation. The F-N equation given as below

$$
u_t - u_{xx} = u(u - \alpha)(1 - u), \tag{1}
$$

is a nonlinear reaction-diffusion equation that describes nerve-impulse propagation (FitzHugh 1961). In the literature, the F-N equation is solved using various numerical and exact methods (Hariharan and Kannan 2010, Nucci and Clarkson 1992, Chen *et al.* 2003, Teodoro 2012, Ali *et al.* 2020, Devi and Yadav 2022). By taking $\alpha = -1$, Equation (1) transforms to the following Newell-Whitehead (N-W) equation:

$$
u_t - u_{xx} = u - u^3, \tag{2}
$$

The N-W equation is one of NLEEs and solved several researchers (Ezzati and Shakibi 2011). Kheiri obtained analytical solutions of the N-W equation by Homotopy Analysis Method (HAM) and Homotopy Pade Method (HPadeM) (Kheiri *et al*. 2011). Hariharan introduced a Legendre waveletbased approximation method to solve the N-W equation (Hariharan 2014). Inan et al. found some analytical solutions of the F-N and N-W equations using the extended tanh extension method (İnan *et al.* 2021).

In order to find the numerical solution of actual life problems and in various fields of science, it is very important to choose an appropriate numerical approach. The Finite Element Method (FEM), which is the most competent method in solving boundary-value problems in approximation theory, is remarkable (Karakoç *et al* 2023 and Kutluay et al 2022). In this method, B-spline-based sequencing method has been chosen as interpolation functions in terms of its programmable computational approach and easy applicability (Karakoç *et al.* 2022). In this study, collocation finite element method is applied to obtain the numerical solution of the N-W equation.

One of the important goals of this article is to develop different numerical solutions of the Newell-Whitehead equation. For these aims, we begin in Section 2 introducing B-spline functions and applying the method to the equation. Section 3

includes stability analysis of the numerical technique. In Section 4, test problems taken from the literature have been solved and the obtained results are given in the tabular form as well as plotted graphically. The article ends with a brief conclusion.

2. Septic B-Splines

Septic B-spline functions $\phi_m(x)$, $m = -3(3)N$, at the nodes x_m are defined over the solution interval $[a, b]$:

$$
\varnothing_m(x) = \begin{bmatrix}\na^7, & [x_{m-4}, x_{m-3}] \\
a^7 - 8b^7, & [x_{m-3}, x_{m-2}] \\
a^7 - 8b^7 + 28c^7, & [x_{m-2}, x_{m-1}] \\
e^7 - 8f^7 + 28g^7 - 56h^7, & [x_{m-1}, x_m] \\
e^7 - 8f^7 + 28g^7, & [x_{m+1}, x_{m+1}]\n\end{bmatrix}
$$
\n(3)
\n
$$
\begin{aligned}\na^7 - 8f^7 + 28g^7, & [x_{m+2}, x_{m+3}] \\
e^7 - 8f^7, & [x_{m+2}, x_{m+3}] \\
e^7, & [x_{m+3}, x_{m+4}] \\
0, & \text{otherwise.}\n\end{aligned}
$$

where $a = (x - x_{m-4})^7$, $b = (x - x_{m-3})^7$, $(x - x_{m-2})^7$, $d = (x - x_{m-1})^7$, $e = (x_{m+4} - x)^7$, f $(x_{m+3}-x)^7$, $g = (x_{m+2}-x)^7$, $h = (x_{m+1}-x)^7$ (Prenter 1975). Among the others, collocation is well-known technique to improve numerical methods because of its various intended feature (Baker 1976). In collocation method, $u_{\textit{numeric}}(x, t)$ corresponding to the $u_{exact}(x,t)$ is written as a linear combination of septic B-splines as shown below:

$$
u_{numeric}(x,t) = \sum_{m=-3}^{N+3} \phi_m(x) \mathcal{G}_m(t).
$$
 (4)

When $hl = x - x_m, 0 \le l \le 1$ transformation is made in the finite region $\left[x_m, x_{m+1}\right]$ the region turns to an interval of [0,1]. Thus the septic Bspline functions in the new region [0,1] are obtained as follows:

A Novel Numerical Approach for Solving the Newell-Whitehead Equation, SUCU et al.
\n
$$
\phi_{m-3} = 1 - 71 + 211^2 - 351^3 + 351^4 - 211^5 + 71^6 - 1^7
$$
,
\n $\phi_{m-2} = 120 - 3921 + 5041^2 - 2801^3 + 841^5 - 421^6 + 71^7$,
\n $\phi_{m-1} = 1191 - 17151 + 3151^2 + 6651^3 - 3151^4 - 1051^5 + 1051^6 - 211^7$, $\ddot{B}_i = \frac{B_i^{n+1} - B_i^n}{\Delta t}$
\n $\phi_{m+1} = 1191 + 17151 + 3151^2 - 6651^3 - 3151^4 + 1051^5 + 1051^6 - 351^7$, and D_i by Cral
\n $\phi_{m+2} = 120 + 3921 + 5041^2 + 2801^3 - 841^5 - 421^6 + 211^7$,
\n $\phi_{m+3} = 1 + 71 + 211^2 + 351^3 + 351^4 + 211^5 + 71^6 - 1^7$,
\n $\phi_{m+4} = 1^7$.
\n $\phi_{m+5} = 1^7$.
\n $\phi_{m+6} = 1^7$.
\n $\phi_{m+7} = 1^7$.
\n $\phi_{m+8} = 1^7$.
\n $\phi_{m+9} = 1^7$.
\n $\phi_{m+1} = 1^7$.
\n $\phi_{m+1} = 1^7$.
\n $\phi_{m+1} = 1^7$.
\n $\phi_{m+2} = 1^7$.
\n $\phi_{m+1} = 1^7$.
\n $\phi_{m+2} = 1^7$.
\n $\phi_{m+3} = 1 + 71 + 211^2 + 351^3 + 351^4 + 211^5 +$

Using the equalities given by (4) and (5), the following expressions are obtained:

$$
u_{numeric}(x_{m},t) = \mathcal{G}_{m-3} + 120 \mathcal{G}_{m-2} + 1191 \mathcal{G}_{m-1} + 2416 \mathcal{G}_{m}
$$

+ 1191 \mathcal{G}_{m+1} + 120 \mathcal{G}_{m+2} + \mathcal{G}_{m+3}

$$
u_{m} = \frac{7}{h}(-\mathcal{G}_{m-3} - 56 \mathcal{G}_{m-2} - 245 \mathcal{G}_{m-1} + 245 \mathcal{G}_{m+1} + 56 \mathcal{G}_{m+2} + \mathcal{G}_{m+3}),
$$
(6)

$$
u_{m}^{*} = \frac{42}{h^{2}}(\mathcal{G}_{m-3} + 24 \mathcal{G}_{m-2} + 15 \mathcal{G}_{m-1} - 80 \mathcal{G}_{m} + 15 \mathcal{G}_{m+1} + 24 \mathcal{G}_{m+2} + \mathcal{G}_{m+3}),
$$

$$
u_{m}^{*} = \frac{210}{h^{3}}(-\mathcal{G}_{m-3} - 8\mathcal{G}_{m-2} + 19 \mathcal{G}_{m-1} - 19 \mathcal{G}_{m+1} + 8 \mathcal{G}_{m+2} + \mathcal{G}_{m+3}).
$$

2.1 Analysis of the Method on Newell-Whitehead Equation

In this section, putting (4) and (6) in Equation (2) and making some simplifications, the next system of ordinary differential equations (ODEs) are reached:

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{m-3} + 120 \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{m-2} + 1191 \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{m-1} + 2416 \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{m}
$$

+1191 $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{m+1} + 120 \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{m+2} + \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{m+3}$

$$
-\frac{42}{h^2} (\mathcal{G}_{m-3} + 24 \mathcal{G}_{m-2} + 15 \mathcal{G}_{m-1} - 80 \mathcal{G}_{m}
$$

+15 $\mathcal{G}_{m+1} + 24 \mathcal{G}_{m+2} + \mathcal{G}_{m+3})$
+ $(Z_{m} + 1)(\mathcal{G}_{m-3} + 120 \mathcal{G}_{m-2} + 1191 \mathcal{G}_{m-1}$
+2416 $\mathcal{G}_{m} + 1191 \mathcal{G}_{m+1} + 120 \mathcal{G}_{m+2} + \mathcal{G}_{m+3}) = 0,$
in which $\frac{8}{9} = \frac{d\mathcal{G}}{dt}$ and

$$
Z_{m} = u^{2} = (\mathcal{G}_{m-3} + 120 \mathcal{G}_{m-2} + 1191 \mathcal{G}_{m-1} + 2416 \mathcal{G}_{m} +1191 \mathcal{G}_{m+1} + 120 \mathcal{G}_{m+2} + \mathcal{G}_{m+3})^{2},
$$

Replacing \mathring{S}_i by forward difference approximation $\overset{g}{\mathcal{G}}_i = \frac{\mathcal{G}_i^{n+1} - \mathcal{G}_i^n}{\mathcal{G}_i^n}$

$$
H_i = \frac{1}{\Delta t}
$$

and ρ _i by Crank-Nicolson formulation

$$
\mathcal{G}_i=\frac{\mathcal{G}_i^{n+1}+\mathcal{G}_i^n}{2}\,\textcolor{black}{,}
$$

then the system of ODEs (7) reduces to a system of nonlinear equations

$$
\lambda_1 \mathcal{G}_{m-3}^{n+1} + \lambda_2 \mathcal{G}_{m-2}^{n+1} + \lambda_3 \mathcal{G}_{m-1}^{n+1} + \lambda_4 \mathcal{G}_m^{n+1} \n+ \lambda_5 \mathcal{G}_{m+1}^{n+1} + \lambda_6 \mathcal{G}_{m+2}^{n+1} + \lambda_7 \mathcal{G}_{m+3}^{n+1} \n= + \lambda_7 \mathcal{G}_{m-3}^{n} + \lambda_6 \mathcal{G}_{m-2}^{n} + \lambda_5 \mathcal{G}_{m-1}^{n} + \lambda_4 \mathcal{G}_{m}^{n} \n+ \lambda_3 \mathcal{G}_{m+1}^{n} + \lambda_2 \mathcal{G}_{m+2}^{n} + \lambda_1 \mathcal{G}_{m+3}^{n}
$$
\n(8)

where

$$
\lambda_1 = [1 - A + BZ_m - B],
$$

\n
$$
\lambda_2 = [120 - 24A + 120BZ_m - 120B],
$$

\n
$$
\lambda_3 = [1191 - 15A + 1191BZ_m - 1191B],
$$

\n
$$
\lambda_4 = [2416 + 80A + 2416BZ_m - 2416B],
$$

\n
$$
\lambda_5 = [1191 - 15A + 1191BZ_m - 1191B],
$$

\n
$$
\lambda_6 = [120 - 24A + 120BZ_m - 120B],
$$

\n
$$
\lambda_7 = [1 - A + BZ_m - B]
$$

and

$$
A = \frac{21}{h^2} \Delta t, B = \frac{1}{2} \Delta t, m = 0, 1, ..., N - 1.
$$
 (10)

To assure a unique solution, it is necessary to eliminate unknown parameters \mathcal{G}_{-3} , \mathcal{G}_{-2} , \mathcal{G}_{-1} , $\mathcal{G}_{N+1}, \mathcal{G}_{N+2}$ and \mathcal{G}_{N+3} from the resulting system (8). This procedure can be easily applied using the values of u and boundary conditions, and then

$$
Pd^{n+1} = Qd^n \tag{11}
$$

is obtained where $d^n = (\sigma_0, \sigma_1, ..., \sigma_N)^T$.

3. Stability of the Method

For the stability analysis, Von Neumann technique has been used. Amplification factor ξ of a typical Fourier mode of amplitude is determined as:

$$
\sigma_m^n = \xi^n e^{imkh}.\tag{12}
$$

Using Equation (12) in the (8),

$$
\xi = \frac{\cos a_1}{\cos a_2} \tag{13}
$$

is obtained and in which

 $a_1 = (2 - \alpha + \mu - \beta)\cos(3kh)$ $+(2382 - 15\alpha + 1191\mu - 1191$
 $a_2 = (2 + \alpha - \mu + \beta)\cos(3kh)$ $\alpha_1 = (2 - \alpha + \mu - \beta) \cos(3kh)$
(240 – 24 α + 120 μ – 120 β) cos(2kh) +(240 – 24 α + 120 μ – 120 β) cos(2kh)
+(2382 – 15 α + 1191 μ – 1191 β) cos(kh) + κ , $\alpha_2 = (2 + \alpha - \mu + \beta)\cos(3kh)$
(240 + 24 + α - 120 μ + 120 β) cos(2kh) +(240 + 24 + α - 120 μ + 120 β) cos(2kh)
+(2382 + 15 α - 1191 μ + 1191 β) cos(kh) + κ , $a_1 = (2 - \alpha + \mu - \beta)\cos(3kh)$
+(240 – 24 α + 120 μ – 120 β) $a_2 = (2 + \alpha - \mu + \beta)\cos(3kh)$
+(240 + 24 + α - 120 μ + 120 β) where

 $\alpha = 2A, \mu = 2BZ_m, \beta = 2B,$ $\alpha = 2A, \mu = 2B Z_m, \beta = 2B,$
 $\kappa = 2416 + 80A + 2416B Z_m - 2416B,$

so that $|\xi| \leq 1$, which proves unconditional stability of the linearized numerical scheme for the N-W equation.

4. Numerical Experiments and Discussions

In this section, the proposed scheme is applied for solution of N-W equation for various values of the time and space division and we approximate them using the described scheme. Firstly, the F-N equation has an exact solution of the form

$$
u(x,t) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \tanh[\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(x - \frac{2\alpha - 1}{\sqrt{2}})t].
$$
 (14)

F-N equation is considered the following boundaryinitial conditions

$$
u(x,0) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \tanh[\frac{x}{2\sqrt{2}}],
$$

\n
$$
u(a,t) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \tanh[\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(a - \frac{2\alpha - 1}{\sqrt{2}})t],
$$

\n
$$
u(b,t) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \tanh[\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(b - \frac{2\alpha - 1}{\sqrt{2}})t]
$$
\n(15)

where $u \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow m\infty$.

The F-N equation is reduced to the N-W equation by taking $\alpha = -1$. To demonstrate the validity of our numerical scheme, the interval of the problem is

chosen as $x \in [-1,1]$ and various time steps considering the studies in the literature.

We will use the error norms, widely used in the literature, namely L_2 and L_{∞} in order to check the efficiency and accuracy of our method:

$$
L_{2} = ||u_{exact} - u_{numeric}||_{2}
$$

\n
$$
\left\{\frac{h \sum_{j=1}^{N} |(u_{exact})_{j} - (u_{numeric})_{j}|^{2}}{h_{exact} - u_{numeric}||_{\infty}}\right\} \right\},
$$
\n
$$
L_{\infty} = ||u_{exact} - u_{numeric}||_{\infty}
$$

\n
$$
\left\{\max |(u_{exact})_{j} - (u_{numeric})_{j}|, j = 1, 2, ..., N. \right\}
$$
\n(16)

In simulation calculations in order to comply with the literature, as typical values $\Delta t = 0.1$, $\Delta t = 0.01$ with $h = 0.1$ and $h = 0.01$ were chosen. In Table 1 and Table 2, the values of the error norms $L₂$ and *L* calculated over these values for time levels and step sizes are presented. When the tables are examined, the calculated error norms L_{2} and L_{∞} are found to be satisfactorily small. We can say that the increase in the number of time divisions has positive effects on the numerical results. In those tables, it is clearly seen that the collocation method combined with the division methods is better, faster and more reliable than the other methods.

Table 1. The error norms for $h = 0.1, h = 0.01$ and $\Delta t = 0.01$.

	$\mathscr{E}t \boxtimes 0.01, h \boxtimes 0.1$		$\mathscr{E}t$ x 0.01, h x 0.01	
t	L,	L@	L,	L_{\oplus}
10	$0.8828 \blacktriangleleft 0^{46}$	1.4451 \triangleleft 0 ^{$\&$6}	9.778 $\blacktriangleleft 0^{\mathcal{Z}}$	1.4940 \square 0.46
20	$1.3890 \text{ } \triangleleft 0^{46}$	$2.0655 \le 10^{26}$	$7.220 \triangleleft 0^2$	$0.9835 \triangleleft 0^{46}$
30	$1.3894 \text{ } \triangleleft 0^{46}$	$2.0656 \le 10^{26}$	8.452 \P^{0^d}	1.4006 \triangleleft 0 ^{$\&$6}
40	$1.3915 \le 10^{46}$	$2.0658 \le 10^{26}$	9.976 \P^{0^Z}	$1.4608 \le 10^{26}$
50	$1.3890 \text{ } \triangleleft 0^{26}$	$2.0660 \text{ } \triangleleft 0^{26}$	8.790 $\triangleleft 0^{\mathcal{A}}$	$1.5913 \text{ } \triangleleft 0^{46}$

Table 2. The error norms for $h = 0.1, h = 0.01$ and $\Delta t = 0.1$.

If we analyze Figure 1, we can clearly see the twodimensional case of the upper half bell-shaped wave solutions generated at times $t = 1$, $t = 5$ and $t = 10$. We see the three-dimensional shape and contour graph of the wave solutions in the selected time intervals in Figure 2.

Figure 1. Numerical behaviours (2D) of N-W equation for $t = 1, t = 5$ and $t = 10$.

Figure 2. Numerical behaviours (3D) of N-W equation for $\Delta t = 0.01$ and $h = 0.1$.

and $h = 0.1$.

4. Conclusion

We derived the formulation of the collocation finite element method for the N-W equation in the article. We consider the equation with the approximate solution which converges faster to the exact solution. The method has been successfully used to discover the approximate solution of the N-W equation. The obtained numerical results are quite satisfactory for large time steps as well as for space steps, and the absolute error map provides very few errors that can be neglected. It is obvious that numerical algorithm is unconditionally stable. From both the numerical and graphical presentation it can be concluded that the method is quite efficient for solving the wide variety of NLEEs that arise in various disciplines.

5. References

- Abbasbandy, S., 2008. Soliton solutions for the Fitzhugh- -Nagumo equation with the homotopy analysis method. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, **32(12)**, 2706-2714.
- Ali, H., Kamrujjaman, M., and Islam, M. S., 2020. Numerical computation of Fitzhugh-Nagumo equation: a novel Galerkin finite element approach. *International Journal of Mathematical Research,* **9(1)**, 20-27.
- Baker, C. T. H., 1976. Initial value problems for Volterra integro-differential equations, in: G. Hall, J. Watt (Eds.), Modern Numerical Methods for Ordinary Differential Equations, *Clarendon Press*, Oxford, 296- -307.
- Bhrawy, A. H., 2013. A Jacobi--Gauss--Lobatto collocation method for solving generalized Fitzhugh-- Nagumo equation with time-dependent coefficients. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **222**, 255- 264.
- Chen, Z., Gumel, A. B., and Mickens, R. E., 2003. Nonstandard discretizations of the generalized Nagumo reaction-diffusion equation. Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations: *An International Journal,* **19(3)**, 363-379.
- Devi, A.and Yadav, P., 2022. Higher Order Galerkin Finite Element Method for Generalized Fitzhugh-Nagumo Reaction Diffusion Equation, Department of Mathematics & Scientific Computing, *National Institute of Technology Hamirpur*, India.
- Ezzati, R., and Shakibi, K., 2011. Using Adomian's decomposition and multiquadric quasi-interpolation

methods for solving Newell-Whitehead equation. *Procedia Computer Science*, **3**, 1043-1048.

- FitzHugh, R., 1961. Impulses and physiological states in theoretical models of nerve membrane. *Biophysical journal*, **1(6)**, 445-466.
- Hariharan, G., and Kannan, K., 2010. Haar wavelet method for solving FitzHugh-Nagumo equation. *International Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences*, **2(2)**, 59-63.
- Hariharan, G., 2014. An efficient Legendre waveletbased approximation method for a few Newell-- Whitehead and Allen--Cahn equations. *The Journal of membrane biology*, **247**, 371-380.
- Hodgkin, A. L., and Huxley, A. F., 1952. A quantitative description of membrane current and its application to conduction and excitation in nerve. *The Journal of physiology*, **117(4),** 500.
- Inan, B., Ali, K. K., Saha, A., Ak, T., 2021. Analytical and numerical solutions of the Fitzhugh--Nagumo equation and their multistability behavior. *Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations*, **37(1)**, 7- 23.
- Kakiuchi, N. and Tchizawa, K., 1997. On an explicit duck solution and delay in the Fitzhugh-Nagumo equation, *Journal of differential equations*, **141**(2), 327-339.
- Karakoc, S. B. G., Sucu, D. Y., Taghachi M. A., 2022. Numerical Simulation of Generalized Oskolkov Equation via tahe Septic B-Spline Collocation Method. *Journal of Universal Mathematics*, **5(2)**, 108-116.
- Kutluay, S., Yağmurlu, N. M., and Karakaş, A. S., 2022. An Effective Numerical Approach Based on Cubic Hermite B-spline Collocation Method for Solving the 1D Heat Conduction Equation, New Trends in Mathematical Sciences, 10(4), 20-31.
- Karakoc, S. B. G., Saha, A., Bhowmik, S. K., and Sucu, D. Y., 2023. Numerical and dynamical behaviors of nonlinear traveling wave solutions of the Kudryashov--Sinelshchikov equation. *Wave Motion*, **118**, 103-121.
- Kheiri, H., Alipour, N., and Dehghani, R., 2011. Homotopy analysis and homotopy pade methods for

the modified Burgers-Korteweg-de Vries and the Newell-Whitehead equations.

- Li, H., and Guo, Y., 2006. New exact solutions to the Fitzhugh--Nagumo equation. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **180(2)**, 524-528.
- Nagumo, J., Arimoto, S., and Yoshizawa, S., 1962. An active pulse transmission line simulating nerve axon. *Proceedings of the IRE*, **50(10)**, 2061-2070.
- M. Nucci and P. Clarkson, 1992. The nonclassical method is more general than the direct method for symmetry reductions. an example of the Fitzhugh-Nagumo equation, *Physics Letters A*, **164(1)**, 49-56.
- Prenter, P. M., 1975. Splines and Variational Methods, Wiley-interscience publication, New York.
- Shih, M., Momoniat, E., & Mahomed, F. M., 2005. Approximate conditional symmetries and approximate solutions of the perturbed Fitzhugh-- Nagumo equation. *Journal of mathematical physics*, **46(2),** 023503.
- Teodoro,M.F., 2012. Numerical approximation of a nonlinear delay-advance functional differential equation by a finite element method. *In AIP Conference Proceedings*, **1479**, 1, 806-809.