

Original article (Orijinal araştırma)

Evaluation of diatomaceous earth formulations for the control of rice weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae* L., 1763 (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in stored rice¹

Depolanmış çeltikte piriç biti, *Sitophilus oryzae* L., 1763 (Coleoptera: Curculionidae)'nin mücadelesinde diyatom toprağı formülasyonlarının değerlendirilmesi

Sait ERTÜRK^{2*}

Ahmet Güray FERİZLİ³

Mevlüt EMEKÇİ³

Summary

A study was conducted between 2012 and 2014 on the protective efficacy of diatomaceous earth (DE) formulations against *Sitophilus oryzae* L., 1763 (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) (rice weevil), a major pest of the stored rice. Biological tests were carried out at 30°C and 75% RH on rice treated with DE formulations. In the biological tests, different concentrations of Protector[®] (DE formulation) and DEA-P [mixture of natural DE (83%) and 0.25% abamectin (w:w)] were used. After DE treatment, dead adults were counted once a week for a month and percentage mortalities were determined. In order to determine progeny production (F₁), rice was also incubated under the same conditions for a 60-day period. Protector[®] gave 100% mortality to *S. oryzae* adults at 1000 ppm after 14 d, and DEA-P gave the same mortality rate at 75 ppm at the same time. In studies to determine progeny (F₁) emergence, Protector[®] resulted in 100% mortality at 1750 ppm after 60 d of storage, while DEA-P caused 100% mortality at 50 ppm concentration. In conclusion, the protective effect of both DE formulations was confirmed, however DEA-P was more effective against *S. oryzae* at lower concentrations than Protector[®].

Keywords: Diatomaceous earth, physical control, *Sitophilus oryzae*, stored rice

Özet

Bu çalışma 2012-2014 yılları arasında laboratuvar koşullarında yürütülmüştür. Bu çalışma ile diyatom toprağı (DT) formülasyonlarının, önemli bir depo zararlısı olan *Sitophilus oryzae* L., 1763 (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) (Piriç biti)'ye karşı depolanmış çeltikte koruyucu etkisi araştırılmıştır. Çalışmalar 30°C sıcaklık ve %75 oranlı nemde, DT uygulanmış çeltikte yürütülmüştür. Denemelerde Protector[®] (DT formülasyonu) ve DEA-P (DT ile abamectin karışım formülasyonu) formülasyonlarının farklı konsantrasyonları kullanılmıştır. Çalışmalarda DT uygulamasının ardından birer hafta ara ile 4 kez ölü ergin birey sayımı yapılmış ve ölüm oranları belirlenmiştir. Ayrıca *S. oryzae*'nin F₁ çıkışını (birinci nesil erginleri) belirlemek amacı ile çeltik tekrar aynı koşullarda 60 gün süre ile bekletilerek yeni nesil ergin sayıları hesaplanmıştır. Protector[®], *S. oryzae* erginlerinde 1000 ppm konsantrasyonunda 14. günde %100 ölüm sağlarken DEA-P aynı ölüm oranını 75 ppm konsantrasyonda aynı sürede sağlamıştır. F₁ çıkışlarını belirlemek için yapılan çalışmalarda ise Protector[®] 60 günlük depolama süresi sonunda 1750 ppm konsantrasyonda %100 etkili olurken, DEA-P ise 50 ppm konsantrasyonda %100 ölüme neden olmuştur. Sonuçlar değerlendirildiğinde, her iki diyatom toprağı formülasyonunun ürünü koruyucu etkisinin olduğu, ancak DEA-P' nin *S. oryzae*'ye karşı Protector[®]unkilerden daha düşük konsantrasyonlarda etkili olduğu saptanmıştır.

Anahtar sözcükler: Diyatom toprağı, fiziksel mücadele, *Sitophilus oryzae*, depolanmış çeltik

¹ This study formed part of the PhD thesis of the first author. This study was supported by TAGEM-BS-12/12-04/01-11 project and presented as an oral presentation at the Fifth Plant Protection Congress of Turkey (3-5 February 2014 Antalya, Turkey).

² Plant Protection Central Research Institute, 06172, Yenimahalle, Ankara, Turkey

³ Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Ankara University, 06110 Ankara, Turkey

* Corresponding author (Sorumlu yazar) e-mail: saiterturk@gmail.com

Received (Alınış): 23.04.2017

Accepted (Kabul ediliş): 07.11.2017

Published Online (Çevrimiçi Yayın Tarihi): 29.11.2017

Introduction

Rice, *Oryza sativa* L. is a staple food for 1.5 billion people around the world. Global rice production is around 741 Mt annually, of which Turkey produces 830 kt (FAO, 2014). Rice has an important place in human nutrition due to its high protein, starch, vitamin (B1, B3, B6 and E) and mineral (K, P, Fe and Mg) (Özder et al., 2013) content. To maintain its nutritive quality, rice, like other cereal grains, should be dried and stored under the proper conditions, and special precautions against the pests must be taken. For the latter, continuous pest monitoring and timely applications of control measures are very important.

About 10-30% of annual global grain production is damaged by storage insect pests (Singh et al., 2009). Chemical insecticides are currently the main way of managing insect pests of stored grains. However, environmental, ecological and health effects of pesticides have lead researchers to seek safe alternatives (Zettler & Keever, 1994; Benhalima et al., 2004; Isikber & Oztekin, 2009; Pimentel et al., 2010; Alkan & Gökçe, 2012; Kepenekçi et al., 2013; Alkan et al., 2015). One of these alternatives is diatomaceous earth (DE), which is used as a physical control measure against stored grain insects. Diatomite rocks (kieselgur) that are used for a wide range of purposes from filter aid to filling materials and from food additives to refractories, are the fossilized silica remains of one-celled microscopic algae which are known as diatoms (Özbey & Atamer, 1987). DE is the only mineral that is of organic origin. In USA, silica-based DEs are rated by the Food and Drug Administration as GRAS (generally recognized as safe) for human consumption and are also registered as animal feed additives (Banks & Fields, 1995). DEs are currently used against stored product pests in the EU. Water loss in the DE-exposed insects is the main cause of mortality (Ebeling, 1971).

Due to their low mammalian toxicity (in rats, oral LD₅₀ >5000 mg/kg body weight) (Subramanyam et al., 1994), stability, efficacy and lack of toxic residues, DEs have been the subject of considerable research (Ebeling, 1971; Banks & Fields, 1995; Golob, 1997; Korunic, 1998; Fields & Korunic, 2000; Subramanyam & Roesli, 2000). DEs can be used alone or in combination with insecticides of various origin (Athanassiou et al., 2005; 2006). DEA-P, for example, used in the current study is a mixture of DE and abamectin, an insecticidal/acaricidal toxin produced by fermentation by *Streptomyces avermitilis* (Burg et al., 1979) Kim and Goodfellow 2002, a soil-inhabiting bacterium (Athanassiou & Korunic, 2007).

Although numerous and versatile DE formulations have been tested against pests of various stored products (Korunic, 1998; Athanassiou et al., 2005; Ferizli & Beris, 2005; Ziaee & Khashaveh, 2007; Kavallieratos et al., 2007; Kostyukovsky et al., 2010; Doğanay, 2013; Ertürk & Emekci, 2014), there is no literature on the long-term efficacy of DEs against rice weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae* L., 1763 (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the efficacy of two DE formulations, Protector[®] and DEA-P, against rice weevil.

Material and Methods

Insect rearing

Sitophilus oryzae were reared in 1-L glass jars on whole grain soft wheat in incubators (Nüve ID 501, Ankara, Turkey) maintained at about 30°C and 75% RH. The mouth of each jar was covered with a perforated lid with inner surface were with US standard sieves mesh #120 to facilitate ventilation and prevent escape of insects. To obtain 1-2-wk-old adults in sufficient numbers, about 700-1000 adults were transferred into glass jars having approximately 500 g of wheat and left to oviposit for 48 h. After oviposition, adults were removed and after 30 d newly emerged adults were sieved off every 3-4 d to use in the experiments.

Diatomaceous earth formulations

Two DE formulations, DEA-P (supplied by C.G. Athanassiou, University of Thessaly, Greece) and Protector[®] (Intrachem Bio Italia, Grassobbio, Bergamo, Italy) were used in the experiments. DEA-P is a DE formulation composed of a mixture of natural DE (83%) and 0.25% abamectin (w/w). Abamectin is a mixture of avermectins containing >80% avermectin B1a and <20% avermectin B1b. These two components have very similar biological and toxicological properties. The avermectins are insecticidal or anthelmintic compounds derived from the soil bacterium *S. avermitilis*. Abamectin is a natural fermentation product of this bacterium (Lankas & Gordon, 1989; Hayes & Laws, 1990). Its DE component is of freshwater origin and contains 89% amorphous SiO₂, 4% Al₂O₃, 1.7% Fe₂O₃, 1.4% CaO, <1% MgO and K₂O and 3% water (w/w) (Athanassiou & Korunic, 2007).

The other DE formulation was natural DE sold as Protector[®] and is composed of 69.7% SiO₂, 5.89% Al₂O₃, 0.414% CaO and 1.05% Fe₂O₃, and, half of its particles were below 9.46 µm. (Baldassari et al., 2008).

Experimental protocol

A Turkish rice variety, *Oryza sativa* cv. Osmancık-97, with 9.8% moisture content (Multi-Grain Moisture Tester, Dickey John, Auburn, IL, USA) was used in the experiments. DEA-P was used at the concentration of 25, 50, 75, 100, 150, 175 and 200 ppm, and Protector[®] at 250, 500, 750, 1000, 1500, 1750 and 2000 ppm (i.e., mg DE/kg rice). Untreated rice (0 ppm) was used as the control for both DEs. DE required for four replicates of each concentration was weighed and added to 280 g of rice in 1-L plastic bags. The plastic bags were sealed inflated, then thoroughly shaken by hand for 4-5 min to ensure even distribution, and left for 10 min to allow dust settle before dividing into 225-mL PVC test vials (3 x 8 cm). Each vial was fitted with a plastic lid prepared as for the rearing jars. The vials were then filled with 70 g of DE treated rice and with 50 adult weevils each. Test vials were put into large PVC boxes containing KOH (22.25 g KOH, 77.75 g distilled water) solution to maintain the humidity at about 75%. The PVC boxes were closed tightly and then placed in an incubator (Binder KB 720, Tuttlingen, Germany) adjusted at 30±1°C. To determine mortality, live and dead adults were counted after 7, 14, 21 and 28 d. On day 28, all the live insects were removed. The vials were incubated for another 60 d and F₁ progeny counted.

Statistical analysis

Results were analyzed by factorial design repeated measures ANOVA (Gürbüz et al., 2003) using Statistica 8 (Weiß, 2007), with observation time as the repeated-measures factor and DE formulation and DE concentration as categorical predictor variables. Mortality data were arcsine transformed before analysis. The differences among the treatment means were analyzed by Tukey's HSD test at 5% significance level (Sokal & Rohlf, 1995).

Results and Discussion

Studies with Protector[®]

Mortality of rice weevil increased with the increase of both concentration and exposure time, and complete mortality had occurred by 14 d at 1000 ppm ($F = 23.6$; $df = 21,576$; $P < 0.05$) (Table 1). Similar results for rice weevil were reported by other researchers using various DEs. Kostyukovsky et al. (2010), reported complete mortality after 21 d in wheat treated with DDDE (Detia Degesch diatomaceous earth, Laudenbach, Germany) at 1000 ppm at 28°C and 65% RH. Athanassiou et al. (2004), who worked with various cereals treated with Insecto, SilicoSec, and PyriSec, obtained complete mortality of *S. oryzae* after 7 d. Matti & Awaknavar (2009) reported that Protect-It applied to sorghum at 1000 ppm for 7 d caused complete mortality of *S. oryzae* adults at 30°C with RH up to 90%; however, decreased temperatures of 25 and 20°C restricted the RH range at which complete mortality was observed, to 50 and 30%, respectively. The differences in the reported times to reach complete mortality is considered to be due to be the differences in experimental conditions, such as DE, crop/cultivar, temperature and RH (Korunic, 1998; Fields & Korunic, 2000; Baldassari et al., 2008; Matti & Awaknavar, 2009).

Table 1. Mortality of *Sitophilus oryzae* adults exposed to rice treated with Protector® at 30°C and 75% RH

Concentration (ppm)	Mortality±SE (%)			
	Exposure time (day)			
	7	14	21	28
Control	2.0±0.23 aC*	3.1±0.24 aB	4.1±0.30 aC	6.5±0.45 aC
250	1.5±0.27 cC	24.5±2.57 bcB	53.0±2.97 abB	80.0±0.27 aB
500	23.0±1.54 cBC	80.5±0.83 bA	97.5±1.81 aA	100.0±0.00 aA
750	74.5±2.93 bA	98.0±1.20 aA	100.0±0.00 aA	100.0±0.00 aA
1000	78.0±1.80 bA	100.0±0.00 aA	100.0±0.00 aA	100.0±0.00 aA
1500	93.5±0.27 aA	100.0±0.00 aA	100.0±0.00 aA	100.0±0.00 aA
1750	99.0±0.16 aA	100.0±0.00 aA	100.0±0.00 aA	100.0±0.00 aA
2000	96.0±0.80 aA	100.0±0.00 aA	100.0±0.00 aA	100.0±0.00 aA

*Means followed by the same lowercase letter within a row or the same uppercase letter within a column are not significantly different ($P \leq 0.05$).

F₁ progeny suppression is as important as the immediate mortality in population suppression of the pests. Fewer adults than that of the beginning of the experiment developed with both DEs applied at ≥ 750 ppm (Table 2). Similarly, Ferizli & Beris (2005) reported that in *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F., 1792) (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) increase in dosage resulted in fewer F₁ progeny than at the start of exposure. Kavallieratos et al. (2007) also reported enhanced and natural DEs, such as Pyrisec, Insecto and Protect-It, had a similar effect in decreasing in population growth in *Tribolium confusum* Jacqueli du Val, 1863 (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) with increasing concentration from 500 to 1000 ppm due to the increased effects on larvae.

Table 2. Mean number and mortality of F₁ progeny of *Sitophilus oryzae* exposed to rice treated with Protector® at 30°C and 75% RH

Concentration (ppm)	F ₁ Progeny (Mean)	Mortality±SE (%)
Control	49.00	26.0±0.41 d*
250	62.75	47.6±3.17 cd
500	54.5	46.5±1.95 cd
750	43.25	49.6±1.52 cd
1000	19.75	82.4±2.16 bc
1500	22.75	90.1±1.19 ab
1750	11.00	100.0±0.00 a
2000	5.25	100.0±0.00 a

*Means followed by the same lowercase letter are not significantly different ($P \leq 0.05$).

Studies with DEA-P

As with Protector®, adult mortality increased with the increase of concentration and exposure time, but complete mortality occurred after 7 d at ≥ 100 ppm ($F = 6.09$; $df = 21, 288$; $P < 0.05$) (Table 3). Since DEA-P is an enhanced DE formulation combined with abamectin, complete mortality was obtained at lower concentration and shorter time than with Protector®. This can be helpful to overcome obstacles

associated with natural DEs applied at higher concentrations. Athanassiou et al. (2006), in order to eliminate decrease in bulk density and flowability caused by high concentrations of DEs, used DEA-P against *Prostephanus truncatus* (Horn, 1878) (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) and *R. dominica* at a concentration of 75 ppm and obtained complete mortality after 14 d in corn and wheat. The differences in time to achieve complete mortality in various reports is thought to be a consequence to different pest species investigated. Among insect pest species, there are differences in tolerance to DEs, from least to most tolerant being *Cryptolestes* spp., *Sitophilus* spp., *Oryzaephilus* spp., *R. dominica*, *Tribolium* spp. and *P. truncatus* (Maceljski & Korunic, 1971; Desmarchelier & Dines, 1987; Subramanyam et al., 1998; Fields & Korunic, 2000).

Table 3. Mortality rate of *Sitophilus oryzae* adults exposed to rice treated with DEA-P at 30°C and 75% RH

Concentration (ppm)	Mortality±SE (%)			
	Exposure time (day)			
	7	14	21	28
Control	26.5±0.20 bB*	45.0±0.34 aB	51.8±0.21 aB	54.0±0.29 aB
25	91.5±0.50 aA	78.1±1.61 aA	75.0±6.12 aA	75.0±6.12 aA
50	95.8±0.12 aA	100.0±0.00 aA	100.0±0.00 aA	100.0±0.00 aA
75	99.8±0.12 aA	100.0±0.00 aA	100.0±0.00 aA	100.0±0.00 aA
100	100.0±0.00 aA	100.0±0.00 aA	100.0±0.00 aA	100.0±0.00 aA
150	100.0±0.00 aA	100.0±0.00 aA	100.0±0.00 aA	100.0±0.00 aA
175	100.0±0.00 aA	100.0±0.00 aA	100.0±0.00 aA	100.0±0.00 aA
200	100.0±0.00 aA	100.0±0.00 aA	100.0±0.00 aA	100.0±0.00 aA

*Means followed by the same lowercase letter within a row or uppercase letters within a column are not significantly different ($P \leq 0.05$).

Progeny studies showed that complete F_1 mortality was obtained at all concentrations except 25 ppm ($F = 23.1$; $df = 7,96$; $P < 0.05$) (Table 4). Similarly, Athanassiou et al. (2006), using the same DE, reported that 125 ppm is required to get a complete mortality of F_1 progeny of *S. oryzae* at 27°C and 65%. The difference between the two studies probably due to the differences in temperature and RH.

Table 4. Mean number and mortality of F_1 progeny of *Sitophilus oryzae* exposed to rice treated with DEA-P at 30°C and 75% RH

Concentration (ppm)	F_1 Progeny (Mean)	Mortality±SE (%)
Control	44.50	27.2±1.87 b*
25	13.75	57.0±2.38 b
50	5.25	100.0±0.00 a
75	2.25	100.0±0.00 a
100	1.50	100.0±0.00 a
150	0.75	100.0±0.00 a
175	2.25	100.0±0.00 a
200	0.25	100.0±0.00 a

*Means followed by the same lowercase letter are not significantly different ($P \leq 0.05$).

This study aimed to introduce DEs to Turkish rice sector as efficient and safe protectants posing the least risks for human health and the environment. Both DE formulations, Protector[®] (natural DE) and DEA-P (enhanced DE) were shown to be effective in protecting rice against rice weevil. As reported by several authors, natural DEs when used at high concentrations have some disadvantages, such as reduced grain flow ability and bulk density, abrasion of machines parts and workplace health concerns (Subramanyam et al., 1994; Golob, 1997). Therefore, enhanced DEs can address these limitations by using lower concentrations and thus broaden the adoption of DEs for the control of storage pests (Subramanyam & Roesli, 2000; Athanassiou et al., 2006; Athanassiou & Korunic, 2007; Kavallieratos et al., 2007; Vayias & Stephou, 2009; Wakil et al., 2010). As exemplified in the present study, DEs hold considerable promise for reducing the need for synthetic pesticides by effectively suppressing pest populations. However, to encourage their use more works are needed regarding the efficacy of DEs in other situations, such as with other pest species, temperature, moisture content and crop/cultivar.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Prof. Dr. Christos G. Athanassiou (University of Thessaly, Greece) for supplying the DEs, Assist. Prof. Dr. Özgür Koşkan (Süleyman Demirel University, Isparta, Turkey) for assistance in statistical analysis and Asst. Prof. Dr. Abdul Wakeel (University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan) for reading the manuscript. This project (TAGEM-BS-12/12-04/01-11) was funded by General Directorate of Agricultural Research and Policies, Republic of Turkey Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Livestock.

References

- Alkan, M. & A. Gökçe, 2012. Toxic and behavioral effects of *Tanacetum abrotanifolium* L. Druce (Asteraceae) stem and flower extracts on *Sitophilus granarius* and *Sitophilus oryzae* (Col., Curculionidae). Turkish Journal of Entomology, 36 (3): 377-389.
- Alkan, M., A. Gökçe & H. Çam, 2015. Repellent effects of *Tanacetum abrotanifolium* (L.) Druce (Asteraceae) extract to *Sitophilus granarius* and *Sitophilus oryzae* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in non-choice test. Plant Protection Bulletin, 55 (3): 207-214.
- Athanassiou, C. G., N. G. Kavallieratos & N. S. Andris, 2004. Insecticidal effect of three diatomaceous earth formulations against adults of *Sitophilus oryzae* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) and *Tribolium confusum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) on oat, rye and triticale. Journal of Economic Entomology, 97: 2160-2167.
- Athanassiou, C. G. & Z. Korunic, 2007. Evaluation of two new diatomaceous earth formulations, enhanced with abamectin and bitterbarkomycin, against four stored grain beetle species. Journal of Stored Products Research, 43 (4): 468-473.
- Athanassiou, C. G., Z. Korunic, N. G. Kavallieratos, G. G. Peteinatos & M. C. Boukouvala, 2006. "New trends in the use of diatomaceous earth against stored-grain insects, 730-740". Proceedings of the Ninth International Working Conference on Stored-Product Protection Campinas (15-18 October 2006, Brazil), 1359 pp.
- Athanassiou, C. G., B. J. Vayias, C. B. Dimizas, N. G. Kavallieratos, A. S. Papagregoriou & T. C. Buchelos, 2005. Insecticidal efficacy of diatomaceous earth against *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) and *Tribolium confusum* du Val (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) on stored wheat: Influence of dose rate, temperature and exposure interval. Journal of Stored Products Research, 41 (1): 47-55.
- Baldassari, N., C. Prioli, A. Martini, V. Trotta & P. Baronio, 2008. Insecticidal efficacy of a diatomaceous earth formulation against a mixed age population of adults of *Rhyzopertha dominica* and *Tribolium castaneum* as function of different temperature and exposure time. Bulletin of Insectology, 61 (2): 355-360.
- Banks, H. J. & P. G. Fields, 1995. "Physical methods for insect control in stored-grain ecosystems, 353-409". In: Stored-grain Ecosystems (Eds. D. S. Jayas, N. D. G. White & W. E. Muir). New York: Marcel Dekker, 757 pp.
- Benhalima, H., M. Q. Chaudhry, K. A. Mills & N. R. Price, 2004. Phosphine resistance in stored-product insects collected from various grain storage facilities in Morocco. Journal of Stored Products Research, 40: 241-249.
- Desmarchelier, J. M. & J. C. Dines, 1987. Dryacide treatment of stored wheat: Its efficacy against insects and after processing. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture, 27: 309-312.

- Doğanay, İ. Ş., 2013. Determination of Efficiency of Some Diatomaceous Earths Against to Stored-grain Insects, *Sitophilus granarius* (L.) and *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.). Kahramanmaraş Sütçü İmam University Natural and Applied Science Institute, Department of Plant Protection, Unpublished MSc Thesis. 55 pp.
- Ebeling, W., 1971. Sorptive dusts for pest control. Annual Review of Entomology, 16: 122-158.
- Ertürk, S. & M. Emekci, 2014. Using possibilities of diatomaceous earth against stored paddy rice pest the red flour beetle *Tribolium castaneum* Herbst. Plant Protection Bulletin, 4 (3): 211-217.
- FAO, 2014. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (Web page: <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC>) (Date accessed: April 2017).
- Ferizli, A. G. & G. Beris, 2005. Mortality and F₁ progeny of the lesser grain borer *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F) on wheat treated with diatomaceous earth: effects of rate, exposure period and relative humidity. Pest Management Science, 61 (11): 1103-1109.
- Fields, P. G. & Z. Korunic, 2000. The effect of grain moisture content and temperature on the efficacy of diatomaceous earths from different geographical locations against stored-product beetles. Journal of Stored Products Research, 36: 1-13.
- Golob, P., 1997. Current status and future perspectives for inert dusts for control of stored product insects. Journal of Stored Products Research, 33 (1): 69-79.
- Gürbüz, F., E. Başpınar, H. Çamdeviren & S. Keskin, 2003. Tekrarlanan Ölçümlü Deneme Düzenlerinin Analizi. Yüzüncü Yıl Üniversitesi Basımevi- Van. ISBN 975-92253-0-1. 129 pp.
- Hayes W. J. & E. R. Laws (Eds.), 1990. Handbook of Pesticide Toxicology, Classes of Pesticides, Vol. 3. Academic Press, Inc., NY, 1576 pp.
- Isikber, A. A. & S. Oztekin, 2009. Comparison of susceptibility of two stored-product insects, *Ephestia kuehniella* Zeller and *Tribolium confusum* du Val to gaseous ozone. Journal of Stored Products Research, 45 (3): 159-164.
- Kavallieratos, N. G., C. G. Athanassiou, B. J. Vayias & S. N. Maistrou, 2007. Influence of temperature on susceptibility of *Tribolium confusum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) populations to three modified diatomaceous earth formulations. Florida Entomologist, 90 (4): 616-625.
- Kepekçi, İ., A. Tülek, M. Alkan & S. Hazır, 2013. Biological control potential of native entomopathogenic nematodes against the Potato tuber moth, *Phthorimaea operculella* (Zeller) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in Turkey. Pakistan Journal of Zoology, 45 (5): 1415-1422.
- Korunic, Z., 1998. Diatomaceous earths, a group of natural insecticides. Journal of Stored Products Research, 34 (2-3): 87-97.
- Kostyukovsky, M., A. Trostanetsky, M. Menasherov, G. Yasinov & T. Hazan, 2010. "Laboratory evaluation of diatomaceous earth against main stored product insects, 98". Proceedings of Tenth International Working Conference on Stored Product Protection (27 June to 2 July 2010, Portugal), 1359 pp.
- Lankas, G. R. & L. R. Gordon, 1989. "Toxicology, 89-112". In: Ivermectin and Abamectin (Ed. W. C. Campbell). Springer-Verlag, NY, 363 pp.
- Maceljski, M. & Z. Korunic, 1971. The results of investigation of the use of inert dusts in water suspensions against stored-product insects. Zastita Bilja, 23: 376-387.
- Matti, P. V. & J. S. Awaknavar, 2009. Effect of temperature and relative humidity on efficacy of diatomaceous earth (Protect-It) on mortality of rice weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae*. Karnataka Journal of Agriculture Sciences, 22 (1): 99-103.
- Özbey, G. & N. Atamer, 1987. "Kizelgur (Diatomit) Hakkında Bazı Bilgiler, 493-502". 10. Türkiye Madencilik Bilimsel Teknik Kongresi (Ankara) Bildirileri, 550 pp.
- Özder, N., S. Atabay & V. Aydın, 2013. Insect pests of stored paddy and rice in Balıkesir (Gönen) and Edirne (Uzunköprü). Plant Protection Bulletin, 53 (3): 141-157.
- Pimentel, M. A. G., L. R. D'A. Faroni, F. H. D. Silva, M. D. Batista & R. N. C. Guedes, 2010. Spread of phosphine resistance among Brazilian populations of three species of stored product insects. Neotropical Entomology, 39 (1): 101-107.
- Singh, C. B., D. S. Jayas, J. Paliwal & N. D. G. White, 2009. Detection of insect-damaged wheat kernels using near-infrared hyperspectral imaging. Journal of Stored Products Research, 45: 151-158.

- Sokal, R. & F. J. Rohlf, 1995. Biometry: The Principles and Practice of Statistics in Biological Research. New York. Freeman WH., 887 pp.
- Subramanyam, B., C. L. Swanson, N. Madamanchi & S. Norwood, 1994. "Effectiveness of Insecto[®], A New Diatomaceous Earth Formulation, In Suppressing Several Stored-Grain Insect Species, 650-659". In: Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Stored-Product Protection (17-23 April 1994, Canberra, Australia), 1274 pp.
- Subramanyam, B., N. Madamanchi & S. Norwood, 1998. Effectiveness of Insecto applied to shelled maize against stored-product insect larvae. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 91: 280-286.
- Subramanyam, B. & R. Roesli, 2000. "Inert Dusts, 321-380". In: Alternatives to pesticides in stored-product (Eds. B. H. Subramanyam & D. W. Hagstrum), Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 436 pp.
- Vayias, B. J. & V. K. Stephou, 2009. Factors affecting the insecticidal efficacy of an enhanced diatomaceous earth formulation against three stored-product insect species. *Journal of Stored Products Research*, 45: 226-231.
- Wakil, W., M. Ashfaq, M. U. Ghazanfar & T. Riasat, 2010. Susceptibility of stored-product insects to enhanced diatomaceous earth. *Journal of Stored Products Research*, 46: 248-249.
- Wei, C., 2007. StatSoft, Inc., Tulsa, OK.: STATISTICA, Version 8. *ASTA Advances in Statistical Analysis*, 91: 339-341.
- Zettler, L. J. & D. W. Keever, 1994. Phosphine resistance in cigarette beetle (Coleoptera: Anobiidae) associated with tobacco storage in the Southeastern United States. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 87 (3): 546-550.
- Ziaee, M. & A. Khashaveh, 2007. Effect of five diatomaceous earth formulations against *Tribolium castaneum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae), *Oryzaephilus surinamensis* (Coleoptera: Silvanidae) and *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae). *Insect Science*, 14 (5): 359-365.