



Statistical Convergence of Matrix Sequences

Elif Nuray Yıldırım¹

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Humanities and Social Science, İstanbul Ticaret University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Abstract

This paper extends the statistical convergence of real or complex numbers to the real square matrices sequences. In this context, we investigate the relation between the statistical convergence, statistical Cauchy condition, strong Cesàro summability of matrices sequences. This leads us to an initial analysis of the Tauberian conditions for the statistical convergence of matrix sequences.

Keywords: Cesàro Summability, matrix sequence, statistical convergence.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 40D05, 40A05, 15A60

1. Introduction

The density of a set K of positive integers is defined by

$$\delta(K) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \text{card}\{k \leq n : k \in K\}, \quad (1.1)$$

whenever the limit exists. If (x_k) is a sequence, which satisfies a property P for all k except a set of density zero, then, we say that (x_k) satisfies P for "almost all k ", and we abbreviate this by "a.a.k." Statistical convergence of sequences of real or complex numbers was introduced 1951 by Steinhaus in [16] and Fast in [5].

A sequence (x_k) of real or complex numbers is said to be statistically convergent to the number a , and denoted by $st - \lim x_k = a$, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\delta(\{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - a| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

or equivalently there exists a subset $K \subset \mathbb{N}$ with $\delta(K) = 1$ and n_0 such that for any $k \in K$, $k > n_0$ we have $|x_k - a| < \varepsilon$ a.a.k. see e.g. [6, 10, 14]. It is known that any convergent sequence is statistically convergent, but not conversely. As an interpretation of this notion, we can say that a sequence is statistically convergent if however small $\varepsilon > 0$ we take, the frequency of elements of the sequence that differ from the statistical limit of more than $\varepsilon > 0$ can be made arbitrarily small provided we take a large enough sample. Similarly, a sequence (x_k) of real or complex numbers is said to be statistically Cauchy if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a positive integer $N = N(\varepsilon)$ such that $\delta(\{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - x_N| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0$. Basic properties of the statistical convergence and of some related summability methods were established in [4, 15, 19, 20].

Over almost 70 years since its inception, the concept of statistical convergence has been studied in the context of numerous mathematical disciplines including: the summability theory [6, 4, 7, 8], trigonometric series theory [23], measure theory [10], optimization [13], and approximation theory [9], to give just a few prominent examples. The concept of convergence of sequences of numbers has been extended by several authors to convergence of sequences of sets (see, [1]-[3], [11], [18]-[22]). Nuray and Rhoades [11] extended the notion of convergence of set sequences to statistical convergence and gave some basic theorems. Ulusu and Nuray [17] defined the Wijsman lacunary statistical convergence of sequence of sets and considered its relation with Wijsman statistical convergence, which was defined by Nuray and Rhoades. Ulusu and Nuray [18] introduced the concept of Wijsman strongly lacunary summability for set sequences and discussed its relation with Wijsman strongly Cesàro summability. Nuray et al. [12] studied the concepts of Wijsman Cesàro summability and Wijsman lacunary convergence of double sequences of sets and investigated the relationship between them.

The paper is organised as follows:

- (a) Section 2 introduces necessary concepts and facts related to the theory convergence of matrices sequences.

- (b) Section 3 provides definitions of major concepts related to statistical convergence and First Equivalence Theorem for the statistical convergence of matrices sequences.
- (c) In Section 4, we define the strong p -Cesàro summability of matrix sequences and we discuss its relationship with the statistical convergence introduced in the previous section. This is followed by a Tauberian Theorem.

2. Preliminaries

Let M_1, M_2, M_3, \dots be a sequence of matrices belonging to $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, the set of all $n \times n$ matrices with complex elements, and let $m_{ij}^{(k)}$ be the i, j th element of $M_k, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. The sequence M_1, M_2, M_3, \dots is said to converge to the matrix $M \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ if there exist numbers m_{ij} (the elements of M) such that $m_{ij}^{(k)} \rightarrow m_{ij}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for each pair of subscripts i, j . A sequence that does not converge is said to diverge. Thus the convergence of sequences of matrices is defined as elementwise convergence. The definition includes the convergence of column and row vectors as special cases as well. As an example, let

$$M_k = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^k & \frac{k}{2^{2k+1}} \\ 0 & \left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^k \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.1)$$

Then, the matrices sequence (M_k) is convergent to the matrix $M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

If a matrix sequence (M_k) converges and its limit is M , then this limit is unique and we write $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M_k = M$. Let (M_k) be a matrix sequence. The i th row of (M_k) is said to be convergent to M if and only if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{ij}^{(k)} = a_{ij} \quad (2.2)$$

for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

The j th column of (M_k) is said to be convergent to M if and only if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{ij}^{(k)} = a_{ij} \quad (2.3)$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

If the matrix sequences (M_k) and (N_k) are convergent to M and N , then the matrix sequences $(M_k + N_k)$ and $(M_k \cdot N_k)$ are convergent to $M + N$ and $M \cdot N$ respectively.

The definition of convergence can also be given using the matrix norm:

A sequence of matrices (M_k) converges a limit M if for a matrix norm, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|M_k - M\| = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

The definition of convergence does not depend on the chosen norm, since $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a vector space of finite dimension. If a vector space is finite dimension, then all norms are equivalent. Thus if a matrix sequence converges for one norm, it converges for all norms.

A norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a matrix norm if for all matrices $M, N \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$,

$$\|MN\| \leq \|M\| \|N\|. \quad (2.5)$$

Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a vector norm on \mathbb{K}^n . It induces a matrix norm defined by

$$\|A\| = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{K}^n, x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|}, \quad (2.6)$$

which is said to be subordinate to this vector norm. The norm of a matrix is a measure of how large its elements are. It is a way of determining the size of a matrix that is not necessarily related to how many rows or columns the matrix has.

There are many matrix norms, but the following three are among the most commonly used.

The 1-norm

$$\|M\|_1 = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |m_{ij}| \right) \quad (2.7)$$

(the maximum absolute column sum).

The infinity-norm

$$\|M\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |m_{ij}| \right) \quad (2.8)$$

(the maximum absolute row sum).

The Euclidean norm

$$\|M\|_E = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (m_{ij})^2} \quad (2.9)$$

(the square root of the sum of all the squares).

3. Statistical Convergence

Let us start with the following definition. In all following definitions all the matrices will be $n \times n$ matrices, unless stated otherwise. Let $M_k = [m_{ij}^{(k)}]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 3.1. Let (M_k) be a matrix sequence, M be a matrix and $\|\cdot\|$ be a matrix norm.

(a) We say that the matrix sequence (M_k) is statistically convergent to the matrix M and write $M_k \xrightarrow{st} M$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \text{card}\{k \leq n : \|M_k - M\| \geq \varepsilon\} = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

(b) A sequence (M_k) is called statistically Cauchy sequence if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a positive integer $N = (N_\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \text{card}\{k \leq n : \|M_k - M_N\| \geq \varepsilon\} = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

(c) A sequence (M_k) is called statistically bounded if for arbitrary matrix M , there is a real number $q > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \text{card}\{k \leq n : \|M_k - M\| \geq q\} = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Example 3.2. Define (M_k) and M by

$$M_k = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & k \text{ is a square} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then,

$$\frac{1}{n} \text{card}\{k \leq n : \|M_k - M\| \geq \varepsilon\} \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n}, \quad (3.4)$$

so $M_k \xrightarrow{st} M$. The matrix sequence (M_k) is not convergent.

A ball $B(M, r)$ is defined by $B(M, r) = \{P \in \mathbb{C}_{m \times n} : \|M - P\| \leq r\}$.

The following result will be used in the proof of the main result of this section.

Lemma 3.3. Assume that $\{B_m\}$ is a sequence of nonempty subsets such that $B_{m+1} \subset B_m$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and such that $\text{diam}(B_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Then, there exists M_0 with

$$\bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} B_m = \{M_0\}. \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. Denote $B = \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} B_m$. For every m select an element $M_m \in B_m$. Note that $\{M_m\}$ is Cauchy because $\text{diam}(B_m) \rightarrow 0$. By the completeness there exist M_0 such that $M_m \rightarrow M_0$. We need to show that $M_0 \in B$. Assume to the contrary that $M_0 \notin B$. There exists then M_0 with $M_0 \notin B_{m_0}$, which means that M_0 belongs to an open set $\mathbb{C} \setminus B_{m_0}$ and hence by convergence definition there exist $r > 0$ such that the ball $B(M_0, r) \cap B_{m_0} = \emptyset$. Observe that for any $m \geq m_0$ we have $B_m \subset B_{m_0}$, which implies that $B(M_0, r) \cap B_m = \emptyset$. On the other hand, $M_m \rightarrow M_0$ and hence $M_m \in B(M_0, r)$ for all $m \geq m_1 \geq m_0$. Contradiction.

To show the uniqueness, let us assume that there exists another element $M'_0 \in B$. Hence, $M_0, M'_0 \in B_m$ for every m , which yields that $\|M_0 - M'_0\| \leq \text{diam}(B_m) \rightarrow 0$, implying immediately that $M_0 = M'_0$. \square

We are now ready to prove a matrix sequence version of the equivalence theorem.

Theorem 3.4 (First Equivalence Theorem). Let (M_k) be a matrix sequence. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) (M_k) is statistically convergent;
- (ii) (M_k) is statistically Cauchy;
- (iii) There is a convergent matrix sequence (P_k) such that $M_k = P_k$ a.a. k.

Proof. To prove that (i) implies (ii) we need to show that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \text{card}\{k \leq n : \|M_k - M_N\| > \varepsilon\} = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

By (i) there exists $M_0 \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ such that $M_k \xrightarrow{st} M_0$. Let us fix arbitrarily $\varepsilon > 0$ and note that $\|M_k - M_0\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ a.a.k. If $N \in \mathbb{N}$ is chosen such that

$$\|M_N - M_0\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \tag{3.7}$$

Then we get,

$$\|M_k - M_N\| \leq \|M_k - M_0\| + \|M_N - M_0\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{a.a.k.} \tag{3.8}$$

which means that (M_k) is statistically Cauchy.

Let us assume now (ii), that is that (M_k) is statistically Cauchy. Then, there exists a ball B_1 with radius equal to 1 and center at some M_{N_1} such that B_1 contains M_k a.a. k . Similarly, we can choose a ball B'_2 with radius equal to $\frac{1}{2}$ and center at some M_{N_2} such that B'_2 contains M_k a.a. k . Define $B_2 = B_1 \cap B'_2$ and observe that B_2 is a nonempty subset of B_1 with $\text{diam}(B_2) \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Observe that B_2 contains M_k a.a. k because for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\{k \leq n : M_k \notin B_1 \cap B'_2\} = \{k \leq n : M_k \notin B_1\} \cup \{k \leq n : M_k \notin B'_2\},$$

and hence

$$\delta(\{k : M_k \notin B_1 \cap B'_2\}) \leq \delta(\{k : M_k \notin B_1\}) + \delta(\{k : M_k \notin B'_2\}) = 0.$$

By induction we can obtain a sequence $\{B_n\}$ of nonempty sets such that $B_{n+1} \subset B_n$ and $\text{diam}(B_n) \rightarrow 0$ and each B_n contains M_k a.a. k . By Lemma 3.3 there exist M_0 such that $\bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} B_m = \{M_0\}$. Because for every $m, M_k \in B_m$ a.a. k , we can choose an increasing sequence $\{p_m\}$ of natural numbers such that for every $n > p_m$

$$\frac{1}{n} \text{card}\{k \leq n : M_k \notin B_m\} \leq \frac{1}{m}. \tag{3.9}$$

Define the sequence $\{R_k\}$ as a subsequence of $\{M_k\}_{k > p_1}$ such that if $p_m < k < p_{m+1}$ then, $M_k \notin B_m$. Define now $\{P_k\}$ by

$$P_k = \begin{cases} M_0 & \text{if } M_k \text{ is a term of } (R_n) \\ M_k & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

and observe that either $P_k = M_0$ or $P_k = M_k \in B_{m_k}$, where p_{m_k} is the largest term of the sequence $\{p_m\}$ for which $p_m < k$. Hence, either $|P_k - M_0| = 0$ or $|P_k - M_0| \leq \text{diam}(B_{m_k}) \rightarrow 0$, which means that $\{P_k\}$ is convergent to M_0 . It remains to be shown that $M_k = P_k$ a.a. k . Observe that if $p_m < n \leq p_{m+1}$ then,

$$\{k \leq n : P_k \neq M_k\} \subset \{k \leq n : M_k \notin B_m\}, \tag{3.11}$$

hence by (3.9)

$$\frac{1}{n} \text{card}\{k \leq n : P_k \neq M_k\} \leq \frac{1}{n} \text{card}\{k \leq n : M_k \notin B_m\} \leq \frac{1}{m}, \tag{3.12}$$

and finally

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \text{card}\{k \leq n : P_k \neq M_k\} = 0, \tag{3.13}$$

which means that $M_k = P_k$ a.a. k , as claimed.

To prove that (iii) implies (i), let us assume that there exists a matrix sequence (P_k) which converges to a matrix M_0 and that $M_k = P_k$ a.a. k . We will prove that $M_k \xrightarrow{st} M_0$. Let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and take any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Observe that for each pair of subscripts i, j ,

$$\{k \leq n : \|M_k - M_0\| \geq \varepsilon\} \subset \{k \leq n : M_k \neq P_k\} \cup \{k \leq n : \|P_k - M_0\| \geq \varepsilon\}. \tag{3.14}$$

Since $P_k \rightarrow M_0$, it follows that there exists $\ell = \ell(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\text{card}\{k \leq n : \|P_k - M_0\| \geq \varepsilon\} = \ell,$$

and hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \text{card}\{k \leq n : \|M_k - M_0\| \geq \varepsilon\} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \text{card}\{k \leq n : M_k \neq P_k\} + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ell}{n} = 0,$$

because $M_k = P_k$ a.a. k , proving that $M_k \xrightarrow{st} M_0$, as claimed. □

4. Summability and Tauberian Properties

In this section we introduce a notion of the strong p -Cesàro-summability of matrix sequences and we discuss its relationship with the statistical convergence introduced in the previous section. This will lead us to establishing a Tauberian type results.

First let us define formally bounded sequences matrices.

Definition 4.1. We say that a matrix sequence (M_k) is bounded if $\sup_k \|M_k\| < \infty$.

Definition 4.2. Let p be a positive real number. We say that a matrix sequence (M_k) is strongly p -Cesàro-summable to matrix M if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \|M_k - M\|^p = 0. \quad (4.1)$$

The following results, following patterns of [4], demonstrates a close connection between the statistical convergence of matrix sequences and the strong p -Cesàro-summability. Note that for bounded matrix sequences both notions are equivalent.

Theorem 4.3. [Second Equivalence Theorem] Let $p \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p < \infty$.

- (i) If a matrix sequence is strongly p -Cesàro-summable to M , then it is statistically convergent to M .
- (ii) If a bounded matrix sequence is statistically convergent to M , then it is strongly p -Cesàro-summable to M .

Proof. For any sequence of matrices (M_k) and $\varepsilon > 0$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \|M_k - M\|^p &= \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \|M_k - M\| \geq \varepsilon}}^n \|M_k - M\|^p + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \|M_k - M\| < \varepsilon}}^n \|M_k - M\|^p \\ &\geq \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \|M_k - M\| \geq \varepsilon}}^n \|M_k - M\|^p \\ &\geq \text{card}\{k \leq n : \|M_k - M\| \geq \varepsilon\} \varepsilon^p. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that if (M_k) is strongly p -Cesàro summable to M then, (M_k) is statistically convergent to M , proving (i).

Now suppose that matrix sequence (M_k) is bounded and statistically convergent to M . Since (M_k) is bounded, there exists $0 < q < \infty$ such that $\|M_k - M\| < q$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and select n_ε such that

$$\frac{1}{n} \text{card}\{k \leq n : \|M_k - M\| > \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2q^p}$$

for all $n > n_\varepsilon$ and set $S_n = \{k \leq n : \|M_k - M\| > \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\}$. Now, for $n > n_\varepsilon$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \|M_k - M\|^p &= \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{k \in S_n} \|M_k - M\|^p + \sum_{\substack{k \notin S_n \\ k \leq n}} \|M_k - M\|^p \right) \\ &< \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{n\varepsilon}{2q^p} \right) q^p + \frac{1}{n} n \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the matrix sequence (M_k) is strongly p -Cesàro summable to M , as claimed in (ii). \square

Our next result establishes a Tauberian condition for the strong p -Cesàro-summability or the statistical convergence of matrix sequence. Similarly to other Tauberian theorems, Theorem 4.4 demonstrates that under some order type constraints imposed on the forward differences $\Delta M_k = M_k - M_{k+1}$, the strong p -Cesàro-summability and the statistical convergence are actually reduced to the convergence of matrix sequences.

Theorem 4.4. [Tauberian Theorem] Let $0 < p < \infty$. If a sequence (M_k) is strongly p -Cesàro summable to M (or statistically convergent to M) and $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|k \Delta M_k\| < \infty$ then (M_k) is convergent to M .

Proof. In view of Theorem 4.3 it is enough to assume that (M_k) is statistically convergent to M . Using Theorem 3.4 Part (iii), choose a matrix sequence (P_k) such that $P_k \rightarrow M$ and $M_k = P_k$ a.a.k. Because $M_k = P_k$ a.a.k, there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the set $\{i \leq k : M_i = P_i\}$ is nonempty for any $k \geq k_0$. For each $k \geq k_0$ write $k = m_k + p_k$, where $m_k = \max\{i \leq k : M_i = P_i\}$. We will show that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_k}{m_k} = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Assume to the contrary that there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that $\frac{P_k}{m_k} > \gamma > 0$ for infinitely many $k \geq k_0$. Then for such k ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k} \text{card}\{i \leq k : M_i \neq P_i\} &= \frac{1}{m_k + p_k} p_k \\ &> \frac{p_k}{\frac{p_k}{\gamma} + p_k} = \frac{\gamma}{1 + \gamma} \end{aligned}$$

contradicting the fact that $M_k = P_k$ a.a.k. The contradiction proves (4.2). From (4.2) it follows that there exists $k_1 \geq k_0$ such that $p_k \leq m_k$ for any $k \geq k_1$. Since $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|k\Delta M_k\| < \infty$, there is a finite constant $q > 0$ such that $\|\Delta M_k\| \leq \frac{q}{k}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, for each pair of subscripts, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{m_k} - M_k\| &= \|M_{m_k} - M_{m_k+p_k}\| \\ &= \|M_{m_k} - M_{m_k+1} + M_{m_k+1} - M_{m_k+2} + \dots + M_{m_k+p_k-1} - M_{m_k+p_k}\| \\ &\leq \sum_{s=m_k}^{m_k+p_k-1} \|\Delta M_s\| \leq p_k \frac{q}{m_k} \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|P_{m_k} - M_k\| = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

Since $P_k \rightarrow M$, it follows from (4.3) that $M_k \rightarrow M$, as claimed. \square

Article Information

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the editor and the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions.

Author's contributions: All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of Interest Disclosure: No potential conflict of interest was declared by the author.

Copyright Statement: Authors own the copyright of their work published in the journal and their work is published under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license.

Supporting/Supporting Organizations: No grants were received from any public, private or non-profit organizations for this research.

Ethical Approval and Participant Consent: It is declared that during the preparation process of this study, scientific and ethical principles were followed and all the studies benefited from are stated in the bibliography.

Plagiarism Statement: This article was scanned by the plagiarism program. No plagiarism detected.

Availability of data and materials: Not applicable

References

- [1] M. Baronti, and P. Papini, *Convergence of sequences of sets*, Methods of functional analysis in approximation theory, **76**, Birkhauser-Verlag, Basel, (1986), 133–155.
- [2] G. Beer, *On convergence of closed sets in a metric space and distance functions*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., **31**, (1985), 421–432.
- [3] G. Beer, *Wijsman convergence: A survey*, Set-Valued Var. Anal., **2**, (1994), 77–94.
- [4] J. Connor, *The statistical and strong p -Cesàro convergence of sequences*, Analysis, **8** (1988) 46–63.
- [5] H. Fast, *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq. Math. **2** (1951) 241–244.
- [6] J. A. Fridy, *On statistical convergence*, Analysis, **5** (1985,) 301–313.
- [7] J.A. Fridy and C. Orhan, *Lacunary statistical summability*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **173** (1993), 497–504.
- [8] J.A. Fridy, and M.K. Khan, *Tauberian theorems via statistical convergence*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **228** (1998), 73–95.
- [9] A.D. Gadjiev, and C. Orhan, *Some approximation theorems via statistical convergence*, Rocky Mountain J. of Math., **32(1)** (2002), 129–138.
- [10] H.I. Miller, *A measure theoretical subsequence characterization of statistical convergence*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **347** (1995), 1811–1819.
- [11] F. Nuray and B. E. Rhoades, *Statistical convergence of sequences of sets*, Fasc. Math., **49**, (2012), 87–99.
- [12] F. Nuray, U. Ulusu and E. DüNDAR, *Cesàro summability of double sequences of sets*, Gen. Math. Notes **25(1)**, (2014), 8–18.
- [13] S. Pehlivan and M. A. Mamedov, *Statistical cluster points and turnpikes*, Optimization, **48** (2000), 93–106.
- [14] T. Salat, *On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers*, Math. Slovaca, **30** (1980), 139–150.
- [15] I. J. Schoenberg, *The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **66** (1959), 361–375.
- [16] H. Steinhaus, *Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique*, Colloq. Math., **2** (1951), 73–74.
- [17] U. Ulusu and F. Nuray, *Lacunary statistical convergence of sequence of sets*, Progress in Applied Mathematics, **4(2)**, (2012), 99–109.
- [18] U. Ulusu and F. Nuray, *On strongly lacunary summability of sequences of sets*, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Bioinformatics, **33**, (2013), 75–88.
- [19] U. Ulusu and F. Nuray, *Lacunary statistical summability of sequences of sets*, Konuralp Journal of Mathematics, **3(2)**, 176–184 (2015).
- [20] U. Ulusu and F. Nuray, E. Dundar, *I-limit and I-cluster points for functions defined on amenable semigroup*, Fundamental Journal of Mathematics and Applications, **4(2)**, 45–48 (2021).
- [21] R. A. Wijsman, *Convergence of sequences of convex sets, cones and functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **70**, (1964), 186–188.
- [22] R. A. Wijsman, *Convergence of Sequences of Convex sets, Cones and Functions II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **123(1)**, (1966), 32–45.
- [23] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979.