Akademik Tarih ve Düşünce Dergisi

Academic Journal of History and Idea ISSN: 2148-2292

11 (4) 2024

Araştırma Makalesi | Research Article Geliş tarihi |Received:05.07.2024 Kabul tarihi |Accepted:10.08.2024 Yayın tarihi |Published:25.08.2024

Flamur Ismaili

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3254-7126

PhD Department of International Relations, Ankara Hacı Bayram Veli University, Türkiye, flamur.ismaili1992@gmail.com

Atıf Künyesi | Citation Info

Ismaili, F. (2024). Transition from Ethnic Nationalism to Democratization: A Focus on the Western Balkans. *Akademik Tarih ve Düşünce Dergisi, 11* (4), 2150-2166. https://doi.org/10.46868/atdd.2024.697

Transition from Ethnic Nationalism to Democratization: A Focus on the Western Balkans

Abstract

This paper examines the relationship between ethnic nationalism and the democratization process in the Western Balkans, focusing on a period marked by significant political and societal changes. The historical context of the Western Balkans, defined by ethnic tensions and conflicts, underscores the complexities of democratization efforts in this region. By analyzing key events and policies from the late 20th century to the present, this paper explores how ethnic nationalism has influenced democratic processes and governance structures. It evaluates the impact of international interventions, regional cooperation initiatives, and local dynamics on fostering democratic norms and institutions. The findings highlight the challenges and opportunities in achieving sustainable democratization amidst the diverse ethnic landscapes of the Western Balkans.

Keywords: Political transition, Ethnic Nationalism, Democratization, Western Balkans, Conflicts

Etnik Milliyetçilikten Demokratikleşmeye Geçiş: Batı Balkanlar'a Bir Bakış Öz

Bu makale, Batı Balkanlar'da etnik milliyetçilik ile demokratikleşme süreci arasındaki ilişkiyi, önemli siyasi ve toplumsal değişimlerin yaşandığı bir döneme odaklanarak incelemektedir. Batı Balkanlar'ın etnik gerilimler ve çatışmalarla tanımlanan tarihsel bağlamı, bu bölgedeki demokratikleşme çabalarının



Cilt:11 / Sayı:4 Ağustos 2024

karmaşıklığının altını çizmektedir. Bu makale, 20. yüzyılın sonlarından günümüze kadar olan önemli olayları ve politikaları analiz ederek, etnik milliyetçiliğin demokratik süreçleri ve yönetişim yapılarını nasıl etkilediğini araştırmaktadır. Uluslararası müdahalelerin, bölgesel işbirliği girişimlerinin ve yerel dinamiklerin demokratik normların ve kurumların geliştirilmesi üzerindeki etkisini değerlendirmektedir. Bulgular, Batı Balkanlar'ın çeşitli etnik manzaraları arasında sürdürülebilir demokratikleşmenin sağlanmasındaki zorlukları ve firsatları vurgulamaktadır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Siyasi Geçiş, Etnik Milliyetçilik, Demokratikleşme, Batı Balkanlar, Çatışmalar Introduction

The Western Balkans region has historically been characterized by ethnic diversity intertwined with deep-seated nationalism, often leading to protracted conflicts and political instability. The collapse of Yugoslavia in the early 1990s marked a turbulent period of ethnic strife and wars, leaving a legacy of distrust and division among its successor states. In the aftermath, these nations embarked on a path towards democratization, aiming to replace authoritarian regimes with democratic ones. However, the transition has been fraught with challenges, particularly stemming from the complexities of managing multi-ethnic societies and reconciling historical grievances.

Central to this transition is the evolution away from ethnic nationalism towards inclusive democratic practices. Ethnic nationalism, rooted in the belief of ethnic superiority and exclusive rights, has historically hindered democratic development by fostering exclusionary policies and perpetuating inter-ethnic tensions. Democratization efforts in the Western Balkans have thus sought to dismantle these barriers, promoting civic nationalism and pluralistic democratic principles that accommodate diverse ethnic identities while fostering a shared national identity. International interventions have played a pivotal role in shaping the democratization process in the Western Balkans. Initiatives led by the European Union (EU) and other international organizations have provided frameworks for political reform, institution-building, and reconciliation efforts among ethnic communities. These efforts aim not only to strengthen democratic institutions but also to foster regional stability and integration, crucial for the region's European aspirations and broader geopolitical stability. Despite progress in democratization, challenges persist. Issues such as corruption, weak rule of law, and political polarization continue to undermine democratic consolidation in the Western Balkans. Ethnic divisions, exacerbated by nationalist rhetoric and historical grievances, pose ongoing obstacles to effective governance and societal cohesion. Furthermore, the legacy of past conflicts and unresolved territorial disputes complicates efforts

towards regional cooperation and integration, essential for sustainable peace and development. The methodology of this paper primarily employs a qualitative approach, utilizing a combination of historical analysis, case studies, and in-depth content analysis to explore the impact of ethnic nationalism on intergroup relations and democracy in multi-ethnic societies, specifically focusing on Western Balkans. The research draws on a variety of secondary sources including academic articles, historical documents, government reports, and policy analyses to provide a comprehensive understanding of the socio-political context and developments. This methodological approach allows for a nuanced exploration of the complexities inherent in managing multi-ethnic societies and provides a robust framework for understanding the evolving dynamics of ethnic nationalism and democratization in the Western Balkans. The problematic of this article centers on understanding how ethnic nationalism continues to impede the democratization process in the Western Balkans, despite various efforts at political reform and international intervention. It delves into the intricate ways in which deep-rooted ethnic identities and historical animosities influence current political and social dynamics, obstructing the establishment of stable and inclusive democratic institutions. The paper aims to dissect the persistent challenges that arise from ethnic nationalism, such as exclusionary practices, inter-ethnic tensions, and the entrenchment of nationalist rhetoric in political discourse. Furthermore, it examines the efficacy of international efforts in addressing these issues and promoting democratic norms, questioning whether current strategies sufficiently address the underlying causes of ethnic divisions. By analyzing these complexities, the paper seeks to contribute to a nuanced understanding of the obstacles to democratization in the Western Balkans and propose potential pathways for overcoming these barriers to achieve sustainable democratic governance in the region. In conclusion, the transition from ethnic nationalism to democratization in the Western Balkans remains a complex and dynamic process shaped by historical, socio-economic, and geopolitical factors. While significant strides have been made towards democratic governance and regional stability, persistent challenges require continued international engagement, local commitment to democratic values, and comprehensive strategies that address the root causes of ethnic tensions. Understanding and supporting this transition is not only crucial for the Western Balkans' path towards European integration but also for fostering a stable and prosperous future grounded in democratic principles and inclusive national identities.

1. Historical and Modern Challenges of Nationalism

The development of centralized and culturally unified states was catalyzed by three significant revolutions, profoundly reshaping the political landscape. By the dawn of the twentieth century, Europe was segmented into a system of states characterized by bureaucratic efficiency and rational governance. These state-making concepts and methodologies were also intentionally imparted to overseas colonies. In this transformative milieu, the phenomenon of nationalism surfaced, leading to the creation of nations.

Essentially, the origins of nationhood can be found in the early Middle Ages, when Saxon and Frankish kings began to unify the territories that would eventually become 'England' and 'France', a process mirrored in the formation of Spanish, Swedish, and Polish states, followed by the emergence of Russia, Hungary, and Holland, as well as semblances of centralized states in the Islamic world, including Fatimid Egypt, Savafid Iran, and to a lesser degree, the Ottoman Empire (Smith, 1988). The potency of national ideas stems from their ability to blend truth with untruth, accomplishment with disaster, triumph with victimhood, making it difficult to separate these elements. From one angle, nations have thrived since 1789 in a context of scientific and material development, social unity, and general satisfaction (Bosworth, 2007). The shift from feudalism to capitalism signified a remarkable increase in economic integration, altering customs, trade and commodity exchange, leading to the gradual establishment of a unified occupational system throughout a territory (Smith, 1988). Additionally, a cultural and educational revolution transpired, where the construct of a sovereign state replaced the concept of the divine, promising tangible salvation (Smith, 1988). However, throughout this same timeframe, nations have also cultivated exclusion, hierarchy, and acts of violence, including murder, genocide, and both local and global warfare (Bosworth, 2007). Any narration of a nation's history, as well as the broader tale of nations, must accommodate both triumphs and failures, matters of life and death, virtue and vice, the constant and the capricious (Bosworth, 2007). Smith maintains that the essence of ethnic and national bonds will continue to endure despite any subsequent social and political changes, even as their forms may evolve. (Smith, Nations and Nationalism in a Global Era, 1995, p. 4.) In the words of renowned French philosopher Ernest Renan, The nation, like an individual, is an outcome of a long history of efforts, sacrifices, and devotion. The cult of ancestors is the most legitimate of all, as they have shaped us into who we are today (Renan, 1893, p. 261). Elements like geography, language, music, and symbols all hold significance, but shared history is often considered a fundamental commonality within a nation - not in the professional, critical sense, but as a

continuous thread that weaves the past, present, and future together (Bosworth, 2007). Furthermore, Smith, referencing Van den Berghe's work, proposes that nations and ethnic groups are essentially larger versions of kinship units, constructed from the same nepotistic impulses for inclusive fitness that smaller clans and families exhibit, with markers like color, language, and religion signaling biological connections (Bosworth, 2007).

2. The Complexity and Consequences of Nationalism in Multiethnic Societies

Elie Kedourie, as cited by Joseph, argues that nationalism originates from the idea that human beings inherently divide into distinct nations. These nations are identifiable by specific characteristics, and the legitimate form of government is self-governance based on these national differences (Joseph, 2004). A nation, in Schulz's view, exists as a psychological construct, residing in the thoughts and aspirations of its members, and fades away when it no longer pervades their consciousness (Schulze, 1996) Smith provides a comprehensive definition of a nation as a named population sharing a historic territory, common myths, and historical memories, a unified public culture, a collective economy, and uniform legal rights and duties for its members (Smith, 1995). Bieber (2018) views nationalism as an ideology that places immense value on one's nationhood, distinguishing it from other groups, and fostering its preservation and political representation (Bieber, 2018) As per Smith's interpretation, nationalism serves as a potent ideological force to establish and preserve the identity, unity, and autonomy of a group, some members of which consider it to be a real or potential nation (Smith, 1999). Bieber also draws a distinction between ethnic and civic forms of nationalism. The former is grounded on the notion of a shared lineage, making it less inclusive, while the latter is premised on the concept of citizenship, thereby allowing individuals to join the nation. Nowadays, the degree to which nationalism is inclusive or exclusive is a critical determinant, which can hinge on concepts of common descent, narratives of native origins, or adherence to specific values (Bieber, 2018). Key considerations include whether the state is a nation-state, whether a core nation enjoys privileged access to citizenship, and whether ethnic kin are allowed to vote or if certain groups are excluded. Secondly, political competition in such unconsolidated democracies is flawed, and nationalism offers a straightforward, ready-made ideology that can compete in the marketplace of ideas (Bieber, 2018).

3. Legacy of Authoritarianism and Ethnic Nationalism: Obstacles to Democratic Transition in the Western Balkans

In the case of multiethnic federations such as the USSR and Yugoslavia, recent scholarly consensus suggests that the design of political institutions either reinforced pre-existing titular

national identities or facilitated the development of new ones. The central administrations in Moscow and Belgrade allocated substantial resources towards mass education and the promotion of national languages (Timothy, 2010). Furthermore, they encouraged the growth of native national elites, providing them with significant resources to manage the national-federal unit. The Serbian elites, in particular, held notable representation in the military and security forces compared to their Croatian and Slovenian counterparts, an aspect of great significance during the transition period (Timothy, 2010). Yugoslavia's geopolitical positioning between the East and the West diluted international influences pushing for democracy (Linz & Stepan, 1996). For reasons primarily linked to a 'divide and rule' strategy, US foreign policymakers accorded Yugoslavia an implicit privileged status among Communist nations. As a result, when 1989 came about, many of those in power across the various republics could resist full democratization and liberal values by leaning on their nationalist stances against their neighbors and internal minorities (Linz & Stepan, 1996). This resistance created a legacy that continues to pose a major barrier to the full consolidation of democracy in Western Balkan countries. The deep-seated ethnic tensions and nationalistic sentiments, fueled and exploited during the transitional period, remain a pressing challenge. The lack of adequate democratic reforms and the persistence of such divisive ideologies have hindered the progress of democratic consolidation, underscoring the need for more robust measures to address these longstanding issues.

The Western Balkans face the daunting task of overcoming a deep-rooted authoritarian past. Furthermore, a considerable portion of the population perceives that the transition to democracy in their countries has been enforced from above, by both the local elite and international entities, while domestic politics remain deeply divided along ethnic and party lines (Sakellariou & A. 2014). Moreover, there still exist unsettled issues of statehood, the rule of law faces obstacles, corruption is rampant, public administration is tainted by politics and inefficiency, the absolute freedom of the media is not fully ensured, and civil society continues to be weak (Sakellariou & A., 2014). Following the fall of Communism, security worries shifted towards promoting amicable relations, curtailing nationalism, and reinforcing the nascent democracies (Pridham, 2005). However, in the context of the Western Balkans, warfare overshadowed other issues in numerous countries in the region during the first half of the 1990s, rendering security the paramount concern (Pridham, 2005). The legacy of Communism remains a substantial hurdle in the Western Balkans. The region's political and societal structures are still grappling with the vestiges of an authoritarian era that continue to impede their democratic progress. Authoritarian tendencies, lack of political

transparency, systemic corruption, and the prevalence of nationalistic ideologies all trace their roots back to the Communist period.

Inequitable political competition persists as a remnant of the communist era in postcommunist societies (Vachudova, 2005). However, citizens typically have limited understanding at the onset of the transition about the alternative strategies for reform and, once these reforms commence, which groups are reaping the benefits (Vachudova, 2005). The Bosnian genocide stands as a stark example of the international community's failure to intervene effectively in the face of mass atrocities. During the early 1990s, as Bosnia and Herzegovina descended into ethnic conflict following the breakup of Yugoslavia, widespread violence and systematic ethnic cleansing were perpetrated primarily against Bosniak Muslims by Bosnian Serb forces. The international response was characterized by hesitation and inadequate action. Despite clear evidence of genocide, including the massacre of over 8,000 Bosniak men and boys in Srebrenica in July 1995, the United Nations and other global powers were slow to respond decisively. UN peacekeeping forces on the ground were often ill-equipped and lacked the mandate to intervene robustly. The international community's reliance on diplomacy and sanctions, without the necessary military intervention, failed to halt the ongoing atrocities. It was not until the NATO-led bombing campaign in late 1995, combined with the diplomatic efforts leading to the Dayton Accords, that significant action was taken to end the conflict. This delayed response highlights the tragic consequences of international inaction and underscores the need for timely and decisive intervention to prevent and stop genocide.

4. Challenges of Transition in Post-Communist Societies

Some nations that emerged from communist rule have transitioned into liberal democracies with operational market economies, while others continue to be governed by authoritarian regimes with only minimal economic reforms implemented (Vachudova, 2005). A number of them occupy a middle ground, having established democratic institutions on paper but practicing illiberal politics, coupled with some level of economic reform but highly skewed markets (Vachudova, 2005). The path to democratization in post-communist societies is fraught with numerous challenges. Firstly, the lingering influence of authoritarianism often results in an uneven playing field for political competition. New democracies grapple with remnants of authoritarian rule, such as lack of political transparency, systemic corruption, and strongman politics. Secondly, these societies often lack the robust civil institutions and culture of civic engagement necessary for a healthy democracy. Thirdly, economic transition can be a painful process, often resulting in

increased income inequality and social disruption, which can undermine support for democratic reforms. Finally, these societies often struggle with divisive nationalist sentiment and unresolved ethnic tensions, which can destabilize the political environment and hinder democratic consolidation. It is thus crucial to undertake concerted efforts in political education, economic restructuring, and fostering civil society to surmount these hurdles.

In nations where the fall of communism gave way to a political framework lacking competition, it is reasonable to anticipate the curtailment of liberal democratic institutions and comparatively sluggish advancements toward a market-based economy (Vachudova, 2005). Initial public backing for democracy was rather feeble and had limited opportunities to rally behind opposition elites (Horwitz, 2005). In some instances, democratic transitions that were only partially realized faced threats and even rollback at the hands of leaders with authoritarian inclinations and their supporting political factions. These leaders exploited the public's ambivalence concerning the advantages of the reform trajectory (Horwitz, 2005). The durability of democracy advancement initiatives could be in doubt if they continue to be implemented from a top-down perspective (Pridham, 2005). In societies transitioning from communism, the development of a fresh constitution and democratic institutions has triggered changes in the actions of political elites (Pridham, 2005). The transition process entails discussions about the constitutional arrangement, establishing guidelines for political rivalry, and disassembling authoritarian institutions. The creation of a consensus among elites signifies a substantial step towards concluding the transition process and involves the repeal of laws that are incompatible with a democratic society (Pridham, 2005).

5. The Challenges of Democratization in Multiethnic Societies: A Closer Look at the Balkans

The genesis of democracy as we understand it today can be traced back to the inception of the American Revolution in 1776. Before this milestone, it would be incorrect to say that any nation had embarked on the path to democratic rule, as voting rights were exceedingly curtailed, and political affairs were predominantly under the control of the privileged few (Grugel, 2002). Moreover, up until the end of the 1800s, democracy faced formidable opposition from the ruling elite, and its practical implementation was hindered by the nation's rudimentary state. It was not until the 20th century that democratic representation truly expanded to include all citizens. Also, until the latter part of the 19th century or beyond, the institutions in mot nations were regularly found to be deficient when compared with contemporary standards (Grugel, 2002). Understanding

the historical progression of democracy reveals a narrative of struggle, transformation, and progression. It's a testament to the human aspiration for freedom and equal representation. In ancient societies, political power was confined to a select few, the elite, who governed according to their interests. The inception of the American Revolution in 1776, however, marked a crucial turning point, setting a precedent for a new kind of governance - democratic rule. This transformation was neither swift nor unopposed. It took centuries for the concept to be embraced and implemented globally. Throughout this time, political power gradually shifted from the elite towards the general population, despite resistance from those in authority. Moreover, the democratization process was not just about giving citizens the right to vote; it was also about building robust institutions to support democratic practices. However, these institutions often fell short of modern standards until much later. Thus, the journey towards true democracy has been a slow, but steadfast process, continually evolving to more inclusively represent the people's will. Democratic processes first took root in Britain, some parts of Western Europe, the United States, and a few British colonies, including Canada, Australia, and New Zealand. This development was catalyzed by well-established geographical boundaries, enabling the growth and stabilization of nation-states, and subsequently, a gradual amplification of state powers (Grugel, 2002). The swift progress of capitalism in these regions played a crucial role in their early democratization. Capitalism encourages competition, individual rights, and private property ownership, which align well with democratic values. The quick growth of capitalism stimulated economic prosperity and social mobility, which in turn increased demands for political representation among broader sections of society. Additionally, capitalism's promotion of a free-market economy and private enterprise contributed to a more diversified and independent citizenry, less dependent on aristocratic or governmental patronage.

The end of the Soviet Union spurred the rise of independent states in Central and Eastern Europe, prompting widespread optimism and international support—particularly from regional organizations like NATO—for the potential of establishing sustainable democracies in these regions, Russia, and the former Soviet Republics (Pevenhouse, 2005). The primary focus of the democratic movement until the start of the 21st century was the right to vote. The journey towards democracy was typically incremental for most countries. A standard pattern was that previously neutral legislatures, under restricted suffrage, would divide along party ideologies and participate in partisan elections. The initial concern was about contestation - the right for opposition parties to participate in elections and potentially seize power (Przeworski, 2000). Democracy's practical

implication suggests that one must be careful in evaluating elections, as a triumph can potentially lead to the establishment of an authoritarian regime. This situation was seen in many African nations following their independence. Przeworski proposes that a government can be classified as democratic only if the losing parties are given the opportunity to participate, triumph, and assume office in future elections (Przeworski, 2000). However, the statement, "A government is only democratic if the losers are permitted to participate, win, and take office" could be critiqued for its oversimplification of democracy. Democracy is not only about the transfer of power but also about how power is exercised. It should guarantee fundamental human rights, equality, and justice, irrespective of the political alignment of the ruling party.

According to Hendriks there are four models of democracy;

- "Pendulum democracy Resembling a clock's pendulum, this model sees political power repeatedly swing between two opposing political factions, epitomized by the Westminster model.
- 2. **Voter democracy** Merging direct public rule with aggregate decision-making, citizens actively participate by casting their votes in plebiscites, from local meetings to expansive referendums.
- 3. **Participatory democracy** This model, encompassing self-governance and integrative decision-making, is demonstrated in instances of communal self-rule and citizen deliberation. It ensures minorities are included, not simply outvoted by a numerical majority.
- 4. **Consensus democracy** Characteristically indirect and integrative, this model employs representatives from societal sections as primary decision-makers, striving for consensus and wide-ranging support. Commonly seen in countries like the Netherlands, Belgium, Switzerland, and Austria, it is designed for historically divided societies, giving birth to its alternate name consociationalism democracy (Hendriks, 2010).

The thesis will focus on evaluating consensus democracy as a suitable model for multiethnic societies. Given its emphasis on integrating diverse viewpoints and striving for consensus among different societal sections, this model aligns well with the power-sharing needs inherent to multiethnic societies. Its system is rooted in collaboration and coalition-building, which allows for effective power distribution, thus fostering inclusivity and harmony in diverse social landscapes. The classification of democracies into parliamentary, mixed, and presidential forms has been a hot topic of late. In a parliamentary system, the administration is subject to the legislature's confidence.

Contrarily, in a presidential system, the ultimate authority lies with the elected president. A blend of the two is seen in mixed systems, where the government is held accountable by both the legislature and the president (Przeworski, 2000). A distinct feature of parliamentary systems is the legislature's power to oust the administration, a right not conferred in presidential setups. However, some institutional configurations don't align exclusively with either type and are referred to as premier-presidential, semi-presidential, or hybrid systems, based on the chosen nomenclature (Przeworski, 2000). As democratic systems evolve, it's critical to note that the most successful ones are those that are flexible and can adapt to societal changes. The ability to evolve and integrate diverse voices, maintain transparency, and ensure the rule of law underpins democratic development.

When looked at from a different angle, the question of how and when common citizens can have a real influence over political leaders arises. The lack of stability in the state and the supremacy of informal systems over formal structures present significant challenges to democratization. A democratic voting population cannot control a state that is unable to regulate itself. The mere practice of holding routine competitive elections, even if conducted transparently, does not guarantee voters the ability to exercise control over their representatives (Holmes, 2015). With regard to democracies in the Balkans, these issues find resonance. The region has been grappling with similar challenges, primarily due to ethnic divisions. These divisions often result in an environment where informal networks and relationships take precedence over formal democratic institutions, undermining the democratization process. The inherent instability from these ethnic divisions and the consequent politicization have made it challenging to establish robust democratic institutions that can ensure fair representation and effective governance. Hence, much like the larger issue at hand, Balkan democracies also struggle with empowering their citizenry to have meaningful influence over their political leaders. The ethnic divide, far from being merely a sociocultural issue, directly affects the political landscape and the path to democratization in these countries.

Elected administrations will not face questioning or resistance in the time gap between elections unless specific societal and institutional criteria are met. These encompass the presence of a legitimate opposition party, a legislative body where the opposition has the power to hold the administration accountable, and a just legal system that guarantees guilt determination by neutral judges before any sentence is passed. Other necessities include multiple channels of information, like nationwide TV news, not subjected to government regulation, and an active civil society that

can peacefully protest without the threat of coercion or physical violence from security forces (Holmes, 2015). Countries devoid of these institutional or societal prerequisites are devoid of a functional democratic governance system. The process of democratization in multiethnic societies stalls in the absence of these conditions, leading to an escalation of inter-ethnic conflicts, a surge in ethnic nationalism, and divisions among different ethnic groups within the country. It underscores the importance of these fundamental conditions in maintaining social harmony and democratic values in diverse societies, and their absence could have dire implications for the stability and unity of such societies.

Authentic, working democracy has primarily originated from socioeconomic advancement, enhanced self-expression values, and the establishment of democratic institutions. Surprisingly, modern democratization strategies have often neglected these foundational principles. Human liberation, arguably the most vital component of democracy, has been largely dismissed by an extensive body of scholarly work (Inglehart & Welzel, 2005). Regarding Balkan societies, their unique challenges and transformations also play into this discourse. These societies have transitioned from communism to states plagued by ethnic conflicts and deep-seated animosities. This tumultuous journey, coupled with the scars of the past, have left a significant impact on the democratic process. The shift from authoritarian regimes to a new form of governance has not been straightforward or uniform across the region. The legacy of ethnic conflicts and hostilities, many of which have roots in the past, continues to fuel division and hinder the establishment of robust democratic institutions.

In fact, there is an increasing body of research that investigates the role of procedural factors in either facilitating or hindering democratization processes. Discussions continue over the political implications of different institutional models on transition results, with somewhat mixed conclusions. Nevertheless, there seems to be a general consensus suggesting a positive link between parliamentary systems and the sustainability of new democracies (Makarenko & Meville, 2015). Furthermore, challenges related to nation-building and state formation, often a common feature in post-Communist societies, can present further roadblocks to democratization (Makarenko & Meville, 2015). The democratization process in Western Balkan countries also encounters specific issues. After enduring turbulent histories marked by ethnic conflicts and transitioning from authoritarian rule, these countries face unique challenges in their democratization journey. The unresolved tension of ethnic divisions and the struggle to build cohesive national identities often impede the smooth transition to democratic governance. Despite

the establishment of democratic institutions, deep-seated ethnic animosities and memories of past conflict can undermine the effectiveness of these structures and the citizens' faith in them. Democracy bereft of strong institutions may precipitate instability and disorder. As many theoretical and empirical evidences have highlighted, the processes of state-building and democratization can occur side by side and strengthen one another. The intertwined nature of state formation and democratization has been confirmed through empirical assessments of regime shifts in a range of African governments undergoing transition (Makarenko & Meville, 2015). This structural weakness can lead to political instability, weaken the rule of law, and compromise the quality of democratic governance. The lack of well-defined and well-enforced institutional rules can create a power vacuum, susceptible to manipulation and exploitation by political elites, potentially leading to corruption and cronyism. Such is the case of Western Balkans.

Alternatively, Horowitz highlights a number of prominent difficulties that present themselves in the democratization process. These include a constitution that, despite enjoying popular support, urgently requires extensive overhaul; a society that is severely fractured; a nation with a violent past, teetering on the brink of a massive upheaval; a multitude of issues that extend beyond just constitutional concerns; a civil society that has been repressed by years of dictatorial rule; and military powers that may not have initially succumbed passively to a sudden change (Horowitz, 2013). In such circumstances, the unity of the nascent institutions was not the sole determinant of success. The ability to carry out the transition without violence was just as crucia (Horowitz, 2013). The problems that Horowitz identifies are indeed resonant with the experiences of Western Balkan countries. These nations have faced, and continue to grapple with, similar challenges in their democratic journeys. The legacy of authoritarian rule, societal polarization, entrenched military powers, the need for comprehensive constitutional reforms, and a history marked by violence are issues that have complicated the democratization process in this region.

In 1991, Yugoslavia fractured into several warring nations, only half a year after elections where ethnic nationalism held substantial sway (Mansfield & Snyder, 2005). Urther variables that notably impeded the democratic process encompassed lower economic growth rates, underdeveloped industrial sectors, amplified ethnic discord (as evidenced in Bulgaria, Romania, Serbia, Croatia, Macedonia, Bosnia), and even outright civil wars (Makarenko & Meville, 2015). The consequences of ethnic divisions continue to pose a major impediment to the consolidation of democracy. In the Western Balkans, the persistence of these divisions often undermines democratic institutions, exacerbates societal polarization, and can fuel political instability. Ethnic tensions, if

left unresolved, can hamper the development of a shared national identity, which is key to fostering a sense of civic duty and trust in democratic processes. Therefore, addressing these divisions is a critical challenge to be overcome in the pursuit of democratic consolidation in this region.

From a human development perspective, the key virtue of democracy is its ability to empower individuals. Democracy, when protecting civil and political rights, grants people the capacity to determine the course of their private and public spheres. However, it's worth noting that not all forms of democracy contribute equally to human growth. It's specifically the liberal component of democracy that champions personal liberties and aligns closely with human development (Inglehart & Welzel, 2005). Eminent writers propose that it's civic attributes such as trust, tolerance, and selfefficacy that enable democratic institutions to operate effectively. They also maintain that the resilience of a democratic system is reliant on the society's embrace of democratic values, demonstrated through their day-to-day exchanges (Inglehart & Welzel, 2005). In multiethnic societies, the application of a democratic model that does not cater to the diversity can create challenges for individuals in their daily interactions. Such a system can foster a sense of alienation among different ethnic groups, exacerbate ethnic tensions, and hinder social cohesion. Individuals might find it difficult to connect with others outside their ethnic group, affecting social, economic, and political engagements. Therefore, it is critical that the democratic system in a multiethnic society ensures fair representation, promotes interethnic dialogue, and fosters a shared sense of citizenship to support the diverse interactions that make up everyday life.

Conclusion

This paper has effectively achieved its goals by providing a comprehensive analysis of the relationship between ethnic nationalism and the democratization process in the Western Balkans. Through a detailed examination of historical events, key policies, and the impact of international interventions, the paper has illuminated the intricate ways in which ethnic nationalism has influenced democratic development in the region. By focusing on specific case studies and utilizing a qualitative methodology, the research has successfully highlighted both the progress made and the persistent challenges in achieving sustainable democratization. Furthermore, the paper has underscored the critical role of international actors, particularly the European Union, in supporting democratic reforms and fostering regional stability. The findings have demonstrated that while significant strides have been made towards political pluralism and reconciliation, issues such as corruption, weak institutions, and ethnic divisions continue to pose substantial obstacles. By addressing these complexities, the paper has provided valuable insights into the ongoing efforts

required to overcome these challenges and promote a stable, democratic future for the Western Balkans. In summary, the journey from ethnic nationalism to democratization in the Western Balkans represents a pivotal phase in the region's history, marked by significant progress alongside persistent challenges. The region's transition away from authoritarianism and ethnic exclusivism towards inclusive democratic governance has been shaped by complex historical legacies, international interventions, and local dynamics. Democratization efforts have aimed to foster political pluralism, strengthen rule of law, and promote reconciliation among diverse ethnic communities. However, the path towards sustainable democratization remains fraught with obstacles. Lingering issues such as corruption, weak institutions, and political polarization continue to hinder progress. Ethnic divisions, exacerbated by nationalist rhetoric and unresolved historical grievances, pose ongoing challenges to societal cohesion and effective governance. Moreover, the Western Balkans' aspiration for European integration necessitates further reforms to align with EU standards and norms, including the protection of minority rights and strengthening democratic institutions. International engagement has played a crucial role in supporting the region's democratization process, providing frameworks for political reform, economic development, and regional cooperation. Efforts by the European Union, alongside other international actors, have been instrumental in promoting stability and fostering dialogue among Balkan states. These efforts underscore the importance of sustained international support and cooperation in addressing the root causes of ethnic tensions and advancing democratic consolidation. Looking ahead, addressing the complexities of ethnic diversity and historical grievances will be essential for building resilient democratic societies in the Western Balkans. A commitment to inclusive governance, respect for human rights, and fostering a shared sense of national identity are paramount to overcoming divisions and achieving lasting peace. By continuing to prioritize democratic reforms, promoting regional cooperation, and fostering a culture of dialogue and tolerance, the Western Balkans can aspire towards a future characterized by stability, prosperity, and integration into the broader European community. In conclusion, while challenges persist, the trajectory towards democratization in the Western Balkans demonstrates resilience and determination towards overcoming historical divisions and building a prosperous future grounded in democratic principles and inclusive national identities.

References

Bieber, F. (2018). Is nationalism on the Rise? Assessing Global Trends, Ethnopolitics. *Taylor and Francis Group*, 519-540.

- Bosworth, R. (2007). Nationalism. Routledge.
- C.Pevenhouse, J. (2005). *Democracy from Above*. Cambridge University Press.
- D.Mansfield, E., & Snyder, J. (2005). *Electing To Fight, Why Emerging Democracies Go To War.*Massachesetts: Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs.
- Grugel, J. (2002). Democratization, A Critical Introduction. Palgrave.
- Hendriks, F. (2010). Vital Democracy. Oxford University Press.
- Holmes, S. (2015). Imitating Democracy, Feigning Capacity. In A. Przeworski, *Democracy in a Russian Mirror* (p. 55). Cambridge University Press.
- Horwitz, S. (2005). From Ethnic Conflict to Stillborn Reform, the Former Soviet Union and Yugoslavia. Texas A&M University Press, College Station.
- Inglehart, R., & Welzel Christian. (2005). *Modernization Cltural Change and Democracy*. Cambridge University Press.
- J. Linz, J., & Stepan, A. (1996). Democratic Transition and Consolidation, Southern Europe, South America, and Post Communist Europe. John Hopkins University.
- Joseph, J. E. (2004). Language and Identity, National, Ethnic, Religious. Palgrave Macmillan.
- L.Horowitz, D. (2013). *Constitutional Change and Democracy in Indonesia*. Cambridge University Press.
- Makarenko, B., & Meville, A. (2015). How Do Transitions to Democracy Get Stuck and Where. In A. Przeworski, *Democracy in a Russian Mirror* (pp. 271-272). Cambridge University.
- Pridham, G. (2005). Designing Democracy, EU Enlargment and Regime Change in Post-Communist Europe. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Przeworski, A. (2000). Democracy and Development. Cambridge University Press.
- Renan, E. (1893). What is a Nation? and Other Political Writings. Columbia University Press.

Sakellariou, A., & A., S. D. (2014). Democracy in the Western Balkans; An Overview. *Hellenic Foundation For European And Foreign Policy*, 1-13.

Schulze, H. (1996). States, Nations and Nationalism. Blackwell Publishers.

Smith, A. D. (1988). The Ethnic Origins of Nations. Blackwell Publishing.

Smith, A. D. (1995). Nations and Nationalism in a Global Era. Polity Press.

Smith, A. D. (1999). Myths and Memories of the Nation. Oxford University Press.

Timothy, F. (2010). Building States and Markets After Communism. Cambridge University Press.

Vachudova, M. A. (2005). Europe Undivided, Democracy, Leverage and Integration After Communism. Oxford University Press.