

## ON DIGITAL H-GROUPS

S.DEMIRALP AND ESSAM H. HAMOUDA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, specific properties of digital H-spaces and digital H-groups are studied. It is shown that there is a contravariant functor from the homotopy category of the pointed digital images to the category of groups and homomorphisms. Then it is proven that a pointed digital image having the same digital homotopy type as a digital H-group is itself a digital H-group.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of digital topology is to study the topological properties of discrete objects, such as compactness, connectedness. Digital topology was first studied in [14] by the computer image analysis researcher Rosenfeld. Following years researchers studied the digital versions of many concepts of algebraic topology. Digital homotopy and digital fundamental group are defined in [4] by Boxer. Digital H-space is defined in [7] by Ege and Karaca. H-spaces are an important concept of homotopy theory. An H-space consists of a pointed topological space  $P$  with a continuous multiplication  $m : X \times X \rightarrow X$  and with a constant map  $c : X \rightarrow X$ , such that  $m \circ (c, 1_X) \simeq 1_X \simeq m \circ (1_X, c)$ . A group structure can be established on H-space, called H-group, by homotopy group operations which are similar to group operations.

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  be the set of all integers and  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  the set of all lattice points in Euclidean  $n$ -dimensional space. A finite subset  $X$  of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  with an adjacency relation  $\kappa$  is called a digital image, denoted by  $(X, \kappa)$ .

For a positive integer  $t$  with  $1 \leq t \leq n$ , two distinct points  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  are  $\kappa_t$ -adjacent if,

- i) there are at most  $t$  distinct indices  $i$  such that  $|x_i - y_i| \neq 1$ , and
- ii) for all indices  $j$ , if  $|x_j - y_j| \neq 1$ , then  $x_j = y_j$ .

Consider the following statements for the commonly used adjacency relations:

- (1)  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$  are 2-adjacent if  $|p - q| = 1$

---

*Date:* Review October 11, 2018, accepted January 26, 2019.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55P45, 68R99; Secondary 55P65, 55P10.

*Key words and phrases.* Digital image, Digital homotopy, Digital H-space, Digital H-group.

- (2)  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  are 8-adjacent if they are distinct and differ by at most 1 each coordinate.
- (3)  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  are 4-adjacent if they are 8-adjacent and differ by exactly one coordinate.
- (4)  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}^3$  are 26-adjacent if they distinct and differ by at most 1 each coordinate.
- (5)  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}^3$  are 18-adjacent if they are 26-adjacent and differ by at most two coordinates.
- (6)  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}^3$  are 6-adjacent if they are 18-adjacent and differ by exactly one coordinate.

A digital interval is a set of the form  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{Z}} = \{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a \leq z \leq b\}$ .

The adjacency relation on cartesian product of two digital image is defined as follows.

**Definition 2.1.** [8] For two digital image  $(X, \kappa_1)$  and  $(Y, \kappa_2)$ , the  $\kappa^*$ -adjacency on the product image  $X \times Y$  is obtained as follows:  $x_1, x_2 \in (X, \kappa_1)$ ,  $y_1, y_2 \in (Y, \kappa_2)$ , then  $(x_1, y_1)$  and  $(x_2, y_2)$  are  $\kappa^*$ -adjacent if and only if one of the following is satisfied:

- (1)  $x_1 = x_2$  and  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are  $\kappa_2$ -adjacent,
- (2)  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are  $\kappa_1$ -adjacent and  $y_1 = y_2$ ,
- (3)  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are  $\kappa_1$ -adjacent and  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are  $\kappa_2$ -adjacent.

**Definition 2.2.** [6] Let  $(X, \kappa_1)$  and  $(Y, \kappa_2)$  be digital images. Then the function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ -continuous if and only if for every  $\{x_0, x_1\} \subset X$  such that  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  are  $\kappa_1$ -adjacent, either  $f(x_0) = f(x_1)$  or  $f(x_0)$  and  $f(x_1)$  are  $\kappa_2$ -adjacent.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $f : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be defined as  $f(x) = x_1 + x_2$ , for all  $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . Then it is clear that  $f$  is  $(4, 2)$ -continuous. However it isn't  $(8, 2)$ -continuous, because  $x = (x_1, x_2)$  and  $y = (x_1 + 1, x_2 + 1)$  are 8-adjacent but  $f(x) = x_1 + x_2$  and  $f(y) = x_1 + x_2 + 2$  are not 2-adjacent.

**Definition 2.4.** [4] Let  $(X, \kappa_1)$  and  $(Y, \kappa_2)$  be two digital image and  $f$  and  $g$  be  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ -continuous functions. If

- (1) there exist a function  $F : X \times [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow Y$ , such that, for all  $x \in X$ ,  $F(x, 0) = f(x)$  and  $F(x, m) = g(x)$ ,
- (2) the induced function  $F_x : [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow Y$ ,  $F_x(t) = F(x, t)$  for all  $x \in X$  and for all  $t \in [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , is  $(2, \kappa_2)$ -continuous and
- (3) the induced function  $F_t : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $F_t(x) = F(x, t)$  for all  $x \in X$  and for all  $t \in [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , is  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ -continuous,

then  $f$  and  $g$  are said to be digitally  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ -homotopic and  $F$  is called a digital  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ -homotopy between  $f$  and  $g$ , written  $f \stackrel{F}{\simeq}_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)} g$  (or  $f \simeq_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)} g$ , for short).

The notation  $[f]$  is used to denote the digital homotopy class of  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ -continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , i.e.

$$[f] = \{g : X \rightarrow Y \mid g \text{ is } (\kappa_1, \kappa_2)\text{-continuous and } f \simeq_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)} g\}.$$

The set of all digital homotopy classes of  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ -continuous functions is denoted by  $[(X, \kappa_1), (Y, \kappa_2)]$ , i.e.

$$[(X, \kappa_1), (Y, \kappa_2)] = \{[f] \mid f : (X, \kappa_1) \rightarrow (Y, \kappa_2) \text{ is } (\kappa_1, \kappa_2)\text{-continuous}\}.$$

For a digital image  $(X, \kappa)$  and its subset  $(A, \kappa)$ ,  $(X, A, \kappa)$  is called a digital image pair with  $\kappa$ -adjacency. Also, if  $A$  is a singleton set  $\{p\}$ , then  $(X, p, \kappa)$  is called a pointed digital image.

**Definition 2.5.** [4] Let  $(X, \kappa_1)$  and  $(Y, \kappa_2)$  be two digital image and  $f$  be a  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ -continuous function and  $g$  be a  $(\kappa_2, \kappa_1)$ -continuous function, such that

$$f \circ g \simeq_{(\kappa_2, \kappa_2)} 1_Y \text{ and } g \circ f \simeq_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_1)} 1_X.$$

Then  $(X, \kappa_1)$  and  $(Y, \kappa_2)$  are said to be same  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ -homotopy type or  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ -homotopy equivalent. Also  $f$  and  $g$  are called  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ -equivalences.

### 3. DIGITAL H-SPACES

In this section some properties of digital H-spaces and digital H-groups are investigated. It is shown that the set of homotopy classes of digitally continuous functions, from a homotopy associative digital H-space to a pointed digital image, is semigroup. Then it is proven that a pointed digital image having the same homotopy type as an abelian digital H-group is itself an abelian digital H-group. Also it is shown that there is a contravariant functor from the homotopy category of the pointed digital images to the category of abelian groups and homomorphisms.

**Definition 3.1.** [7] Let  $(X, p, \kappa)$  be a pointed digital image. For a digital continuous multiplication  $\mu : X \times X \rightarrow X$  and the digital constant map  $c : X \rightarrow X$ , defined by  $c(x) = p$ , if  $\mu \circ (c, 1_X) \simeq_{(\kappa, \kappa)} \mu \circ (1_X, c) \simeq_{(\kappa, \kappa)} 1_X$ , then  $(X, p, \kappa)$  is called a digital H-space.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $(\mathbb{Z}, 0, 2)$  be pointed digital image and let  $\mu : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  defined as  $\mu(x, y) = x + y$ . It is clear that  $\mu$  is  $(4, 2)$ -continuous.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \circ (c, 1_X)(x) &= \mu(c(x), 1_X(x))(x) = \mu(0, x) = 0 + x = x \\ \mu \circ (1_X, c)(x) &= \mu(1_X(x), c(x))(x) = \mu(x, 0) = x + 0 = x \end{aligned}$$

where  $c : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is a constant map,  $c(x) = 0$ . Therefore

$$\mu \circ (c, 1_X) \simeq_{(2,2)} 1_X \simeq_{(2,2)} \mu \circ (1_X, c).$$

Consequently  $(\mathbb{Z}, 0, 2)$  is a digital H-space.

**Definition 3.3.** [7] Let  $(X, p, \kappa)$  be a digital H-space. If

$$\mu \circ (1_X \times \mu) \simeq_{(\kappa^*, \kappa)} \mu \circ (\mu \times 1_X)$$

then  $\mu$  is called digital homotopy associative.

If there exists a map  $\eta : (X, p, \kappa) \rightarrow (X, p, \kappa)$  such that,

$$\mu \circ (\eta, 1_X) \simeq_{(\kappa, \kappa)} \mu \circ (1_X, \eta) \simeq_{(\kappa, \kappa)} c$$

then  $\eta$  is called a digital homotopy inverse for  $\mu$ .

If there exists a map  $T : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ ,  $T(x, y) = (y, x)$ , such that

$$\mu \circ T \simeq_{(\kappa^*, \kappa)} \mu$$

then  $\mu$  is called digital homotopy commutative and  $(X, p, \kappa)$  is called abelian digital H-space.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $(X, p, \kappa_1)$  be a digital H-space with digital homotopy associative multiplication  $\mu$  and  $(Y, q, \kappa_2)$  be a pointed digital image. Then  $[(Y, q, \kappa_2), (X, p, \kappa_1)]$  is a semigroup with identity.*

*Proof.* For any  $[f], [g] \in [(Y, q, \kappa_2), (X, p, \kappa_1)]$ , let define the product

$$[f] \bullet [g] = [\mu \circ (f \times g) \circ \Delta]$$

where  $\Delta : Y \rightarrow Y \times Y, \Delta(y) = (y, y)$ . Let  $[f] = [f']$  and  $[g] = [g']$ , then there exist  $(\kappa_2, \kappa_1)$ -homotopies  $H$  and  $G$  such that  $f \stackrel{G}{\simeq}_{(\kappa_2, \kappa_1)} f'$  and  $g \stackrel{H}{\simeq}_{(\kappa_2, \kappa_1)} g'$ . Define a digital homotopy  $F : Y \times [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow X$  as  $F = \mu \circ (G, H)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} F(y, 0) &= \mu \circ (G, H)(y, 0) \\ &= \mu(G(y, 0), H(y, 0)) \\ &= \mu(f(y), g(y)) \\ &= (\mu \circ (f \times g) \circ \Delta)(y) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly  $F(y, m) = (\mu \circ (f' \times g') \circ \Delta)(y)$ . So

$$(\mu \circ (f \times g) \circ \Delta) \stackrel{F}{\simeq}_{(\kappa_2, \kappa_1)} (\mu \circ (f' \times g') \circ \Delta).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} [f] \bullet [g] &= [\mu \circ (f \times g) \circ \Delta] \\ &= [\mu \circ (f' \times g') \circ \Delta] \\ &= [f'] \bullet [g']. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently "  $\bullet$  " is well defined.

Let  $c : (X, p, \kappa_1) \rightarrow (X, p, \kappa_1)$  be the constant map  $c(x) = p, \forall x \in X$ . Let define a map  $e : (Y, q, \kappa_2) \rightarrow (X, p, \kappa_1)$  such that  $e(y) = p$ , for all  $y \in Y$ . Then

$$(\mu \circ (e \times f) \circ \Delta)(y) = \mu(p, f(y)) = (\mu \circ (c, 1_X) \circ f)(y)$$

and since  $\mu \circ (c, 1_X) \simeq_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_1)} 1_X$ , then  $[e] \bullet [f] = [\mu \circ (e \times f) \circ \Delta] = [f]$ . So  $[e]$  is the identity element of  $[(Y, q, \kappa_2), (X, p, \kappa_1)]$ .

Let  $[f], [g], [h] \in [(Y, q, \kappa_2), (X, p, \kappa_1)]$ .

$$\begin{aligned} [f] \bullet ([g] \bullet [h]) &= [f] \bullet [\mu \circ (g \times h) \circ \Delta] \\ &= [\mu \circ (f \times (\mu \circ (g \times h) \circ \Delta)) \circ \Delta] \\ &= [\mu \circ (1_X \times \mu) \circ (f \times g \times h) \circ (1_X \times \Delta) \circ \Delta] \\ &= [\mu \circ (\mu \times 1_X) \circ (f \times g \times h) \circ (1_X \times \Delta) \circ \Delta] \\ &= [\mu \circ ((\mu \circ (f \times g) \circ \Delta) \times h) \circ \Delta] \\ &= ([f] \bullet [g]) \bullet [h]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore "  $\bullet$  " is digital homotopy associative.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** [7] *Let  $(X, p, \kappa_1)$  be a digital H-space and  $(Y, q, \kappa_2)$  be a pointed digital image. If  $(X, p, \kappa_1)$  and  $(Y, q, \kappa_2)$  have the same  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ -homotopy type, then  $(Y, q, \kappa_2)$  is a digital H-space.*

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $(X, p, \kappa_1)$  and  $(Y, q, \kappa_2)$  be digital H-spaces. A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called a digital H-homomorphism if  $f \circ \mu \simeq_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)} \eta \circ (f \times f)$ , where  $\eta : Y \times Y \rightarrow Y$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $(X, p, \kappa_1)$  be a digital H-space and  $(Y, q, \kappa_2)$  have the same  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ -homotopy type with  $X$ . Then digital  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ -equivalences are digital H-homomorphisms.*

*Proof.* Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ -continuous function and let  $g : Y \rightarrow X$  be a  $(\kappa_2, \kappa_1)$ -continuous function, such that  $f \circ g \simeq_{(\kappa_2, \kappa_2)} 1_Y$  and  $g \circ f \simeq_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_1)} 1_X$ . Let  $\mu$  be  $(\kappa^*, \kappa_1)$ -continuous multiplication of  $(X, p, \kappa_1)$ , then  $(Y, q, \kappa_2)$  is a digital H-space with the  $(\kappa^*, \kappa_2)$ -continuous multiplication  $\eta = f \circ \mu \circ (g \times g)$ . Then

$$g \circ \eta = g \circ (f \circ \mu \circ (g \times g)) \simeq_{(\kappa^*, \kappa_1)} 1_X \circ \mu \circ (g \times g) = \mu \circ (g \times g).$$

So  $g$  is a digital H-homomorphism. Also,

$$\eta \circ (f \times f) = f \circ \mu \circ (g \times g) \circ (f \times f) \simeq_{(\kappa^*, \kappa_2)} f \circ \mu \circ 1_{X \times X} = f \circ \mu.$$

Therefore  $f$  is a digital H-homomorphism.  $\square$

**Definition 3.8.** A digital H-group is a digital H-space  $(X, p, \kappa)$  with the digital homotopy associative multiplication  $\mu$  and digital homotopy inverse  $\eta$ .

It is clear that  $(\mathbb{Z}, 0, 2)$  in Example 7 is an abelian digital H-group.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $(X, p, \kappa_1)$  be a digital H-group. If  $(X, p, \kappa_1)$  and  $(Y, q, \kappa_2)$  have the same  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ -homotopy type, then  $(Y, q, \kappa_2)$  is a digital H-group.

*Proof.* Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ -continuous function and let  $g : Y \rightarrow X$  be a  $(\kappa_2, \kappa_1)$ -continuous function, such that  $f \circ g \simeq_{(\kappa_2, \kappa_2)} 1_Y$  and  $g \circ f \simeq_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_1)} 1_X$ . Let  $\eta = f \circ \mu \circ (g \times g)$  be digital continuous multiplication of  $(Y, q, \kappa_2)$  where  $\mu : X \times X \rightarrow X$ . Then  $(Y, q, \kappa_2)$  is a digital H-space. Since  $f \circ g \simeq_{(\kappa_2, \kappa_2)} 1_Y$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta \times 1_Y &= (f \circ \mu \circ (g \times g)) \times 1_Y \simeq_{(\kappa^*, \kappa_2)} (f \times f) \circ (\mu \times 1_X) \circ (g \times g \times g) \\ 1_Y \times \eta &= 1_Y \times (f \circ \mu \circ (g \times g)) \simeq_{(\kappa^*, \kappa_2)} (f \times f) \circ (1_X \times \mu) \circ (g \times g \times g). \end{aligned}$$

As  $(X, p, \kappa_1)$  is a digital H-group,  $\mu$  is digital homotopy associative. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta \circ (\eta \times 1_Y) &\simeq_{(\kappa^*, \kappa_2)} f \circ \mu \circ (g \times g) \circ (f \times f) \circ (\mu \times 1_X) \circ (g \times g \times g) \\ &\simeq_{(\kappa^*, \kappa_2)} f \circ \mu \circ 1_{X \times X} \circ (\mu \times 1_X) \circ (g \times g \times g) \\ &= f \circ (\mu \circ (\mu \times 1_X)) \circ (g \times g \times g) \\ &\simeq_{(\kappa^*, \kappa_2)} f \circ (\mu \circ (1_X \times \mu)) \circ (g \times g \times g) \\ &= f \circ \mu \circ 1_{X \times X} \circ (1_X \times \mu) \circ (g \times g \times g) \\ &\simeq_{(\kappa^*, \kappa_2)} f \circ \mu \circ (g \times g) \circ (f \times f) \circ (1_X \times \mu) \circ (g \times g \times g) \\ &\simeq_{(\kappa^*, \kappa_2)} \eta \circ (1_Y \times \eta). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\eta$  is digital homotopy associative.

Let  $\theta : (X, p, \kappa_1) \rightarrow (X, p, \kappa_1)$  be digital homotopy inverse for  $\mu$  and  $\theta' = f \circ \theta \circ g$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta \circ (\theta', 1_Y) &= (f \circ \mu) \circ (g \times g) \circ (\theta', 1_Y) \\ &= (f \circ \mu) \circ (g \times g) \circ (f \circ \theta \circ g, 1_Y) \\ &= (f \circ \mu) \circ (g \circ f \circ \theta \circ g, g \circ 1_Y) \\ &\simeq_{(\kappa_2, \kappa_2)} (f \circ \mu) \circ (1_X \circ \theta \circ g, g) \\ &= f \circ (\mu \circ (\theta, 1_X)) \circ g \\ &\simeq_{(\kappa_2, \kappa_2)} f \circ c \circ g \\ &\simeq_{(\kappa_2, \kappa_2)} c', \end{aligned}$$

where  $c' : (Y, q, \kappa_2) \rightarrow (Y, q, \kappa_2)$ ,  $c'(y) = q$ ,  $\forall y \in Y$ . Similarly,  $\eta \circ (1_Y \circ \theta') \simeq_{(\kappa_2, \kappa_2)} c'$ . Hence  $\theta'$  is a homotopy inverse for  $(Y, q, \kappa_2)$ .

Consequently  $(Y, q, \kappa_2)$  is a digital H-group.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $(X, p, \kappa_1)$  be an abelian digital H-group. If  $(X, p, \kappa_1)$  and  $(Y, q, \kappa_2)$  have the same  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ -homotopy type, then  $(Y, q, \kappa_2)$  is an abelian digital H-group.*

*Proof.* Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ -continuous function and let  $g : Y \rightarrow X$  be a  $(\kappa_2, \kappa_1)$ -continuous function, such that  $f \circ g \simeq_{(\kappa_2, \kappa_2)} 1_Y$  and  $g \circ f \simeq_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_1)} 1_X$ . Let  $\mu$  and  $\eta = f \circ \mu \circ (g \times g)$  be digital continuous multiplication of  $(X, p, \kappa_1)$  and  $(Y, q, \kappa_2)$ , respectively. As  $\mu$  is digital homotopy commutative, there exists a function  $T : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X, T(x_1, x_2) = (x_2, x_1)$  such that  $\mu \circ T \simeq_{(\kappa^*, \kappa_1)} \mu$ . Now consider the function  $T' : Y \times Y \rightarrow Y \times Y, T'(y_1, y_2) = (y_2, y_1)$ . Then,

$$\eta \circ T' = f \circ \mu \circ (g \times g) \circ T' = f \circ \mu \circ T \circ (g \times g) \simeq_{(\kappa^*, \kappa_2)} f \circ \mu \circ (g \times g) = \eta.$$

So  $\eta$  is digital homotopy commutative.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.** [7] Let  $(X, p, \kappa_1)$  be a digital image,  $(Y, q, \kappa_2)$  be a digital H-group with digital continuous multiplication  $\eta$ . Then  $[(X, p, \kappa_1), (Y, q, \kappa_2)]$  is a group under the product  $[f] \bullet [g] = [\eta \circ (f \times g) \circ \Delta]$ , for all  $[f], [g] \in [(X, p, \kappa_1), (Y, q, \kappa_2)]$ . Also if  $(Y, q, \kappa_2)$  is an abelian digital H-group, then

$$([(X, p, \kappa_1), (Y, q, \kappa_2)], \bullet)$$

is abelian.

**Theorem 3.11.** *A homotopy associative digital H-space  $(X, p, \kappa)$  is a digital H-group if and only if the map  $\varphi : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$  defined by  $\varphi(x, y) = (x, xy)$  is a  $(\kappa^*, \kappa^*)$ -homotopy equivalence.*

*Proof.* Let  $(X, p, \kappa)$  be a digital H-group with digital homotopy inverse  $\eta : X \rightarrow X$ . Consider the digital continuous map  $j : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$  defined by  $j(x, y) = (x, \eta(x)y)$ . Now  $(\psi \circ j)(x, y) = \psi(x, \eta(x)y) = (x, x\varphi(x)y)$  implies that  $\psi \circ j \simeq_{(\kappa^*, \kappa^*)} 1_{X \times X}$ , since  $\eta$  is the digital homotopy inverse. Also

$$(j \circ \psi)(x, y) = j(x, xy) = (x, \eta(x)xy)$$

implies  $j \circ \psi \simeq_{(\kappa^*, \kappa^*)} 1_{X \times X}$ . Hence  $\psi$  is  $(\kappa^*, \kappa^*)$  homotopy equivalence. Conversely, let  $\Omega : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$  be the digital homotopy inverse of  $\psi$  such that  $\psi \circ \Omega \simeq_{(\kappa^*, \kappa^*)} \Omega \circ \psi \simeq_{(\kappa^*, \kappa^*)} 1_{X \times X}$ . Now we go ahead to prove that  $(X, p, \kappa_1)$  is a digital H-group. Define  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  by the composite

$$X \xrightarrow{i_1} X \times X \xrightarrow{\Omega} X \times X \xrightarrow{p_2} X.$$

where  $i_1 : X \rightarrow X \times X$  is defined by  $i_1(x) = (x, p)$  and  $p_i : X \times X \rightarrow X$  are the projections. Let  $\mu : X \times X \rightarrow X$  be the digital continuous multiplication of  $(X, p, \kappa)$ , then  $p_1 \circ \psi = p_1, p_2 \circ \psi = \mu$  and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &\simeq_{(\kappa^*, \kappa)} p_1 \circ \psi \circ \Omega = p_1 \circ \Omega \\ p_2 &\simeq_{(\kappa^*, \kappa)} p_2 \circ \psi \circ \Omega = \mu \circ \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $p_1 \circ \Omega \circ i_1 \simeq_{(\kappa, \kappa)} p_1 \circ i_1 = 1_X$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \circ (1_X, \varphi) &= \mu \circ (p_1 \circ \Omega \circ i_1, p_2 \circ \Omega \circ i_1) \\ &= \mu \circ (p_1, p_2) \circ (\Omega \circ i_1) \\ &= \mu \circ \Omega \circ i_1 \simeq_{(\kappa, \kappa)} p_2 \circ i_1 = c \end{aligned}$$

where  $c : X \rightarrow X, c(x) = p$  is the digital constant map. Similarly,

$$\mu \circ (\varphi, 1_X) \simeq_{(\kappa, \kappa)} c.$$

Hence  $(X, p, \kappa)$  is a homotopy associative digital H-space such that  $\varphi$  is the digital homotopy inverse. Consequently,  $(X, p, \kappa)$  is a digital H-group.  $\square$

**Definition 3.12.** [16] Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be two categories. A contravariant functor  $T$  from  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\mathcal{D}$  is a mapping which associates to every object  $X$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  an object  $T(X)$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  and associates to every morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  a morphism

$$T(f) : T(Y) \rightarrow T(X)$$

of  $\mathcal{D}$  such that,  $T(1_X) = 1_{T(X)}$  and  $T(gf) = T(f)T(g)$ .

**Theorem 3.13.** [15] For any category  $\mathcal{C}$  and object  $Y$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ , there is a contravariant functor  $\Pi^Y$  from  $\mathcal{C}$  to the category of sets and functions which associates to an object  $X$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  the set  $\Pi^Y(X) = \text{hom}(X, Y)$  and to a morphism  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  the function  $\Pi^Y(f) = f^* : \text{hom}(X', Y) \rightarrow \text{hom}(X, Y)$  defined by  $f^*(g') = g' \circ f$ , for  $g' : X' \rightarrow Y$ .

**Definition 3.14.** The category whose objects are pointed digital images and the set of morphisms is  $\text{hom}((X, p, \kappa_1), (Y, q, \kappa_2)) = [(X, p, \kappa_1), (Y, q, \kappa_2)]$  is called the homotopy category of the pointed digital images.

**Theorem 3.15.** Let  $(X, p, \kappa)$  be a digital H-space with the digital continuous multiplication  $\mu$  and  $(Y, q, \kappa_1)$  and  $(Z, r, \kappa_2)$  be any pointed digital images. Then there exists a homomorphism from  $[(Z, r, \kappa_2), (X, p, \kappa)]$  to  $[(Y, q, \kappa_1), (X, p, \kappa)]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $h : (Y, q, \kappa_1) \rightarrow (Z, r, \kappa_2)$  be a map and  $[g], [g'] \in [(Z, r, \kappa_2), (X, p, \kappa)]$ . Let define  $h^* : [(Z, r, \kappa_2), (X, p, \kappa)] \rightarrow [(Y, q, \kappa_1), (X, p, \kappa)]$  as  $h^*([g]) = [g \circ h]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} h^*([g] \bullet [g']) &= h^*([\mu \circ (g \times g') \circ \Delta]) \\ &= [\mu \circ (g \times g') \circ \Delta \circ h] \\ &= [\mu \circ ((g \circ h) \times (g' \circ h)) \circ \Delta] \\ &= [g \circ h] \bullet [g' \circ h] \\ &= h^*([g]) \bullet h^*([g']). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $h^*$  is a homomorphism.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.16.** Let  $(Y, q, \kappa)$  be a digital H-group, then  $\Pi^Y$  is a contravariant functor from the homotopy category of the pointed digital images to the category of groups and homomorphisms.

*Proof.* Let  $(X, p, \kappa_1)$  and  $(Z, r, \kappa_2)$  be objects and  $[f] \in [(X, p, \kappa_1), (Z, r, \kappa_2)]$  is a morphism of the homotopy category of the pointed digital images.

$$\Pi^Y((X, p, \kappa_1)) = \text{hom}((X, p, \kappa_1), (Y, q, \kappa)) = [(X, p, \kappa_1), (Y, q, \kappa)].$$

Therefore  $\Pi^Y((X, p, \kappa_1))$  is a group.

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^Y([f]) &= f^* : \text{hom}((Z, r, \kappa_2), (Y, q, \kappa)) \rightarrow \text{hom}((X, p, \kappa_1), (Y, q, \kappa)) \\ &\Rightarrow f^* : [(Z, r, \kappa_2), (Y, q, \kappa)] \rightarrow [(X, p, \kappa_1), (Y, q, \kappa)] \end{aligned}$$

is a function defined as,  $f^*([g]) = [g \circ f]$ , for any  $[g] \in [(Z, r, \kappa_2), (Y, q, \kappa)]$ . So,  $f^*$  is a morphism between groups. Also by Theorem 19,  $f^*$  is a homomorphism.

Let show that  $\Pi^Y$  is a contravariant functor.

Let  $[h] \in [(Z, r, \kappa_2) \rightarrow (W, t, \kappa_3)]$ . For any morphism  $[h'] \in [(W, t, \kappa_3), (Y, q, \kappa)]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^Y([h])([h']) &= [h' \circ h] \\ \Pi^Y([f])([h' \circ h]) &= [(h' \circ h) \circ f] \\ &= [h' \circ (h \circ f)] \\ &= \Pi^Y([h \circ f])([h']). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\Pi^Y([f])([h' \circ h]) = \Pi^Y([f])(\Pi^Y([h])([h'])) = (\Pi^Y([f]) \circ \Pi^Y([h]))([h']).$$

So,  $\Pi^Y([h \circ f]) = \Pi^Y([f]) \circ \Pi^Y([h])$ .

Let  $[1_X] \in [(X, p, \kappa_1), (X, p, \kappa_1)]$  be the unit morphism of the digital homotopy category of pointed digital images. Then,

$$\Pi^Y([1_X]) = 1_X^* : [(X, p, \kappa_1), (Y, q, \kappa)], \quad 1_X^*([h]) = [h].$$

Consequently  $\Pi^Y$  is a contravariant functor.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.17.** Let  $(Y, q, \kappa)$  be an abelian digital H-group, then  $\Pi^Y$  is a contravariant functor from the homotopy category of the pointed digital images to the category of abelian groups and homomorphisms.

**Theorem 3.18.** Let  $(Y, q, \kappa_1)$  and  $(Z, r, \kappa_2)$  be digital H-spaces with the multiplications  $\mu$  and  $\eta$ , respectively, and  $h : (Y, q, \kappa_1) \rightarrow (Z, r, \kappa_2)$  be a digital H-homomorphism. Then there exist a homomorphism from  $[(X, p, \kappa), (Y, q, \kappa_1)]$  to  $[(X, p, \kappa), (Z, r, \kappa_2)]$  for any pointed digital image  $(X, p, \kappa)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $[g], [g'] \in [(X, p, \kappa), (Y, q, \kappa_1)]$  and

$$h_* : [(X, p, \kappa), (Y, q, \kappa_1)] \rightarrow [(X, p, \kappa), (Z, r, \kappa_2)]$$

be a map such that  $h_*([g]) = [h \circ g]$ . Then

$$h_*([g] \bullet [g']) = h_*([\mu \circ (g \times g') \circ \Delta]) = [h \circ \mu \circ (g \times g') \circ \Delta].$$

Since  $h$  is a digital homomorphism, then  $\eta \circ (h \times h) \simeq_{(\kappa^*, \kappa_2)} h \circ \mu$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} [h \circ \mu \circ (g \times g') \circ \Delta] &= [\eta \circ (h \times h) \circ (g \times g') \circ \Delta] \\ &= [h \circ g] \bullet [h \circ g'] \\ &= h_*([g]) \bullet h_*([g']). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $h_*$  is a homomorphism.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.19.** Let  $(X, p, \kappa)$  be a digital H-space and  $(Y, q, \kappa_1)$  be a digital image. If  $(X, p, \kappa)$  and  $(Y, q, \kappa_1)$  have the same  $(\kappa, \kappa_1)$ -homotopy type, then there exist homotopy equivalences  $f : (X, p, \kappa) \rightarrow (Y, q, \kappa_1)$  and  $g : (Y, q, \kappa_1) \rightarrow (X, p, \kappa)$ . For any digital image  $(Z, r, \kappa_2)$

- (1) since  $f$  is a digital H-homomorphism, then there exist a homomorphism from  $[(Z, r, \kappa_2), (X, p, \kappa)]$  to  $[(Z, r, \kappa_2), (Y, q, \kappa_1)]$
- (2) since  $g$  is a digital H-homomorphism, then there exist a homomorphism from  $[(Z, r, \kappa_2), (Y, q, \kappa_1)]$  to  $[(Z, r, \kappa_2), (X, p, \kappa)]$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Ayala, E. Domínguez, A. R. Francés, and A. Quintero, Homotopy in digital spaces. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 125(1) , 3-24,(2003)
- [2] L. Boxer, Digitally continuous functions, *Pattern Recognition Letters* 15.8, 833-839, (1994).
- [3] L. Boxer, A classical construction for the digital fundamental group. *Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision*, 10(1), 51-62,(1999).
- [4] L. Boxer, Properties of digital homotopy, *Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision*, 22(1), 19-26, (2005).
- [5] O. Ege and I. Karaca, Digital H-spaces. In *International Symposium on Computing in Science & Engineering. Proceedings . GEDIZ University, Engineering and Architecture Faculty.* p. 133, (2013, January).
- [6] O. Ege and I. Karaca, Banach fixed point theorem for digital images. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl*, 8(3), 237-245, (2015).
- [7] Ö. Ege and I. Karaca, Some properties of digital H-spaces. *Turkish Journal of Electrical Engineering & Computer Sciences*, 24(3), 1930-1941, (2016).
- [8] S. E. Han, Non-product property of the digital fundamental group. *Information Sciences* 171(1-3), 73-91,(2005).
- [9] S. E. Han, Remarks on digital homotopy equivalence. *Honam Mathematical Journal*, 29(1), 101-118, (2007).
- [10] S. E. Han,  $KD-(k_0, k_1)$ -homotopy equivalence and its applications. *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society*, 47(5), 1031-1054, (2010).
- [11] T. Y: Kong, Roscoe, A. W. and Rosenfeld, A., *Concepts of digital topology. Topology and its Applications*, 46(3), 219-262, (1992).
- [12] A. Mutlu, B. Mutlu and S. Öztunç, On Digital Homotopy of Digital Paths, *International Research Journal of Pure Algebra* 2(6), 147-154, (2012).
- [13] S. Öztunç, S. and Mutlu, A. *Categories in digital images. Am. J. Math. Stat*, 3(1), 62-66, (2013).
- [14] A. Rosenfeld, Digital topology, *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 86(8)621-630, (1979).
- [15] R. M. Switzer, *Algebraic topology-homotopy and homology*, Springer. (2017).
- [16] E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*, Springer Science & Business Media 55(1) (1989).
- [17] T. Yung Kong, A digital fundamental group, *Computers & Graphics* 13.2, 159-166,(1989)

(author one) KASTAMONU UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KASTAMONU, TURKEY  
*E-mail address*, author one: `sdemiralp@kastamonu.edu.tr`

(author two) BENI-SUEF UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF BASIC SCIENCE, FACULTY OF INDUSTRIAL EDUCATION, EGYPT  
*E-mail address*, author two: `essam.salim@techedu.bsu.edu.eg`